Submission form: Consultation on the Sustainable Biofuels Mandate

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) and the Ministry of Transport (MoT) would like your feedback on a proposal to increase the use of sustainable liquid biofuels in New Zealand to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from transport. Please provide your feedback by **5pm, 26 July 2021.**

When completing this submission form, please provide comments and supporting explanations for your reasoning where relevant. Your feedback provides valuable information and informs decisions about the proposals.

We appreciate your time and effort taken to respond to this consultation.

Instructions

To make a submission you will need to:

- 1. Fill out your name, email address, phone number and organisation. If you are representing an organisation, please provide a brief description of your organisation and its aims, and ensure you have the authority to represent its views.
- 2. Fill out your responses to the discussion document questions. You can answer any or all of these questions in the <u>discussion document</u>. Where possible, please provide us with evidence to support your views. Examples can include references to independent research or facts and figures.
- **3.** If your submission has any confidential information:
 - i. Please state this in the email accompanying your submission, and set out clearly which parts you consider should be withheld and the grounds under the Official Information Act 1982 (Official Information Act) that you believe apply. MBIE and MoT will take such declarations into account and will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act.
 - ii. Indicate this on the front of your submission (e.g. the first page header may state "In Confidence"). Any confidential information should be clearly marked within the text of your submission (preferably as Microsoft Word comments).
 - iii. Note that submissions are subject to the Official Information Act and may, therefore, be released in part or full. The Privacy Act 1993 also applies.

How to submit this form

4. Submit your feedback:

- i. As a Microsoft Word document by email to energymarkets@mbie.govt.nz with the subject line: Consultation: Sustainable Biofuels Mandate
- ii. By mailing your submission to:

Consultation: Sustainable Biofuels Mandate Energy Markets Policy Building, Resources and Markets Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment PO Box 1473, Wellington 6140 New Zealand

Submitter information

Submitter information

MBIE and MoT would appreciate if you would provide some information about yourself. If you choose to provide information in the section below, it will be used to help MBIE and MoT understand how different sectors view the Sustainable Biofuels Mandate proposal. Any information you provide will be stored securely.

Your name, email address, phone number and organisation

	•			
Nan	ne:	Terry Wilson		
Email address:		Privacy of natural persons		
Phone number:		Privacy of natural persons		
Organisation:				
	name or oth that MBIE and MBIE and Mo website(s), v submission of	the Privacy Act 1993 applies to submissions. Please tick the box if you do <u>not</u> wish your time or other personal information to be included in any information about submissions at MBIE and MoT may publish. BIE and MoT may upload submissions and potentially a summary of submissions to the ebsite(s), <u>www.mbie.govt.nz</u> and/or <u>www.transport.govt.nz</u> . If you do <u>not</u> want your bmission or a summary of your submission to be placed on either of these websites, ease tick the box and type an explanation below:		
I do not want my submission placed on MBIE's website and/or MoT's website because [insert reasoning here]				
Please check if your submission contains confidential information				
	and have sta	my submission (or identifiable parts of my submission) to be kept confidential, ated my reasons and ground under section 9 of the Official Information Act that I y, for consideration by MBIE and MoT.		

How the Sustainable Biofuels Mandate would work

1.	Do you support having a GHG emissions reduction mandate?				
	☐ Yes [☐ Yes, with changes	⊠ No	☐ Not sure/No preference	
	Please explain your views.				
	The costs outweigh the benefits. These costs include wrecking the economy from the increased costs of transport as well as higher costs to individuals and families from being forced to pay for an EV or special ethanol or biodiesel powered vehicle. The cost of biofuels is likely to be much higher than Treasury's RIS because several countries are introducing a similar scheme which will increase demand while the global supply is limited. Biofuel prices could be many times higher that they are currently. This Biofuels Mandate is an unjustified restriction on our freedom to choose how we travel. It is also an attack on the prosperity and quality of life of the citizens of New Zealand.				
2.	Do you support the proposal to require certification of lifecycle emissions of biofuels sold in New Zealand using international standards?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference	
	Please explain your views.				
	[insert response he	rej			
3.	Do you support applying the Sustainable Biofuels Mandate to all liquid transport fuel?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference	
	Please explain your views.				
	The Biofuels Mandate should not apply to any liquid transport fuels.				
4.	Are the proposed initial emission reduction percentages for 2023–2025 appropriate for New Zealand? If not, what should they be?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?				
	Including estimates for 2023–2025, but not including estimates for the later periods – which will be much higher – is misleading and dishonest and makes this consultation invalid. You are hiding the real cost of this proposal.				
5.	Do you support having single GHG emissions reduction percentages across all fuel types, or do you favour separate reduction percentages? Why and how many separate percentages would you suggest we have?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything y	ou would like to tell us a	bout the reason(s) for yo	ur choice?	
	Biodiesel is more compatible with existing engines, while ethanol is not. Reductions should be inflicted only on fuels where there are vehicle types that can use biofuels without problems and without significant costs to users.				

