

Submission template

● A New Zealand Income Insurance Scheme

This is the submission template for the discussion document, *A New Zealand Income Insurance Scheme*.

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE), on behalf of the Government, Business New Zealand and the New Zealand Council of Trade Unions, seeks your written submission on the matters raised in the discussion document by **5pm on 26 April 2022**.

● Your submission could be made public

The information provided in submissions will be used to inform policy development on the proposed income insurance scheme, including how it could be improved and how it could affect different groups. We may contact submitters directly if we require clarification of any matters in submissions.

The *Privacy Act 2020* applies to submissions and responses. Any personal information you supply to MBIE in making a submission will only be used for the purpose of assisting in the development of policy advice as part of this review. When businesses or organisations make a submission, we will consider that you have consented to the content being included in any summary of submissions unless you clearly state otherwise. If your submission contains any information that is confidential or that you do not want published, you can say this in your submission. Please clearly indicate in your cover letter or email with your submission if you do not wish your name, or any other personal information, to be included in any summary of submissions that may be published.

Submissions and responses may be subject to requests for information under the *Official Information Act 1982*. Please clearly indicate in your cover letter or email with your submission if you have any objection to the release of any information in your submission, and which parts you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information. Your views will be taken into account when responding to requests under the *Official Information Act 1982*. Any decision to withhold information requested under the *Official Information Act 1982* can be reviewed by the Ombudsman.

● How to make a submission

Please send your written submission on the options and questions in this consultation document by **5pm on 26 April 2022**. You can make your submission (preferably using this submission template) as follows:

1. Include your name, the name of your organisation (if applicable), and contact details. We may contact submitters directly if we require clarification of any matters in submissions.
2. Your submission may respond to any or all of the questions in the consultation paper. Where possible, please include information or evidence to support your views. We also encourage your input on any other relevant aspects of the income insurance scheme in the “Other comments” section.

3. Sending your submission:

a Attach as a Microsoft Word document or searchable PDF and email to:

incomeinsurance@mbie.govt.nz (preferred), or

a Mail your submission to:

Social Unemployment Insurance Tripartite Working Group
Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment
PO Box 1473
Wellington 6145

If you have any questions on the submissions process, please contact incomeinsurance@mbie.govt.nz.

Submission on A New Zealand Income Insurance Scheme

●Your name and organisation

Name Grace Dalley

Grace Dalley

Organisation (if applicable)

Organisation (if applicable)

Organisation (if applicable)

Contact details

Contact details

Contact details

Privacy of natural persons

Responses to consultation document questions

Responses to consultation document questions

Responses to consultation document questions

o you agree New Zealand should introduce an income insurance scheme for displacement and loss of work due to health conditions or disabilities? o. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

o you agree New Zealand should introduce an income insurance scheme for displacement and loss of work due to health conditions or disabilities? o. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

o you agree New Zealand should introduce an income insurance scheme for displacement and loss of work due to health conditions or disabilities? o. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

Do you agree New Zealand should introduce an income insurance scheme for displacement and loss of work due to health conditions or disabilities? o. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

o. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

o. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

No. I believe our welfare system needs to be made fit for purpose, with core benefits raised to enable all New Zealanders to live with dignity. A two-tier system such as what's being proposed will entrench inequality and poverty especially for people with permanent disabilities or illnesses who have no opportunity to work; also for those who care for children and older people or perform other unpaid but essential work in their families and communities.

Income insurance is already available in the private sector for those who want it. It outrages me that the government should be duplicating this service using public resources while excluding current welfare recipients from any opportunity to a decent life. The majority of beneficiaries have disabilities or are caring for disabled.

New Zealand has ratified the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons With Disabilities (CRPD). Article 28 of the CRPD states:

“ 1. States Parties recognize the right of persons with disabilities to an adequate standard of living for themselves and their families, including adequate food, clothing and housing, and to the continuous improvement of living conditions, and shall take appropriate steps to safeguard and promote the realization of this right without discrimination on the basis of disability. “

State-run income-protection insurance would run directly counter to this Convention.

