

BRIEFING

Budget 2022: Insurance Claims Resolution Initiative

Date:	1 December 2021	Priority:	High	
Security classification:	Budget - Sensitive	Tracking number:	2122-1964	

Action sought		
	Action sought	Deadline
Hon Dr Megan Woods Associate Minister of Finance	Agree to the title and description of the Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 2022 initiative, and the funding amount sought. Agree to sign and send to the Minister of Finance the annexed Ministerial letter to formally submit the proposed Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 2022 initiative.	8 December 2021

Contact for telephone discussion (if required)								
Name	Position	Telephone	1st contact					
Darren Wright	Director, Greater Christchurch Claims Resolution Service	Privacy of natural persons	✓					
Ingrid Bayliss	General Manager, Building and Tenancy, MBIE							

The following departments/agencies have been consulted							
The Treasury							
Minister's office to complete:	☐ Approved	☐ Declined					
	□ Noted	☐ Needs change					
	☐ Seen	Overtaken by Events					
	☐ See Minister's Notes	☐ Withdrawn					

BRIEFING

Budget 2022: Insurance Claims Resolution Initiative

Date:	1 December 2021	Priority:	High	
Security classification:	Budget - Sensitive	Tracking number:	2122-1964	

Purpose

To provide you with information about the Budget 2022 bid initiative for the Insurance Claims Resolution appropriation.

This briefing also seeks your agreement to:

- the title and description of the Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 2022 initiative (Budget 2022 initiative);
- sign and send the annexed Ministerial letter to the Minister of Finance, to submit the proposed Budget 2022 initiative.

Recommended action

The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) recommends that you:

- Note that on 22 October 2021, you were invited by the Minister of Finance to submit a Budget 2022 initiative to address cost pressures in the Greater Christchurch Claims Resolution Service (GCCRS) and Residential Advisory Service (RAS); both services have time-limited funding and would cease operations before the end of 2022 without additional funding.
- b **Note** that the Budget 2022 initiative will enable GCCRS and RAS to continue helping homeowners to resolve their residential insurance claims resulting from the Canterbury earthquakes, and other national natural disasters, for the 2022/23 financial year.
- Note that MBIE is currently under significant fiscal pressure with the loss of third-party revenue and that these additional pressures are limiting the scope to further re-prioritise within existing baselines to address funding gaps.
- d **Note** that the following proposed funding is sought to ensure the continued operation of GCCRS and RAS:

Operating funding sought (\$m)	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2023/24	2025/26 & outyears	Total
GCCRS		3.800				3.800
RAS		0.200				0.200
Total		4.000				4.000

Noted

Noted

Noted

Noted

e Agree to the title and description of the Budget 2022 initiative as follows:

Title: Continuing support for homeowners affected by Canterbury Earthquakes and other natural disasters.

Description: This initiative will enable the Greater Christchurch Claims Resolution Service (GCCRS) and the Residential Advisory Service (RAS) to continue to provide services to help homeowners with their unresolved residential insurance claims resulting from the Canterbury earthquakes, and other natural disasters nationally. GCCRS and RAS provide independent and personalised case management, expert advice, and dispute resolution services; contributing to the timely, fair and enduring resolution of insurance claims. Both services are unfunded beyond June 2022.

Agree / Disagree

Agree to sign and send the annexed Ministerial letter to the Minister of Finance by 5pm Friday, 10 December 2021, in order for the proposed Budget 2022 initiative to be formally submitted.

Agree / Disagree

9 Note that, upon your approval, the annexed initiative summary will be submitted to The Treasury by 5pm Friday, 10 December 2021.

Noted

h Note that you will shortly receive advice on the recommendation from the Public Inquiry into the Earthquake Commission to develop a standing service to support claimants after a natural disaster; this may impact the future of RAS and GCCRS.

Noted

Ingrid Bayliss

General Manager, Building and Tenancy

30,11,2021

Hon or Megan Woods

Associate Minister of Finance

8,12,21

Background

- The Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 2022 initiative seeks funding for the continued operation of the Greater Christchurch Claims Resolution Service (GCCRS) and the Residential Advisory Service (RAS) for the 2022/23 financial year.
- 2. GCCRS and RAS help homeowners with their unresolved insurance claims allowing them to repair or rebuild their homes following the Canterbury earthquake sequence, and other natural disasters nationally. These services provide independent and personalised case management, expert advice and dispute resolution services to support homeowners through the claims process. These independent services work closely with each other as well as with the Earthquake Commission, Southern Response, and other insurers to facilitate a transparent claims process for homeowners to achieve fair, timely and enduring settlements.
- 3. GCCRS and RAS sought funding for the first time in Budget 2019, in which it received funding for two years to June 2021. In Budget 2021, it sought funding for a further two years to June 2023, but only received funding for one year to June 2022.
- 4. A Budget 2022 funding initiative will enable GCCRS and RAS to continue for an additional year.

