



## COVERSHEET

<b>Organisational submissions on Discussion Document</b>	Supporting Sustainable Freedom Camping in Aotearoa New Zealand – organisational submissions	<b>Date to be published</b>	28 July 2022
--	---	-----------------------------	--------------

### List of documents that have been proactively released

Date	Title	Author
April/May 2021	<i>[Survey Submissions from businesses and organisations]</i>	<i>[Various businesses and organisations]</i>

### Information redacted

**YES**

These submissions were provided to MBIE during consultation of the Discussion Document 'Supporting Sustainable Freedom Camping in Aotearoa New Zealand' between April and May 2021. The submissions are from a survey which answered set questions. Please note that these have been imported from Excel spreadsheets. As such, each individual survey submission spans across six pages.

Any information redacted in this document is redacted in accordance with MBIE's policy on Proactive Release and is labelled with the reason for redaction. This may include information that would be redacted if this information was requested under Official Information Act 1982. Where this is the case, the reasons for withholding information are listed below. Where information has been withheld, no public interest has been identified that would outweigh the reasons for withholding it.

- Commercial information (such as information on how the changes will affect the submitter's business)
- Privacy of natural persons (such as personal contact information that is not publicly available)

Organisations that are included in these submissions include:

- Haast River Motels & Holiday Park
- Lavendyl Lavender Farm
- Ruakaka Beach Holiday Park
- Havelock Holiday Park
- CamperCo Campervan Hire
- Parklands Marina Holiday Park
- Parklife Tourism Limited
- Resilient Dunedin Inc
- Riverton Holiday Park
- Whanganui Seaside Holiday Park
- Glentunnel Holiday Park
- Caravan Connection
- Feilding Holiday Park

- Blenheim Bridges Holiday Park
- Baylys Beach Holiday Park
- Harbourside Holiday Park
- Palmerston North Holiday Park
- Ashburton Holiday Park
- Whananaki Holiday Park
- Belt Road Seaside Holiday Park
- Gentle Annie Seaside Accommodation & Camping Ground
- Mangawhai Heads Holiday Park
- Opotiki Holiday Park
- Greytown Campground
- Ka Iwi Beach Holiday Park
- Fortrose Café and Restaurant
- Sunset Motel
- Just the Ducks Nuts
- Self-Contained New Zealand
- Kaikoura Gateway Motor Lodge
- Thornton Beach Holiday Park
- Campervan Hire New Zealand Bayofislandscampervans
- Cosy Corner Holiday Park
- Little Green Tours
- Taumarunui Holiday Park
- Pines Holiday Park
- Whanganui River Top 10 Holiday Park
- Opoutere Beach Coastal Camping
- Woodend Beach Holiday Park
- Whangaruru Beachfront Camp
- Tirohanga Beach Motor Camp
- Coronation Holiday Park
- Greenstone Retreat Kumara Accommodation
- Bella Vista Motel Taupo
- Catlins Property & Maintenance Limited
- Wentworth Heights B & B
- Mojo Campers
- Cosy Cottage Thermal Holiday Park
- Oreti River House
- Golden Bay Kayaks
- Tripinn Hostel

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12661670872	402352763	05/17/2021 09:54:23 AM	05/17/2021 09:55:09 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12661639274	402352763	05/17/2021 09:22:58 AM	05/17/2021 09:49:53 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Rarely	info@haastrivermotels.co.nz	Disagree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12661465875	402352763	05/17/2021 06:35:19 AM	05/17/2021 07:01:34 AM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Agree			Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12660849808	402352763	05/16/2021 10:50:49 PM	05/16/2021 11:13:31 PM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site		
12660803136	402352763	05/16/2021 10:03:05 PM	05/16/2021 10:38:03 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
Excessive noise											
			Support	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Commercial Information	they won't stay at a holiday park if they can stay for free on the beach or the river
		Private vehicles that are certified but not sure how given the types of vehicles they are	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	This is overkill and unnecessary I don't think it will change much except killing the rental industry. It will not stop private vehicles doing it.
			Support	Likely	Likely		Likely	Likely		Commercial Information	Whilst I support this, I think the main issue is the international 'cheap end' visitors , here I think the rental companies need to bear more responsibility. I think it is terrible that commercial rental places offer a "refundable bond" if a toilet is not used. So they benefit from the sticker but positively encourage patrons not to use the toilet. I also think rental companies should be responsible for holding a large bond to be used against "fines" . This would be a good way to control this cheaper end of the market, making the companies who are benefitting responsible for monitoring and good behaviour.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	We are not freedom campers, but provide quality accommodation for tourists and holiday makers at our property. It makes us very uncomfortable to see the inconsiderate behaviour of freedom campers and the negative impact they have on the environment.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	Would increase people trying to come and dump their rubbish and pinch showers	Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Commercial Information
prefer not to say.	n.a	Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	I think this should be exempt where toilets are available. I would like to see the rules and signage re the distinction between vans and tents being clearer.	Strongly support	Likely	Likely		Neutral	Neutral	Likely	
	All campers should be in designated camping grounds with adequate facilities if their vans do not have properly plumbed in toilets. Van with a potty toilets should not be eligible for certification as self-contained. The majority of the occupants do not ever use these toilets yet consider they should be free to camp wherever they wish.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
As long as it policed they would have to pay to stay at a holiday park if they don't have a toilet	Commercial Information	Support	Strongly support	\$300	court dumping waste or rubbish	Yes
This is not that different from the current state, as a rental company, we educate our guests on where they can and cant stay. I think this will mainly impact the private sector where i believe the largest issues are.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	2500	Repeated toilet waste infringements	Neutral
I support this distiction for sites where toilets are available as I think this is what the country should be aiming towards is more free or low cost sites with toilets and rubbish collection. There are some good examples.. warrington where this works well.		Strongly support	Strongly support	No maximum needed	Where a previous fine has been issued and not yet paid. There should be a system whereby campers are NOT allowed to continue to use any freedom sites until they have paid their previous fine.	Yes
		Strongly support	Support	\$500	When an offense is repeated after preliminary warning(s) particularly where there has been blatant disrespect for the environment and for other visitors to that location.	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Neutral	Yes	So that they can't dump their porta pots on beaches and rivers		No
A national regulatory system would be the best action the government could take, it will remove a lot of the older uncertified vehicles from the road and encourage responsible camping in a suitable vehicle. Whilst I support stronger penalties, I think there needs to be a little more detail around when a vehicle is confiscated and who is liable - I do believe the rental vehicle association needs to be consulted on this a bit further.	Strongly disagree	No	I believe that the cassette toilets have a suitable holding tank and that there should be more work around education and penalties for not disposing of the waste or using the toilets properly	No	Yes
Totally support the companies being forced to collect fines if their customers don't pay.	Neutral	No	I think NZ campers could have different standards to 'rental companies'. Look at the figures. The main problem is the cheaper end of the international tourists and a lot of those use rental companies. I think permanently plumbed for rental companies would be a good compromise towards keeping freedom camping flexible for new zealanders whilst making it stricter standards for rental companies. This would particularly affect the lower end, which is where the majority of the problem lies.		Yes
Warning campers to be aware of their responsibility towards protecting the environment for the enjoyment of all or face the consequences.	Strongly agree	Yes	Portable toilets are less likely to be used for privacy reasons and lack of capacity for longer stays.	There should be adequate storage for all waste.	Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
No Certificates no freedom camping blanket ban	They have already has time to get this done	
Education for the privately owned vehicle owners who I believe are the biggest issue.	Provide toilets/places to wash etc. maybe vouchers for campgrounds.	The proposed changes will impact an entire industry, my view is that the majority of issues are stemmed from privately owned vehicles. The vast majority of rental operators provide functional vehicles as well as education and guides on the best way to explore NZ responsibly. Our average hire length is 15 days, the majority of our customers, and are looking to freedom camp not free camp - if there were facilities in the more remote places I am confident that these travellers would pay for them. It is rare that our hirers will not pay for some form of a campsite during their lengthy hires. A lot of the issues we have is that the tourist spots are overcrowded. As a rental operator we have the opportunity to educate our guests pre hire - I believe the fundamental issue here is privately owned vehicles that do not educate people who hire from them or have no intention of paying for a campsite.
	Important to do so but I don't know how.	Overall I think we need to be looking at spending more to develop more cheaper end camping options with basic toilet and rubbish facilities.
Give advise about what the new requirements will mean	By ensuring that there are areas where these people can go to get help and advise.	The important thing is not to have campers overcrowding and damaging pristine natural areas by creating adequate areas for campers to camp overnight - preferably away from fragile areas, and to ensure that all self-contained vehicles comply with more rigorous requirements.



