



Health and Safety at Work (Hazardous Substances) Regulations 2016 consultation

MBIE is seeking feedback on the draft regulations for work involving hazardous substances. We are seeking specific feedback on the areas below before the government makes any final decisions.

Approved handler certification

Consistent with the current proposal, the consultation draft has removed the current requirement to have one or more workers certified as an approved handler — except for those substances requiring a controlled substance licence — in light of the new duty in draft regulation 4.3 to provide information, instruction, and training to every worker that uses, handles, manufactures, or stores hazardous substances in the workplace.

We are seeking feedback on:

- whether a person conducting a business or undertaking (PCBU) should only be required to have one or more workers certified as an approved handler if a workplace uses, handles, or stores hazardous substances that require a controlled substance licence in addition to complying with the new training duty at draft regulation 4.3. Compliance with the new training duty would be checked by compliance certifiers before issuing or renewing a location compliance certificate for a workplace (in accordance with draft regulations 10.33, 10.35, 12.18, 12.19, 12.42, 12.43, and 13.42) providing a greater level of coverage than monitoring by WorkSafe inspectors alone (option 1: the current proposal); or
- whether a PCBU should be required to have one or more workers certified as an approved handler if a workplace uses, handles, or stores hazardous substances of a classification and quantity that currently triggers the approved handler requirements in addition to complying with the new training duty at draft regulation 4.3.
 Compliance with the new training duty would be checked by compliance certifiers before issuing or renewing a location compliance certificate for a workplace, in addition to checking that approved handler requirements have been met (option 2: retain approved handler for certain classification categories).
 - In relation to option 2 we are also interested to know what hazard classifications the current requirement should be retained for (and why) and the threshold quantities that should trigger the requirement (and why)





Storage of toxic (class 6) and corrosive (class 8) substances not located at a hazardous substance location

- Specifying requirements, based on section 2.3 of AS/NZS 4452:1997 the storage and handling of toxic substances and section 2.3 of AS 3780:2008 the storage and handling of corrosive substances, for the safe storage of class 6 or 8 substances at a workplace if the PCBU with management or control of the workplace is not required to establish a hazardous substance location (draft regulation 13.30).
- Specifying requirements, based on section 2.4 of AS/NZS 4452:1997 the storage and handling of toxic substances, for the safe storage of class 6 or 8 substances at a farm of not less than 4 hectares that is not required to establish a hazardous substance location (draft regulation 13.31).

Controls on transit depots where class 6 or 8 substances present

Specifying requirements for the storage of class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances at transit depots (draft regulation 13.32), including:

- notifying WorkSafe (before commissioning) of the maximum quantity of each of the class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances that the transit depot is designed or constructed to accommodate
- ensuring that all class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances remain within their closed containers
- ensuring that any road vehicle loaded with containers of class 6 or 8 substances are separated appropriately from compatible and incompatible substances
- ensuring that any electrical wiring or equipment within the transit depot is appropriate
- designating areas for containment of any leaked or spilled material or damaged packages
- ensuring that stacking of packages, intermediate bulk containers, and transportable containers containing class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C or 8.2A substances in the transit depot is appropriate

We are also seeking feedback on whether these requirements should also apply to class 8.2B substances.

Segregation requirements for class 6 or 8 substances

A requirement on PCBUs, based on HSNO Code of Practice 16, to ensure that a class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substance does not come into contact with any substance or material with which it is incompatible, except where the mixing of a substance with another substance with which it is incompatible is intended (draft regulation 13.33).





We are also seeking feedback on whether this requirement should also apply to class 8.2B substances.

Duty to establish hazardous substance location where class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C or 8.2A substances present

- A requirement on PCBUs to establish a hazardous substance location where any class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances are present above specified threshold quantities (draft regulation 13.37).
- Specifying requirements for the storage of class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances at a hazardous substance location (draft regulation 13.37), including:
 - requirements, based on section 4.4.1 of AS/NZS 4452:1997 the storage and handling of toxic substances, for the storage of class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances at a package store, other than an indoor storage cabinet
 - requirements, based on section 4.4.2 of AS/NZS 4452:1997 the storage and handling of toxic substances, for the storage of class 6.1A, 6.1B, or 6.1C substances in an indoor storage cabinet
 - requirements, based on section 4.6 of AS 3780:2008 the storage and handling of corrosive substances, for the storage of class 8.2A substances in an indoor storage cabinet
 - notifying WorkSafe (before commissioning) of the maximum quantity of each of the class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances that the hazardous substance location is designed or constructed to accommodate
 - ensuring that a compliance certificate is obtained (if required)
 - o ensuring that a site plan is available for inspection
 - ensuring that all workers handling a class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C or 8.2A substance have received suitable information, instruction, and training
- The proposed threshold quantities that trigger the requirement to establish a
 hazardous substance location and the alternative threshold quantities that apply to a
 hazardous substance location situated on a farm of not less than 4 hectares (draft
 regulation 13.41).