Budget 2022 process

- Budget 2022 is an invitation-only process, focusing on critical cost pressures and highpriority, time-sensitive manifesto commitments.
- 6. You requested consideration from the Minister of Finance to invite a submission of an Insurance Claims Resolution budget initiative in a letter dated 23 September 2021. The Hon Grant Robertson responded to you in a letter dated 22 October 2021, inviting the initiative.
- 7. In his letter of invitation, the Minister of Finance advised that he considers this initiative to be low-risk involving a small quantum of funding. Therefore this Budget 2022 initiative only requires completion of the delivery aspects of the value-for-money information and analysis in the initiative template.
- 8. Ministers seeking funding through Budget 2022 are required to send a letter to the Minister of Finance, providing an overview of all invited initiatives submitted in their Portfolio. MBIE has drafted a letter outlining the costs for this initiative for you to approve, sign and send to the Minister of Finance by 5pm Friday, 10 December 2021 (see Annex One).

Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 2022 Bid

9. The Budget 2022 initiative seeks a total of \$4.000 million in funding; \$3.800 million for GCCRS and \$0.200 million funding for RAS for one year (see Annex Two). The table below provides an overview of the proposed bid:

Operating funding sought (\$m)	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2023/24	2025/26 & outyears	Total
GCCRS		3.800			A , YEERS	3.800
RAS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.200				0.200
Total		4.000	MARKET BY	The Pie		4.000

Funding the ongoing demand for GCCRS

- 10. GCCRS has resolved over 2,600 cases since its establishment in October 2018. The service is currently working on just under 850 open cases, and currently registers around 50 new cases a month. GCCRS' effectiveness can be demonstrated by the fact that 94 per cent of homeowners who have used the service would recommend it to others.
- 11. Approval of this initiative will enable GCCRS to continue to provide a homeowner-centric claims handling and case management service, focused on timely, fair and enduring settlements of their Canterbury claims. Continued GCCRS funding would also provide support to those entitled to pursue settlement through the Government's On-sold and Southern Response payment packages.
- 12. Overall, case numbers are gradually declining, and subject to no significant changes it is anticipated that no further funding will be required for GCCRS after the 2022/23 financial year.

Funding the continuation of RAS

- 13. RAS has supported nearly 6,500 homeowners throughout Aotearoa, offering practical, people-centred solutions, and responding to claimants needs. RAS offers homeowners advice and technical information to help them understand the factors that may impede their repairs and rebuilds.
- 14. Funding RAS for an additional year allows for current cases to be resolved, and for RAS to be more adequately prepared to respond to future natural disasters. RAS currently has over 100 active cases; around 70 of these are homeowners affected by the West Coast flooding with the remaining homeowners located in Canterbury, Kaikoura, Napier and Marlborough.

EQC Inquiry recommendation – implications for GCCRS and RAS

- 15. The Public Inquiry into the Earthquake Commission considered how the response to the Canterbury Earthquakes was handled, and how it can be best prepared for future events.
- 16. Recommendation 8.1.1 from the Inquiry promotes a more efficient and fair resolution of disputes in case of another major natural disaster:
 - Develop a standing dispute resolution mechanism that is robust, accessible, timely and responsive to complainants, drawing on the experience of the Canterbury earthquakes, including the experiences of EQC and claimants; this may include enacting legislation to support such a mechanism.
- 17. The Government accepted the recommendation in principle, subject to further consideration. The Minister Responsible for the Earthquake Commission directed MBIE to provide advice on options, including preferred options, for a standing dispute resolution mechanism by the end of 2021.
- 18. RAS has always had the potential to be an enduring insurance claims resolution service for New Zealand, while the intention for GCCRS has been for the service to phase-out as the Canterbury earthquake cases are resolved.
- 19. You will shortly receive advice on the development of a standing service to support claimants after a natural disaster, which may impact the future of RAS and GCCRS. Should a new national service be established, any active RAS and GCCRS cases would be transferred and funding received through this Budget 2022 initiative could be re-purposed. The scope of the Insurance Claims Resolution appropriation would still be valid.

Next steps

- 20. Subject to your agreement, MBIE will submit the Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 2022 initiative to the Treasury by 5pm Friday, 10 December 2021.
- 21. If you approve the annexed Ministerial letter, send the letter to the Minister of Finance by 5pm Friday, 10 December 2021.
- 22. MBIE will continue to align this Budget 2022 initiative with decisions to be made on the Inquiry recommendation to develop a standing dispute resolution mechanism.

Annexes

Annex One: Portfolio Minister's Submission Letter

Annex Two: Budget 2022 Initiative Summary

Annex One: Portfolio Minister's Submission Letter

(Attached)

Annex Two: Budget 2022 Initiative Summary

(Attached)

Hon Dr Megan Woods

MP for Wigram

Minister of Housing Minister of Energy and Resources Minister of Research, Science and Innovation

Associate Minister of Finance

Hon Grant Robertson Minister of Finance Parliament Buildings WELLINGTON



I am submitting the initiative outlined below for the Finance portfolio for consideration as part of the Budget 2022 process. I confirm that you have invited me to submit this initiative.

Total amount of funding sought:

Continuing support for homeowners affected by Canterbury Earthquakes and other natural disasters

Operating Funding Sought (\$m)		2020/21	2021/22		2022/23 2023/24 4.000		2024/25 & outyears		Total 4.000		
Capital Funding Sought (\$m)	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	Total

This initiative will enable the Greater Christchurch Claims Resolution Service (GCCRS) and the Residential Advisory Service (RAS) to continue to provide services to help homeowners with their unresolved residential insurance claims resulting from the Canterbury earthquakes, and other natural disasters nationally. GCCRS and RAS provide independent and personalised case management, expert advice, and dispute resolution services; contributing to the timely, fair and enduring resolution of insurance claims. Both services are unfunded beyond June 2022.

