COMPLETE

Collector: Web L nk 3 (Web L nk)

Started: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 3:56:30 PM **Last Modified:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 4:19:14 PM

Time Spent: 00:22:44

Page 3

Q1 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 1] Disagree and proposed commentary?

Q2 Please provide any comments on [standard 1] and the proposed commentary

The ob gat on should be to act in clents "best" interests having regard to clents requirements

Page 4

Q3 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 2] and proposed commentary?

Agree

Q4 Please provide any comments on [standard 2] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5

Q5 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 3] and proposed commentary?

Agree

Q6 Please provide any comments on [standard 3] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6

Q7 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 4] and proposed commentary?

Q8 Please provide any comments on [standard 4] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7

Q9 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 5] and proposed commentary?

Agree

Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

Q10 Please provide any comments on [standard 5] and Respondent skipped this question the proposed commentary.

Page 8

Q11 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 6] **Agree** and proposed commentary?

Q12 Please provide any comments on [standard 6] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q13 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 7] Agree and proposed commentary?

Q14 Please provide any comments on [standard 7] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q15 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 8] Agree and proposed commentary?

Q16 Please provide any comments on [standard 8] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12

Q17 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 9] Disagree and proposed commentary?

Q18 Please provide any comments on [standard 9] and the proposed commentary.

G ven that t s not poss b e to gan recogn t on for past earn ng beyond 10 years. The fo owng qua f cat ons need to be nc uded as "demonstrating their general competence"

D p oma In Insurance from Insurance Inst tute of NZ [IINZ] and

Austra a & New Zea and Inst tute of Insurance & F nance [ANZIIF]

To not recogn se these qualifications will see a large number lexit the industry which is the exact opposite of the professed aims of the code.

Page 13

Q19 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 10] and proposed commentary?

Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

Q20 Please provide any comments on [standard 10] and the proposed comentary.

To prevent dumb ng down then the "po nts" ava able need to author sed by approved suppliers of content and not simply left to companies to "manufacture"

Page 14

Q21 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 11] and proposed commentary?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Please provide any comments on [standard 11] and the proposed commentary.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 15

Q23 Overall, do you agree or disagree with [standard 12] and proposed commentary?

Disagree

Q24 Please provide any comments on [standard 12] and the proposed commentary

Recogn t on of other qua f cat ons a ready ga ned by industry participants; e.g IINZ and ANZIIF as previously responded.

Page 16

Q25 Is there anything missing from the draft Code?

No

Q26 If you answered yes, what is missing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 Do you have any feedback on the examples, or suggestions on other examples that should be included in the draft Code?

Respondent skipped this question

Q28 Is there anything else you want to say?

It seems to me that the who e exerc se fa s to adequate y cater for Brokers who are nvo ved n the Genera Insurance Industry. The qua f cat on requirements are a huge dumbing down of the required sk is and knowledge needed to operate as a Fire and Genera Insurance Broker

Page 17

Q29 Name

Dav d Parker

Code Working Group - Online Submission Form

Q30 Your role or professional title

Insurance Broker

Q31 Individual or organisational submission This is an individual submission and not on behalf of an organisation Q32 If you give financial advice... I am a **RFA** Q33 My organisation or I give the following types of Fire and general advice... insurance **Business insurance Q34** Organisation Name PIC Insurance Brokers Ltd Q35 Type of organisation Insurance broker Q36 Size of organisation Large firm (50+ staff) Q37 If there are other things we should know about you Respondent skipped this question or your business that would provide context to your answers, please provide details below. Q38 Please indicate whether your submission contains Respondent skipped this question any information that is confidential or whether you do not wish your name or any other personal information to be included in a summary of submissions.

Q39 Please provide your contact details (email and/or phone number) This is the only question that requires an answer. This information would not be released publicly. We may get in touch with you in order to help us understand particular points from your submission.

s 9(2)(a)