#61

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Monday, October 03, 2022 9:09:27 PM
Last Modified:	Monday, October 03, 2022 10:06:01 PM
Time Spent:	00:56:33
IP Address:	Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Roger Wootton

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3	Yes
Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?	
Q4	No
Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?	
Q5	Individual,
The best way/s to describe your role is:	Other (please specify):
	Individual Camper Van Owner
Q6	Yes
Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)	
Q7	Respondent skipped this question
Privacy information	

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

The current regulations are not broken, No need therefore to modify them..

Q10

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

There is no HARD PROOF that there is a need to change the regulations and impact Thousands of Van owners

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiplepathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

Nothing needs to Change.

Q14

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

There is no proof that there needs to be a change. A Change for the sake of CHANGE is a waste of PUBLIC money...

Q16

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

PLease address the real issue and stop badgering Van owners who are currently CERTIFIED... It seems the Govt is listening the un founded information. Show me the PROOF that van owners are in the wrong.

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Sounds like a Mountain out of a Mole hill.. The sysytem of accreditation works well

-
Respondent skipped this question
Neither agree nor disagree

Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

What do You really mean Fit n Proper... Sounds a bit Military

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Freedom Camping Regulations Discussion Document

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Over the top, Unnecessary... Someone (Trade Org) has their hand on the minister shoulder

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Creating unnecessary bureaucracy..

Q28

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

K>I>S>S... Keep It Simple Stupid, Old say but still valid

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

To what extent do you agree with the option for the selfcontainment warrant?

Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Usually a Rego sticker changes colour upon renewal.. Although in Australia rego stickers have been done away with

Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

All necessary info is collected... Who is going to walk around checking..

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

No Comment

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

This does not stop any OFFENDING WAKE UP

Q36

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

More bureaucracy

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Councils cannot deal with Fly Tipping and other CORE matters.

Q40

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

You do not seem to understand..ALL this will not solve to Perceived problem THAN Camper Van Owners or users are a problem.. You can be assured that DAY Trippers and Cyclists are...THey DO NOT HAVE ON BOARD TOILETS

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Again you are trying to build a case where there is no presented HARD EVIDENCE to support a case to ANSWER. OTHER than "ON THE BALANCE OF PROBABILITY"" so often used by Govt agents like OSPRI/TB Free NZ

Q44

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

You would need to be very clear listing so there is no CONFUSION... A Clear list of Exempted vehicles is a MUST

Q46

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

You would need to be very clear so there is no CONFUSION... A Clear list of Exempted vehicles is a MUST.. But again is all this necassary

Q48

Yes

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

POP UP CAMPERS

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

We now pay to get Certified... This could be seen to disguise unemployment.. No need to change what we have... Its not broken.. Simply CHANGE THE COLOUR OF THE LABEL UP EACH RENEWAL

Q52 To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?	Strongly disagree
Q53 If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
Q54 To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?	Strongly disagree

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

You are ridiculous bordering on Greedy.. YOU HAVE NOT COVERED THE HOMELESS LIVING IN THEIR CARS>>>Are ligament Camper Vaners being wrong grouped into this category.. Many vaners believe so

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

Daylight robbery.. Is this the UNs cut?

Q58

Strongly disagree

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

Joke

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

ALL EXEMPT vehicles

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Youy gather by now that there is little support for the Sldge hammer approach to something Camper Van Owners have been accused of without the MINISTER providing SOLID proof. He has and is being wrongly advised. My advise is back away... DO YOU REALISE that in respect to MOTOR HOMES they can and I am sure some with drive with their dump drain dripping along the Highways and By ways just like cattle trucks.. The Minister is attacking the homeless living in their cars. This will not go down well.. How does the MINISTER intend dealing with FREEDOM Campers in TENTS... They would more likely be a risk...This intention is ILL CONCEIVED...

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.