# **Submission template**

### A New Zealand Income Insurance Scheme

This is the submission template for the discussion document, A New Zealand Income Insurance Scheme.

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE), on behalf of the Government, Business New Zealand and the New Zealand Council of Trade Unions, seeks your written submission on the matters raised in the discussion document by **5pm on 26 April 2022**.

### Your submission could be made public

The information provided in submissions will be used to inform policy development on the proposed income insurance scheme, including how it could be improved and how it could affect different groups. We may contact submitters directly if we require clarification of any matters in submissions.

The *Privacy Act 2020* applies to submissions and responses. Any personal information you supply to MBIE in making a submission will only be used for the purpose of assisting in the development of policy advice as part of this review. When businesses or organisations make a submission, we will consider that you have consented to the content being included in any summary of submissions unless you clearly state otherwise. If your submission contains any information that is confidential or that you do not want published, you can say this in your submission. Please clearly indicate in your cover letter or email with your submission if you do not wish your name, or any other personal information, to be included in any summary of submissions that may be published.

Submissions and responses may be subject to requests for information under the *Official Information Act 1982*. Please clearly indicate in your cover letter or email with your submission if you have any objection to the release of any information in your submission, and which parts you consider should be withheld, together with the reasons for withholding the information. Your views will be taken into account when responding to requests under the *Official Information Act 1982*. Any decision to withhold information requested under the *Official Information Act 1982* can be reviewed by the Ombudsman.

#### How to make a submission

Please send your written submission on the options and questions in this consultation document by **5pm on 26 April 2022.** You can make your submission (preferably using this submission template) as follows:

- 1. Include your name, the name of your organisation (if applicable), and contact details. We may contact submitters directly if we require clarification of any matters in submissions.
- Your submission may respond to any or all of the questions in the consultation paper. Where
  possible, please include information or evidence to support your views. We also encourage your
  input on any other relevant aspects of the income insurance scheme in the "Other comments"
  section.
- 3. Sending your submission:
  - a. Attach as a Microsoft Word document or searchable PDF and email to:

incomeinsurance@mbie.govt.nz (preferred), or

b. Mail your submission to:

Social Unemployment Insurance Tripartite Working Group Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment PO Box 1473 Wellington 6145

If you have any questions on the submissions process, please contact incomeinsurance@mbie.govt.nz.

# Submission on A New Zealand Income Insurance Scheme

## Your name and organisation

Name	Charlene Overell & Jane Benton
Organisation (if applicable)	G3 Financial Freedom Limited
Contact details	
	Privacy of natural persons

### Responses to consultation document questions

Chapter 4 – How a new income insurance scheme could achieve our objectives (Pg 30-48) The Forum considers the benefits of income insurance for job loss due to displacement or health conditions would outweigh its costs.	
1	Do you agree New Zealand should introduce an income insurance scheme for displacement and loss of work due to health conditions or disabilities?
	NO. Definitely notwon't go into the detail here around our reasons why, however, many gaps in the proposals and many implications and knock-on effects that have not even been addressed in the report!!
Chap	oter 5 – Honouring Te Triti o Waitangi (Pg 49-51)
Kawa	anatanga – Good governance and partnership
2	How can we ensure the proposed income insurance scheme honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi?
3	What are the opportunities for partnership and Māori representation in the proposed income insurance scheme's governance and operations?
4	How can we ensure equity of access, participation, and outcomes for Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme?
5	How can we reflect and embed te ao Māori in the proposed income insurance scheme's design?