Without funding in the 2022/23 financial year, GCCRS and RAS will cease and homeowners will lose much needed support to settle unresolved claims, potentially resulting in adverse mental health and wellbeing impacts.

I look forward to working with you through the Budget 2022 process.

Yours sincerely

Hon D Megan Woods

Associate Minister of Finance

Budget 2022 Initiative Summary

Continuing support for homeowners affected by Canterbury Earthquakes and other natural disasters

Section 1: Overview

This section must be completed for all initiatives.

Section 1A: Basic Initiative Information

Lead Minister	Hon Dr Megan Woods, Assoc	ciate Mi	nister of Finance								
Department	Ministry of Business, Innovati	Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment									
What type of initiative is this?	Critical cost pressure initiative	X Manifesto commitment initiative		Health and Disability System Reform initiative							
	Climate Emergency Response Fund initiative	Climate Emergency Savings initiative Non-Spending initiative									
Initiative description [max 800 Characters]	Advisory Service (RAS) to co insurance claims resulting fro RAS provide independent and	ntinue t m the C d perso	o provide services to help home canterbury earthquakes, and oth nalised case management, expe	Service (GCCRS) and the Residential owners with their unresolved residential er natural disasters nationally. GCCRS and ert advice, and dispute resolution services; alms. Both services are unfunded beyond							
Is this a Cross-Vote initiative?	N										
Department contact	Privacy of natural pers	ons									
Treasury contact											

Section 1B: Total Funding Sought

Operating funding sought (\$m)	2	021/22	202	22/23	2023	/24	2024/2	25 &	2025/26 outyears		Total
				4.000					154		4.000
Capital funding sought (\$m)	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31	Total

Section 1C: Initiative Classifications

Is this initiative seeking funding from the Climate Emergency Response Fund (CERF)? [max 300 characters in CFISnet].	N								
Is this initiative climate- related, but not seeking funding from the CERF? [max 300 characters in CFISnet].	Υ		claims and on	Severe weather events result in insurance claims to repair damaged homes. Unresolved claims cause significant detrimental effects on the health, wellbeing and finances of familie and on the local economy. The initiative supports claimants to process/resolve their claims a timely manner. Climate forecasts indicate an increase in response need.					
Does this initiative align with the Crown's obligations under the Treaty of Waitangi?	Weak		This initiative recognises the importance of co-governance and representation through Ngāi Tahu representation on its Homeowner Advisory Group. The initiative is currently working through its obligations with local iwi. The initiative is a minimum viable cost pressure to continue an existing service.						e is currently working
Specify if this initiative will help reduce child poverty and describe the impact [max 300 characters in CFISnet].	No im	pact							
Does this initiative align with the Child and Youth Wellbeing Strategy?	Y		• C	childre fforda childre	n and young peopl ble, warm and dry. n and young peopl	e have w e are har	hat they need - the	y live in s ey have	outh Wellbeing strategy: stable housing that is spaces and opportunities
Does the initiative include funding to procure from NGOs?	Y		Comm	unity l	_aw Canterbury. Thible and tailored su	nis initiati pport to f	nity support through we will continue to s nomeowners. Legal nose who know thei	upport the advice t	year relationship with nat local relationship o homeowners is unity.
Does the initiative include funding to support digital and data related investments?	N								
Is this a regulatory or legislative initiative	N								
(according to the guidance provided)?									
provided)									
Is this a significant	N	If yes, pleas	e specif	y the t	ype of significant in	nitiative b	elow		
investment initiative per the definition at section 4.8 of the Budget 2022	N	Data / Digita		N	Physical Infrastructure	N	Organisational Transformation	N	Specialised Equipment
guidance?	See A	Innex A for fu	rther qu	ıestic	ns – mandatory to	comple	ete for all significa	nt initial	tives

Section 2: Cost pressure information

This section must be completed for all <u>cost pressure initiatives</u>. Skip this section for Manifesto Commitment, Savings, Non-Spending, Health and Disability System Reform (HDSR), Climate Emergency Response Fund (CERF) and Pre-Commitment initiatives.

See section 4.2 of the Budget 2022 guidance for more information on cost pressure initiatives.