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?						
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter	Waste
12660767516	402352763	05/16/2021 09:28:07 PM	05/16/2021 10:08:32 PM	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree							
12660717836	402352763	05/16/2021 08:39:58 PM	05/16/2021 09:09:59 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury		Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	
12660679019	402352763	05/16/2021 08:06:11 PM	05/16/2021 09:33:16 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	info@lavenderfarm.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12660557599	402352763	05/16/2021 05:40:26 PM	05/16/2021 06:31:58 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed	rubbish dumped from local rascals	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Unfair and nasty prosecution of the homeless
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	The small van mainly international travelers would need to stay in paid sites with facilities or change their travel style to include paid accommodation.
		I have been on the receiving end of intimidating behaviour by campers in unsuitable vehicles expecting me to fund disposal of their waste and/or ablutions. There is little understanding in this group if the need for freedom campers to fund their own expenses. The term "Free" camping is being taken literally and confused with "Freedom" camping.	Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	For me the key component of Freedom camping is "Leave no Trace". Given the large number of foreign visitors to NZ who also Freedom Camp I believe it is important for the rules to be as unambiguous as possible. Thus my choice of Option1 - only certified vehicles can freedom camp. There are already some low cost camp sites providing the basics for those vehicles that are not certified already. I believe that if the rules are changed more of these will be created to meet the demand from people looking for low cost camping alternatives. It is clear and thus easy to implement.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	We currently (pre covid) experience instances of people in cheap self built so called self contained vans sneaking into our hostel to use showers and other facilities - this has been an issue for many years.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
It's not about money, it's about humanity	I've done heaps of camping all over nz. The rules dont support the average camper and only make for discrimination. A gross use of authority. And why pay a ranger to issue callous fines, seem	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	There still need to be controls on self contained vans. 50 vans parked along the beachfront in front of your house are still a problem. Councils still have to be able to make sensible decisions like the Queenstown in town ban. The problem is scale 1 van parked somewhere occasionally isn't a problem. 10 every night maybe and 30 or more definitely.	Neutral	Likely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	The rule could only apply to vehicles within the 200m of a road. For Great Walks camping in tents would still need to be allowed but this could be covered quite explicitly. Additionally people camping in larger tents, with a fully functioning toilet tent, should be allowed to freedom camp as per a certified vehicle. No combination of vehicle and toilet tent should be allowed.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	no	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
We don't need more restrictions on freedom camping with this housing crisis	it's not about money, it's common decency and rights	Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	80 sounds reasonable. but what if they were intoxicated or mechanical issues..	None. That's draconian.	No
Many of these campers are already staying at sites with some facilities, it still makes travelling in small van a near free option, forcing councils to provide more resources which makes it a more attractive option which will require more resources ad infinitum	Commercial Information	Support	Strongly support	\$500	Multiple offences. Say 5 in a year,	Yes
This option does little to change the current situation. It leaves the situation ambiguous for foreign visitors. I believe this option will not work unless enforcing bodies are given the right to enter and verify that a vehicle does indeed have an accessible toilet. This would increase enforcement costs as officers would need to travel in pairs for safety.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	Persistent flouting of the Freedom Camping rules. Perhaps there should be a sliding scale of fines, backed by a database of vehicle infringement so that moving from one area to another doesn't mask bad behaviour. Allow 5 offences then confiscate. For rental vehicles the rental paperwork would confirm the rental start date to prevent previous offences being attached to subsequent leases.	Yes
Less numbers of people would buy/rent cheap non self-contained vans and perhaps chose to travel by public transport or budget rental cars and utilise proper accommodation such as hostels and commercial camping grounds which was the norm in NZ for many years	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	?	The same as for ordinary motor vehicles - I could not drive for long in a car without a WOF or registration without being stopped and ordered of the road.	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
<p>There's barley any issue with the current system. There are toilets at most campsites, there is no problem with waste. there could be better facilities and how about use the money that goes into enforcing these petty rules for say public wash facilities. A family on a day out could benefit just as much as a camper. I hope a decent approach is considered for all campers. Not just the wealthy who tend to complain about others.</p>	Strongly disagree	No	Portable toilets do just fine. Let's not get carried away by a few nay sayers.	Um, perhaps options for compostable toilets...	Yes
<p>Self containment is only a small part of the problem. The scale of camping is the problem. 50 or more vans in one spot are a big impact, visually and on local resources.</p>	Neutral	Neutral	A van with a usable port a potty is not really different than one with a cassette toilet. A port a potty is no more difficult to use and still needs to be emptied in a similar manner.		Yes
<p>Rental companies may balk at this. How much should they hold in case etc. It would be much better for fines to have to be paid instantly thus removing this need. However as the vehicle owner the rental company should be liable for the fines and up to them whether they recover them or not which is a slightly different slant to the question.</p>	Strongly agree	No	There is no need for a toilet to be permanently plumbed in order for it to be accessible at all times. It should however be in a separate compartment for privacy. I owned a caravan with a toilet cubicle and a portable toilet. Unless a vehicle intended for use by more than one person has a separate toilet cubicle the toilet will not be used.	The toilet cubicle should be accessible at all times! The kitchen facilities should be accessed inside the vehicle. Many vehicles only have kitchen facilities that are accessed while standing outside at the back of the vehicle. This is in indication that the vehicle is not really suitable. It should be possible for an average height person to be able to stand inside the vehicle.	No
?	Strongly agree	Yes	Proper camper vans have a toilet professionally built and installed in a seperate space accessed by a door and can be comfortably used while the vehicle is in motion. (look at any proper Maui Camper Van for instance) Why should there be a lesser standard for so called freedom campers? This is why we have the current mess.	A person should not be able to buy a cheap tradesmans van, go into bunnings and purchase a few plumbing fittings and then tell the world he has a proper self contained van	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Longer camping times and more spaces.	Not waste time and money on some unnesscessary and restrictive rules effecting the impoverished and vulnerable... Come on don't ya see the flaws in such authritive measures putting the disadvantaged at more risk ..	Thanks for making a survey to express concern. I hope its not farce.
Deal with the obvious flouters(cars and station wagons with stickers) immediately	Build more houses. I am sick of having people referred to us by MSD.	We have created a problem whereby a huge number of travelers are asking us to subsidise their life and travel style, The problem is really the size of the problem. Communities need to be able to say "that is just too many vans parked on our street" and Councils need a simple mechanism to pass a motion at a meeting, phone up the works department to put up a sign, and then be able to enforce the rules.
I think a distinction should be drawn between privately owned vehicles and vehicles used for hire. Vehicles privately owned and certified by one of the bodies that records the information in a database searchable by enforcement officers could retain their eligibility. These vehicles are known to have been certified by a reputable body. No other vehicle can confidently be assumed to be suitable for freedom camping and thus should be barred until it has been appropriately certified. It is important there is no grey area in this new legislation when tourists return. Vehicle hire companies could be made aware of this possibility and thus could get their vehicles registered by one of these bodies in advance of the legislation. In that way their commercial venture would not be compromised where they are leasing vehicles genuinely suitable for freedom camping.	Vulnerable groups registered with the local council should be exempted from the rules. However, as a recent migrant, I am appalled that the NZ government thinks it is acceptable for people made homeless to have to live in cars. Perhaps there also needs to be an immediate focus on central government providing basic emergency housing for such people. I thought I was migrating to a developed country and am truly appalled by the lack of social compassion and practical support provided here.	I meet many young travellers in my business. It has become apparent to me that there is huge misunderstanding about what freedom camping means. I believe this is a language issue. When English is not a first language, the contraction of "freedom" to "free" does not necessarily result in the right understanding. This also sets an expectation that coming here will be cheap as overnight accommodation is cost free after vehicle acquisition. It is important that correct and unambiguous language is used at all times. The requirement for freedom camping should be provided as part of the Visa process so there is no misconception.
	Perhaps the Government should address the housing crisis as a seperate issue. Or send homeless people to proper camping grounds / motor camps where there are proper toilet / shower / kitchen and recreation facilities. Do we seriously think we are solving the housing crisis by asking homeless families to live in a cramped camper van on the side of the road somewhere>	Bold action is needed to solve this issue - over recent years NZ has become known by budget travellers as a cheap destination, Buy an old van, put in a bit of plumbing and off you go travelling around with no costs except fuel and food. We need to stop this dead in it's tracks. 20 years ago this was unheard of - If people wanted to travel by van they hired a proper Maui or similar fully self contained Camper Van. They would freedom at scenic spots and on reaching urban areas head straight to one of NZ's superb motor parks / camping grounds with superb facilities and every amenity they could desire. Those that did not want to van would buy or rent a car or use public transport, and have a choice of accommodation to suit any budget or taste. This should be the ideal we should be aiming for and any lessening standards makes it easy for the cunning to manipulate regulations and standards.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12660552853	402352763	05/16/2021 05:30:52 PM	05/16/2021 07:44:36 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree			Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12660508000	402352763	05/16/2021 04:19:22 PM	05/16/2021 04:43:26 PM	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Rarely	Ruakakacamp@outlook.com	Strongly agree	Litter			Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12660502246	402352763	05/16/2021 04:11:08 PM	05/16/2021 04:19:56 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral		Waste				
12660500237	402352763	05/16/2021 04:05:16 PM	05/16/2021 04:36:06 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Rarely	Info@havelockholidaypark.kiwi	Agree					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12660470074	402352763	05/16/2021 03:02:42 PM	05/16/2021 03:50:58 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Agree						