We are also seeking feedback on whether these requirements should also apply to class 8.2B substances.





Compliance certificate required for hazardous substance location where class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C or 8.2A substances present

- A requirement on PCBUs to ensure that a hazardous substance location has a current compliance certificate where any class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substances are present above specified threshold quantities (draft regulation 13.41).
- Specifying the matters to be certified (draft regulation 13.42), including:
 - the notification requirements have been complied with, and the maximum quantities as notified are not exceeded
 - all workers handling a class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A substance have received suitable information, instruction, and training
 - the hazardous substance location complies with,—
 - the requirements for the storage of class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, or 8.2A
 substances at a package store, other than an indoor storage cabinet
 - the requirements for the storage of class 6.1A, 6.1B, or 6.1C substances in an indoor storage cabinet
 - the requirements for the storage of class 8.2A substances in an indoor storage cabinet
 - o the separation requirements for protected places and public places are met
 - any fixed structure or installed equipment within the location is constructed from compatible material
 - suitable equipment is used to handle the substance or personal protective equipment
 - the location has signage in place
 - $\circ\quad$ the requirements for emergency management are complied with
 - o the hazardous substance location has clean up materials and equipment.

We are also seeking feedback on whether these requirements should also apply to class 8.2B substances.





Separation of hazardous substance location, where class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C or 8.2A substances present, from protected places and public places

- Specifying requirements for the separation of a hazardous substance location containing class 6.1A, 6.1B, or 6.1C hazardous substances, above specified threshold quantities, from a protected place (draft regulation 13.43)
- Specifying requirements for the separation of a hazardous substance location containing class 6.1A, 6.1B, or 6.1C hazardous substances, above specified threshold quantities, from a public place (draft regulation 13.44)
- Specifying requirements for the separation of a hazardous substance location containing a class 8.2A hazardous substance, above specified threshold quantities, from a protected place (draft regulation 13.45).

Transitional provisions

Your feedback is welcome on whether the transitional, savings, and related provisions set out in Schedule 1 of these draft regulations are appropriate.

Offences and penalties

Your feedback is also welcome on whether the criminal offences and maximum penalties (to be imposed by a Court in the event of a prosecution) identified in these draft regulations are appropriate.

Infringement offences and fees

In addition, we propose that the infringement offences and fees (on-the-spot fines issued by notice by a health and safety inspector) in **Attachment A** should apply in respect of these regulations. These offences would be presented in the *Health and Safety at Work* (*Infringement Offences and Fees*) *Regulations 2016*.

Fees for services

Your feedback is also welcome on the fees that WorkSafe will charge for services provided under these draft regulations. Refer to **Attachment B** for further details.





