# How to have your say

## **Submissions process**

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) seeks written submissions on the questions raised in this document.

- Submissions on the questions in Part 3 of this paper (relating to the Financial Service Providers Register) are due by **5pm on Friday 29 January 2016**.
- Submissions on the questions in Part 1 and Part 2 of this paper are due by **5pm on Friday 26** February 2016.

Your submission may respond to any or all of these questions. We also encourage your input on any other relevant work. Where possible, please include evidence to support your views, for example references to independent research, facts and figures, or relevant examples.

Please include your name, or the name of your organisation, and contact details. You can make your submission:

- By filling out the submission template online.
- By attaching your submission as a Microsoft Word attachment and sending to *faareview@mbie.govt.nz*.
- By mailing your submission to:

Financial Markets Policy Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment PO Box 3705 Wellington New Zealand

Please direct any questions that you have in relation to the submissions process to: *faareview@mbie.govt.nz*.

## **Use of information**

The information provided in submissions will be used to inform MBIE's policy development process, and will inform advice to Ministers on the operation of the Financial Advisers Act 2008 and the Financial Service Providers (Registration and Dispute Resolution) Act 2008.

We may contact submitters directly if we require clarification of any matters in submissions.

Submissions are subject to the Official Information Act 1982. MBIE intends to upload PDF copies of submissions received to MBIE's website at <u>www.mbie.govt.nz</u> and will do so in accordance with that Act.

Please set out clearly with your submission if you have any objection to the release of any information in the submission, and in particular, which part(s) you consider should be withheld, together with the reason(s) for withholding the information under that Act.

If your submission contains any confidential information, please indicate this on the front of the submission, mark it clearly in the text, and provide a separate version excluding the relevant information for publication on our website.

MBIE reserves the right to withhold information that may be considered offensive or defamatory.

The Privacy Act 1993 establishes certain principles with respect to the collection, use and disclosure of information about individuals by various agencies, including MBIE. Any personal information you supply to MBIE in the course of making a submission will only be used for the purpose of assisting in the development of policy advice in relation to this review.

## **Permission to reproduce**

The copyright owner authorises reproduction of this work, in whole or in part, as long as no charge is being made for the supply of copies, and the integrity and attribution of the work as a publication of MBIE is not interfered with in any way.

## Chapter 3 – Barriers to achieving the outcomes

- Do you agree with the barriers outlined in the Options Paper? If not, why not? Yes
- Is there evidence of other major barriers not captured in the Options Paper? If so, please explain.
   Enter text here.

## **Chapter 4 – Discrete elements**

 Which options will be most effective in achieving the desired outcomes and why? Option Three because;

- The more complex the product or service the higher level of education and professionalism needed. This would help prevent poor adhoc advice form so-call adviser on the fringes of the industry who are trying to make a quick buck

- The layer of complexity could be offset by the adviser stating their area(s) of competency in their disclosure statement

Example

'I can give full personal advice on the following; superannuation, KiwiSaver and investments'. I can implement the product or service relating to this advice 'I can only give general advice on risk (insurance), taxation and estate planning I cannot implement the product or service relating to these service. You will need to consult with a specialized adviser.

- 4. What would the costs and benefits be of the various options for different participants (consumers, financial advisers, businesses)?
  There may be some extra costs for a RFA but this can be viewed as an investment in their business
- 5. Are there any other viable options? If so, please provide details.

Enter text here.

#### 4.1 Restrictions on who can provide certain advice

- 6. What implications would removing the distinction between class and personalised advice have on access to advice?
  Adviser proving to the regulator rather than the client their areas of competency. Currently the client has no idea the difference between class and personal advice
- Should high-risk services be restricted to certain advisers? Why or why not?
   As above, The adviser should prove to the regulator their areas on competency
- Would requiring a client to 'opt-in' to being a wholesale investor have negative implications on advisers? If so, how could this be mitigated?
   No

#### 4.2 Advice through technological channels

- 9. What ethical and other entry requirements should apply to advice platforms?
   Same as any adviser as the advice is (or should be) the same whether is from a face to face adviser or through a technology channel
- 10. How, if at all, should requirements differ between traditional and online financial advice?
   No difference
- 11. Are the options suggested in this chapter sufficient to enable innovation in the adviser industry? What other changes might need to be made?
  Yes, because it is up to the adviser to be innovated

#### 4.3 Ethical and client-care obligations

- 12. If the ethical obligation to put the consumers' interests first was extended, what would the right obligation be? How could this be monitored and enforced? Enter text here.
- 13. What would be some practical ways of distinguishing 'sales' and 'advice'? What obligations should salespeople have?
  Clearly state that they are working for XYZ company and they are only advising on one product and they cannot compare their product to any other similar product
- 14. If there was a ban or restriction on conflicted remuneration who and what should it cover?

