| | Copyright Act 1994 Review: Is | ssues Paper - Online submission |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| #26 COMPLETE Collector: | Web Link 1 (Web Link) | |
| Page 2: A bit ab | oout you and your submission | |
| Q1 Your name | | |
| Robert Morris | | |
| Q2 Your email ad | ddress | |
| Q3 Please briefly | tell us why copyright law interests you | |
| | on responsible for the care and interpretation of Noncommunities; onsite, offsite and online. | New Zealand's unique biota and and human cultures, we are keen t |
| | ose of MBIE publishing the information is submission, do you wish to remain | No |
| (anonymously if part by MBIE on | et to your submission being published you have requested that) in whole or in its website?Note: if you answer Yes to then you reach the end of this survey, you | No |

will be asked to specify which parts of your submission (or all of it) you do not wish MBIE to publish and help us understand your concerns so that we can consider them in the event of a request under the Official Information Act.

Page 3: Question navigation

| Q6 Which of the following subjects in the Issues Paper do you wish to answer questions on? | Part 5 (Exceptions and Limitations) Section 1 - exceptions that facilitate particular desirable uses , Part 5, (Exceptions and Limitations) Section 2 - exceptions for libraries and archives , Part 5, (Exceptions and Limitations) Section 3 - exceptions for education |
|---|---|
| Page 4: Objectives | |
| Q7 Q1 Are the above objectives the right ones for New Zealand's copyright regime? How well do you think the copyright system is achieving these objectives? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q8 Q2Are there other objectives that we should be aiming to achieve? For example, do you think adaptability or resilience to future technological change should be included as an objective and, if so, do you think that would be achievable without reducing certainty and clarity? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q9 Q3Should sub-objectives or different objectives for any parts of the Act be considered (eg for moral rights or performers' rights)? Please be specific in your answer. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q10 Q4What weighting (if any) should be given to each objective? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Page 5: Rights: What does copyright protect and who | gets the rights? |
| Q11 Q5What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the Copyright Act categorises works? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q12 Q6Is it clear what 'skill, judgement and labour' means as a test as to whether a work is protected by copyright? Does this test make copyright protection apply too widely? If it does, what are the implications, and what changes should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q13 Q7Are there any problems with (or benefits arising from) the treatment of data and compilations in the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q14 Q8What are the problems (or benefits) with the way the default rules for copyright ownership work? What changes (if any) should we consider? | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q15 Q9What problems (or benefits) are there with the current rules related to computer-generated works, particularly in light of the development and application of new technologies like artificial intelligence to general works? What changes, if any, should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q16 Q10What are the problems (or benefits) with the rights the Copyright Act gives visual artists (including painting, drawings, prints, sculptures etc.)? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q17 Q11What are the problems creators and authors, who have previously transferred their copyright in a work to another person, experience in seeking to have the copyright in that work reassigned back to them? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q18 Q12What are the problems (or benefits) with how Crown copyright operates? What alternatives (if any) do you think should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q19 Q13Are there any problems (or benefits) in providing a copyright term for communication works that is longer than the minimum required by New Zealand's international obligations? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q20 Q14Are there any problems (or benefits) in providing an indefinite copyright term for the type of works referred to in section 117? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q21 Any other comments on Rights: what does copyright protect and who gets the rights? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Page 6: Rights: What actions does copyright reserve for | or copyright owners? |
| Q22 Q15Do you think there are any problems with (or benefits arising from) the exclusive rights or how they are expressed? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q23 Q16Are there any problems (or benefits) with the secondary liability provisions? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q24 Q17What are the problems (or advantages) with the way authorisation liability currently operates? What changes (if any) do you think should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q25 Any other comments on Rights: what actions does copyright reserve for copyright owners? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Page 7: Rights: Specific issues with the current rights Q26 Q18What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the right of communication to the public operates? | Respondent skipped this question |
| What changes, if any, might be needed? Q27 Q19What problems (or benefits) are there with communication works as a category of copyright work? | Respondent skipped this question |
| What alternatives (if any) should be considered? Q28 Q20What are the problems (or benefits) with using 'object' in the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q29 Q21Do you have any concerns about the implications of the Supreme Court's decision in Dixon v R? Please explain. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q30 Q22What are the problems (or benefits) with how the Copyright Act applies to user-generated content? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q31 Q23What are the advantages and disadvantages of not being able to renounce copyright? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q32 Q24Do you have any other concerns with the scope of the exclusive rights and how they can be infringed? Please describe. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q33 Any other comments on Rights: specific issues with the current rights | Respondent skipped this question |