??

?

?? How can we ensure the proposed income insurance scheme honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi? ??

?? How can we ensure the proposed income insurance scheme honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi? ??

How can we ensure the proposed income insurance scheme honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi? ??

How can we ensure the proposed income insurance scheme honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi? ??

??

?? What are the opportunities for partnership and Māori representation in the proposed income insurance scheme's governance and operations? ??

?? What are the opportunities for partnership and Māori representation in the proposed income insurance scheme's governance and operations? ??

What are the opportunities for partnership and Māori representation in the proposed income insurance scheme's governance and operations? ??

What are the opportunities for partnership and Māori representation in the proposed income insurance scheme's governance and operations? ??

??

??4 How can we ensure equity of access, participation, and outcomes for Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme? ??

??4 How can we ensure equity of access, participation, and outcomes for Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme? ??

How can we ensure equity of access, participation, and outcomes for Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme? ??

How can we ensure equity of access, participation, and outcomes for Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme? ??

??

??5 How can we reflect and embed te ao Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme's design? ??

??5 How can we reflect and embed te ao Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme's design? ??

How can we reflect and embed te ao Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme's design? ??

How can we reflect and embed te ao Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme's design? [?][?]

[?][?]

[?][?]

[?]

[?][6][?] o you agree with defining displacement as the involuntary loss of work due to the disestablishment of a job? [?][?]

[?][6][?] o you agree with defining displacement as the involuntary loss of work due to the disestablishment of a job? [?][?]

o you agree with defining displacement as the involuntary loss of work due to the disestablishment of a job? [?][?]

Do you agree with defining displacement as the involuntary loss of work due to the disestablishment of a job? [?][?]

[?][?]

[?][7][?] o you agree with excluding poor performance and gross misconduct as reasons for claiming insurance? [?][?]

[?][7][?] o you agree with excluding poor performance and gross misconduct as reasons for claiming insurance? [?][?]

o you agree with excluding poor performance and gross misconduct as reasons for claiming insurance? [?][?]

Do you agree with excluding poor performance and gross misconduct as reasons for claiming insurance? [?][?]

[?][?]

[?][8][?] o you agree with excluding resignation as a reason for claiming insurance? [?]

[?][8][?] o you agree with excluding resignation as a reason for claiming insurance? [?]

o you agree with excluding resignation as a reason for claiming insurance? [?]

Do you agree with excluding resignation as a reason for claiming insurance? [?][?]

[?][?]

Do you agree that it is important to provide income insurance coverage to non-standard workers, where practical?

o you agree that income insurance should cover the 'loss of reasonably anticipated income'?

o you agree that income insurance should cover the 'loss of reasonably anticipated income'?
o you agree that income insurance should cover the 'loss of reasonably anticipated income'?

Do you agree that income insurance should cover the 'loss of reasonably anticipated income'?

o you agree that income insurance entitlements should be based on an 'established pattern of work'?

o you agree that income insurance entitlements should be based on an 'established pattern of work'?

o you agree that income insurance entitlements should be based on an 'established pattern of work'?

Do you agree that income insurance entitlements should be based on an 'established pattern of work'?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?

Do you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?

?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees, where their employment agreements are not renewed, and they can show a regular pattern of work and reasonable expectation of future income?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees, where their employment agreements are not renewed, and they can show a regular pattern of work and reasonable expectation of future income?

o you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees, where their employment agreements are not renewed, and they can show a regular pattern of work and reasonable expectation of future income?

Do you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees, where their employment agreements are not renewed, and they can show a regular pattern of work and reasonable expectation of future income?

?

o you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?

o you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?

o you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?

o you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?

o you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?

Do you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?

??

??1 ? ow would these design choices work in practice? What risks can you see with the approach to establishing a regular pattern of work????

?1 ? ow would these design choices work in practice? What risks can you see with the approach to establishing a regular pattern of work????

ow would these design choices work in practice? What risks can you see with the approach to establishing a regular pattern of work????