Attachment A

Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
	Part 2		
2.1(1), (3) & (4)	PCBU fails to label a hazardous substance	1,800	9,000
	container correctly		
2.2	PCBU fails to correctly label a waste hazardous	1,800	9,000
	substance from manufacturing or industrial		
	processes		
2.3	PCBU fails to correctly label a stationary	1,800	9,000
	container or bulk transport container		
2.4(1)	PCBU fails to provide signage when required	1,800	9,000
2.7(1)	PCBU fails to maintain signage when required	1,800	9,000
2.8	PCBU fails to ensure that safety data sheets are	1,800	9,000
	readily accessible to workers and emergency		
	service workers		
2.9	PCBU fails to prepare safety data sheet for a	1,800	9,000
	waste hazardous substance from manufacturing		
	or industrial processes		
2.10	PCBU fails to correctly package decanted or	1,800	9,000
	transferred hazardous substances		
2.11	If a PCBU fails to decant or transfer petrol,	1,800	9,000
	aviation gasoline, or racing gasoline into a		
	suitable portable container		
	Part 3		
3.1(1)	PCBU fails to prepare and maintain an inventory	1,800	9,000
	of hazardous substances present at the		
	workplace		
3.1(2)	PCBU fails to ensure that the inventory of	1,800	9,000
	hazardous substances includes the prescribed		
	details		
3.1(3)	PCBU fails to ensure that the inventory of	1,800	9,000
	hazardous substances is readily accessible to any		
	emergency service worker attending the		
	workplace		
	Part 4	1	1
4.3(6)	PCBU fails to keep a record of instruction and	600	3,000
	training provided to workers that use, handle,		
	manufacture, or store hazardous substances		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
	Part 5		
5.12(3) and (4)	PCBU fails to keep a record, for at least 2 years,	600	3,000
	of the results of every test of an emergency		
	response plan		
	Part 6		
6.20(2)	Compliance certifier issues a compliance	500	-
	certificate when a conflict of interest exists		
6.20(4)	Compliance certifier fails to enter a compliance	500	-
	certificate into the compliance certificate register		
	within 15 days of issuing the certificate		
6.21(2)	Compliance certifier fails to specify the relevant	500	-
	requirements that have not been met, and the		
	date by which those requirements must be met,		
	in a conditional compliance certificate		
6.21(5)	Compliance certifier fails to enter a conditional	500	-
	compliance certificate into the compliance		
	certificate register within 15 days of issuing the		
	certificate		
6.22(3)	Compliance certifier fails to enter the prescribed	500	-
	details for a compliance certificate into the		
	compliance certificate register		
	Part 8		
8.2(1)	A person conveys a class 1, 3.1A, 4.1.2A, 4.1.3A,	500	-
	4.1.3B, 4.1.3C, 4.2A, 4.3A, 5.1.1A or 5.2A		
	substance on a passenger service vehicle		
8.2(2)	A person conveys a class 1 to 5 substance on a	500	-
	passenger service vehicle above the prescribed		
	threshold quantities		
8.3(1)	A person conveys more than 20kg of LPG on a	500	-
, ,	passenger service vehicle		
8.3(2)	PCBU fails to ensure that LPG is stowed properly	1,800	9,000
()	on a passenger service vehicle	,	,,,,,,
	Part 9		
9.3	PCBU fails to ensure that a class 1 substance in	1,800	9,000
	any quantity is under the personal control of an		'
	approved handler		
9.4	A person possesses a class 1 substance listed in	500	-
	table 1 of Schedule 7 without a controlled		
	substance licence		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ment fee (\$)	
		Individual	Entity	
9.5	A person possesses smokeless powder or certain	500	-	
	other propellants listed in table 3 of Schedule 7			
	without a firearms licence, or a firearms dealer's			
	licence, or a controlled substance licence			
9.7	PCBU sells or otherwise supplies a class 1	1,800	9,000	
	substance listed in table 1 of Schedule 7 to a			
	person without a controlled substance licence			
9.27(2)	PCBU who directs the detonation or deflagration	1,800	9,000	
	of a class 1 substance fails to notify WorkSafe at			
	least 3 working days before the first firing occurs			
9.34(1)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an outdoor	1,800	9,000	
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G			
	substances fails to notify WorkSafe at least 3			
	working days before the first firing occurs			
9.34(2)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an outdoor	1,800	9,000	
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G			
	substances fails to obtain prior written approval			
	from the New Zealand Fire Service or the			
	National Rural Fire Authority			
9.34(6)(a)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an outdoor	1,800	9,000	
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G			
	substances fails to notify WorkSafe of any			
	incidents that occur at the display			
9.34(4)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an outdoor	600	3,000	
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G			
	substances fails to prepare a record of the display			
	that includes the prescribed details			
9.34(5)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an outdoor	600	3,000	
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G			
	substances fails to produce a record of the			
	display for inspection by an inspector			
9.34(6)(b)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an outdoor	600	3,000	
, ,, ,	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G		·	
	substances fails to maintain a record of any			
	incidents that occur at the display			
9.41(1)(b)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an indoor	1,800	9,000	
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G	,	,	
	substances fails to notify WorkSafe at least 3			
	working days before the display occurs			