| Page 8: Rights: Moral rights, performers' rights and technological protection measures | | |
|--|--|--|
| Respondent skipped this question | | |
| facilitate particular desirable uses | | |
| Respondent skipped this question | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Q42 Q32What are the problems (or benefits) with photographs being excluded from the exception for news reporting? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q43 Q33What other problems (or benefits), if any, have you experienced with the exception for reporting current events? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q44 Q34What are the problems (or benefits) with the exception for incidental copying of copyright works? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q45 Q35What are the problems (or benefits) with the exception transient reproduction of works? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q46 Q36What are the problems (or benefits) with the way the copyright exceptions apply to cloud computing? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q47 Q37Are there any other current or emerging technological processes we should be considering for the purposes of the review? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q48 Q38What problems (or benefits) are there with copying of works for non-expressive uses like datamining. What changes, if any, should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q49 Q39What do problems (or benefits) arising from the Copyright Act not having an express exception for parody and satire? What about the absence of an exception for caricature and pastiche? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q50 Q40What problems (or benefit) are there with the use of quotations or extracts taken from copyright works? What changes, if any, should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q51 Any other comments on Exceptions and Limitations: exceptions that facilitate particular desirable uses | Respondent skipped this question |

Page 10: Exceptions and limitations: Exceptions for libraries and archives

Q52 Q41 Do you have any specific examples of where the uncertainty about the exceptions for libraries and archives has resulted in undesirable outcomes? Please be specific about the situation, why this caused a problem and who it caused a problem for.

Respondent skipped this question

Q53 Q42 Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility for libraries and archives to copy, archive and make available to the public digital content published over the internet? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q54 Q43Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility for libraries and archives to facilitate mass digitisation projects and make copies of physical works in digital format more widely available to the public? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q55 Q44Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility for libraries and archives to make copies of copyright works within their collections for collection management and administration without the copyright holder's permission? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q56 Q45What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) the flexibility given to libraries and archives to copy and make available content published online? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q57 Q46What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) excluding museums and galleries from the libraries and archives exceptions? What changes (if any) should be considered?

The current Review of the Copyright Act 1994, offers museums an opportunity to argue for their inclusion in various categories that have current beneficial exemptions from some provisions of the Act. This would have the potential to either allow museums extended, risk-free use of material that would otherwise be subject to copyright restrictions in a museum context, and/or relieve museums of financial and staff time costs associated with the use of material under copyright. We believe that affording Museums the same exceptions as those available to Education as well as to Libraries and Archives will enhance their roles as educators, by facilitating teaching, learning and the creation of new knowledge, while having due regard to the rights of copyright holders.

As defined by the International Council of Museums (ICOM);

"A museum is a non-profit, permanent institution in the service of society and its development, open to the public, which acquires, conserves, researches, communicates and exhibits the tangible and intangible heritage of humanity and its environment for the purposes of education, study and enjoyment." (http://icom.museum/who-we-are/the-vision/museum-definition.html)

As such most museums and galleries have a similar purpose and role to libraries and archives in collecting, preserving and making content available for the benefit of the public. Indeed many museums provide curriculum based education services through Ministry of

Education funded activities such as 'Learning Experiences Outside the Classroom' (LEOTC).

In the case of the Otago Museum, its role and services are defined by the Otago Museum Trust Board Act, 1996. The Act explicitly states:

"Whereas the Otago Museum is a non-profit-making permanent institution, founded by the people of Otago for the service and development of their community: And whereas the Museum acknowledges a particular responsibility for the natural, cultural, and scientific heritage of the Otago region: And whereas responsibility for the maintenance and development of the said institution should continue to be widely shared."

Under Section 3 of the Otago Museum Trust Board Act;

- "The objectives of the Museum shall be-
- (a) to collect, preserve, act as a regional repository for, research, display, and otherwise make available to the people of the present and the future, material and information relating to the natural, cultural, and scientific heritage of the peoples of the world:
- (b) to promote interest and education in the natural, cultural, and scientific heritage of New Zealanders:
- (c) to place particular emphasis on those activities as they relate to the greater Otago region, world history, natural history, and science, and, where appropriate, their relationships in a wider global context."

The Otago Museum is a registered LEOTC provider. Education is therefore integral to the activities of the Otago Museum.

As do many other museums, the Otago Museum also houses a library and archive. These provide background resources that critically support the management and development of the collections, their interpretation in exhibitions and as resource material for access and education.

It has been suggested, for example that images of collection objects being used in CMS databases currently infringes copyright.

Under the current Act:

"The librarian of a prescribed library or the archivist of an archive may make a digital copy of any item (the original item) in the collection of the library or archive without infringing copyright in any work included in the item if—

- (a) the original item is at risk of loss, damage, or destruction; and
- (b) the digital copy replaces the original item; and
- (c) the original item is not accessible by members of the public after replacement by the digital copy except for purposes of research the nature of which requires or may benefit from access to the original item; and
- (d) it is not reasonably practicable to purchase a copy of the original item."