How would these design choices work in practice? What risks can you see with the approach to establishing a regular pattern of work????

??

?? ? hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people in self-employment????

??1 ? hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering,

? ? hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people in self-employment????

??1 ? hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people

in self-employment????

??1 ? hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people

?1 ? hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people in self-employment? ??

hat risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people in self-employment? ??

What risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people in self-employment????

??

??1 ? re there some groups of self-employed who should and should not be covered? ??

?1 ? re there some groups of self-employed who should and should not be covered? ??

re there some groups of self-employed who should and should not be covered? ??

Are there some groups of self-employed who should and should not be covered????

??

??2 ? ow can we practically distinguish between contractors who resemble employees, and those with a high degree of independence????

How can we practically distinguish between contractors who resemble employees, and those with a high degree of independence?

How can we practically distinguish between contractors who resemble employees, and those with a high degree of independence?

How can we practically distinguish between contractors who resemble employees, and those with a high degree of independence?

Because a self-employed person cannot technically be made redundant, what types of events would be appropriate 'triggers' for insurance payments?

Because a self-employed person cannot technically be made redundant, what types of events would be appropriate 'triggers' for insurance payments?

Because a self-employed person cannot technically be made redundant, what types of events would be appropriate 'triggers' for insurance payments?

Because a self-employed person cannot technically be made redundant, what types of events would be appropriate 'triggers' for insurance payments?

How do you think the levy should be collected from self-employed workers?

How do you think the levy should be collected from self-employed workers?

How do you think the levy should be collected from self-employed workers?

How do you think the levy should be collected from self-employed workers?

Do you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?

Do you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?

Do you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?

Do you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?

o you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?

Do you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?

o you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?

o you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?

o you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?

o you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?

o you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?

Do you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?

o you agree with limiting claims to a total of six months within an 18-month period?

o you agree with limiting claims to a total of six months within an 18-month period?

o you agree with limiting claims to a total of six months within an 18-month period?

Do you agree with limiting claims to a total of six months within an 18-month period?

ould the risks associated with a low contribution history be managed in other ways?

ould the risks associated with a low contribution history be managed in other ways?

ould the risks associated with a low contribution history be managed in other ways?

Could the risks associated with a low contribution history be managed in other ways?

??

?

Do you agree with limiting coverage of the proposed income insurance scheme to New Zealand citizens and residents? Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you agree with limiting coverage of the proposed income insurance scheme to New Zealand citizens and residents? Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you agree with limiting coverage of the proposed income insurance scheme to New Zealand citizens and residents? Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you agree with limiting coverage of the proposed income insurance scheme to New Zealand citizens and residents? Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

Do you ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

To ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme’s costs?

?

??

?

??2 ? o you

agree with a replacement rate set at 80 percent? 3 ? o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

??3 ? o

you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? 3 ? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

??3 ? o you agree that someone

should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? 3 ? o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

??3 ? o you agree that

insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? 3 ? even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

?? ?

??3 ? o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand

Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? 3 ? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

??3 ? o you agree that income insurance

and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

? ? o you agree with a replacement rate set at 80 percent? ? 3 ? o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

??3 ? o

you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? 3 ? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

??3 ? o you agree that someone

should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? 3 ? o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

??3 ? o you agree that

insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? 3 ? even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

?? ?

??3 ? o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand

Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? 3 ? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

??3 ? o you agree that income insurance

and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree with a replacement rate set at 80 percent? o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree with a replacement rate set at 80 percent? o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid

insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income

insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income

insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income

insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements?
o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance?
o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support?
even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?
o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements?
o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance?
o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support?
even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?
o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements?
o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?

o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance?
o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits

Q23 Q o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q23 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q23 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q23 Q

o you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q23 Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q3 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Do you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements? Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? Q3 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q3 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q3 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? Q3 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q3 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q3 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance? Q3 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q

Q3 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q23 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q23 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q23 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q23 Q even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q23 Q

Q23 Q o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q23 Q o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q23 Q

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Q3 Do you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

Q23 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

Q23 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?

