# #14

# COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)	
Started:	Monday, September 12, 2022 5:05:20 PM	
Last Modified:	Monday, September 12, 2022 5:59:33 PM	
Time Spent:	00:54:13	
IP Address:	Privacy of natural persons	

# Page 3: Submitter information

# **Q1**

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

# Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3	Yes
Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?	
<b>Q4</b> Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?	Yes, If yes, please tell us the title of your company/organisation, and how many people you are submitting on behalf of.: Withheld at request of submitter
<b>Q5</b> The best way/s to describe your role is:	Tourism business
<b>Q6</b> Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)	Yes
<b>Q7</b> Privacy information	The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

<b>Q8</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?	Agree
<b>Q9</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q10</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?	Strongly agree
<b>Q11</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria at <b>Q12</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple- pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?	nd competency requirements for vehicle inspectors Neither agree nor disagree
<b>Q13</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q14</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?	Strongly Agree
<b>Q15</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q16</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?	Neither agree nor disagree
<b>Q17</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question

#### Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

<b>Q18</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?	Disagree
<b>Q19</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q20</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?	Strongly agree
<b>Q21</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q22</b> To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?	Neither agree nor disagree
<b>Q23</b> If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:	Respondent skipped this question
Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors	

Agree

Q24

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

#### Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Seems to be hard to get a plumber these days, you would have to be sure they can step up.

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

#### Q26

#### Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

# Q27

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Certificate and warrant should be one thing

#### Q28

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

### Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Certificate and warrant should be one/same thing

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

#### Q30

To what extent do you agree with the option for the selfcontainment warrant?

# Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

#### Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

#### Q33

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

### Page 10: Generic Identifiers

#### **Q34**

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

#### Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

# Q36

Strongly agree

Respondent skipped this question

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

# Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

this again could be copied as the blue one is currently. Maybe something more like rego sticker?

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38	Disagree	
To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?		
Q39	Respondent skipped this question	
If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:		
Q40	Strongly agree	
To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?		
Q41	Respondent skipped this question	
If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:		
Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements		
Q42	Strongly agree	
To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions		

from regulatory requirements?

# Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

any exclusions would sadly be taken advantage of by the vary people/vans the changes are trying to regulate

Q44	Disagree
To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?	
Q45	Respondent skipped this question
If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:	
Q46	Agree
To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)	
Q47	
If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:	
People who own vintage vehicles are mostly supportive of our freedom bylaws	

No

Q48

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

#### Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

# Q50

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

# Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

#### Q52

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Respondent skipped this question

#### Neither agree nor disagree

Strongly agree

Respondent skipped this question

6/8

7/8

Freedom Camping Regulations Discussion Document

Disagree

# Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

the difference between \$91 to \$101 is small

# Q54

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

# Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

too much

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

# Q56

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

# Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

way too much - and 5 hours??

# Q58

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

# Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

new vehicles would be quicker and older vehicles longer, so a user pays scale is fair

### Page 15: Waivers and refunds

### Q60


Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

# Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

would have to be on a case by case basis



#### Page 16: General comments

#### Q62

Respondent skipped this question

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Page 17: Confidential information

#### Q63

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

#### Q64

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.