But this does not extend to museums under the current Act.

As the current review of the Copyright Act 1994, 339 GLAM sector notes, "Museums and galleries have a similar purpose and role to libraries and archives in collecting, preserving and making content available for the benefit of the public. Like libraries and archives, many are also not-for-profit institutions. However, the current exceptions do not apply to them." We agree with these observations and also note that the issues identified in section 326 of the current review also applies to museums and galleries.

We believe that by affording the same exceptions available to Education, Libraries and Archives to Galleries and Museums, these institutions will be better equipped to manage and disseminate content that complies with both the requirements of any revised copyright Act and the services expected by our stakeholders.

Q58 Any other comments on Exceptions and Limitations: Respondent skipped this question exceptions for libraries and archives

| Q59 Q47Does the Copyright Act provide enough flexibility to enable teachers, pupils and educational institutions to benefit from new technologies? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this flexibility or lack of flexibility? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|---|
| Q60 Q48Are the education exceptions too wide? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q61 Q49Are the education exceptions too narrow? What are the problems with (or benefits arising from) this? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q62 Q50Is copyright well understood in the education sector? What problems does this create (if any)? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q63 Any other comments on Exceptions and Limitations: exceptions for education | Respondent skipped this question |
| Page 12: Exceptions and limitations: Exceptions relating Q64 Q51What are the problems (or advantages) with the free public playing exceptions in sections 81, 87 and 87 A of the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered? | ng to the use of particular categories of works Respondent skipped this question |
| Q65 Q52What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the format shifting exception currently operates? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q66 Q53What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the time shifting exception operates? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q67 Q54What are the problems (or advantages) with the reception and retransmission exception? What alternatives (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q68 Q55What are the problems (or advantages) with the other exceptions that relate to communication works? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |

Q69 Q56Are the exceptions relating to computer programmes working effectively in practice? Are any other specific exceptions required to facilitate desirable uses of computer programs?

Respondent skipped this question

Q70 Q57Do you think that section 73 should be amended to make it clear that the exception applies to the works underlying the works specified in section 73(1)? And should the exception be limited to copies made for personal and private use, with copies made for commercial gain being excluded? Why?

Respondent skipped this question

Q71 Any other comments on Exceptions and limitations: exceptions relating to the use of particular categories of works

Respondent skipped this question

Page 13: Exceptions and limitations: Contracting out of the exceptions

Q72 Q58What problems (or benefits) are there in allowing copyright owners to limit or modify a person's ability to use the existing exceptions through contract? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 14: Exceptions and limitations: Internet service provider liability

Q73 Q59What are problems (or benefits) with the ISP definition? What changes, if any should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q74 Q60Are there any problems (or benefit) with the absence of an explicit exception for linking to copyright material and not having a safe harbour for providers of search tools (eg search engines)? What changes (if any) should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q75 Q61Do the safe harbour provisions in the Copyright Act affect the commercial relationship between online platforms and copyright owners? Please be specific about who is, and how they are, affected.

Respondent skipped this question

Q76 Q62What other problems (or benefits) are there with the safe harbour regime for internet service providers? What changes, if any, should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 15: Transactions