0.	2035 with the percentages being finalised in 2024 and 2029 respectively?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?				
	[insert response her	re]			
7.	Do you support the proposal that biofuel producers must be certifed against an established sustainability standard to count towards achievement of the emissions reduction percentage?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything y	ou would like to tell us a	about the reason(s) for yo	ur choice?	
	[insert response her	re]			
8.	Do you support having a joint fuel industry/government information campaign to inform New Zealanders about biofuels and the Sustainable Biofuels Mandate?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything y	ou would like to tell us a	about the reason(s) for yo	ur choice?	
	[insert response her	re]			
9.	Do you support the of sale?	e labelling proposal that	informs consumers abou	t specifc biofuels at the point	
		\square I agree in part	☐ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything y	ou would like to tell us a	about the reason(s) for yo	ur choice?	
	[insert response her	re]			
10.	Should New Zealand try to overcome the challenges that domestic biofuel producers face in maintaining access to afordable supplies of domestically produced feedstocks? Do you have any suggestions for how this challenge could be overcome?				
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?				
	[insert response her	re]			

How could the Sustainable Transport Biofuels Mandate be implemented?

11. Do you think the minimum threshold for compliance of 10 million litres of transport fuel in a calendar year in New Zealand is appropriate? If not, what level would you change it to?

	☐ Yes, I agree	☐ I agree in part	☐ No, I don't agree	☑ Not sure/no preference
	Is there anything	you would like to tell us	about the reason(s) for ye	our choice?
	[insert response he	ere]		
12. Do you agree with the method for calculating a supplier's GHG emission reduction				ssion reduction?
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	⋈ Not sure/no preference
	Is there anything	you would like to tell us	about the reason(s) for ye	our choice?
	[insert response he	ere]		
13.	Do you think the appropriate?	annual reporting regime	e, including its offences an	d fines, is practical and
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference
	Is there anything	you would like to tell us	about the reason(s) for ye	our choice?
	[insert response he	ere]		
14.	Do you support the performance of fuel suppliers being published to enable consumers to reward the industry leaders in reducing GHG emissions?			
	☐ Yes, I agree	☐ I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	☐ Not sure/no preference
	Is there anything	you would like to tell us	about the reason(s) for ye	our choice?
	[insert response he	ere]		
15.	• •	I penalties encourage fu , would level should the	el suppliers to achieve the y be?	e required emission
	☐ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	⊠ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference
	Is there anything	you would like to tell us	about the reason(s) for ye	our choice?
	There should be no	penalties.		
16. Do you support the proposal for fuel suppliers to defer achieving years 1 and/or 2, in full or in part, to the following year?				neir emissions reductions for
		\square I agree in part	☐ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference
	Is there anything	you would like to tell us	about the reason(s) for ye	our choice?
	[insert response he	ere]		

17.	reduce the percentage needed to be achieved the following year?				
		\square I agree in part	☐ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?				
	[insert response her	e]			
18. Do you support fuel suppliers borrowing for shortfalls in emissions reductions in a y making the shortfall up the following year?			eductions in a year, and		
	⊠ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	☐ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything you would like to tell us about the reason(s) for your choice?				
	[insert response her	e]			
19.	Do you agree with	the proposal to allow tra	iding through the use of e	entitlement agreements?	
	⊠ Yes, I agree	\square I agree in part	☐ No, I don't agree	\square Not sure/no preference	
	Is there anything yo	ou would like to tell us a	bout the reason(s) for you	ur choice?	
	[insert response her	e]			