- Not banned, but clearly explain in \$dollars terms the level of commission you will receive

#### 4.4 Competency obligations

15. How can competency requirements be designed to lift capability, without becoming an undue barrier to entry and continuation in the profession?
Again, advisers proving their level of competency in their area of advice

16. Should all advisers be subject to minimum entry requirements (Option 1)? What should those requirements include? If not, how should requirements differ for different types of advisers?
As above

#### 4.5 Tools for ensuring compliance with the ethical and competency requirements

- 17. What are the benefits and costs of shifting to an entity licensing model whereby the business is accountable for meeting obligations (Option 1)? If some individual advisers are also licensed (Option 2), what specific obligations should these advisers be accountable for? Enter text here.
- 18. What suggestions do you have for the roles of different industry and regulatory bodies?
  Enter tout here

Enter text here.

#### 4.6 Disclosure

- 19. What do you think is the most effective way to disclose information to consumers (e.g. written, verbal, online) to help them make more effective decisions?
  Always written. One Disclosure needed no more than 5 pages long. All fees and commissions can be on a separate sheet showing in \$dollar terms real examples of costs to the client. Also in any SoA fees and or commission should be clearly stated in dollar terms.
- 20. Would a common disclosure document for all advisers work in practice?
  Yes. All disclosures should be the same so the consumer can clearly identify and compare advisers
- How could remuneration details be disclosed in a way that would be meaningful to consumers yet relatively simple for advisers to produce?
   -See 19

#### 4.7 Dispute resolution

- 22. Is there any evidence that the existence of multiple schemes is leading to poor outcomes for consumers? Enter text here.
- 23. Assuming that the multiple scheme model is retained, should there be greater consistency between dispute resolution scheme rules and processes? If so, what particular elements should be consistent? Enter text here.
- 24. Should professional indemnity insurance apply to all financial service providers?Yes. As this would give some assurance to the client that there is a back-up if something went wrong with the advice

#### 4.8 Finding an adviser

- 25. What is the best way to get information to consumers? Who is best placed to provide this information (e.g. Government, industry, consumer groups)? - All in the industry is responsible to get information to consumers in a meaningful way (at the client's level of understanding.) There should be a 'First Port of Call'. I think this should be the government (FMA). More resources should be made available to the FMA to promote 'what they do' to the wider community. Currently, very few of my clients can articulate who the FMA are and what role they play in the finance industry. When meeting with a client, the first discussion I have is around the Primary Disclosure together with who and what the FMA are and does. I use the FMA flyers (such as' KiwiSaver; are you getting the right advice') from the "Free Resources" part of the FMA website. I also use a one-page flyer created from information off the FMA website. This explains exactly what the FMA is and their role. (see attached A) The goal here is, 'who does the public go to as the starting point to inquire about financial advice or services'. FMA is the logical choice. The public of New Zealand needs to know this...
- 26. What terminology do you think would be more meaningful to consumers? Plain English is the starting point.

#### 4.9 Other elements where no changes are proposed

#### The definitions of 'financial adviser' and 'financial adviser service'

27. Do you have any comments on the proposal to retain the current definitions of 'financial adviser' and 'financial adviser service'? Enter text here.

#### Exemptions from the application of the FA Act

28. Are those currently exempt from the regime posing undue risk to consumers through the provision of financial advice in the normal course of their business? If possible, please provide evidence. Enter text here.

#### **Territorial scope**

29. How can the FA Act better facilitate the provision of international financial advice to New Zealanders, without compromising consumer protection? Are there other changes that may be needed to aid this, beyond the technological options outlined in Chapter 4.2?

Click here to enter text.

**30.** How can we better facilitate the export of New Zealand financial advice? Enter text here.

#### The regulation of brokers and custodians

31. Do you have any comments on the proposal to retain the current approach to regulating broking and custodial services? Enter text here.

## **Chapter 5 – Potential packages of options**

- 32. What are the costs and benefits of the packages of options described in this chapter? Enter text here.
- 33. How effective is each package in addressing the barriers described in Chapter 3? Enter text here.
- 34. What changes could be made to any of the packages to improve how its elements work together? Enter text here.
- 35. Can you suggest any alternative packages of options that might work more effectively? Enter text here.

## Chapter 6 – Misuse of the Financial Service Providers Register

- 36. Do you agree with our assessment of the pros and cons of the options to overcome misuse of the FSPR? Enter text here.
- 37. What option or combination of options do you prefer and why? What are the costs and benefits? Enter text here.
- 38. What are the potential risks and unintended consequences of the options above? How could these be mitigated? Enter text here.
- **39.** Would limiting public access to parts of the FSPR help reduce misuse? Enter text here.

### **Demographics**

- Name: George Hill AFA George Hill Financial Planning Limited T/A Milestone
- 2. Contact details: George Hill george.hill@milestone.co.nz 03 964 6081

Are you providing this submission:
 ☑ As an individual
 ☑ On behalf of an organisation

Small (one adviser) financial advice company specializing in KiwiSaver and superannuation advice

4. Please select if your submission contains confidential information:

 $\Box$  I would like my submission (or specified parts of my submission) to be kept confidential, and attach my reasons for this for consideration by MBIE.

Reason: Enter text here.