Q23 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

Q23 Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Q2 Q

Q23 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q3 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

Q33 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q34 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q33 Q o

you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Q

Q33 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q34 Even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q

Q33 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q34 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q33 Q o

you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Q

Q33 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q34 Even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q

Q33 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q34 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q33 Q o

you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Q

Q33 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q34 Even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? Q

Q33 Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? Q34 Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? Q

Q33 Q o

you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time? Q

Q33 Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? Q34 Even the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in

encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o

you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? *iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?*

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? *iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?*

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support? *iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?*

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

23 2 *iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? 222 2*

223 2 o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?222 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

223 2 *iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? 222 2*

223 2 o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?222 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

23 2 *iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? 222 2*

223 2 o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?222 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

iven the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? 222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?222 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

Given the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits? 222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?222 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

22 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension?222 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount

of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

o you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension? *o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?*

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

22 23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

223 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

23 2 o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

o you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?222 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

22 2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

22

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

2

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

223 2 o you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?222

Q3 Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but not at the same time?

Q4

Q4

Q4 Do you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both? Q4 Do you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer?

Q4 Could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

Q4 Do you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

Q4 Do you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Q4

Q4 Do you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both? Q4 Do you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer?

Q4 Could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

Q4 Do you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

Q4 Do you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Q4 Do you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both? Q4 Do you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer?

Q4 Could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

Q4 Do you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

Q4 Do you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Q4 Do you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both? Q4 Do you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer?

Q4 Could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation? Q24 Q o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect? Q24

o you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both? Q24 Q o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer?

Q24 Q4 Q could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation? Q24 Q o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect? Q24

Do you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both? Q24 Q o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? Q24 Q4 Q could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect? Q24

Q2 Q o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? Q24 Q4 Q could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect? Q24

Q o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? Q24 Q4 Q could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect? Q24

Q2 Q o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? Q24 Q4 Q could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect? Q24

Q o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? Q24 Q4 Q could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement? Q24 Q

Q24 Q o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income

insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Do you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer? could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

could you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to

the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

ould you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Would you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
 o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Do you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

o you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Do you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Q4 Q o you agree that employers should pay former workers for the initial period of unemployment for four weeks?Q4Q

Q4 Q o you agree that employers should pay former workers for the initial period of unemployment for four weeks?Q4Q

o you agree that employers should pay former workers for the initial period of unemployment for four weeks?Q4Q

Do you agree that employers should pay former workers for the initial period of unemployment for four weeks?Q4Q

Q4

Q4 Q hould bridging payments be applied to all workers, including those not eligible for income insurance?Q4Q Q4 Q hould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?Q4Q Q4 Q o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?Q4Q Q

Q4 Q hould bridging payments be applied to all workers, including those not eligible for income insurance?Q4Q Q4 Q hould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?Q4Q Q4 Q o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?Q4Q Q

hould bridging payments be applied to all workers, including those not eligible for income insurance?Q4Q Q4 Q hould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?Q4Q Q4 Q o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?Q4Q Q

Should bridging payments be applied to all workers, including those not eligible for income insurance?Q4Q Q4 Q hould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?Q4Q Q4 Q o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?Q4Q Q

Q4 Q hould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?Q4Q Q4 Q o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?Q4Q Q

Q4 Q hould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?Q4Q Q4 Q o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to

manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

ould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period? *o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?*

ould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period? *o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?*

ould the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period? *o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?*

Should the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period? *o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?*

o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

o you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

Do you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?

??

?

??4 ? o you agree there should be no restrictions on the types of conditions covered by the scheme? ??? ?

??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

??4 ? o you agree there should be no restrictions on the types of conditions covered by the scheme? ??? ?
??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

o you agree there should be no restrictions on the types of conditions covered by the scheme? ??? ?
??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

Do you agree there should be no restrictions on the types of conditions covered by the scheme? ??? ?

