

#53

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, October 03, 2022 7:11:08 PM
Last Modified: Monday, October 03, 2022 7:44:10 PM
Time Spent: 00:33:01
IP Address: Privacy of natural persons

Page 3: Submitter information

Q1

Name

Gary Stenersen

Q2

Email address

Privacy of natural persons

Q3

Yes

Are you happy for MBIE to contact you if we have questions about your submission?

Q4

No

Are you making this submission on behalf of a business or organisation?

Q5

Individual

The best way/s to describe your role is:

Q6

Yes

Do you own a vehicle that you use for camping? (Either for freedom camping or other sorts of vehicle-based camping)

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Privacy information

Page 4: Chapter One: Self-containment technical requirements

Q8

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: 'light-touch' performance-based requirements?

Q9

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 8, please do so here:

Q10

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: prescriptive approach to setting technical requirements?

Q11

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 10, please do so here:

There is nothing wrong with people using a porta potty those of us that have use them

Page 5: Chapter Two: Certification authority criteria and competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q12

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: Multiple-pathway approval criteria and competency requirements?

Q13

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 12, please do so here:

There is nothing wrong with the present nzs standard

Q14

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: more rigorous and prescriptive certification approval criteria?

Q15

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 14, please do so here:

Q16

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: Third-party review of certification authority systems?

Q17

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 16, please do so here:

Page 6: Competency requirements for vehicle inspectors

Q18

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: requiring vehicle inspectors to be knowledgeable?

Q19

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 18, please do so here:

Q20

Agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: requiring vehicle inspectors to have a relevant trade qualification?

Q21

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 20, please do so here:

Q22

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: requiring vehicle inspectors to be assessed as “fit and proper”?

Q23

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 22, please do so here:

Page 7: Deeming plumbers as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors

Q24

Disagree

To what extent do you agree that certifying plumbers should be deemed as certification authorities and vehicle inspectors under the new regulations?

Q25

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 24, please do so here:

Nothing wrong with the present system

Page 8: Chapter Three: Self-containment documentation

Q26

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: continue to record the details of a vehicle's self-containment facilities the on the self-containment certificate?

Q27

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 26, please do so here:

Q28

Neither agree nor disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a simplified self-containment certificate?

Q29

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 28, please do so here:

Page 9: Self-containment warrant

Q30

Agree

To what extent do you agree with the option for the self-containment warrant?

Q31

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 30, please do so here:

Q32

Please list any additional information that you think should be collected on the warrant.

Vehicle registration number

Q33

Respondent skipped this question

Please list any information you think is proposed to be collected on the warrant that does not need to be.

Page 10: Generic Identifiers

Q34

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: not having a generic identifier?

Q35

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 34, please do so here:

Q36

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: having another generic identifier?

Q37

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 36, please do so here:

As long as it has something like toilet equipped on it

Page 11: Chapter Four: Infringement fees

Q38

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$800?

Q39

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 38, please do so here:

Nothing wrong with the present fine system

Q40

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a tiered approach infringement fee to a maximum of \$1000?

Q41

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 40, please do so here:

Having fines this high will be a big burden for a lot of people

Page 12: Chapter Five: Exclusions from regulatory requirements

Q42

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: no exclusions from regulatory requirements?

Q43

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 42, please do so here:

Q44

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: excluding smaller freedom-camping vehicles from the requirement to have a fixed toilet?

Q45

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 44, please do so here:

Q46

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: excluding vintage vehicles from the requirement to be certified as self-contained?(A vintage vehicle is one that is at least 40 years old)

Q47

Respondent skipped this question

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 46, please do so here:

Q48

Don't know

Are there other types of vehicles that should be excluded?

Q49

Please explain your answer to Question 48: (for example, what other types of vehicles? What regulatory requirements do you suggest the vehicles be excluded from? Why should these vehicles be excluded from the identified regulatory requirements?):

As stated I don't know

Page 13: Chapter Six: Fees and levies

Q50

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: levy of \$91.40?

Q51

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 50, please do so here:

Why should there be any levy

Q52

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: levy of \$101?

Q53

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 52, please do so here:

Cost is getting to high just for a levy

Q54

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 3: levy of \$120?

Q55

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 54, please do so here:

Any sort of levy will hurt a lot of people

Page 14: Certification Authority Application Fee

Q56

Disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 1: a set fee of \$431.25?

Q57

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 56, please do so here:

How do you get the amount of hours it would supposedly take recertification of an already certified vehicle

Q58

Strongly disagree

To what extent do you agree with Option 2: a scalable fee?

Q59

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 58, please do so here:

This sounds like something that could be exploited very easily.
Hours could be alted just so the inspector can make money

Page 15: Waivers and refunds

Q60

Strongly agree

To what extent do you agree with the proposal for granting waivers and refunds?

Q61

If you would like to say something more about your answer to Question 60, please do so here:

One could be ripped off very easily by the inspector as everyone has a different explanation for the same rules

Page 16: General comments

Q62

Are there any other comments you would like to make about the proposed freedom camping regulations?

Porta pottys should be allowed I believe there would be approximately 2% of people who own one would not use it

Page 17: Confidential information

Q63

Respondent skipped this question

Please tick the box below if you would like any of your answers to be kept confidential

Q64

Respondent skipped this question

If you have ticked yes to Question 63, please tell us which specific questions are to be kept confidential. Please clearly indicate which questions you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 you believe apply. We will take such objections into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