| Q77 Q63Is there a sufficient number and variety of CMOs in New Zealand? If not, which type copyright works do you think would benefit from the formation of CMOs in New Zealand? | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q78 Q64If you are a member of a CMO, have you experienced problems with the way they operate in New Zealand? Please give examples of any problems experienced. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q79 Q65If you are a user of copyright works, have you experienced problems trying to obtain a licence from a CMO? Please give examples of any problems experienced. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q80 Q66What are the problems (or advantages) with the way the Copyright Tribunal operates? Why do you think so few applications are being made to the Copyright Tribunal? What changes (if any) to the way the Copyright Tribunal regime should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q81 Q67Which CMOs offer an alternative dispute resolution service? How frequently are they used? What are the benefits (or disadvantages) with these services when compared to the Copyright Tribunal? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q82 Q68Has a social media platform or other communication tool that you have used to upload, modify or create content undermined your ability to monetise that content? Please provide details. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q83 Q69What are the advantages of social media platforms or other communication tools to disseminate and monetise their works? What are the disadvantages? What changes to the Copyright Act (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q84 Q70Do the transactions provisions of the Copyright Act support the development of new technologies like blockchain technology and other technologies that could provide new ways to disseminate and monetise copyright works? If not, in what way do the provisions hinder the development and use of new technologies? | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q85 Q71Have you ever been impeded using, preserving or making available copies of old works because you could not identify or contact the copyright? Please provide as much detail as you can about what the problem was and its impact. | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q86 Q72 How do you or your organisation deal with orphan works (general approaches, specific policies etc.)? And can you describe the time and resources you routinely spend on identifying and contacting the copyright owners of orphan works? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q87 Q73Has a copyright owner of an orphan work ever come forward to claim copyright after it had been used without authorisation? If so, what was the outcome? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q88 Q74What were the problems or benefits of the system of using an overseas regime for orphan works? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q89 Q75What problems do you or your organisation face when using open data released under an attribution only Creative Commons Licences? What changes to the Copyright Act should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q90 Any other comments on Transactions | Respondent skipped this question |
| Page 16: Enforcement of Copyright Q91 Q76How difficult is it for copyright owners to establish before the courts that copyright exists in a work and they are the copyright owners? What changes (if any) should be considered to help copyright owners take legal action to enforce their copyright? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q92 Q77What are the problems (or advantages) with reserving legal action to copyright owners and their exclusive licensees? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q93 Q78Should CMOs be able to take legal action to enforce copyright? If so, under what circumstances? | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q94 Q79Does the cost of enforcement have an impact on copyright owners' enforcement decisions? Please be specific about how decisions are affected and the impact of those decisions. What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q95 Q80Are groundless threats of legal action for infringing copyright being made in New Zealand by copyright owners? If so, how wide spread do you think the practice is and what impact is the practice having on recipients of such threats? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q96 Q81Is the requirement to pay the \$5,000 bond to Customs deterring right holders from using the border protection measures to prevent the importation of infringing works? Are there any issues with the border protection measures that should be addressed? Please describe these issues and their impact. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q97 Q82Are peer-to-peer filing sharing technologies being used to infringe copyright? What is the scale, breadth and impact of this infringement? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q98 Q83Why do you think the infringing filing sharing regime is not being used to address copyright infringements that occur over peer-to peer file sharing technologies? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q99 Q84What are the problems (or advantages) with the infringing file sharing regime? What changes or alternatives to the infringing filing share regime (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q100 Q85What are the problems (or advantages) with the existing measures copyright owners have to address online infringements? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q101 Q86Should ISPs be required to assist copyright owners enforce their rights? Why / why not? | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q102 Q87Who should be required to pay ISPs' costs if they assist copyright owners to take action to prevent online infringements? | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q103 Q88Are there any problems with the types of criminal offences or the size of the penalties available under the Copyright Act? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Q104 Any other comments on Enforcement of copyright | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Page 17: Other Issues: Relationship between copyrigh | nt and registered design protection | |
| Q105 Q89Do you think there are any problems with (or benefits from) having an overlap between copyright and industrial design protection? What changes (if any) should be considered? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q106 Q90Have you experienced any problems when seeking protection for an industrial design, especially overseas? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q107 Q91We are interested in further information on the use of digital 3-D printer files to distribute industrial designs. For those that produce such files, how do you protect your designs? Have you faced any issues with the current provisions of the Copyright Act? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q108 Q92Do you think there are any problems with (or benefits from) New Zealand not being a member of the Hague Agreement? | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q109 Any other comments on Other Issues: Relationship between copyright and registered design protection | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Page 18: Other issues: Copyright and the Wai 262 inquiry | | |
| Q110 Q93Have we accurately characterised the Waitangi Tribunal's analysis of the problems with the current protections provided for taonga works and mātauranga Māori? If not, please explain the inaccuracies. | Respondent skipped this question | |
| Q111 Q94Do you agree with the Waitangi Tribunal's use of the concepts 'taonga works' and 'taonga-derived works'? If not, why not? | Respondent skipped this question | |

Q112 Q95The Waitangi Tribunal did not recommend any Respondent skipped this question changes to the copyright regime, and instead recommended a new legal regime for taonga works and mātauranga Māori. Are there ways in which the copyright regime might conflict with any new protection of taonga works and mātauranga Māori? Q113 Q96Do you agree with our proposed process to Respondent skipped this question launch a new work stream on taonga works alongside the Copyright Act review? Are there any other Treaty of Waitangi considerations we should be aware of in the Copyright Act review? Q114 Q97How should MBIE engage with Treaty partners Respondent skipped this question and the broader community on the proposed work stream on taonga works? **Q115** Any other comments on Other Issues: copyright Respondent skipped this question and the Wai 262 inquiry Page 20: Information you've provided that should not be publicly available Q116 Please specify (by question number) which of your Respondent skipped this question answers you object to being published by MBIE

Q117 Please specify (by question number) which of your answers contain information that MBIE should consider withholding if requested under the Official Information Act. For each question number, please tell us which information in your answer you believe would need to be withheld and why (preferably by referring to the relevant ground in the Official Information Act).

Respondent skipped this question