??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

?? ?
??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

?
??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

??
??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

?
??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

??5 ? o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

o you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

Do you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)? ??? ?

?? ?

?

??

?

25 2 should the scheme cover partial loss of earnings due to a health condition or disability reducing work capacity? 25 2 f partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?

25 2 o you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? 25 2 o you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

25 2 re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? 25 2 ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

25 2 should the scheme cover partial loss of earnings due to a health condition or disability reducing work capacity? 25 2 f partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?

25 2 o you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? 25 2 o you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

25 2 re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? 25 2 ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

should the scheme cover partial loss of earnings due to a health condition or disability reducing work capacity? 25 2 f partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?

25 2 o you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? 25 2 o you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

25 2 re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? 25 2 ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Should the scheme cover partial loss of earnings due to a health condition or disability reducing work capacity? 25 2 f partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?

25 2 o you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? 25 2 o you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

25 2 re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? 25 2 ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

25 2 f partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?

Q25 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q25 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q

Q25 Do re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q25 Do ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q

Q25 If partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks? Q

Q25 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q25 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q

Q25 Do re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q25 Do ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q

Q25 If partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks? Q

Q25 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q25 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q

Q25 Do re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q25 Do ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q

Q25 If partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks? Q

Q25 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q25 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q

Q25 Do re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q25 Do ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q

If partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks? Q

Q25 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q25 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q

Q25 Do re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q25 Do ow could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q

If partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?

Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

Q25 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q26 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q27

Q28 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q29 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q30

Q31 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q32 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q33

Q34 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q35 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q36

Q37 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q38 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q39

Q40 Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity? Q41 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q42

Q43 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q44 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q45

Q46 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q47

Q48 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q49 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q50

Q51 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q52

Q53 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q54 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q55

Q56 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q57

Q58 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q59 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q60

Q61 Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process? Q62

Q63 Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? Q64 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work? Q65

Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

re the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)? How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

25 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

25 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

25 How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

22

2

22

2

25 2 here an employee must stop work entirely because of a health condition or disability, do you think employers should be expected to keep a job open and help with vocational rehabilitation where a reasonable prognosis is made of return to work within six months? 25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

25 2 here an employee must stop work entirely because of a health condition or disability, do you think employers should be expected to keep a job open and help with vocational rehabilitation where a reasonable prognosis is made of return to work within six months? 25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

here an employee must stop work entirely because of a health condition or disability, do you think employers should be expected to keep a job open and help with vocational rehabilitation where a reasonable prognosis is made of return to work within six months? 25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

Where an employee must stop work entirely because of a health condition or disability, do you think employers should be expected to keep a job open and help with vocational rehabilitation where a reasonable prognosis is made of return to work within six months? 25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

25 2 should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2 2

25 2 do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer? 2

should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation? 2 2

25 2 do you agree

that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

Should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do

you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

Do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?

do you agree claimants

should be obligated to look for work or prepare to return to work while receiving insurance?

do you agree claimants should be obligated to look for work or prepare to return to work while receiving insurance?

do you agree claimants should be obligated to look for work or prepare to return to work while receiving insurance?

Do you agree claimants should be obligated to look for work or prepare to return to work while receiving insurance?

??

??6 ? o you agree that claimants would not be expected or required to accept offers of employment that provide lower wages or conditions? ???

??6 ? o you agree that claimants would not be expected or required to accept offers of employment that provide lower wages or conditions? ???

o you agree that claimants would not be expected or required to accept offers of employment that provide lower wages or conditions? ???

Do you agree that claimants would not be expected or required to accept offers of employment that provide lower wages or conditions? ???

??

??6 ? o you agree the insurer could waive obligations partially or fully where a claimant is unable to meet those obligations? ???

??6 ? o you agree the insurer could waive obligations partially or fully where a claimant is unable to meet those obligations? ???

o you agree the insurer could waive obligations partially or fully where a claimant is unable to meet those obligations? ???