Chap	Chapter 6 – Coverage for displaced workers (Pg 53-72)	
Disp	Displacement and standard employment (full- and part-time permanent employees)	
6	Do you agree with defining displacement as the involuntary loss of work due to the disestablishment of a job?	
7	Do you agree with excluding poor performance and gross misconduct as reasons for claiming insurance?	
8	Do you agree with excluding resignation as a reason for claiming insurance?	
Cove	erage provided for complete job loss only	
9	Do you agree that income insurance should cover only the complete loss of a job, and cover situations where a person loses only one of several jobs that they hold?	
10	Do you agree that insurance would be payable only where income loss was greater than a minimum threshold, such as a 20 percent loss of total earnings, counting income from all of their jobs?	
Disp	lacement and non-standard employment – a principle-based approach	
11	Do you agree that it is important to provide income insurance coverage to non-standard workers, where practical?	
12	Do you agree that income insurance should cover the 'loss of reasonably anticipated income'?	
13	Do you agree that income insurance entitlements should be based on an 'established pattern of work'?	

Cove	erage provided for fixed-term and seasonal employees
14	Do you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees if they are displaced before the end of an employment agreement, with the duration of the payment running to the scheduled end of the employment agreement, or the maximum insurance entitlement duration, whichever is shorter?
15	Do you agree that income insurance should cover fixed-term and seasonal employees, where their employment agreements are not renewed, and they can show a regular pattern of work and reasonable expectation of future income?
Cove	erage provided for casual employees
16	Do you agree that income insurance should cover casual employees who can show a regular pattern of work with an employer and a reasonable expectation of future income?
17	How would these design choices work in practice? What risks can you see with the approach to establishing a regular pattern of work?
Cove	erage for self-employed workers
18	What risks do you see with covering, or not covering, people in self-employment?
19	Are there some groups of self-employed who should and should not be covered?
20	How can we practically distinguish between contractors who resemble employees, and those with a high degree of independence?

21	Because a self-employed person cannot technically be made redundant, what types of events would be appropriate 'triggers' for insurance payments?
22	How do you think the levy should be collected from self-employed workers?
Amo	odest minimum contribution period
23	Do you agree with the proposed minimum contribution period of six months over a period of 18 months preceding the claim?
Limi	ts on subsequent claims
24	Do you agree limits should be placed on the number claims people can make?
25	Do you agree with limiting claims to a total of six months within an 18-month period?
26	Could the risks associated with a low contribution history be managed in other ways?

Cove	Coverage for New Zealand citizens and residents		
27	Do you agree with limiting coverage of the proposed income insurance scheme to New Zealand citizens and residents?		
28	To ensure New Zealand workers are not disadvantaged by lower cost international workers, do you agree that working holiday makers, international students and temporary work visa holders – and their employers – should contribute to the proposed income insurance scheme's costs?		
Chap	oter 7 – Entitlements for displaced workers (Pg 73-95)		
Inco	me caps and income replacement rates that match the accident compensation scheme		
29	Do you agree with a replacement rate set at 80 percent?		
30	Do you agree with a cap on insurable (and leviable) income set at the same rate as the accident compensation scheme (currently \$130,911)?		
Only	personal exertion income would abate (reduce) insurance entitlements		
31	Do you agree that only the insurance claimant's personal exertion income should affect their insurance entitlements?		
32	Do you agree that income insurance should have individualised entitlement, meaning a partner's income would not affect the rate payable?		
Abat	ement rates would ensure a claimant is not financially better off as a result of their loss of work		
33	Do you agree that someone should be able to earn some income from paid employment before it affects their entitlements to income insurance?		

34	Do you agree that insurance should abate 'dollar for dollar' when earned income and insurance combined reach 100 percent of previous income?
lnsu supp	rance would generally be treated as income, to determine eligibility for welfare and student port 
35	Do you agree that insurance should be treated as income for assessing eligibility for income support such as main benefits and Working for Families tax credits and student support?
36	Given the purpose of the In-Work Tax Credit and Minimum Family Tax Credit in encouraging people into employment and helping with in-work costs, do you agree that income insurance
	claimants would not be eligible for these tax credits?
_	
Insu	rance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's Pension
	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation
Insu 37 38	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation
37	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation the Veteran's Pension? Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand
37 38 Whe	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation the Veteran's Pension? Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?
37 38 Whe	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation the Veteran's Pension? Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance?
37 38 Whe	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation the Veteran's Pension? Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? The eligible, insurance claimants could choose whether to access Paid Parental Leave or income rance and may receive both sequentially Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but
37 38 Whe	Do you agree that income insurance claimants could also receive New Zealand Superannuation the Veteran's Pension? Do you think a limit should be placed on the amount of time someone can receive New Zealand Superannuation or the Veteran's pension and income insurance? The eligible, insurance claimants could choose whether to access Paid Parental Leave or income rance and may receive both sequentially Do you agree that income insurance and Paid Parental Leave could be accessed sequentially but