Cost pressure driver	X	Volume	Price	Personnel (driven by volume/price)			
Cost pressure description	conti any f rema home Note decli Shou	nue to respond to the expecte unding available beyond June in operational for the last rem eowners through other disaste that GCCRS has now resolve ning. As such, the total operat	d levels of demand in the cor 2022. Additional funding of \$ aining Canterbury cases and rs in the rest of New Zealand d a large proportion of its cas ing costs for GCCRS is forect CCRS and RAS will need to s	es and demand for GCCRS services is			
	insur Octo signit Many ment these fair, e allow Whils	RS was established in Octobe ance claims. The Canterbury oper 2020) and the Southern Ricantly increased uptake of Grof GCCRS's outstanding cas al health and wellbeing; a nune homeowners have access to enduring and cost-effective set them to move on with their liv	On-sold Programme (which re- esponse compensation packed CCRS services over and about es represent the most complet aber of claims have been outst assistance with the insurance thements and been proven to es.	ang on around 850 unresolved residential eceived registrations from August 2019 to age (announced in December 2020) we that originally forecast in mid-2018. Ex cases and continue to affect homeowners' standing for close to a decade. Ensuring that e claims process has facilitated more timely, benefit the wellbeing of homeowners and a GCCRS must be prepared for any potential			
	disas exper lever	ter events nationwide for one ienced a significant increase i	more year. With the recent in n demand. RAS operates on two services are co-located.	rs with insurance issues related to natural crease in natural flooding disasters, RAS has a limited budget because it is able to Failure to fund GCCRS would result in RAS			
Cost pressure management	obligation of Crown o	ations to its people and supplications to its people and support MBIE will receive defunding ending in June 2022 and costs that have been absociated with becoming a carbone to fund activities within existings, RAS, and the Canterbury a services, which are funded of	ers after the loss of a signification for 2022/23 remains uncertated for 2022/23 remains uncertated for example MIQ). MBIE's fixed to ensure it remains a grant public service. These ing baselines. Earthquake Insurance Tribun	own injections to continue to meet its not portion of its third-party funding. The level n, and MBIE has a number of areas with time inancial position is also exposed to the pod public service employer and costs additional uncertainties are limiting MBIE's all (CEIT) mediation services are demand esolution Services appropriation (the			
	Appropriation). In the 2021/22 financial year, GCCRS services were able to be partly funded through leveraging underspends between the functions and active management of its funding. However, there are currently no available underspends from this financial year, and both GCCRS and RAS are unlikely to have any funding available beyond June 2022. Budget 22 funding is sought for the total cost of the initiative in 2022/23. Note, CEIT which received multi-year funding up to June 2023 (\$0.815 million for 2022/23) does not require						
Case for funding	The F	rther funding at this time. Report of the Public Inquiry into nation, technical support, med g than a court.	EQC highlighted that GCCR ation and binding determinat	S and RAS are valuable because they offer on in a far less costly and a more relaxed			

GCCRS and RAS are the only services currently offering a case management approach where experienced case managers work alongside homeowners to navigate the insurance process and provide support should a dispute resolution service be required.

Funding core services through the Crown gives both GCCRS and RAS the ability to retain their independence from insurers, resulting in better trust and buy-in from homeowners.

GCCRS

GCCRS has resolved over 2,600 cases since its establishment in October 2018. The service is currently working on just under 850 open cases, and currently registers around 50 new cases a month. GCCRS's effectiveness can be demonstrated by the fact that 94 per cent of homeowners who have used the service would recommend it to others.

A successful Insurance Claims Resolution Budget 22 funding initiative would enable GCCRS to continue to provide a homeowner-centric claims handling and case management service, focused on timely, fair and enduring settlements. The funding would allow GCCRS to continue to provide homeowners with free access to psychosocial support, expert technical and legal advice, and dispute resolution services; enabling homeowners to make decisions and move forward with confidence.

Continued GCCRS funding would provide support to the On-sold and Southern Response payment packages, helping people still experiencing frustration with residual insurance issues from the Canterbury earthquakes to move forward.

Overall, case numbers are gradually declining and subject to no significant changes, it is anticipated that no further funding is required for GCCRS after the 2022/23 financial year.

RAS

RAS is a successful national model and has supported nearly 6,500 homeowners throughout Aotearoa, offering practical, people-centred solutions, and responding to claimants needs. RAS offers homeowners advice and technical information to help them understand the factors that may impede their repairs and rebuilds.

Funding RAS for an additional year allows for current cases to be resolved, and for RAS to be more adequately prepared to respond to future natural disasters. RAS currently has over 100 active cases; around 70 of these support homeowners affected by the West Coast flooding with the remaining homeowners located in Canterbury, Kaikōura, Napier and Marlborough.

Advice on the future form of Government-led claimant support is being provided to the Minister responsible for the Earthquake Commission and the Associate Minister of Finance in December 2021, as a result of the EQC Inquiry recommendation for a standing dispute resolution mechanism to be developed.

If a new advisory mechanism were to be established, it is proposed that any GCCRS and RAS cases that are still active at that time would be transferred to the new national service.

Subject to the timing of decisions and implementation, any funding received through this initiative could be repurposed to fund a new mechanism in its first year. The scope of the appropriation would remain the same.

Section 3: Value - Exempt

<u>Section 3 must be completed for all initiatives</u>, unless exempted by the Minister of Finance in the invitation letter. Further information on the questions in this section can be found at **Annex Two** of the Budget 2022 guidance.

This section explains the initiative's value, drawing on elements of He Ara Waiora (section 3A) and the Living Standards Framework (Section 3D). For explanations of these two frameworks, please see the accompanying guidance.

Explanation

Intervention logic terms such as outputs, impacts, and goals can have different definitions. Please see table below for how the Treasury defines these concepts.