Do you agree the insurer could waive obligations partially or fully where a claimant is unable to meet those obligations? ???

??

??6 ? o you agree claimants should be obligated to remain in New Zealand to remain eligible for income insurance? ???

??6 ? o you agree claimants should be obligated to remain in New Zealand to remain eligible for income insurance? ???

o you agree claimants should be obligated to remain in New Zealand to remain eligible for income insurance? ???

Do you agree claimants should be obligated to remain in New Zealand to remain eligible for income insurance? ???

??

??6 ? o you think a period of time, such as 28 days, should be allowed for travel overseas, for example, to support ill family? ???

26 2 o you think a period of time, such as 28 days, should be allowed for travel overseas, for example, to support ill family?2222

o you think a period of time, such as 28 days, should be allowed for travel overseas, for example, to support ill family?2222

Do you think a period of time, such as 28 days, should be allowed for travel overseas, for example, to support ill family?2222

22

22 226 2 hould claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?2222

2 226 2 hould claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?2222

226 2 hould claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?2222

26 2 hould claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?2222

hould claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?2222

Should claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?2222

22

226 2 hould claimants with health conditions and disabilities be subject to obligations to search for work or undertaking training where they are able to?2222

26 2 hould claimants with health conditions and disabilities be subject to obligations to search for work or undertaking training where they are able to?2222

hould claimants with health conditions and disabilities be subject to obligations to search for work or undertaking training where they are able to?2222

Should claimants with health conditions and disabilities be subject to obligations to search for work or undertaking training where they are able to?2222

22

?? ?26 ? o you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments????

? ?26 ? o you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments????

?26 ? o you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments????

?6 ? o you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments????

o you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments????

Do you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments????

??

?26 ? o you agree that payments could be fully suspended in cases of serious, intentional non-compliance with obligations????

?6 ? o you agree that payments could be fully suspended in cases of serious, intentional non-compliance with obligations????

o you agree that payments could be fully suspended in cases of serious, intentional non-compliance with obligations????

Do you agree that payments could be fully suspended in cases of serious, intentional non-compliance with obligations????

??

?26 ? o you think any other consequences should be in place for people repeatedly not meeting their obligations, such as permanent suspension of entitlements????

?6 ? o you think any other consequences should be in place for people repeatedly not meeting their obligations, such as permanent suspension of entitlements????

o you think any other consequences should be in place for people repeatedly not meeting their obligations, such as permanent suspension of entitlements????

Do you think any other consequences should be in place for people repeatedly not meeting their obligations, such as permanent suspension of entitlements????

??

??

?

Q7 Q Do you think it is best for ACC to deliver the income insurance scheme alongside the accident compensation scheme? Q

Q7 Q Do you think it is best for ACC to deliver the income insurance scheme alongside the accident compensation scheme? Q

Do you think it is best for ACC to deliver the income insurance scheme alongside the accident compensation scheme? Q

Do you think it is best for ACC to deliver the income insurance scheme alongside the accident compensation scheme? Q

Q

Q7 Q Would the income insurance scheme be better delivered by a government department or a new entity? Q

Q7 Q Would the income insurance scheme be better delivered by a government department or a new entity? Q

Would the income insurance scheme be better delivered by a government department or a new entity? Q

Would the income insurance scheme be better delivered by a government department or a new entity? Q

Q

Q7 Q How could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income insurance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders? Q

Q7 Q How could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income insurance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders? Q

Q7 Q How could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income insurance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders? Q

Q7 Q How could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income insurance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders? Q

How could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income insurance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders? Q

How could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income insurance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders? Q

Q

How could Mori perspectives best be incorporated to ensure the income insurance scheme is delivered equitably and with aspiration?

How could Mori perspectives best be incorporated to ensure the income insurance scheme is delivered equitably and with aspiration?

How could Mori perspectives best be incorporated to ensure the income insurance scheme is delivered equitably and with aspiration?