Insurance claimants could also receive ACC weekly compensation where it covers a different income loss	
40	Do you agree that claimants should be able receive both ACC weekly compensation and income insurance at the same time for differing income loss subject to independently meeting the eligibility criteria for both?
A su	ficient base entitlement period
41	Do you agree with a base insurance entitlement length of six months, plus a four-week bridging payment paid by the employer?
42	Would you support a longer or shorter length of base insurance entitlement?
Exte	nding the maximum period in specified circumstances
43	Do you think the scheme should allow extensions to the base period of income insurance entitlements for training or vocational rehabilitation?
Enha	ncing the income insurance scheme with notice periods
44	Do you agree that employers should give at least four weeks' notice to employees, and the insurer, before redundancy takes effect?

Avoi	Avoiding unnecessary redundancies	
45	Do you agree that employers should pay former workers for the initial period of unemployment for four weeks?	
46	Should bridging payments be applied to all workers, including those not eligible for income insurance?	
47	Should the income insurance scheme finance bridging payments in circumstances where the payments are not forthcoming from employers, and refund employers for bridging payments if workers find work within this period?	
48	Do you consider that stronger integrity measures are necessary to manage the risk of spurious claims to the income insurance scheme?	
Char 112)	oter 8 – Coverage and entitlements for loss of work due to health conditions or disabilities (Pg 96-	
No r	estrictions on the types of conditions covered by the income insurance scheme	
49	Do you agree there should be no restrictions on the types of conditions covered by the scheme?	
No r	estrictions on the working arrangements covered by the scheme	
50	Do you agree that all work arrangements should be covered (assuming other eligibility criteria are met)?	

Coverage for loss of at least 50 percent of capacity to work, for at least four weeks	
51	Should the scheme cover partial loss of earnings due to a health condition or disability reducing work capacity?
52	If partial loss is to be covered, do you agree claimants should have at least a 50 percent reduction of capacity to work caused by a health condition or disability and that reduction is expected to last for at least four working weeks?
	nants' medical practitioners would assess work capacity, with final eligibility assessed by the me administrator
53	Do you agree that the claimants' health practitioner should be main the assessor of work capacity?
54	Do you agree that, where appropriate, employers could provide supporting information to inform the claimant's work capacity assessment process?
Emp worl	loyers would remain responsible for taking reasonable steps to support an employee to continue king
55	Are the current requirements on employers to make workplace changes sufficient to allow health condition and disability claimants to return to their regular employment (or alternative work)?
56	How could employers be supported to help workers with health conditions or disabilities to remain in or return to work?

	Employers would be expected to make reasonable efforts to keep a job open where a return to work within six months is likely	
57	Where an employee must stop work entirely because of a health condition or disability, do you think employers should be expected to keep a job open and help with vocational rehabilitation where a reasonable prognosis is made of return to work within six months?	
58	Should this be a statutory requirement placed on employers or an expectation?	
The	scheme would generally meet the full cost of income replacement once a claim is accepted	
59	Do you agree that employers should only pay a bridging payment to employees leaving work because of a health condition or disability when the employment is terminated by the employer?	
	oter 9 – Insurance claimants' obligations (Pg 113-120) sonable obligations for people receiving income insurance payments	
60	Do you agree claimants should be obligated to look for work or prepare to return to work while receiving insurance?	
61	Do you agree that claimants would not be expected or required to accept offers of employment that provide lower wages or conditions?	
62	Do you agree the insurer could waive obligations partially or fully where a claimant is unable to meet those obligations?	
63	Do you agree claimants should be obligated to remain in New Zealand to remain eligible for income insurance?	