	Definition	Example					
Outputs	The good or service the initiative is purchasing.	The purchased goods are localised curriculum resources in te reo Māori, as well as the services of publishers, designers and story tellers.					
		Costs cover the design, development, distribution and maintenance of online tools, interactive electronic and hard copy resources to promote and provide teachers, students and whānau, and external providers with quality tools and resources to enable effective teaching and learning from offsite or the workplace using a range of online, distance and place-based delivery modes.					
Impact	The direct effect of the initiative.	 Increased whānau involvement in education which is a key driver to lifting student engagement and achievement. Improved student engagement and achievement in education that better reflects their identity, language and culture. Increased visibility of te reo Māori at schools and in the community. Learning programmes supported by quality te reo Māori resources. 					
Goals	What this initiative aims to achieve.	 Normalisation of te reo Māori used by teachers in the classroom, wider school and home. Increased student and whānau participation in and retention of te reo Māori learning. Increase in the quality of te reo Māori used by teachers and students. Attitudinal shift in the wider education community that te reo Māori is recognised as being for everyone. 					

Opportunity/Problem	What is the overarching opportunity or problem this initiative is responding to?
Section 3B: He Ara Walora	
Tikanga- decisions are made by the right decision-makers, following a tikanga process, according to tikanga values	Outline how the policy and implementation plan for this initiative have been or will be designed developed and/or delivered in partnership with iwi and Māori, relevant agencies, and with affected communities and groups. If not, please indicate the reasons why.
Manaakitanga- focus on improved wellbeing and enhanced mana for iwi and Māori, and for other affected communities and groups, demonstrating an ethic of care and mutual respect	Demonstrate the department's understanding of the distinctive priorities, values and aspiration of iwi and Māori, and affected communities and groups, in relation to this initiative, and how these are being accommodated. If not, please indicate the reasons why.
Section 3C: Outputs – The good or	service the initiative purchases
Output	Description
Name each output here (80-100 words)	Describe each output in detail. (Add additional lines to the table for more outputs)

Please repeat these							
Impact 1	Description of the impact	Please provide more detail on the impacts of the initiative, including any possible negative associated impacts. Will the initiative impact people and have other impacts e.g. improved environmental outcomes?					
		If the impacts are on people, are different groups impacted differently, and why? Examples may include different age-groups, location/regions, different service-requirements. For more-specific questions on distributional effects, please see section 3F below.					
	Quantification	Please quantify the impacts in a way that puts the number in context (e.g. percentage of land affected, proportion of people affected in relation to the population size). Please also monetise the present value gain or loss of the impacts if possible (CBAx model can help).					
		If quantification is not possible, please define and provide a qualitative assessment of the impacts (e.g. low, moderate, high).					
	Supporting Evidence	Provide relevant evidence (data/other information) for the impacts and outcomes you have identified.					
	Gaps in Evidence	Are there gaps, or uncertainties in applying the evidence that make it difficult to evaluate this initiative's value?					
	Assumptions	Please state any assumptions you are using.					
	Implications	Do the assumptions or gaps in evidence imply risks to the initiative achieving its impacts and outcomes?					

If the initiative is in an innovative policy or an early-stage initiative, what is your approach to understanding whether the initiative will achieve desired impacts?

Please repeat these	questions for each goal	
Goal 1	Description	Please describe in more detail the goal(s) of this initiative and how they link to the impacts described above ¹ . Please define them in terms of the LSF wellbeing domains and where relevant alignment to the He Ara Waiora principle of manaakitanga. In CFISnet, please identify the primary LSF domain and whether there is alignment with the He Ara Waiora principle of manaakitanga, referring to the guidance for the definitions of the 12 wellbeing domains. If you have identified any other goals, you may include them also.
	Quantification	Please quantify the goals of the initiative, if possible.
	Timeframes	Indicate if the goal will be realised in the short (<5 years), medium (5-10 years), or long term (>10 years). Please indicate whether, and why, goals vary across different timeframes.
	Evidence and Assumptions	If there is any additional information on evidence and assumptions beyond what has been identified through the impacts table above, please provide any additional evidence (data/other information) and assumption for the identified goals, including any gaps or uncertainties.
	Implications	Do the assumptions or gaps in evidence imply risks to the initiative achieving its goals?
		If the initiative is in an innovative policy or an early-stage initiative, what is your approach to understanding whether the initiative will achieve desired goals?

You are welcome to submit an intervention logic map to support the explanation of the relationship between outputs, impacts and outcomes.

Question 1: Does	-	Α	Direct		Indirect	1	1	No Impact			
nitiative have the types of distributi		ng	If direct, pleas	If direct, please complete Question 1B. If indirect or no impact, please progress to Question 2.							
mpacts for Māori		В	Targeted and t	Targeted and tailored Disproportionate positive impact for Māori		itive impact	C	Other (explain)			
			Please explain CFISnet].	Please explain why the initiative falls under the category identified in B above [max. 300 characters in CFISnet].							
Question 2: Does the initiative have the following types of distributional impacts for Pacific Peoples?		Α	Direct		Indirect	Maria de la Maria	1	No Impact			
		ng	If direct, pleas	e complete C	uestion 2B. If indirect or	no impact, please progr	ess to (Question 3.			
		В	Targeted and to		Disproportionate positive impact			Other (explain)			
			Please explain CFISnet].	Please explain why the initiative falls under the category identified in B above [max. 300 characters in CFISnet].							
Question 3: Does		Α	Direct		Indirect	N N	1	No Impact			
nitiative have the types of distributi		ng	If direct, pleas	If direct, please complete Question 3B. If indirect or no impact, please progress to Question 4.							
mpacts for childr		В	Targeted and for children	tailored	Disproportionate positive impact			Other (explain)			
			Please explain CFISnet].	why the initia	nry identified in B above [[max. 30	00 characters in				
Question 4: Does the N initiative have direct impacts on any other population groups?			If yes, please I	ist population	groups impacted, e.g. "Pe	oople with disabilities" [n	nax 300) characters in CFIS			
Question 5:	Y.	All of Ne	w Zealand	Gisbor	Gisborne Northland Hawke's Bay Offshore			Tasman			
What region Is this initiative		Areas o	ıtside regions	Hawke				Waikato			
expected to		Aucklan	d	Manav	vatu-Whanganui	Otago		Wellington			
mpact?		Bay of F	lenty	Maribo	rough	Southland		West Coast			
	Y	Canterb	irv	Nelsor	-	Taranaki					

