Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

#48

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 3 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, October 23, 2018 2:07:35 PM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, November 06, 2018 5:04:36 PM
Time Spent:	Over a week

Page 3

Q1 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 1] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Q2 Please provide any comments on [standard 1] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Agree

Agree

Page 4

Q3 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 2] and proposed commentary?

Q4 Please provide any comments on [standard 2] and the proposed commentary.

Page 5

Q5 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 3] and proposed commentary?

Q6 Please provide any comments on [standard 3] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6

Q7 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 4] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

Q8 Please provide any comments on [standard 4] and the proposed commentary.

However, to ensure there is no misunderstanding and that, should a dispute arise, it relies on one person's word against anther, I contend that ALL advice must be in writing and signed by the client.

I see two 'problems' in the commentary.

1. In the last paragraph before the highlighted example, you suggest that "some details about suitability that are not relevant to the client's understanding of the financial advice may be retained in internal work papers. That relies on a judgement call by the adviser, which may or may not be accurate. Why not make sure it is included in the written advice and the client can the make a judgement call themselves, with assistance from the adviser if necessary.

2. In the example given you are relying on the financial adviser to explain, which implies verbal communication, the disadvantages of the replacement cover. What if the adviser "forgets" to tell the client? The only way to be sure that the consequences have been fully covered is to insist that this is given in writing and signed off by the client.

IF ONE OF THE OBJECTIVES OF THE LEGISLATION IS TO FOSTER TRUST IN THE INDUSTRY, THE WE ALL NEED TO BE ACTING IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER AND ONE OF THE FIRST PRINCIPALS OF PROFESSIONALISM MUST SURELY BE TO COMMIT ALL ADVICE TO WRITING

Page 7	
Q9 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 5] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q10 Please provide any comments on [standard 5] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 8	RMu
Q11 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 6] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q12 Please provide any comments on [standard 6] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 9	
Q13 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 7] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q14 Please provide any comments on [standard 7] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 10	
Q15 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 8] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q16 Please provide any comments on [standard 8] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question

Page 12

Q17 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 9] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Q18 Please provide any comments on [standard 9] and the proposed commentary.

While the standards outlined are fine, the consultation note you have provided does seem to leave the door open for the CWG to replace the New Zealand Certificate with the National Certificate. This would imply that the NZ Certificate would no longer be an acceptable qualification and advisers would have to requalify for the National Certificate. Is that really what is intended? I would also think it imperative that an adviser must be able to demonstrate, on an ongoing basis, they have an understanding of the then current regulatory framework.

Page 13

Q19 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 10] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q20 Please provide any comments on [standard 10] and the proposed comentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 14	MATHO
Q21 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 11] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q22 Please provide any comments on [standard 11] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 15	
Q23 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 12] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q24 Please provide any comments on [standard 12] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 16	
Q25 Is there anything missing from the draft Code?	Unsure
Q26 If you answered yes, what is missing?	Respondent skipped this question

Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

Q27 Do you have any feedback on the examples, or suggestions on other examples that should be included in the draft Code?

As provided in the relevant sections

Q28 Is there anything else you want to say?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 17	
Q29 Name	
Keith Walter	
Q30 Your role or professional title	
General Manager	
Q31 Individual or organisational submission	This is a submission on behalf of an organisation (eg employer)
Q32 If you give financial advice	I am not an AFA, RFA or QFE adviser
Q33 My organisation or I give the following types of advice	My organisation or I do not give financial advice
Q34 Organisation Name	
Planet Financial Services Limited	
Q35 Type of organisation	Dealer group
Q36 Size of organisation	Medium firm (10-50 staff)
Q37 If there are other things we should know about you or your business that would provide context to your answers, please provide details below.	Respondent skipped this question
Q38 Please indicate whether your submission contains any information that is confidential or whether you do not wish your name or any other personal information to be included in a summary of submissions.	Respondent skipped this question

Q39 Please provide your contact details (email and/or phone number)This is the only question that requires an answer. This information would not be released publicly. We may get in touch with you in order to help us understand particular points from your submission.

s 9(2)(a)

RELEASED UNDER THUE ACT