64	Do you think a period of time, such as 28 days, should be allowed for travel overseas, for example, to support ill family?
Spec	ific obligations for claimants with a health condition or disability
65	Should claimants with health conditions or disabilities be subject to obligations to participate in rehabilitative programmes and other support, where appropriate?
66	Should claimants with health conditions and disabilities be subject to obligations to search for work or undertaking training where they are able to?
Cons	equences for non-compliance
67	Do you think financial penalties should be in place for people who do not meet their obligations while receiving insurance payments?
68	Do you agree that payments could be fully suspended in cases of serious, intentional non- compliance with obligations?
69	Do you think any other consequences should be in place for people repeatedly not meeting their obligations, such as permanent suspension of entitlements?

70 Do	dent and effective delivery o you think it is best for ACC to deliver the income insurance scheme alongside the accident mpensation scheme?
	ould the income insurance scheme be better delivered by a government department or a new tity?
Account	able and effective governance
	w could employer and worker perspectives best be incorporated to strengthen the income surance scheme's delivery for New Zealanders?
	w could Māori perspectives best be incorporated to ensure the income insurance scheme is livered equitably and with aspiration?
Displace	d workers: Getting back to good jobs
74 W	hat practical support should be available to insurance claimants to return to work?
75 W	ho should provide that return-to-work support?
76 WI	hat type of claimants would need an employment case manager, and who could self-manage?
77 WI	hat do you think a 'return-to-work plan' should include?

Health condition and disability claimants: Getting back to good jobs		
78	What practical support should be available to income insurance claimants with a health condition or disability to return to work?	
79	Who should provide that support to return to work?	
80	What type of claimants would need a case manager, and who could self-manage?	
Dispute resolution		
81	Do you agree with the proposed four-step dispute resolution process for the scheme?	
82	Are there specific aspects to the scheme's dispute resolution you think should be considered?	
Sche	me integrity and enforcement	
83	Do you agree with the proposal to establish an effective offences and penalties framework to protect the scheme's integrity?	
Infor	mation collection and sharing	
84	Do you agree with the proposal to develop information sharing agreements and sharing arrangements with employers, other agencies and service providers?	

Chap	Chapter 11 – Funding income insurance (Pg 135-144)		
Most funding would come from compulsory levy payments on income			
85	Do you agree the income insurance scheme should be funded from compulsory levies on the income that is insured, rather than from general taxation?		
Levy	payments would be shared by employers and workers		
86	Do you agree that levy contributions should be equally split between the employee and employer?		
87	Do you agree that levies for health conditions and disabilities and for redundancy should be set separately?		
Both the employee and employer would be charged at a flat rate			
88	Do you agree that employees should be levied at a flat rate on income below \$130,911?		
89	Do you have any other suggestions for how the employee levy should be structured?		
90	Do you agree that experience rating would not be an appropriate design setting for the employer levy?		
Levie	es would adjust smoothly over time, with independent fund management		
91	Do you agree that an independent fund with a stable levy-setting system should be established to finance the income insurance scheme?		
92	Do you favour a Pay As You Go or Save As You Go funding approach?		

Building in scheme adaptability, while protecting levy sustainability		
93	Do you agree that the legislation for the income insurance scheme should provide the flexibility to vary entitlements and eligibility in times of crisis, over and above the proposed income insurance scheme?	
94	Does such flexibility create risks that require additional mitigations?	

### **Other comments**

We already have social systems and benefits in place to support individuals, and there are a heap of negative ramifications that would come about if this is introduced