Section 4: Alignment - Exempt

<u>Section 4 must be completed for all initiatives</u>, unless exempted by the Minister of Finance in the invitation letter. Further information on the questions in this section can be found at **Annex Two** of the Budget 2022 guidance.

Section 4A: Strategic	c Alignment
How does this initiative link with your strategic intentions/statement of intent?	Outline how the initiative aligns with agency strategy.
Does this initiative link with other sectoral or whole-of-government strategies (e.g. the Pacific Wellbeing Outcomes Frameworks)?	If yes, state the name of the strategy and briefly describe how the initiative aligns to or contributes to the strategy.
Does this initiative impact other agencies directly or indirectly? If so, how?	Outline impacts and interdependencies of your initiative on other organisations and individuals in the policy areas, sectors, or systems your agency operates in.

The Government's goals for	this em are
Continuing to keep New Accelerating the recover	Zealand safe from COVID-19 ry and rebuild from the impacts of COIVD-19
	for the future, including addressing key issues such as our climate change response, housing affordability
and calle poverty	

e Government's five wellb	eing Objectives are:
Just Transition: supporting	g the transition to a climate-resilient, sustainable, and low-emissions economy.
Future of Work: enabling through innovation	all New Zeelanders and New Zealand businesses to benefit from new technologies and lift productivity and wag
Physical and Mental Well the impacts of COVID-19	being: supporting improved health outcomes for all New Zealanders, including protecting New Zealanders from
hausing	Maori and Pacific Incomes, skills, and opportunities, including through access to affordable, safe, and stable
Child Wellbeing: reducing	child poverty and improving child wellbeing, including through access to affordable, sale, and stable housing
lease note: these objective	s have been agreed by Cabinat subject to wider consultation. The final versions of the objectives will be by Statement in December 2021.

Section 5: Delivery

<u>Section 5 must be completed for all initiatives</u>. Further information on the questions in this section can be found at **Annex Two** of the Budget 2022 guidance.

The answer must not exceed 1	-2 paragra	DNS.
How does the initiative link with existing initiatives with similar objectives?	EQC Inq another r	s part of a wider government response to resolving outstanding Canterbury earthquake insurance ther initiatives include: Christchurch High Court's Canterbury Earthquake List: aims to expedite earthquake-related cases by encouraging early identification of issues and exchange of expert reports. Approximately 93% of cases settle before trial. The List prioritises cases with precedent value, which enables other cases to settle. Canterbury Earthquakes Insurance Tribunal: provides Canterbury homeowners with a fair, speedy, flexible and cost-effective way to resolve their long-standing claims with insurers, however the tribunal will not consider issues with on-sold properties. Standard dispute resolution processes: including private mediation and financial service providers' dispute resolution schemes. Complaints about EQC can be made to the Parliamentary Ombudsman. Public Inquiry into EQC: considered how the response to the Canterbury Earthquakes was handled, and how it can be best prepared for future events – see below for more details. Investigating the use of test cases and judicial rulings: to resolve legal ambiguity in Canterbury-earthquake related cases. Amending the Earthquake Commission Act 1993: to simplify and speed up the handling of claims, and to enable EQC to publish all property-related information that it holds. uiry recommendation 8.1.1 promotes a more efficient and fair resolution of disputes in case of major natural disaster: Develop a standing dispute resolution mechanism that is robust, accessible, timely and responsive to complainants, drawing on the experience of the Canterbury earthquakes, including the experiences of EQC and claimants; this may include enacting legislation to support and amechanism. ernment accepted the recommendation in principle, subject to further consideration. The Minister ible for the Earthquake Commission directed MBIE to provide advice on options, including toptions, for a standing dispute resolution mechanism by the end of 2021. always had the potential to
Is the initiative an expansion or a cost pressure for an existing initiative?	Y	The funding sought is for cost pressure for an existing initiative. GCCRS continues to receive new cases at a steady but slowing rate. Funding is required to ensure insurance claims continut to be resolved. The demand for RAS continues as disaster events, especially floods, appear to be occurring more frequently or at least not abating.