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringement fee (\$)	
		Individual	Entity
9.41(2)	PCBU who directs the carrying out of an indoor	1,800	9,000
	pyrotechnic display involving class 1 category G		
	substances fails to obtain prior written approval		
	from the New Zealand Fire Service or the		
	National Rural Fire Authority before the display		
	occurs		
9.43(2)	PCBU who directs transfer of class 1 substances	1,800	9,000
	from one type of transport to another fails to		
	display the correct signage		
9.45(2)	PCBU with management or control of class 1	600	3,000
	substances at a designated transfer zone fails to		
	establish, and have available for inspection,		
	documented procedures for the implementation		
	of requirements prescribed by regulations 9.11 to		
	9.14 and 9.16		
9.47(1)	PCBU who directs the transportation of a class 1	1,800	9,000
	substance on public roads or by rail fails to notify		
	the New Zealand Transport Agency and the New		
	Zealand Police at least 24 hours before departure		
	on a new route		
	Part 10		
10.4	PCBU fails to ensure that a class 2, 3, or 4	1,800	9,000
	substance, above the specified threshold		
	quantity, is secured when left unattended		
10.8(1)(f)	PCBU fails to record which regulation a class	600	3,000
	2.1.1, 2.1.2 or 3.1 substance is being managed		
	under, and have that record available for		
	inspection		
	Part 11		
11.43(2)	PCBU that provides LPG to a hazardous substance	1,800	9,000
	location fails to verify, before the first delivery of		
	LPG to the location, that the hazardous substance		
	location has a current compliance certificate or a		
	valid compliance plaque		
11.43(3)	PCBU that provides LPG to a hazardous substance	1,800	9,000
	location fails to establish that the location meets		
	the minimum standards and fix a compliance		
	plaque to the location within 60 days of first		
	delivery		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
11.43(6)	PCBU that provides LPG to a hazardous substance	600	3,000
	location fails to sign a record confirming that the		
	location continues to meet the minimum		
	standards, on or before the expiry date of		
	compliance plaque		
11.43(7)	PCBU that provides LPG to a hazardous substance	600	3,000
	location fails to retain a copy of the record		
	confirming that a location continues to meet the		
	minimum standards		
11.43(8)	PCBU that provides LPG to a hazardous substance	1,800	9,000
	fails to sign a record confirming that the location		
	continues to meet the minimum standards		
	before fitting a new compliance plaque to the		
	location		
11.43(9)	PCBU fails to ensure that compliance plaques	1,800	9,000
	have an expiry date no longer than 36 months		
	from the date of issue		
	Part 12		
12.4	PCBU fails to ensure that a class 5.1.1 or 5.1.2	1,800	9,000
	substance, above the specified threshold		
	quantity, is secured when left unattended		
12.7	PCBU fails to ensure that personal protective	1,800	9,000
	equipment is suitable for working with class 5.1.1		
	or 5.1.2 substances		
12.12	PCBU fails to ensure that a worker uses personal	1,800	9,000
	protective equipment when handling a class 5.1.1		
	or 5.1.2 substance, where contact or exposure is		
	intended or anticipated		
12.24	PCBU fails to ensure that a class 5.2 substance,	1,800	9,000
	above the specified threshold quantity, is secured		
	when left unattended		
12.29	PCBU fails to ensure that personal protective	1,800	9,000
	equipment is suitable for working with class 5.2		
	substances		
12.30	PCBU fails to ensure that a worker uses personal	1,800	9,000
	protective equipment when handling a class 5.2	,	-,
	substance, where contact or exposure is		
	intended or anticipated		
	İ '		
]	



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
	Part 13		
13.4	PCBU fails to keep a written record of each	600	3,000
	application of a class 6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, 6.6A, 6.7A,		
	8.2A, or 8.2B substance in a place where		
	members of the public may be present		
13.5(1)	PCBU fails to include the prescribed details in the	600	3,000
	written record for each application of a class		
	6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, 6.6A, 6.7A, 8.2A, or 8.2B		
	substance		
13.5(2)	PCBU fails to keep, for not less than 3 years, the	600	3,000
	written record for each application of a class		
	6.1A, 6.1B, 6.1C, 6.6A, 6.7A, 8.2A, or 8.2B		
	substance		
13.6	PCBU for a workplace in which sodium	1,800	9,000
	fluoroacetate is present fails to provide WorkSafe		
	with the required information by no later than 31		
	March		
13.7(2)	PCBU fails to ensure that suitable equipment is	1,800	9,000
	used to handle a class 6, 8.2 or 8.3 substance		
13.7(3) and (4)	PCBU fails to ensure that equipment used to	600	3,000
	handle a class 6, 8.2 or 8.3 substance is		
	accompanied by use and maintenance		
	documentation		
13.8	PCBU fails to provide personal protective	1,800	9,000
	equipment to workers using a class 6.1A to 6.1D,		
	6.3A, 6.5A and B, 6.6A and B, 6.7A and B, 6.8A to		
	C, 6.9A and B, 8.2A to C, or 8.3A substance		
13.9	PCBU fails to ensure that personal protective	1,800	9,000
	equipment is suitable for working with anti-		
	fouling paints		
13.10	PCBU fails to ensure that a worker who is mixing,	1,800	9,000
	loading, or applying an organophosphate or		
	carbamate plant protection insecticide wears, at		
	a minimum, the prescribed personal protective		
	equipment		
13.11	PCBU fails to ensure that a class 6 or 8 substance,	1,800	9,000
	for which a controlled substance licence is		
	required, is under the personal control of an		
	approved handler		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringement fee (\$)	
		Individual	Entity
13.12	PCBU fails to ensure that a class 6 or 8 substance, above the specified threshold quantity, is secured when left unattended	1,800	9,000
13.15	A person possesses a hazardous substance listed in Schedule 13 without a controlled substance licence	500	1
13.16(2)	PCBU sells or otherwise supplies a Schedule 13 substance to a person without a controlled substance licence	1,800	9,000
13.16(3)	A person purchases or otherwise acquires a Schedule 13 substance without a controlled substance licence	1,800	9,000
13.17(1)	A person conveys a class 6.1B, 6.1C, 6.5A, 6.5B, 8.2B, or 8.2C substance on a passenger service vehicle above the prescribed threshold quantities	500	-
13.17(2)	A person conveys a class 6.1A or 8.2A substance on a passenger service vehicle	500	-
13.18	Person fails to transport material impregnated with dichlorvos in accordance with additional restrictions that apply	500	-
13.19	Person fails to transport certain vertebrate toxic agents in accordance with additional restrictions that apply	500	-
13.23	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage when a vertebrate toxic agent is laid outdoors	1,800	9,000
13.24(4) and (5)	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage when carrying out aerial application of vertebrate toxic agents	1,800	9,000
13.25	If an unauthorised person remains in the vicinity of the application area for certain vertebrate toxic agents	500	-
13.26(4) and (5)	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage when carrying out work using antifouling paints	1,800	9,000
13.29	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage for certain pesticides applied in an indoor environment	1,800	9,000

Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
	Part 14		
14.6	A person possesses a hazardous substance listed	500	-
	in table 1 of Schedule 17 without a controlled		
	substance licence		
14.7	PCBU fails to give notice before carrying out	1,800	9,000
	fumigation		
14.8	PCBU fails to give notice at least 24 hours before	1,800	9,000
	carrying out fumigation		
14.9	PCBU fails to give notice before carrying out	1,800	9,000
	fumigation using Tri-Form 60, a substance from		
	the Ripper range, or any other substance		
	specified in a safe work instrument		
14.11	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage for	1,800	9,000
	fumigation		
14.12	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage for	1,800	9,000
	fumigation using Tri-Form 60, a substance from		
	the Ripper range, or any other substance		
	specified in a safe work instrument		
14.29	PCBU fails to provide notice of completion when	1,800	9,000
	the fumigation is complete		
14.30	PCBU fails to keep a written record of each	600	3,000
	application of a fumigant		
14.31	PCBU fails to include the prescribed details in the	600	3,000
	written record for each application of a fumigant		
14.32	PCBU fails to include the prescribed details in the	600	3,000
	written record for each application of a fumigant		
	from the Ripper range		
14.40	PCBU fails to keep a written record of each	600	3,000
	quarantine and pre-shipment fumigation using		
	methyl bromide		
14.41(1)	PCBU fails to keep a record for each ventilation of	600	3,000
	the 1 hour and 24 hour exposure level		
14.41(3)	PCBU fails to ensure that the records for each	600	3,000
	ventilation of the 1 hour and 24 hour exposure		
	level are kept for not less than 7 years		
14.41(2)	PCBU fails to notify WorkSafe and the relevant	1,800	9,000
	Medical Officer of Health if either the 1 hour or		
	24 hour exposure level exceeds the tolerable		
	exposure limit for methyl bromide		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringement fee (\$)	
		Individual	Entity
14.42(1)	PCBU fails to produce an annual monitoring	600	3,000
	report		
14.42(3)	PCBU fails to include the prescribed details in the	600	3,000
	annual monitoring report		
14.42(4)	PCBU fails to provide the annual monitoring	1,800	9,000
	report to WorkSafe and the relevant Medical		
	Officer of Health within the prescribed timeframe		
14.48	PCBU fails to give notice before carrying out	1,800	9,000
	quarantine and pre-shipment fumigation using		
	methyl bromide		
14.49	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage for	1,800	9,000
	quarantine and pre-shipment fumigation using		
	methyl bromide		
14.50(2)	PCBU fails to provide a report setting out the	1,800	9,000
	PCBU's progress in introducing recapture		
	technology for quarantine and pre-shipment		
	fumigation using methyl bromide		
14.53	PCBU fails to give notice before carrying out soil	1,800	9,000
	fumigation for potato wart using methyl bromide		
14.55	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage for soil	1,800	9,000
	fumigation for potato wart using methyl bromide		
	Part 15		
15.14(1)(b)	PCBU who manufactures a cylinder fails to obtain	1,800	9,000
	a manufacturing certificate from a recognised		
	inspection agency		
15.14(1)(c)	PCBU who manufactures a cylinder fails to	1,800	9,000
	provide a copy of the manufacturing certificate to		
	the purchaser		
15.15(1)(d)	PCBU who manufactures a cylinder fails to ensure	1,800	9,000
	that the labelling and marking of the cylinder		
	complies with the requirements of subpart 7		
15.15(1)(e)	PCBU who manufactures a cylinder fails to	1,800	9,000
	provide a copy of the manufacturing certificate to		
	a retailer		
15.40	PCBU fails to ensure that a refillable cylinder or a	1,800	9,000