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	If people have to be self contained then they won't need to use publicly funded toilets. Less cost for local rate payers.
			Neutral	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	If freedom camping was monitored and adhered to properly with good set boundaries everyone would prosper
			Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information	
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Un certified vehicles will need to use camp grounds in the area
	No issues observed		Support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	All our rental vans are certified self-contained under the current definition so there would be no impact. As a business we support responsible camping through this mechanism as well as customer education.



If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Eliminate one type of Freedom Camping. Maybe those people will use our Hostel instead.	No.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
		Support	Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Unlikely	Commercial Information
	No	Support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
n/a	This Proposal is likely to further disadvantage the homeless so Proposal 2 may be preferable but Proposal 1 would be better than no change at all!	Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
As local rate payers we would have to fund the toilets used by the non self contained vehicles. At present the facility blocks cost \$48 k to clean each year plus waste removal. We have 2 toilet blocks. Total cost would be over 100,000. Local residents have been funding foreigners holidays. Their local spend is small. Foreign visitors are supposed to add money to our community not take it away.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000. This will make people take it seriously. International visitors have come here with disposable income. Word would get around on the camping aps very quickly.	Yes	Yes
One rule would make it easier to follow and understand	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$250	If campers don't follow instruction to move or overstay then confiscation should follow.	Yes
		Neutral	Support	remain the same	n/a	No
Campgrounds will be useful more frequently		Support	Support	1000	Non complying campers	Yes
As per feedback on the previous Proposal, all our rental campervans are certified self-contained under the current definition so there would be no financial impact to our business.	n/a	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	Illegally claiming to be certified self-contained when they are not.	Neutral