				Opera	iting Funding	profile	(\$m)				
		2021/22		2022/23	20	23/24		2024/25	&	2025/26 outyears	Tota
Existing funding for this/similar initiatives		8.140		0.815							8.955
Total funding sought for this initiative				4.000		1					4.000
% change between existing funding and funding sought				+390%							
Comments (optional)	is a separate	e initiative	; it receive	d multi-yea	d CEIT. This B ar funding up to	o June 2	2023. The	\$0.815m a	bove is the	2022/23 pc	. CEIT ortion of
	2022/23. 20 phased out)22/23 cos once all C	ts are lower anterbury	er than pre cases are	nt from Budget vious years du resolved. ovided to Minis	e to dec	lining den	nand for GO	er the oper CCRS, GC	rating costs CRS will be	for
	2022/23. 20 phased out)22/23 cos once all C	ts are lower anterbury	er than pre cases are s being pr	vious years du resolved.	ue to deco	clining den December	nand for GO	er the oper CCRS. GC	rating costs CRS will be	for
	2022/23. 20 phased out)22/23 cos once all C	ts are lower anterbury	er than pre cases are s being pr	vious years du resolved. ovided to Minis tal Funding p	ue to deco	clining den December	nand for GO	er the oper CCRS, GC 29/30	rating costs CRS will be	for
Existing funding for this/similar initiatives	2022/23. 20 phased out Advice abou	022/23 cos once all C ut the futur	ts are lowe anterbury re of RAS i	er than pre cases are s being pr Capi	vious years du resolved. ovided to Minis tal Funding p	ue to deconsters in Corolle (\$	clining den December m)	nand for G0 2021.	CCRS. ĠC	CRS will be	
for this/similar	2022/23. 20 phased out Advice abou	022/23 cos once all C ut the futur	ts are lowe anterbury re of RAS i	er than pre cases are s being pr Capi	vious years du resolved. ovided to Minis tal Funding p	ue to deconsters in Corolle (\$	clining den December m)	nand for G0 2021.	CCRS. ĠC	CRS will be	
for this/similar initiatives Total funding sought for this	2022/23. 20 phased out Advice abou	022/23 cos once all C ut the futur	ts are lowe anterbury re of RAS i	er than pre cases are s being pr Capi	vious years du resolved. ovided to Minis tal Funding p	ue to deconsters in Corolle (\$	clining den December m)	nand for G0 2021.	CCRS. ĠC	CRS will be	

Section 5B: Funding sought by input

Provide a breakdown of what the requested funding will purchase. Briefly explain the formula used, or key assumptions made, to calculate the cost of each output. Add additional rows to the table as needed to capture each output separately. Please include which Vote(s) will be impacted by each component.

Formula and assumptions underlying costings

The \$4.000m sought from Budget 22 will be the only funding to cover the operating costs of GCCRS and RAS for 2022/23. 2022/23 costs are lower than previous years due to declining demand for GCCRS. GCCRS will be phased-out once all Canterbury cases are resolved.

The number of FTEs of 18 stated below is the number projected for the start of the 2022/23. FTE is expected to reduce to seven by the end of 2022/23.

RAS is an event/demand driven service. It has one FTE to manage the service and, as required, uses GCCRS (which has ongoing demand) FTE to deliver its services.

		Fund	ling profile (\$m)			Total
Input – Operating [Enter <u>one number value</u> per field only into CFISnet]	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26 & outyears	Number values only, i.e. 15 or 100000. Do not enter any text, \$ signs or % signs.
The State of the S		Input In	formation			
GCCRS		-><	1.7			STATE OF
Staffing FTE/Contractors		1.680				1.680
External Consultants/ facilities/Other		1.042				1.042
Ministry Administration/ Overheads		0.658				0.658
Governance		0.120				0.120
Technical support		0.300				0.300
GCCRS sub-total		3.8000				3.8000
RAS						
Staffing FTE/Contractors/Consultants		0.160			1,170	0.160
Ministry Administration/ Overheads		0.040				0.040
RAS sub-total		0.200				0.200
Total		4.000				4.000
THE MICHAEL TO THE PARTY.	FTE	-specific Input Int	formation (if appli	cable)		D_188800 18

New FTE funding	D		1.	680							1.680
New contractor funding	1 4 1	FT H		0							0
Additional FTE overhead funding	AUT		0.	658							0.658
Total	N 0 = N		2.	338							2.338
# of FTE's (employees and/or contractors)		The number of FTEs of 18 stated here is the number at the start of the 2022/23. FTE is expected to reduce to seven by the end of the year.									
What's the % increase in FTE compared to baseline FTE numbers	This initiativ 22.	This initiative is already operating but funding is sought for each year anew. FTE for 2021/22 is									
				F	unding pr	ofile (\$m)		775			Total
Input - Capital	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31	
Total											
Appropriations T	he initiative re	equires no	change to t	he existing	Insurance	Claims Re	solution an	propriation			

Section 5C: Options analysis

The answer must not exceed 1-2 paragraphs

Options analysis

GCCRS and RAS are already operating effectively, and funding is being sought for operational expenses only. The funding sought will *not* result in an expansion of the current service and, in fact, GCCRS operations are forecast to wind down, hence the lower operating cost. MBIE anticipates that funding will not be required for GCCRS after June 2023 as long as settings remain the same.

