## Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

# #19

## COMPLETE

 Collector:
 Web L nk 3 (Web L nk)

 Started:
 Tuesday, October 16, 2018 12:52:06 PM

 Last Modified:
 Tuesday, October 16, 2018 1:07:27 PM

 Time Spent:
 00:15:21

## Page 3

**Q1** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 1] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

**Q2** Please provide any comments on [standard 1] and the proposed commentary.

Page 4

**Q3** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 2] and proposed commentary?

Q4 Please provide any comments on [standard 2] and the proposed commentary.

Page 5

Q5 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 3] Agree and proposed commentary?

**Q6** Please provide any comments on [standard 3] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6

**Q7** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 4] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Agree

**Q8** Please provide any comments on [standard 4] and **Respondent skipped this question** the proposed commentary.

Page 7

**Q9** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard **Agree** 5] and proposed commentary?

#### Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

Respondent skipped this question

**Q10** Please provide any comments on [standard 5] and **Respondent skipped this question** the proposed commentary.

Page 8

**Q11** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 6] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

**Q12** Please provide any comments on [standard 6] and **Respondent skipped this question** the proposed commentary.

Page 9

**Q13** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 7] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

**Q14** Please provide any comments on [standard 7] and the proposed commentary.

Page 10

Q15 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 8] Agree and proposed commentary?

Q16 Please provide any comments on [standard 8] and Respondent skipped this question the proposed commentary.

Page 12

**Q17** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 9] **Don't know** and proposed commentary?

Q18 Please provide any comments on [standard 9] and the proposed commentary.

I agree that there needs to be a m n mum standard of educat on. However, nowhere n this code has t address industry experience, which can count towards an entire Leve 5 cert f cation, depending on the number of years the adviser has been practising. Experience must be taken into account, as you are expecting adviser to pay for what we essent a yible a piece of paper saying that they can "do the job", even they have proven they can. Perhaps individual consideration needs to be taken into account, where you nivest gate adviser practices to see whether they have been invite in the code as an RFA, and where they have, you should factor in experience. And where they have not, require further action from the adviser to prove the right to perform the tasks of the role.

Page 13

**Q19** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 10] and proposed commentary?

Neither agree nor disagree

Q20 Please provide any comments on [standard 10] and the proposed comentary.

Cont nu ng your educat on s mportant. If banks/ nsurers are prov d ng th s opportun ty w th roadshows, etc. then I wou d hope th s counts towards the hours comp eted.

Page 14 Q21 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard **Respondent skipped this question** 11] and proposed commentary? Q22 Please provide any comments on [standard 11] Respondent skipped this question and the proposed commentary. Page 15 Q23 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard Respondent skipped this question 12] and proposed commentary? Q24 Please provide any comments on [standard ]12] Respondent skipped this question and the proposed commentary. Page 16 Q25 Is there anything missing from the draft Code? **Respondent skipped this question** Q26 If you answered yes, what is missing? **Respondent skipped this question** 

**Q27** Do you have any feedback on the examples, or suggestions on other examples that should be included in the draft Code?

Industry experience s the one thing on my radar. Paying for a new qual f cation can be tough on a bus ness run by one adviser. So I want some compass on from the committee to understand that there are many competent adviser out there who are doing the job ethically and with the right intentions, that deserve recognition for the riservice to the industry and the ric ents.

**Q28** Is there anything else you want to say?

Just that the cens ng costs need to be with n reason, and not revenue gain ng, as it can really hurt a bus ness that is sma .

Page 17

#### Q29 Name

Nev

#### Q30 Your role or professional title

Founder

Q31 Individual or organisational submission	This is an individual submission and not on behalf of an organisation
Q32 If you give financial advice	l am a RFA
Q33 My organisation or I give the following types of advice	Fire and general , insurance Life and/or health insurance
Q34 Organisation Name	Respondent skipped this question
Q35 Type of organisation	Insurance broker
Q36 Size of organisation	Small firm (1-10 staff)
Q37 If there are other things we should know about you or your business that would provide context to your answers, please provide details below.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q38</b> Please indicate whether your submission contains any information that is confidential or whether you do not wish your name or any other personal information to be included in a summary of submissions.	Respondent skipped this question

**Q39** Please provide your contact details (email and/or phone number)This is the only question that requires an answer. This information would not be released publicly. We may get in touch with you in order to help us understand particular points from your submission.

s 9(2)(a)