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Yes	Because people with portable loos frequently dont use them. They have told me so on numerous occasions. They use cafe and public toilets instead. So they may as well be non self contained because the portable loos are too inconvenient and yucky in a small space.	Yes. Self contained shower and washing facilities. People in small Van's often sneak into motorcamps and Backpackers wanting to use showers without paying.	No
	Neutral	Neutral	Portaloos are sufficient but must be set up to be used anytime not under bed or out of reach		Neutral
	Strongly disagree	No			Yes
	Disagree	No	A certified portable toilet is sufficient		Yes
Rather than just issuing the blue sticker, windscreen card and certificate for passing the vehicle itself, it would be good for van owners (and even campervan rental customers) to obtain a "responsible camping licence"... which tests peoples understanding of how they should and shouldn't behave when camping. This may help to reduce ignorance and increase awareness. It's no guarantee but balances the emphasis between vehicle standards and people behaviour.	Strongly disagree	No	This Proposal would SIGNIFICANTLY impact the accessibility of camping for the average camper. Creating a little bach-on-wheels has almost become a national sport since Covid and we run a self-builders group which has grown to nearly 8000 members since July 2020. 90% of people polled in this group are opting for a portable toilet... because it's a cost-effective solution. The same people are very keen to meet the SCVS because they want to freedom camp responsibly. But this Proposal would disadvantage those that want to enjoy holidays in NZ but cannot afford large, expensive motorhomes!	As per the feedback on Proposal 3, the emphasis should be balanced between meeting vehicle standards and meeting a knowledge standard. People should be educated and tested to encourage better behaviour.	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Give everyone the same deadline. 1st January 2022	Appoint special camp grounds for people with community service cards only. They will need to have facilities as they will probably be unable to afford upgrading to self contained. Perhaps the Government needs to place these people into motels or introduce trailer parks like in the US. Not ideal but at least a roof over the head.	Freedom camping has got out of hand. The numbers need to be severely capped to a sustainable figure. Not grow exponentially according to market forces. This needs urgent intervention due to negative environmental and financial impacts. An unlimited number of Campervans belching out exhaust fumes will also have a negative impact on our pureNZ brand. The Government could give out a set number of Freedom Camping licences per year. Prioritising Kiwis. The current Model of Freedom Camping mainly benefits the cities that are located close to international airports. They rent and sell Campervans. So the Tourist accommodation dollar is spent before they arrive in the regions. Then the regions have to provide and maintain all the facilities. This type of Tourism is exploitative. The Campervan companies that are renting a selling Campervans are collecting most of the profits. They encourage the users to use Freedom Camping and bring back the unused toilet and receive a refund. I was told this by a person driving a wicked van. Also highly commercialized companies like Tourism Holdings distribute the profits to their shareholders. So big stakeholders like Govt ACC and Ngai Tahu benefit at the expense of the regions. This is not right and does not deliver the Labour parties 2016 election promise to spread the economic gain around the regions. Instead we get to fund the facilities while losing income. This cannot continue. People are super angry about it. Recently fires were lit under Campervans in Freedom Camping sites. The people were still in them! This is shocking but a wake up call. Numbers need to be regulated now before International Tourism starts again. This is the time to do it.
Set a period-maybe 12 months from when law is changed	Writing clear guidelines to follow by all parties	
If you are going to change the requirements then introduce a hard deadline like 12 months after the change. Otherwise people will flock to get their self-containment certificate re-issued before the rules change so they have 4 years until it expires. That's a long time! A fixed period of time of 12 months would potentially deter this.	Means-testing? Further investment in infrastructure and implementing Proposal 2 instead of 1.	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?						
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter	Waste
12660445185	402352763	05/16/2021 02:47:36 PM	05/16/2021 03:31:32 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Sometimes	info@camperco.co.nz	Neutral							
12660422792	402352763	05/16/2021 02:14:00 PM	05/16/2021 02:27:11 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree		Waste				Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12660415590	402352763	05/16/2021 02:04:11 PM	05/16/2021 02:05:29 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Often	Privacy of natural persons								
12660398664	402352763	05/16/2021 01:38:00 PM	05/16/2021 02:10:51 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Info@parktostay.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	
12660340008	402352763	05/16/2021 12:16:30 PM	05/16/2021 02:06:21 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Never	parklifetourism@bigpond.com	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed		Support	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Commercial Information	It would have a positive impact because all of our vehicles are certified self contained and our customers would continue to use our services.
			Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	As the main concern for me is waste, tents should not be exempt. Also, stickers are already used on vehicles but it doesn't mean they are adequately capturing or disposing of waste.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	We own a holiday park.
		Human waste found around trees, bushes and on beach areas	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	We own a fully compliant certified holiday park that is very rarely full and feel there is no need for freedom camping at all, but it has to be done in certified, W.O.F. tested vehicles in specific locations that do not impact on commercial operators or have adverse affect upon ratepayers or taxpayers.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	The only exception should be if the camping area provides approved toilet facilities and grey water disposal. In this instance there is no reason for a vehicle to be self contained.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
	No	Support	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Absolutely NO exemptions	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
our vehicles are all self contained under the current framework.		Support	Neutral	\$1,000	None except perhaps repeat offences from the SAME INDIVIDUAL rather than the same vehicle as this could potentially unfairly effect rental companies.	Neutral
Still don't believe people would have the correct facilities inside, but it's a step in the right direction.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	Second offence	No
We own a campground.	<b>Commercial Information</b>	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	Repeat offenders, monitored nationally.	Yes
This is the very problem we have now. The provision of toilet facilities at the Ratepayer or Taxpayers expense only encourages users to seek out these "Free" sites. The operational costs involved are nothing but a burden on us rate and tax payers and impact heavily on local commercial opertators.	<b>Commercial Information</b>  We have in the past also relied on travellers needing to work to pay there way, and therefore we lose on 2 accounts. 1. Staffing is difficult from the local Kiwi community, 2. Because the tsravellers dont need to work, they just travel around as cheaply as possible. If they are on working holiday visas, they should be working, paying tax, and enabling the tourism industry to recover and employ more staff. If we were less seasonal, we would be more attractive to Kiwi employee's.	Strongly support	Strongly support	The minimum fine has to be substantial and immediate i.e. On The Spot or in the case of rental vehicles fall upon the rental companies.	Confiscation should be a last resort, but refusal or inability to pay should result in confiscation. Issuing of a fine also has to include the vehicle being moved on with advice on local camp ground locations.	Yes



Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Provided the infringements can be emailed (as per our suggestion to DOC who were onboard with the idea) and therefore quickly passed on to the offender then I see no reason why the onus cannot be on us - the rental companies - to help implement the enforcement of infringements.	Strongly disagree	No	This would preclude a huge number of campervans/caravans owned by both Kiwis and rental companies from being able to be self contained. We strongly believe there should be more emphasis on providing proper facilities in key areas so people may continue to camp there - rather than just excluding a large number of vehicles. I think the key word above in MBIE's outline is 'anecdotal' - let's do some proper surveys and studies here before we jump the gun - I believe the current standards make it far more attractive to use a toilet inside a campervan rather than heading into the bush with toilet paper. 'Anecdotally' the problem lies with non-self contained freedom campers and not with self-contained ones.		Yes
Sufficient people on the ground to police.	Agree	Yes	It shows a commitment to doing the right thing with the waste.	The size of the vehicle so there is sufficient room to comfortably use the waste facility.	Yes
This would be a good step forward.	Strongly agree	Yes	Because they don't use the portable ones.	Shower and toilet permanently plumbed in, seperate and easy to use.	No
As in Europe and Canada vehicle ownership should be restricted to citizens or permanent residents as there are millions of dollar's in unpaid fines already proving that fines need to be on-the-spot for tourist drivers.	Strongly agree	Yes	This has to be a Health and Hygiene issue. Portable toilets jammed under a seat are not immediately accessible. I also think that the toilet should be in a seperated and doored area with hand washing facility.	These requirements have to be part of the W.O.F. or C.O.F. requirement and issued at reliable testing centres i.e VTNZ. This should be removed from the NZMCA realm.	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
none - this is the only way.	If managed correctly this process should not cost anyone significantly more and therefore should not unduly effect vulnerable populations.	Our main concern here and the reason for proposed changes is human effluent (also rubbish disposal but mainly human waste) - The PCE report <a href="https://www.pce.parliament.nz/publications/not-100-but-four-steps-closer-to-sustainable-tourism">https://www.pce.parliament.nz/publications/not-100-but-four-steps-closer-to-sustainable-tourism</a> recommends stronger requirements for self containment such as a non portable toilet and national oversight for freedom camping infringement. We support both of these recommendations in accordance with the following: 1) A cassette style permanently plumbed toilet should be the maximum requirement as that is industry standard for motorhome and coachbuilders. To require a blackwater tank would require a ridiculous amount of expense retrofitting of even the most high spec fitted and largest motorhomes and would ultimately rule out a large number of vehicles that should be considered suitable for freedom camping. 2) A nationally standardised infringement scheme with higher fines to prevent freedom camping and some standardised literature that rental companies can pass on to their customers about the changes and what they mean. We are happy to assist in the collection of fines. We would like to propose every council emails rather than posts infringement notices so that they arrive within 48 hours which makes our job of recovery so much simpler. Every rental company in NZ has an admin email address that they can provide for this purpose. If the council needs to post one as well then they are free to do so - but notification via email in the first instance is definitely the way to go. This proposal has been accepted by the National Compliance team at DOC via email "It will certainly be possible to serve the infringement notice by email. This coincides with the notice being dispatched by post which will occur even where an email address has been provided by a company." There are problems with the strictest changes proposed: 1) Many existing and long running tourism businesses have vehicles that CANNOT become compliant due to the size of their vehicles, and therefore would be rendered worthless overnight which would damage/shutdown important tourism companies. Mid sized campervans should not be non compliant under any changes. 2) It will make it substantially more difficult and expensive for MPI work visa businesses to find their seasonal workers as many use accommodation that is acceptable under the existing framework. 3) The life cycle of the customer - the people who come here and have a good time as young travellers and enjoy it here will bring their family back in 20 years. If you scare them off now and make them feel like villains they will not come back - there are plenty of other countries to go and visit. In other words - those who come as budget and environmentally conscious travellers now, are the same that come as middle aged hotel and resort spenders in years to come. They are NOT mutually exclusive. However there are ways we can fix the freedom camping issue without wrecking businesses: 1) Nudge theory - encourage the right thing to do. Make it easy to freedom camp in accordance with the new rules. 2) Support for councils to identify and provide proper facilities in places. A good example would be the toilet block in central kaikoura which encourages visitors to be in the centre of town - camp responsibly and also spend money in town and souvenir shops etc.
Not sure	Better tracking of the vehicles and who is using them; restricting the length of time a vehicle can be used as self-contained in one go; restricting the length of time the vehicle can stay in one place/region.	Thank you for the opportunity.
Set a deadline, 1/11/2021.	The govt already puts them into motels at great expense. Reduce the dole and send them to work to earn a living wherever possible, even if it is community projects.	
With no international arrivals in the near future we have an ideal opportunity to get the changes in place, we have a perfect transition period available.	I am convinced that the "vulnerable"population do not need to freedom camp . Nobody should be in a position where they" have" to live in a vehicle there is enough financial aid to prevent this from happening.	I personally do not support Freedom Camping at all as it is only sustainable at someone elses expense, but hopefully these proposals will help alleviate the main issues we have endured to date. Therefore proposals 1 and 3 and 4 seem to be part of the solution.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12660328286	402352763	05/16/2021 12:01:08 PM	05/16/2021 08:14:15 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12660205510	402352763	05/16/2021 09:33:44 AM	05/16/2021 09:45:03 AM	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Sometimes	resilientdunedin@gmail.com	Disagree	Litter	Waste				
12659119583	402352763	05/15/2021 05:44:43 PM	05/15/2021 06:11:05 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree						
12659003892	402352763	05/15/2021 03:25:28 PM	05/15/2021 04:29:17 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Rarely	info@rivertonholidaypark.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12658502345	402352763	05/15/2021 09:24:12 AM	05/15/2021 09:30:23 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12656505830	402352763	05/14/2021 07:48:40 PM	05/14/2021 08:25:26 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12656328901	402352763	05/14/2021 05:34:04 PM	05/14/2021 05:44:16 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12656277598	402352763	05/14/2021 04:50:03 PM	05/14/2021 05:59:32 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	Info@wanganuiholiday.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12656252082	402352763	05/14/2021 04:27:15 PM	05/14/2021 05:16:00 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
Excessive noise		The effect they have on commercial businesses, local communities and the cost to ratepayers.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Prior to the Freedom Camping Act visitors expected to have to pay to stay, whether that was a no frills DOC site or a commercial site and they understood the cost of running commercial camping grounds, backpackers and motels. Now, through social media, they all seem to expect a free ride. Nothing is free...everything comes at a cost to someone, and in this case it is to the ratepayers. The recent Bylaw change in Marlborough has had a positive effect on the environment, for small communities, for rural areas and for local businesses.
			Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed		Support	Highly likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We have non self contained campers stay from overseas and this may scare them off completely
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Directing non sc to campgrounds Stops the theft of services
			Strongly support	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Camp grounds are not supported by freedom campers
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	There may be a few more non-self contained vehicles that would stay at a holiday park rather than freedom camping
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Non self contained would need to use registered holiday parks
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	I believe that this is a non-inforable change, and people will continue to freedom camp in non-selfcontained vehicles. If they are restricted to a certain number of nights they will just move between freedom camping areas in the same town.
		can't be policed if overseas visitors	Strongly support	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Maybe a few more campers using established campgrounds would be an advantage

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	No, none whatsoever. Let's get back to common sense rules and get rid of the "entitlement brigade". Stop listening to NZMCA and start listening to local residents, not the transients passing through who don't care about our communities.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	There are many people who are currently living in their cars due to homelessness, is this going to target those people and make it harder for them to live?	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
	Councils could identify sites that they are happy to exclude where it is ok to freedom camp if there are appropriate toilets available	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Needs to be phased in overtime	Oppose	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	no exceptions, and must be policed. Had a lot of tourists turn up when we went into level 4 they beleived because the vehicle had a sticker they were self contained...they were not and we could not let them stay. One german lass just cried and cried	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No exceptions, too confusing	Oppose	Unlikely	Likely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No. All non certified should have to stay at a proper camp no exception	Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
This statement is so ambiguous and so open to those within groups such as NZMCA who make every excuse to bend the rules to stay where they want. The ability of people with ordinary cars to put in a so called "toilet" has been ridiculous.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	The maximum is fine, but enforce it! At the moment the law seems to have no teeth. People whose dogs poo and they don't pick up the faeces get fined more than a freedom camper who leaves their poo for others to stand in.	Recidivist offenders, vehicles which are clearly not compliant	Yes
		Support	Oppose	that's plenty, infact, maybe look to incentives those doing good, rather than penalise those doing bad, show some good news stories.	none	Yes
Our council has identified appropriate areas to freedom Camp which are away from commercial operations and toilet facilities.		Strongly support	Strongly support	1000	3 strike policy for any infringement	Yes
Because freedom campers are going to go to places they don't have to pay for, that community ratepayers pay for. Commercial campground cannot compete with council run "free" campgrounds. Jeopardising the viability of the business	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	The same as current	Yes	Yes
freedom campers dont use camp sites, must be policed - some one to check vehicles	Commercial Information					
Toilet facilities are just one part of the issue. The waste and disruption to local communities is not resolved with this proposal.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000, any more and it makes it impossible for some people to pay, but \$1000 is enough of a deterrent to encourage people to get the correct certification.	Three strikes and your out. If there was a register and the vehicle (under the same owner) had three instances of illegal freedom camping, then an enforcement officer should be able to confiscate the vehicle.	Yes
Having toilets is a key metric in this discussion		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500 first offence	Repeat offenders Damage to the environment	Yes
They won't come to a Holiday Park, plus Councils are likely to spend more money on toilet facilities to accommodate for freedom camper.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	3 breaches within a certain period and severe health & safety breaches.	Yes
Possibly more income from campers having to stay in campgrounds if not certified	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	If repeat offender	No

