# COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 3 (Web Link)

Started: Monday, November 05, 2018 1:22:44 PM **Last Modified:** Monday, November 05, 2018 1:51:53 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:29:09

Page 3

Q1 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 1] Agree and proposed commentary?

Q2 Please provide any comments on [standard 1] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4

Q3 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 2] and proposed commentary?

Q4 Please provide any comments on [standard 2] and the spondent skip the proposed commentary.

Page 5

Q5 Overall, do you agree or disagre and proposed commentary?

Q6 Please provide any comments on [standard 3] and the proposed commentary.

I think examples of the type of conflicts of interest that must be disclosed would be helpful as would examples of the types of gifts etc that do not cause a conflict.

Page 6

Q7 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 4] and proposed commentary?

**Q8** Please provide any comments on [standard 4] and the proposed commentary.

Does the Committee feel that such information should be in writing or is verbally OK? In cases like the example for the protection of the consumer and adviser such benefit explanations must be in writing, in my view.

Page 7

**Q9** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 5] and proposed commentary?

Agree

**Q10** Please provide any comments on [standard 5] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8

**Q11** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 6] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Q12 Please provide any comments on [standard 6] and the proposed commentary.

There needs to be some exceptions/additions to the example such as when the information can be disclosed for audit and compliance checks. At this point "...required by law..." would not be sufficient.

Page 9

**Q13** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 7] and proposed commentary?

Disagree

Q14 Please provide any comments on [standard 7] and the proposed commentary.

I am strongly opposed to this Code Standard. While the definition of complaint is correct as per the NZ/AUS complaint handling Standard, this requirement covers only part of the standard process requirements - responsiveness and objectivity. It does not cover accessibility, visibility, charges, confidentiality, customer focused approached, accountability and continual improvement. In my view it should be deleted as the need for a internal and external complaint process is covered well in legislation and by dispute resolution scheme rules. Alternatively, leave in the definition and a simple statement saying an adviser must have an compliant internal and external complaint resolution process. However, my preference is to remove it completely.

Page 10

**Q15** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 8] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

**Q16** Please provide any comments on [standard 8] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12

**Q17** Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 9] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Q18 Please provide any comments on [standard 9] and the proposed commentary.

I would like to see a better explanation and allowance for not only prior learning but also prior experience.

Page 13

Q19 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 10] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q20 Please provide any comments on [standard 10] and the proposed comentary.	
Considering the current CPD regime I think a guide on how to kee	p up to date (and meet this Standard) is needed.
Page 14	
<b>Q21</b> Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 11] and proposed commentary?	Agree
Q22 Please provide any comments on [standard 11] and the proposed commentary.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 15	THE ST
Q23 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 12] and proposed commentary?	Agree RTHE
Q24 Please provide any comments on [standard (2) and the proposed commentary.	Resourcent skipped this question
Page 16 RELLEVIAL	
Q25 Is there anything missing from the traft Code?	Unsure
Q26 If you answered yes, what is missing?	Respondent skipped this question
Q27 Do you have any feedback on the examples, or suggestions on other examples that should be included in the draft Code?	
As mentioned for CPD I think advisers need a guide (and examples) to a number of these requirements.	
Q28 Is there anything else you want to say?	
Overall it's good.	
Page 17	
Q29 Name	
Trevor Slater	

## Q30 Your role or professional title

Dispute resolution scheme manager

Q31 Individual or organisational submission	This is a submission on behalf of an organisation (eg employer)
Q32 If you give financial advice	I am not an AFA, RFA or QFE adviser
Q33 My organisation or I give the following types of advice	My organisation or I do not give financial , advice
	Other (please
	specify): Dispute resolution
Q34 Organisation Name	NOER THE ACT
Financial Dispute Resolution Service	OER ON PR
Q35 Type of organisation	Dispute Resolution scheme
Q36 Size of organisation	Small firm (1-10 staff)
BURNE	

Q37 If there are other things we should be sho answers, please provide details below.

I was a member of the NZ/AUS Standards Committee that authored the Standard on internal complaint handling. I also have vast experience in financial advice process reviewing and AML auditing for financial advice.

Q38 Please indicate whether your submission contains any information that is confidential or whether you do not wish your name or any other personal information to be included in a summary of submissions.

No

Q39 Please provide your contact details (email and/or phone number) This is the only question that requires an answer. This information would not be released publicly. We may get in touch with you in order to help us understand particular points from your submission.

s 9(2)(a)