# #71

## COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, October 04, 2022 11:02:24 AM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, October 04, 2022 12:25:15 PM
Time Spent:	01:22:51
IP Address:	Privacy of natural persons

## Page 3: Submitter information

## **Q1**

Name

Withheld at request of submitter

## Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

<b>Q3</b> Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have	Yes
questions about your submission?	
Q4	No
Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?	
Q5	Other (please specify):
The best way/s to describe your role is:	NZer and member of a family who camps
Q6	Yes
Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)	
Q7	The Privacy Act 2020 applies to submissions. Please
Privacy information	tick this box if you do not wish your name or other personal details to be included in any information about submissions that MBIE may publish.

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

#### Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

## Q9

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

fixed toilets are not the answer...how about putting some \$ into more facilities that can be used by all travellers/outdoors persons, homeless and campers! You could have built alot for the \$ you have poured into this so far...education and responsible behaviour by far a better option! Certification of any kind isnt..a toilet doesnt need someone to sign it off to be usable

## Q10

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

## Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

a Vehement NO TO FIXED TOILETS! It is exclusive of most NZers..how is that going to work with those in tents? Any kind of toileting facility is all that is needed and/or more facilities built for all NZers..and for them to be available 24/7 ..Homeless still need somewhere to use a toilet

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

## Q12

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiplepathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

## Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

You dont need to certify toilets...also toilets wouldnt be needed at a public or coucil owned property with facilities for all to use..i use a bucket and empty in appropriate facility...it works, i do also have a portapotty but dont like the chemicals being put into the environment

## Q14

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

You dont need to certify toilets...also toilets wouldnt be needed at a public or coucil owned property with facilities for all to use..i use a bucket and empty in appropriate facility...it works, i do also have a portapotty but dont like the chemicals being put into the environment..just a money making scheme and exclusivity system

#### Q16

Strongly disagree

Neither agree nor disagree

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

## Q17

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

You dont need to certify toilets...also toilets wouldnt be needed at a public or coucil owned property with facilities for all to use..i use a bucket and empty in appropriate facility...it works, i do also have a portapotty but dont like the chemicals being put into the environment..just a money making scheme and exclusivity system ..Put the money you are wasting on all this investigation into facilities for all NZers!

#### Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

#### Q18

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

## Q19

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

By knowledgeable do you mean a freedom camping enthusiast? Or someone who is homeless and has to live in a vehicle as housing is unavailable or unaffordable? Or a hunter or sportsperson who is camping out? That would be what i would call knowledgeable ...Certification is NOT NEEDED

## Q20

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

## Q21

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

By knowledgeable do you mean a freedom camping enthusiast? Or someone who is homeless and has to live in a vehicle as housing is unavailable or unaffordable? Or a hunter or sportsperson who is camping out? That would be what i would call knowledgeable ...Certification is NOT NEEDED

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as "fit and proper"?

## Q23

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

By fit and proper do you mean a freedom camping enthusiast? Or someone who is homeless and has to live in a vehicle as housing is unavailable or unaffordable? Or a hunter or sportsperson who is camping out? That would be what i would call knowledgeable ...Certification is NOT NEEDED

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

## Q24

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

## Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

If they are freedom camping enthusiasts? Or someone who is homeless and has to live in a vehicle as housing is unavailable or unaffordable? Or a hunter or sportsperson who is camping out? That would be what i would call knowledgeable ...Certification is NOT NEEDED

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

## Q26

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

## Q27

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Why? why do you need to certify? it isnt needed ...education and responsibility is needed ...and more toilet facilities need to be built for all NZers

## Q28

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

## Freedom Camping Regulations Discussion Document

## Q29

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

I DONT AGREE WITH SELF CONTAINMENT CERTIFICATES FULLSTOP!

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

## Q30

To what extent do you agree with the option for the selfcontainment warrant?

## Q31

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

If they are done like Responsible Campers Association inc where members are educated and pass that and are responsible for their own facilities in whatever shape that takes...

## Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

No need to be collecting even more info on people ...

## Q33

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

just need the same info that is on the Responsible Campers Association inc cards...yes i am a member .. it is the most sensible and inclusion based group in NZ when it comes to camping etc

## Page 10: Generic Identifiers

## Q34

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

## Q35

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

i dont mind having a sticker/card from the Responsible Campers Association inc which is what i have...its available after some education which is what is needed

## Q36

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

#### Neither agree nor disagree

Strongly disagree

Neither agree nor disagree

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

Dont need to be certified ... it is a waste of time and resources... put some \$ into more toilet facilities for ALL NZers

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

#### Q38

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

## Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

How do those who are homeless afford that? How do ordinary NZers out camping afford that? Maybe base it on the persons income? For someone like me whose gross income is less than \$20,000 per year that is daylight robbery! Traditional freedom campers are usually NZers who cant afford motels and camp grounds so how can they afford that kind of \$ ...or is it that you want NZ to be available only to the rich? Mum and dad campers etc cannot afford such fines...have you even thought about the fact alot of itinerant workers live in vehicles and the horticulture sector rely on them for work...do you think that the rich motorvanners are going to be able to do this work? Such narrow mindedness is unbelievable!

#### Q40

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

## Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

HELL NO! That is just plain ridiculous! Obviously the persons putting this forward get paid WAY too much! NZ should be available to ALL NZERS not just the RICH

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

#### Q42

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

## Q43

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

NZ IS FOR ALL NZERS NOT JUST THE RICH

#### Strongly agree

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

## Q45

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Smaller vehicles shouldnt and cant practically have a fixed toilet neither can tents etc and dont need one

#### Q46

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

#### Q47

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

No one should have to have certified self containment let alone fixed toilets

#### Q48

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

#### Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

Yes

No vehicle should have the fixed toilet requirement! no vehicle should have to be certified... Education is needed ...no smaller vehicles should and indeed could have fixed toilets..no one who is living in a vehicle should need a fixed toilet or certification ...more facilities should be available to all NZers

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

#### Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

#### Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

spend \$ on more facilities not more red tape and bureaucrats!

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

#### Q52

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

#### Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

spend \$ on more facilities not more red tape and bureaucrats!

#### Q54

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

#### Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

spend \$ on more facilities not more red tape and bureaucrats!

#### Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

#### Q56

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

#### Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

This just looks like a money making scheme! No way it would take anyone that long to do ...NO TO CERTIFICATION AND FIXED TOILETS

#### Q58

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

## Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

This just looks like a money making scheme! No way it would take anyone that long to do ...NO TO CERTIFICATION AND FIXED TOILETS

#### Page 15: Waivers and refunds

## Q60

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Neither agree nor disagree

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

shouldnt be getting charged for it in the first place...its daylight robbery

#### Page 16: General comments

## Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

This is an appalling piece of legislation! Poorly thought out and rushed ... No consideration at all for those who are homeless, itinerant horticulture workers, in smaller vehicles, tenting, outdoorsportsman etc...it will NOT stop people peeing in the bushes ... MORE FACILITIES WILL STOP THAT! This will crush camping for the everyday kiwi, and only the rich in their monsterous huge vehicles will be able to afford to enjoy our country...Stop this madness now! If you are for businesses and employment then this should be stopped now ... where are all your workers going to stay? Right now Queenstown for one are having difficulty finding workers..they are actively discouraging freedom campers..the very people their businesses rely on for employment..there isnt anywhere for them to live except in vehicles freedom camping ...until you in your high and mighty castles realise that the better this country will be...the rich arent going to do the menial jobs that those who freedom camp do.. same with the horticultural sector ... what is wrong with you people that you cant see that?

## Page 17: Confidential information

#### Q63

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

## Q64

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982. Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question