	fire extinguisher is marked correctly		
15.41	PCBU fails to ensure that a cylinder containing	1,800	9,000
	dry gas is marked correctly		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
15.42	PCBU fails to ensure that a cylinder containing	1,800	9,000
	toxic gas of class 6.1A or B is marked correctly		
15.43	PCBU fails to comply with the marking size	1,800	9,000
	requirements		
15.44	PCBU tampers with a mark on a cylinder, cylinder	1,800	9,000
	fitting, or fire extinguisher		
15.45	PCBU fails to ensure that a cylinder valve is	1,800	9,000
	marked correctly		
15.46	PCBU fails to ensure that a cylinder regulator is	1,800	9,000
	marked correctly		
15.48	PCBU fails to ensure that an automatic	1,800	9,000
	changeover device is marked correctly		
15.49	PCBU fails to ensure that fittings are marked	1,800	9,000
	correctly		
15.50	PCBU fails to ensure that an aerosol dispenser is	1,800	9,000
	marked correctly		
15.51	PCBU fails to ensure that a non-refillable	1,800	9,000
	container is marked correctly		
15.53	PCBU charges a cylinder that has not passed the	1,800	9,000
	prescribed tests		
15.54(1)(a)	PCBU who operates a test station fails to keep	600	3,000
	records for all cylinder testing		
15.54(4)	PCBU who operates a test station allows a person	1,800	9,000
	to remove a failed cylinder from the test station		
	without the consent of an inspector		
15.61(1)	A person carrying out the duties of an approved	500	-
	filler does not hold an approved filler certificate		
	issued by a compliance certifier		
	Part 16		
16.5	PCBU fails to ensure that the correct markings	1,800	9,000
	are attached to the tank or tank sub-frame		
16.39(2)	A worker fills a tank compartment to a level	500	-
	beyond the maximum filling level		
16.40	A worker fails to safely transfer a liquid or	500	-
	gaseous hazardous substance to or from a tank		
	wagon		
16.41	A worker fails to make a tank wagon safe when it	500	-
	will be left unattended for a short period of time		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringem	ent fee (\$)
		Individual	Entity
	Part 17		
17.75	PCBU fails to ensure that the correct markings	1,800	9,000
	are attached to a stationary tank		
17.76	PCBU fails to ensure that the markings attached	1,800	9,000
	to a stationary tank are renewed as often as is		
	necessary to ensure that they are legible		
17.77	PCBU fails to ensure that pipework connected to	1,800	9,000
	an above ground stationary tank is marked		
	correctly		
17.78	PCBU fails to ensure that the markings on	1,800	9,000
	pipework connected to an above ground		
	stationary tank are renewed as often as is		
	necessary to ensure that they are legible		
17.79(1)	PCBU fails to keep a plan of the physical position	600	3,000
	of a stationary container system		
17.79(2)	PCBU fails to keep records that describe how a	600	3,000
	stationary container system complies with Part		
	15		
17.79(3)	PCBU fails to update the plan or records for a	600	3,000
	stationary container system when the stationary		
	container system is modified, repaired, or		
	relocated		
17.80	PCBU fails to ensure that the plan or records for a	600	3,000
	stationary container system are readily available		
	for inspection		
	Part 18		
18.6(1)	PCBU fails to erect appropriate signage for a	1,800	9,000
	laboratory		
18.7	PCBU fails to keep an accurate record of	600	3,000
	hazardous substances that would be tracked		
	substances outside the laboratory and		
	substances that are not approved hazardous		
	substances		
18.8	PCBU for a laboratory in which sodium	1,800	9,000
	fluoroacetate is present fails to provide WorkSafe		
	with the required information by no later than 31		
	March		
	ıvıarch		