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Ensure that if the rental companies can't collect the fine, make sure the internationals in particular can't get through the border without paying. Make the system fast and efficient so there is no chance of renegeing on a fine.	Strongly agree	Yes	Porta potties in cars are just a joke. Last week I saw a Mini with a self containment sticker! How does one go to the loo in a mini at night if there is a mattress spread across the back seats? Let's get real. We are the only country that has bent over backwards and allowed these offences to occur in our environment and against our communities. We do not have to stand for this.	The above are sufficient if they are enforced.	No
yeah, the facilities at freedom camping sites, need compost, and better waste separation ie, recycling, landfill, glass, compost	Strongly disagree	No	because different uses are acknowledged and if you allow freedom camping to happen at places where there are toilets, it shouldn't be a problem.		Yes
There should be a bond on all foreign vehicle purchases which has a administration fee to cover all costs. The bond would cover any traffic fines or freedom camping tickets. This bond would be recoverable once the vehicle has been sold and any fines paid.	Agree	Neutral	I think that a cassette toilet is fine as long as it is able to be used while the bed is set up.		No
Yes, that the signage/terminology and rules are the same through the country. Which should stop any confusion	Strongly agree	Yes	Hopefully then portable toilets will not be removed because they are then fixed	Unsure	Yes
The consistency of enforcement across all councils in NZ.	Agree	Yes	People are more likely to use a plumbed in toilet. To have a portable one, also requires you to have a space in which to use it. In my experience this is unrealistic in some of the "sleepervans". The bed takes up the majority of the space, usually a kitchen takes up the rest, leaving no room for a portable toilet to be used properly.	Sufficient battery or solar panel to enable the water pump to work.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Toilets can't be emptied wherever Much more likely to use if easily accessible		Neutral
Maximum stays to be implemented for a certain area rather than for a specific site (to avoid that people don't travel between freedom camping areas within a town).	Strongly agree	Yes	Because portable toilets still need to be emptied somewhere.		No
Overseas visitors would need to pay fine before leaving country. Question how do you police the offenders without using police. Impossible to demand their passports for identification unless done with proper authority as most would refuse to identify themselves. Difficult to use registration number to identify the driver. It must have teeth to work.	Agree	Neutral	The definition of a toilet has been too loose. It should be more than a bucket		Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Sort the housing crisis out and stop using freedom camping and the accommodation industry as an excuse to solve all homelessness.	Invest more in social housing and support night shelters and temporary housing. Have realistic aims for social housing.	Please, if you are going to send MBIE staff out to facilitate meetings, send those who actually know what they are talking about and also who have no conflicts of interest. Be fair in the questions that you ask. If you are going to ask "who here freedom camps?", then you must also ask "who does not freedom camp...and why?" It is unprofessional to assume that all the audience agreed with the only question asked about the issue. It is also unprofessional to state that your Dad is an NZMCA member and therefore you know all about freedom camping. That does not mean you know everything about the state of the industry in these COVID times, not does it mean that you have any idea how freedom camping has affected a wide range of accommodation businesses. It is time to sort the Freedom Camping Act out once and for all.
	build some tiny homes for them	
	Impossible.	
Certificates should be issued by independent body	There has to be some considerations outside norm and this should be dealt with case by case and persons should be helped with high priority	Needs to be sustainable and environmentally sustainable as well. And user pays
	If the person had a WINZ letter, stating they currently had no home	Freedom camping should not be allowed in the same vicinity as a commercial campground, or on prime real estate (eg surf clubs, beach car parks ...) I don't think councils should be using rate payers money to develop facilities for freedom campers to use and not contribute to.
12 months	Work to offering alternative solutions to ensure all comply	
	Build them a house	Put a restriction in that prohibits any freedom camping area to be situated within a certain distance from a holiday park. Don't allow Councils to have freedom camping areas in prime locations. Freedom campers should not be surprised if they have to catch a bus into town for example. Consider charging a small fee (\$1) for the use of toilets at freedom camping areas for the upkeep of these toilets, rather than have the ratepayers pay for these facilities..
	Some how separate out the overseas tourists from the issue and find some other ways of dealing with Kiwis. The two issues are different	