GCCRS could fund some of its service offering by recovering some costs from EQC and private insurers. However, recovering more costs from insurers would severely compromise the perception of GCCRS being independent from the influence of insurers. The independence factor is critical in building trust with homeowners. Without trust in the service, fewer people will feel comfortable bringing claims to GCCRS, hindering the service's ability to resolve the number of outstanding claims in Canterbury and prolonging the economic and mental health impacts of unresolved claims.

There is no possibility to defer funding requests for GCCRS and RAS to future Budgets because of the current demand and the need for timely resolution of claims (some claims have been outstanding for a number of years, impacting claimants' quality of life).

Counter-factual question

A failure to fund, or a reduction in funding, would result in homeowners who use or will need to use the service losing access to ongoing or future support. Homeowners would be exposed to costly legal proceedings or expensive advocates who will fill this void.

The remaining unresolved residential claims involve complex technical and legal issues, and often larger amounts of money. Prior to the establishment of GCCRS, the rate of settlement slowed significantly. If GCCRS does not receive funding, the settlement rate could stall again, and a large number of Canterbury claims could remain unsettled for years.

The uncertainty and lack of closure are likely to adversely affect claimants' mental health and wellbeing. Delayed resolution of claims could contribute to public perception of a failing and inadequate dispute resolution system. Failure to fund would likely see more cases enter the courts system. However, that settlement mechanism would only be available to those claimants that can afford it.

If funding is not received, both GCCRS and RAS would cease registering new cases in February 2022 and aim to support the remaining registered cases through to June 2022.

Section 5D: Scaled option

The answer must not exceed 1-2 paragraphs.

Option overview

A scaled option is **not** viable. GCCRS is expected to reduce operations in response to lower demand anyway. The operating budget for 2022/23 is \$4.000 million compared to \$6.766 million for 2021/22. Moreover, in the service's final year it is essential now more than ever that there is sufficient funding to close all remaining claims.

GCCRS could not maintain some of its service offering by, for example, recovering more costs from EQC and private insurers because the perception of GCCRS being independent from the influence of insurers would then be severely compromised. The independence factor is critical in building trust with homeowners; without trust in the service, fewer people will feel comfortable bringing claims to GCCRS, hindering the service's ability to resolve the number of

outstanding claims in Canterbury. It is also noteworthy that approximately 80 per cent of all GCCRS claims are with Crown entities EQC and SRES. Provide a breakdown of what the minimum viable option would purchase. If the formula used or key assumptions made differ from those used for the primary option, briefly explain these. Add additional rows to the table as needed to capture each output separately. Formula and Explain if different from primary option. **Assumptions** N/A Operating Funding profile (\$m) 2025/26 2023/24 2022/23 2024/25 Input - Operating 2021/22 & outyears Total Total Capital Funding profile (\$m) 22/23 26/27 27/28 28/29 29/30 30/31 Total Input - Capital 21/22 23/24 24/25 25/26 Total

Section 5E: Monitoring and Evaluation

The answer must not exceed 1-2 paragraphs.

Appropriations

An independent advisory committee maintains oversight of GCCRS performance and objectives. It provides coordinated advice and recommendations for service improvements, and reports to MBIE, Treasury, and Ministers.

The initiative relates to the existing Insurance Claims Resolution appropriation

As part of GCCRS's ongoing operation, MBIE monitors and evaluates key aspects of the service, especially the Internal Dispute Resolution Service (IDRS), to ensure it continues to meet the needs of homeowners.

MBIE maintains oversight and monitoring of RAS.

Key performance indicators for this initiative includes caseloads, timeframes, settlement rates, homeowner satisfaction, and other statistical and qualitative information.

Section 5F: Implementation readiness	
The answer to each question must not exceed 1-2 paragraphs.	
Workforce: Are additional FTEs or contractors required?	N If yes, what kind of skills will be required e.g. policy analysts, administrative support, frontline facing staff?
	What is the ability to secure the required FTE, considering relevant departmental vacancy information, turnover rates and average salaries of similar roles? [max. 300 characters in CFISnet].
Workforce: Resourcing considerations	If the Public Service Commissioner's Public Service Pay Guidance is relevant to the initiative, how has this been considered in the development of this initiative? Any planned mitigations to reduce any resourcing issues (for example, work programme reprioritisation, in-house training, retention strategies)?
Timeframes	GCCRS and RAS are already in operation.
Delivery Risks	Demand for services may reduce sooner than anticipated. Any surplus funds can be returned to the Crown or reprioritised to fund a claims resolution mechanism currently being considered by the Government in response to recommendations made by the EQC Inquiry into the Earthquake Commission. MBIE is providing advice to the Minister Responsible for the Earthquake Commission and Associate Minister of Finance on options in December 2021. A major disaster outside of Canterbury may result in an increase in demand for the support services provided by RAS.
	Additional funding will be sought through Cabinet as and when required after a major event.
Market capacity	The initiative is not likely to have capacity or capability issues if disaster events are of low-moderate scale, but it would face constraints if there was another major disaster like the Canterbury Earthquake Sequence. In this event, there would be proven services and processes in place, but capacity may be an issue. Expertise could be brought in from outside the impacted region to increase capacity.
Previous delivery experience	The initiative is already in operation. GCCRS and RAS have assisted claimants in recent earthquakes and floods and appears to have resulted in fewer disputes required to be resolved by the financial dispute resolution schemes or the courts.