Draft regulation	Description of infringement offence	Infringement fee (\$)	
		Individual	Entity
18.9(3)	PCBU for a laboratory in which sodium	1,800	9,000
	fluoroacetate is present fails to ensure that		
	sodium fluoroacetate is secured when left		
	unattended		
18.9(4)	PCBU fails to label a container for an approved	1,800	9,000
	hazardous substance being used in a laboratory		
	correctly		
18.10(3)	PCBU fails to label a container for a non-	1,800	9,000
	approved hazardous substance being used in a		
	laboratory correctly		
18.11	PCBU fails to ensure that a small container used	1,800	9,000
	for holding a hazardous substance in a laboratory		
	meets the prescribed specifications		
18.14	PCBU fails to ensure that every person handling a	1,800	9,000
	hazardous substance in the laboratory is		
	provided with the prescribed information		
	Part 19		
19.3	PCBU fails to record the location and movement	600	3,000
	of a tracked substance		
19.4	PCBU fails to keep a record relating to a tracked	600	3,000
	substances		
19.6	PCBU fails to keep a record relating to a tracked	600	3,000
	substance for the minimum specified period		





Attachment B

Commentary on proposed fees in the exposure draft of the Health and Safety at Work (Hazardous Substances) Regulations

This attachment provides information about the fees proposed in Schedule 1A of the exposure draft *Health and Safety at Work (Hazardous Substances) Regulations* (the hazardous substances regulations).

What's happening?

As part of the *Working Safer* reforms, the Government agreed that the *Hazardous Substances* and *New Organisms Act 1996* (HSNO Act) will no longer set requirements for the management of hazardous substances in the workplace. Instead, these requirements will be set in the hazardous substances regulations proposed to be made under the new *Health and Safety at Work Act 2015*.

This means that WorkSafe New Zealand – rather than the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) – will be responsible for the administration and enforcement of the hazardous substances regulations, and for oversight of the compliance certification regime. In anticipation of this change, the EPA delegated this oversight function to WorkSafe NZ in September 2014.

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) and WorkSafe NZ have reviewed the fees associated with the parts of the HSNO regime being transferred to the hazardous substances regulations. These fees were last set by the EPA in 2010.

What are we proposing?

We are proposing that the fees should be set at a level that reflects the actual costs incurred by WorkSafe NZ when authorising individuals and organisations, approving equipment, and granting exemptions. Currently, the fee amounts do not fully recover WorkSafe NZ's costs of providing these services – meaning that, in some cases, the costs are being subsidised by people who are not receiving any direct benefit from the service itself.

For example, fees set in 2010 for authorising compliance certifiers and granting controlled substance licences only recovered between 15 and 33 percent of the actual cost of providing these services. The fees for applications related to approvals of equipment (eg burners) and exemptions (eg non-removal of disused below-ground tanks) were set at about 75 percent cost recovery in 2010. As these fees have not changed since, cost recovery has fallen below these levels.





The proposed fees

The proposed fees are outlined below, arranged by type. Where no current fee is provided, this means the proposed fee is for a new service. Any changes are explained further below.

Authorisations

Regulation	Activity	Proposed Fee (\$NZ – GST incl.)	Current Fee Effective from 01/10/2010 (\$NZ – GST incl.)
6.3	Application for authorisation as a compliance certifier	\$830 (5 years)	\$264.50 (1 year)* \$552.00 (5 years)*
6.11	Application to vary the conditions of compliance certifier authorisation	\$583	\$172.50
6.12	Renewal of compliance certifier authorisation	\$415 (5 years)	\$207 (1 year)* \$494.50 (5 years)*
7.1	Application for controlled substance licence	\$201	\$80.50
15.1	Application for approval as a test station	\$369	-
15.1	Recognised inspection agency	\$870	\$517.50
15.1	Recognised inspection agency (renewal)	\$456	\$345

^{*}This includes the maintenance fee

Audit

Regulation	Activity	Proposed Fee (\$NZ – GST incl.)	Current Fee Effective from 01/10/2010 (\$NZ - GST incl.)
6.33	Cost of audit	\$976	-
6.33	Hourly rate for audits for each hour exceeding eight hours	\$137	-



Approval of equipment

Regulation	Activity	Proposed Fee (\$NZ – GST incl.)	Current Fee Effective from 01/10/2010 (\$NZ – GST incl.)
17.47	Application for approval of a dispenser	\$525	\$345
17.52	Application for approval of a vaporiser	\$525	\$345
17.66	Application for approval of a burner	\$525	\$287.50

Extensions

Regulation	Activity	Proposed Fee (\$NZ – GST incl.)	Current Fee Effective from 01/10/2010 (\$NZ – GST incl.)
8.1	Application for an extension of a location compliance certificate (class 1 to 5 substances)	\$525	\$115
13.41	Application for an extension of a location compliance certificate (class 6 and 8 substances)	\$525	-
17.91	Application to increase the duration of a compliance certificate for a stationary container system	\$525	\$345

Exemptions

Under the HSNO Act, the following fees currently apply when seeking an exemption from the regulator for specified regulatory requirements. However, the *Health and Safety at Work Act* provides the regulator with the ability to exempt a person or class of persons from compliance with any regulatory requirement; this means that exemptions will not necessarily be limited to the provisions below. It is important that there is a consistent approach to granting exemptions, including what cost should be attached to applications. MBIE intends to do further work on this in 2016.