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12656195155	402352763	05/14/2021 03:39:27 PM	05/14/2021 05:44:15 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons		Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12656150371	402352763	05/14/2021 03:04:17 PM	05/14/2021 03:43:33 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12656034198	402352763	05/14/2021 01:43:43 PM	05/14/2021 02:12:22 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12656024149	402352763	05/14/2021 01:37:54 PM	05/14/2021 01:50:12 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Glentunnelholidaypark@xtra.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12655998038	402352763	05/14/2021 01:21:40 PM	05/14/2021 01:27:06 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Often	ukvans@yahoo.com	Agree		Waste	Overcrowding			Antisocial behaviour
12655910423	402352763	05/14/2021 12:31:47 PM	05/14/2021 12:35:53 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	info@feildingholidaypark.co.nz	Agree			Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12655790038	402352763	05/14/2021 11:36:27 AM	05/14/2021 12:07:26 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12655756847	402352763	05/14/2021 11:22:53 AM	05/14/2021 11:59:22 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	info@blenheimbridges.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12655710882	402352763	05/14/2021 11:03:54 AM	05/14/2021 11:22:38 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12655679800	402352763	05/14/2021 10:50:22 AM	05/14/2021 03:31:47 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	motorcamp@baylysbeach.co.nz	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information	With people being allow so close to our holiday park we would be continue to loose business and also continue to have non paying people using our facilities
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely			Highly likely	Commercial Information	There is sensible freedom campers and then there is freedom camping in vehicles that are not designed or equipped for camping. These are the vehicles that put pressure on the environment, dumping of waste and are an attractive option for backpackers wanting to explore NZ on a shoestring budget. They shower in rivers and wash their dishes in toilet sinks. We should not be punishing Kiwi freedom campers who have vehicles designed for living. Self contained vehicles need to be equipped for toileting, showering, and hold water and waste tanks. There should be no pressure put on the environment from living in a fully self contained vehicle.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	Proposal 1 will ensure non-certified self-contained vehicles have to park up where there are determined facilities including commercial holidays parks, DOC facilities/sites, other campgrounds and thereby drive improved behaviours. This has a range of other benefits including less pressure on councils, their infrastructure and ultimately the environment and ultimately a better tourism experience for NZ visitors. A nation wide, single policy is critical for understanding and enforceability.
		Trying to use pay amenities with out paying	Strongly support	Neutral	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	They won't pay to stay when they can camp for free 2 minutes up the road and try walking in and using our amenities
Excessive noise			Support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	
			Support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	At present, freedom camping in Feilding is huge - and self contained or not. it is taking away business.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We are a commercial holiday park and we constantly have Freedom Campers coming into our park and using the facilities without staying here.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Hopefully more people come to stay at commercial camping ground
			Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	
			Support	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
		Strongly support	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly oppose	Likely				Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No, we don't think any exceptions should exist to this proposal.	Oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Shouldn't be able if there is a paid camping ground with 20 kms	Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Unlikely	Commercial Information
	British Imports / Modern Caravans should not need to change the design of said vehicle to meet S/C standards they ARE self contained								
		Support	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
	No. Keep the rule crystal clear. If there is any loopholes it will be exploited. This tends to be the nature of Freedom Campers!	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
na	Exceptions only in designated places	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
		Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
People will be more inclined to camp close to our holiday park and sneak in to use facilities rather than paying for a night stay, as there will most likely very little done to police the issue		Strongly support	Strongly support		Yes if caught and fined more than 3 times	Yes
This proposal still allows for overseas tourists to travel NZ in vehicles that are not equipped for living. Yes they may be required to stay at sites that have toilets, but what about showering, dumping of waste etc. They are still putting pressure on the environment and creating overcrowding of freedom camping sites. More infrastructure is required along with cleaning costs etc. Who pays for this? Rate payers?		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$800.00	Confiscation should be enforced when a non certified vehicle has been fined 3 times within a 6 month period. On the 4th their vehicle should be confiscated.	Yes
This will move the current problems (over crowding, bad behaviour, washing clothes in inappropriate places etc.) to a smaller number of designated areas where facilities are provided and which will ultimately be paid for by local authorities aka, local rate payers which is unfair and inappropriate, particularly when proposal #1 provides a strong solution. Commercial holiday park operators (over 400 in NZ) would then not see any of this business, with detrimental revenue effects.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000 per infringement is probably fine but it needs to be enforced and collected.	Gross misconduct but on a sliding scale, so confiscation would be the final step in an iterative process	Yes
Why would they pay to stay when they can camp for free 2 mins up the road	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	Yes they should be able with proof.	Neutral
If they can freedom camp with use of facilities they are not visiting our holiday park						
We would continue to have Freedom Campers coming into our holiday park to use facilities. This requires staff to be doing "policing" of this and getting into confrontational situations.		Strongly support	Strongly support	This current maximum fine if it was applied more often, however confiscation of the vehicle for persistent offending should be considered.	3 strike policy! 2 fines for the same infringement and then the 3rd time the vehicle is confiscated.	Yes
More people come to stay in commercial camping ground	na	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,000	After a couple of warnings	Yes
		Support	Support	\$1,000		Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support			Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Yes	People are less likely to use a portable toilet than a plumbed in toilet	Minimum storage capacities of all tanks black, grey and fresh water	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	At present, portable toilets are used to get certification and then are removed because they take up too much space in the vehicle. A plumbed toilet would result in the toilet being permanently in the vehicle at all times.	A self contained vehicle should have showering/washing facilities. A self contained vehicle should be adequality lived in without requiring communal facilities. Caravan or campervan.	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	Otherwise "enterprising" freedom campers may remove them from the vehicle and full plumbed is indicative of a much improved toileting experience and therefore there is a greater likelihood they will be used.	Some sort of audit process to check a vehicle has passed its toilet test, followed by additional testing at determined intervals to ensure the status remains acceptable. Along the lines of the WOF process.	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	No one likes to empty them		Neutral
One observation. When a rental vehicle gets a speeding fine the rental company will recover that cost from the offending renter. Simply this vehicle is registered to the rental company so they can recover any fines by means of obtaining credit card details on delivery of the vehicle to the customer. It will also mean that the company will educate the customer around campervan compliance while holidaying in NZ.	Strongly agree	Yes	The "porta potty" has been a loophole used by the campervan companies for too long. In some instances there has been a \$200 bond placed on the porta potty being returned unused. So there is no incentive for them to use it!! Time this loophole was closed for good.		Neutral
	Strongly agree	Neutral	The issue needs to be addressed from a practical point of view.		No
	Strongly agree	Yes			No
	Agree	Neutral			Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
	Government to provide more homeless shelters or dwellings for homeless families.	
Answered no to #29 above but would be interested to know how long certificates already issued are valid for. If only 12 months, could live with them being allowed to expire, if longer then our no position stands	That isn't easy and I don't have an immediate answer!	Excellent online submission process, well done. Making it clear and easy means you will get more people completing it which is one of the objectives and by "leading" the submitter you are ensuring you get answers to the questions that are important. Again, well done.
	Offer assistance to the vulnerable	
If they are homeless maybe the government should provide a subsidy for the vehicle to be compliant. This would be a much cheaper short term option than providing the person with accommodation.	As above.	Personally, it has been an overdue issue within NZ and the Tourism sector. This issue should have always been driven at a governmental level so there is a consistent message and not a council by council situation and each council delivering different rulings. From a Freedom Campers point of view this would have been very confusing and half the time they have no idea they have crossed a demarcation boundary to a new council area.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
1265577408	402352763	05/14/2021 10:07:11 AM	05/14/2021 10:15:09 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site		Antisocial behaviour
1265551178	402352763	05/14/2021 09:55:55 AM	05/14/2021 10:09:30 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	harboursideholidaypark@gmail.com	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
1265540015	402352763	05/14/2021 09:50:47 AM	05/14/2021 10:14:35 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
1265524936	402352763	05/14/2021 09:43:49 AM	05/14/2021 09:56:48 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
1265514342	402352763	05/14/2021 09:38:34 AM	05/14/2021 09:51:49 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste				
1265504859	402352763	05/14/2021 09:35:02 AM	05/14/2021 12:00:00 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
1265499037	402352763	05/14/2021 09:32:55 AM	05/14/2021 06:45:16 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12652964676	402352763	05/13/2021 05:23:24 PM	05/13/2021 06:26:27 PM	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12652748702	402352763	05/13/2021 02:21:46 PM	05/14/2021 11:03:39 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site		Antisocial behaviour

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	If campers are not certified, they would be required to use the holiday parks and other facilities that are available at a reasonable price. This would increase our bottom line allowing us to provide a better service to our customers.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	It would ensure that vans which are not self contained may use our facilities.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	We provide accommodation and abide by local body rules and regulations. Provide safe well organised facilities
		Using Bathroom Facilities and Dump Station without paying	Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Non self contained vehicles should have to stay at Accommodation with Facilities i.e Holiday Parks, Backpackers etc.
			Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We would be less likely to get certified self-contained campervans staying with us but more likely to get non-self-contained vans so think the outcome would be neutral.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed	they have been helping out greatly with the local horticultural community	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	i,m retired
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	I am saddened to see the overcrowding at freedom camping sites and waste left behind. There are many low cost options available. The campers are not getting a great visitor experience staying in an overcrowded, filthy environment. Local business owners are denied income and no opportunity to display Manaakitanga to visitors



