

AIDE MEMOIRE

Minister of COVID-19 Response Satisfaction in MIQ Policy Advice

Date:	16 September 202	21	Priority:	Medium	
Security classification:			Tracking number:	2122-0990	
Information for	Minister(s)				
Hon Chris Hipkir Minister for CO	ns VID-19 Response				
Contact for tele	phone discussion	(if required)			
Name	Position		Telephone		1st contact
Kara Isaac	GM – MIQ F	Policy		Privacy of natural persons	
Ingrid Harder	Director Offi MIQ	ce of DCE,			✓
The following of	lepartments/agen	cies have be	en consulted		
Ministry of Busin	ness, Innovation an	d Employmen	t		
Minister's office to complete:		☐ Approved		☐ Declined	
☐ Noted			□ Needs change		
	☐ Seen			Overtaken by Events	
☐ See Mini		ister's Notes	☐ Withdra	drawn	
Comments					



AIDE MEMOIRE

Minister of COVID-19 Response Satisfaction in MIQ Policy Advice

Date:	16 September 2021	Priority:	Medium
Security classification:		Tracking number:	2122-0990

Purpose

This survey asks for your feedback on the policy advice, both written and oral, you have received in the last six months from the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (Managed Isolation and Quarantine) in the MIQ portfolio.

W

Ingrid Harder **Director – Office of the Deputy Secretary**MIQ, MBIE

16.09.2021

2122-0990



MANAGED
ISOLATION AND
QUARANTINE
(MIQ)

Six-Monthly Ministerial Policy Satisfaction Questionnaire – Managed Isolation and Quarantine

Portfolio: COVID-19 Response

January - June 2021



1. Ministerial policy satisfaction survey

Purpose

This survey is scheduled to occur twice a year and asks for your feedback on the policy advice, both written and oral, you have received in the last six months from the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (Managed Isolation and Quarantine) in the COVID-19 Response portfolio.

It will take about 5 to 10 minutes to complete.

How the results will be used

Your feedback will help MIQ better serve you in the future.

Your responses to questions 1, 3, 5 and 6 will also be combined from both surveys at year-end and used to calculate a Ministerial satisfaction score to be included in MBIE's information for the Estimates and Annual Report.

Note: MBIE has set annual measures in the Estimates of Appropriation to meet an average satisfaction score of 4 out of 5 in each portfolio and Vote. This reflects an expectation that the Ministry is meeting your expectations for policy advice, at minimum, 'most of the time'.

This is part of MBIE's commitment to be transparent and accountable for our policy performance.

What is quality policy advice?

The policy advice you receive should support you to make a fully informed decision, or take the next steps, by answering the following questions:



General Satisfaction



Thinking about your interactions with MIQ over the last six months in the COVID-19 Response portfolio, how often did each of the following occur?

	Never	Some of	About half	Most of	Always	
		the time	the time	the time		
I was engaged early enough in the policy process				\Diamond		
I was engaged in a way that reflects how I like to work	\bigcirc			\bigcirc		
My feedback was taken on board	0	0	0	\Diamond	0	
I was able to access relevant expertise on the issues	\bigcirc	O		>	\bigcirc	
I received advice that demonstrates an understanding of my priorities and context				\Diamond		
I received advice within the agreed timeframes	\bigcirc			\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Please add any comments or suggestions on how MIQ could improve the support provided to you as Minister, or examples of what has worked well that should be continued.						
Given the complexity and challenges faced by MIQ, I think they are doing well.						

Quality of policy advice



Thinking about the policy advice you have received from MIQ over the last six months in the COVID-19 Response portfolio, how often did the advice:

	Never	Some of the time	About half the time	Most of the time	Always
Clearly explain the problem or opportunity	0	0	0	⊘	0
Make relevant connections with other portfolios and address any issues that arise	0	0	0	\Diamond	0
Clearly explain the rationale for intervention	0	0	0	⊘	0
Demonstrate that appropriate stakeholder engagement strategies were used	0	0	0	\Diamond	
Demonstrate how relevant research, evidence, and insights informed the advice	0	0	0	V	0
Provide the advice you need to hear and not only what you want to hear	0	0	0	Ø	0
Enable a clear and informed decision to be made, or next steps to be taken	0	0	0	V	0
Consider the longer term implications for New Zealand as applicable	0	0	0	\Diamond	0
Communicate in a clear, concise and coherent manner	0	0	0	Ø	0
Adequately consider how the policy will be implemented and will work in practice	0	0	0	\Diamond	0
Explain how the policy will be monitored or evaluated to check that it works	0	0		V	0

Please add any comments or suggestions on what MIQ could improve the quality of its policy advice in the COVID-19 Response portfolio, or examples of what has worked well that should be continued.							
I've a	I've appreciated the concise nature of MIQ related advice. Thank you!						
Overall p	erform	ance					
5	I have confidence in the policy advice in the COVID-19 Response portfolio provided by MIQ:						
Nev	/er	Some of the time	About half the time	Most of the time	Always		
			0		⊘		
I have trust in the officials I engage with from MIQ about the COVID-19 Response portfolio:							
Nev	/er	Some of the time	About half the time	Most of the time	Always		
					\checkmark		
7	What is	one thing that MIQ (could do better in the CC	OVID-19 Response po	rtfolio?		
Ke	ep up t	he good work!					