Regulation	Activity	(\$NZ – GST incl.)	Current Fee Effective from 01/10/2010 (\$NZ — GST incl.)
11.40	Application for reduced separation distance	\$870	\$345
15.4	Application for variation of a design to withstand maximum developed pressure	\$870	\$230



Regulation	Activity	(\$NZ – GST incl.)	Current Fee Effective from 01/10/2010 (\$NZ — GST incl.)
15.18	Exemption from requirement to obtain a pre-commissioning certificate	\$259	\$115
15.39	Exemption of clearance of imported cylinder fittings	\$259	\$115
16.44	Exemption from requirement for fire- fighting facilities for LPG tank wagons with capacity of 12,000L or greater	\$870	\$500
17.39	Application not to remove a disused below ground stationary tank	\$525	\$161
17.41	Application to vary fire-fighting facility requirements	\$525	\$500
17.99	Application to reduce capacity for secondary containment for surface containers over 450 litres	\$525	\$345
17.102	Application to increase the aggregate capacity of stationary containers in a group within a secondary containment system for the purposes of regulation 17.101(5)	\$870	\$575
17.103	Application to increase the aggregate capacity of stationary containers in a group within a secondary containment system for the purposes of regulation 17.101(3)	\$870	\$575
Clause 35, Schedule 1	Compliance plan for stationary container system	\$870	\$230

Are the proposed fees for the same services?

Mostly. We are proposing to transfer the services that WorkSafe NZ provides under the HSNO Act to the hazardous substances regulations, with the following changes:

Proposed change to status quo	Reason for proposed change
Removing the one-year authorisation option for compliance certifiers, retaining only the five-year option	A five-year authorisation is cost-effective for applicants and provides certainty for their activities. It is also cost-effective for the regulator
Variations to the conditions of a compliance certifier authorisation at the time of renewal are charged the same as a standard renewal	This is cost-effective for the applicant and reflects the actual cost of this type of application
Removal of the maintenance fee	The current maintenance fee covers workshops, technical support, and a monthly newsletter for compliance certifiers, as well as maintenance of the compliance certificate





Proposed change to status quo	Reason for proposed change
	database and compliance certifier register
	The proposed fee for new compliance certifier authorisations and renewals includes the cost of maintaining the compliance certificate database and compliance certifier register
	Based on the principle that fees should be tied to specific and identifiable services, it is more appropriate that the provision of technical advice and the publication of newsletters be funded by the Working Safer levy. More appropriate means of funding the workshops will be considered
Replacement of authorisation as a periodic tester with authorisation as a test station	This reflects new requirements in the exposure draft. It lessens the burden on industry by allowing a cylinder test station to gain authorisation, rather than individual testers
Addition of an audit, to be conducted at least every four years on a cost-recoverable basis, with a provision for audits exceeding eight hours	This is consistent with Government decisions in July 2013 requiring mandatory auditing of compliance certifiers on a cost-recoverable basis
Addition of application to extend a location compliance certificate for class 6 and 8 substances	This reflects new requirements in the exposure draft, ensuring more consistency with controls for class 1-5 substances
Removal of application for a "time waiver" to allow an application for a compliance plan	The time limit for application for a compliance plan has been removed from the exposure draft. This removes the administrative burden on applicants and the regulator in assessing compliance plan applications

How did we calculate the proposed fees?

WorkSafe NZ calculated the proposed fees based on current costs and according to the Treasury's guidelines for public sector fee setting. In calculating the proposed fees, WorkSafe NZ considered the time taken to process the various applications based on:

- previous data for the same or similar processes
- the time spent by the most appropriate staff member
- average pay rates for staff members
- an annual rate of inflation of 2.5 percent for 2016 to 2020.

Applicants derive a private benefit from WorkSafe NZ's services. For example, once authorised, compliance certifiers receive the benefit of providing a chargeable service. Further, businesses





seeking exemptions are doing so due to their specific circumstances. As such, there is no basis to discount the cost as with the current fees under the HSNO Act. It is appropriate that these costs should be borne by the individuals and organisations which benefit from the service.

Questions

- 1. Are the proposed fees set at the right level for applications for:
 - the authorisation of individuals and organisations?
 - the approval of equipment?
 - the approval of extensions?
 - the approval of exemptions?
- 2. Are the proposed fees set at the right level for conducting an audit?
- 3. Do you have any feedback on any aspect of the proposed fees?