How could Mori perspectives best be incorporated to ensure the income insurance scheme is delivered equitably and with aspiration?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

What practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?

Who should provide that return-to-work support?

Who should provide that return-to-work support?

Who should provide that return-to-work support?

Who should provide that return-to-work support?

What type of claimants would need an employment case manager, and who could self-manage?

What type of claimants would need an employment case manager, and who could self-manage?

What type of claimants would need an employment case manager, and who could self-manage?

What type of claimants would need an employment case manager, and who could self-manage?

??

??7 ? *hat do you think a 'return-to-work plan' should include? ?? ?*

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

??7 ? *hat do you think a 'return-to-work plan' should include? ?? ?*

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

hat do you think a 'return-to-work plan' should include? ?? ?

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

What do you think a 'return-to-work plan' should include????

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

?? ?

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

?

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

??

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

?

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

??7 ? *hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????*

hat practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????

What practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work????

??

??7 ? *ho should provide that support to return to work? ??*

??7 ? *ho should provide that support to return to work? ??*

ho should provide that support to return to work? ??

Who should provide that support to return to work?

What type of claimants would need a case manager, and who could self-manage?

What type of claimants would need a case manager, and who could self-manage?

What type of claimants would need a case manager, and who could self-manage?

What type of claimants would need a case manager, and who could self-manage?

Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?

Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?

Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?

Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?

Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?

Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?

Are there specific aspects to the scheme's dispute resolution you think should be considered?

Are there specific aspects to the scheme's dispute resolution you think should be considered?

Are there specific aspects to the scheme's dispute resolution you think should be considered?

Are there specific aspects to the scheme's dispute resolution you think should be considered?

Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?

Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?

Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?

Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?

Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?

Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?

Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?

Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?

Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?

Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?

Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?

Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?

??
should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911? ???

??8 ? *o you agree that employees*

?
should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911? ???

??8 ? *o you agree that employees*

should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911? ???

??8 ? *o you agree that employees*

??8 ? *o you agree that employees should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911? ??*

o you agree that employees should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911? ??

Do you agree that employees should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911? ???

??

??8 ? *o you have any other suggestions for how the employee levy should be structured? ??*

??8 ? *o you have any other suggestions for how the employee levy should be structured? ??*

o you have any other suggestions for how the employee levy should be structured? ??

Do you have any other suggestions for how the employee levy should be structured? ???

??

??9 ? *o you agree that experience rating would not be an appropriate design setting for the employer levy? ???*

??9 ? *o you agree that experience rating would not be an appropriate design setting for the employer levy? ???*

o you agree that experience rating would not be an appropriate design setting for the employer levy? ???

Do you agree that experience rating would not be an appropriate design setting for the employer levy? ???

??

??
that an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme? ???

??9 ? *o you agree*

?
an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme? ???

??9 ? *o you agree that*

229 2 o you agree that an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme?222

29 2 o you agree that an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme?222

o you agree that an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme?222

Do you agree that an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme?222

22

229 2 o you favour a Pay As You Go or Save As You Go funding approach? 22

29 2 o you favour a Pay As You Go or Save As You Go funding approach? 22

o you favour a Pay As You Go or Save As You Go funding approach? 22

Do you favour a Pay As You Go or Save As You Go funding approach?222

22

22 229 2 o you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?222

2 229 2 o you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?222

229 2 o you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?222

29 2 o you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?222

o you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?222

Do you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?222

22

Does such flexibility create risks that require additional mitigations?

Does such flexibility create risks that require additional mitigations?

Does such flexibility create risks that require additional mitigations?

Does such flexibility create risks that require additional mitigations?



● Other comments

The layout and length of this submission template is highly intimidating and will discourage most people from submitting. A better approach would be to have the default option of a single comment box, and this very complex form only for those who specifically choose it.

The layout and length of this submission template is highly intimidating and will discourage most people from submitting. A better approach would be to have the default option of a single comment box, and this very complex form only for those who specifically choose it.