If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
		Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No making exceptions will dilute the effect.	Strongly support	Neutral	Highly likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
n/a	No, I do not think there should be exceptions other than those currently.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	No! make the message clear once and for all!!!!	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
	anyone should be able to sleep in there vehicle over the course of 1 night.[ no self containment cert required ,1000,s have to do it now.	Support	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	no	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
They should be paying for a site just like all the other good campers. No freedom camping allowed unless certified	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	If they have multiple infringements	Yes
		Strongly support	Support	\$1,000	Repeated infringements	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	Plenty	Repeated offending	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000.00	Regular flouting of the law. Unruly or aggressive behaviour	Yes
Non self contained vehicles should have to stay at Accommodation with Facilities i.e Holiday Parks, Backpackers etc.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000 or higher the better so people follow the rules	Abusive Behaviour, Non Compliance, Repeat Infringements	Yes
This possibility would encourage non-certified vans to seek out sites where local governments have provided facilities for public use. I have serious reservations that local authorities should be saddled with providing toilet facilities for anything other than casual use. This will not improve camper behaviour and, based on previous experience, will lead to bad behaviour which will impact negatively on the tourism experience in our region.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	Maximum fine is currently okay at \$1000 but minimum should be, say, \$200 and maybe a mechanism for it to, say, track CPI annually.	Confiscate after the third fine or in case of vehicles claiming to be certified as self-contained when they are not.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	Last resort , frequent disregarding of act (3 strikes)	Yes
retired		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	no ,200 as it is now ,it was never 1000, as in paragraph under " stronger infringement regime.	3rd warning for the same infringement, deemed to be a serious health hasard.	Yes
I feel this would simply encourage freedom campers to look for spots with toilets (car parks etc) and put pressure on local govts to provide facilites. I'd rather our rates went to providing mental health services and working on the homeless. Not funding free holidays when there are businesses struggling who provide exceptional visitor experience - especially in the post covid environment.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500.00	Repeated (more than 2 x offences within a 90 day period) infringements OR refusal to pay fine.	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Neutral	Compostable toilets are just as good as long as the campers adhere to disposal rules		Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Permanently plumbed toilets should be a requirement to be termed "self-contained". If the toilet is not permanent, it is not "self-contained".		Neutral
Make it mandatory for local councils to enforce these regulations.	Strongly agree	Yes	I have witnessed the portable toilets being emptied in the bushes etc. They need to be fixed and plumbed in by a registered plumber and a certification of this be made as part of the license to operate IE part of a WOF.		No
There are many many Holiday Parks and Camping grounds throughout the country that provide a wide range of facilities for travellers	Strongly agree	Yes	Health and safety and protection of our environment		Neutral
	Strongly agree	Neutral	It is more about people not using the toilet that is there. During the COVID Lockdown in March our Holiday Park had alot of International Tourists in small vans that were supposedly Self Contained. However as we closed our facilities for safety these people soon realised their vehicle was in fact not Self Contained at all. Some had never even used their toilet.	I think there should be a better process for obtaining Self Containment status. Like getting a COF or WOF.	Yes
Change from a standard to a regulation	Strongly agree	Yes	A registered plumber or company with appropriate plumbing.	Yes, annual re-certification as part of say, w.o.f. or c.o.f. renewal. Allow a one-year transition period. There should not be a grandfathering right for existing vehicles that are classified as self-contained but will not meet the new requirement	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	Portable toilets might be removed after certification, they might be difficult to use and so not used, they might emptied in in the environment		Neutral
extra rules, compliance costs, more buerocracy, will virtually kill this industry.the laws already exist,its just the will to police it properly is not there.the govt provide ample money for local orthorities to finance this.	Strongly disagree	No	portable toilets have been around for many years, way before freedom camping " became the thing" if thers a problem its the user.		Yes
Freedom campers do not take the regulations seriously and will attempt to abscond without paying fines. This needs to be collected on the spot or vehicle impounded.	Strongly agree	Yes	The portable toilets are not being used. We see this regularly when campers park close to us in order to sneak in and use our facilities without paying. We are also told by campers (we often see them on the last night of their stay - to clean out their vehicle) that they have not used their toilet because it is gross and they don't want to pay a cleaning fee/lose deposit. They then go on to tell us how they freedom camped all around the country.	no	Neutral

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Setting up special grounds for homeless people to be able to park at with full facilities	Setting up special grounds for homeless people to be able to park at with full facilities	
12 months to upgrade or stay in appropriate camping sites.	I encourage govt and local body agencies to work towards overcoming this issue.	
	Provide more access to proper housing	
Maybe a discounted rate to encourage those to convert to the new standard earlier than the expiry date		
Allow a one-year transition period from the old standard to a new regulatory requirement.	Ensuring the Act makes provision for freedom camping enforcement officers to have guidance and referral pathways so that they can support homeless people appropriately	
1 year transition period	Allowing people to sleep in cars and vans is not helping vulnerable groups and is escalating the problem- by allowing this you provide an easy route for vulnerable people to follow instead of seeking more suitable options and there by forcing government action to find more appropriate permanent solutions.	
not worth it , never be able to police it, its not workable, there are bylaws in place, local authorities are to lazy or directing " the funds" in other directions.	a big sticker given to them stating, " homeless person, do not disturb	as i,ve stated, local govt have the bylaws to keep freedom camping a going concern, some choose not to, lumping more compliance and costs onto campers will drive it underground and drive away numerous selfcontainment certifiers.
a 12 month grace period should be allowed	Use the money going to pay for freedom camping development sites to pay for homeless shelters	I feel that a certain number of freedom camping passes should be offered as a lottery to incoming tourists for a fee (ie: \$450.00) The number could be capped at a sustainable level and adjusted annually depending on need. A registration database of license plates/passports should be set up using technology similar to the Wilsons parking system where license plates are identified as approved for freedom camping, making policing easier. Rental companies can add a license plate to the database once they have proof of the pass and remove it at the end of the booking. All NZ rate payers should be able to nominate 1 compliant vehicle per household to be added to the list without fee. Perhaps a cap of 25 nights per year for free, then an annual pass could be purchased. Whether they then go on to rent out the vehicle is up to them (like air bnb) but at least their rights to freedom camp in their own country are protected, while giving the govt the opportunity to provide limited freedom camping to foreign guests. The funds generated can be put toward freedom camping in remote areas. No freedom camping should be encouraged within a 20k radius of an equipped camping site.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12652653490	402352763	05/13/2021 01:19:51 PM	05/13/2021 01:54:31 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12652336490	402352763	05/13/2021 10:38:14 AM	05/13/2021 10:41:39 AM	Accommodation provider		Visa holder	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree		Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12652327978	402352763	05/13/2021 10:34:31 AM	05/13/2021 10:45:38 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12651990564	402352763	05/13/2021 08:15:16 AM	05/14/2021 02:12:14 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral	Litter		Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12650113056	402352763	5/12/2021 21:37	5/12/2021 21:51	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12649832138	402352763	5/12/2021 18:43	5/12/2021 18:52	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	palmerstonnorthholidaypark@xtra.co.nz	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12649695991	402352763	5/12/2021 16:54	05/15/2021 09:01:56 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	office@ashburtonholidaypark.co.nz		Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	It would mean that rather than using the NZ natural environment as a toilet at no charge, freedom campers will either have to use a proper toilet in their vehicle or come and stay/ pay to use the fully compliant toilets in one of our Holiday parks
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Less people in vans camping just down the road on reserves that we pay rates for as weâ€™ll.
			Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	We run a fleet of self contained 2 berth campers. I know for a fact that on average our travellers spend approx. 2-3 nights freedom camping and then visit a paid site for a night or two - this repeats across our pre-covid average stay of 21 days. We attract and service a connected part of the market who are committed to travelling responsibly, have money to spend on tourism. We work hard to educate our travellers on sustainability and are confident that in general they respect our amazing country. I feel the biggest concern in our industry is the private vehicles at the budget end of the scale. These are often not equipped with facilities sufficient to travel sustainably, are sold to travellers who are actively trying to save money on their holiday, and are passed on without any reference or consideration of how to travel responsibly and sustainably in NZ. Finding a way to regulate the vehicles at the budget end of the industry (national regulatory body for SC standards and a nationwide database) and better education of all travellers is the best way to bring significant positive change to the industry.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Commercial Information
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We run a holiday park - people chose to stay and pay - others chose not too - no laws will change this - people do, and will carry on to do, what they want.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	No. All exceptions do is give rulebreakers the chance to wriggle out of their responsibilities to the people of NZ. One warning and then a fine and then seizure of the vehicle	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	NA	Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
	no exceptions self contained only	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	no exceptions	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
As per earlier answer but possibly a higher number of people staying	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,500	First breach - warning Second breach - Fine Third breach - Confiscation of the vehicle	Yes
U can't have a divided rule it causes confusion for internationals, no freedom camping unless fully certified full stop		Strongly support	Strongly support	1000	Repeat vehicle offenders	Yes
If we are able to establish functional freedom camping sites that allow those in a non SC vehicle to freedom camp and have facilities to manage their waste we may see an improvement. This will also help to alleviate the "public" concerns that seem to find their way to the headlines.		Strongly support	Neutral	I am unsure - current levels are more likely to be collected. Higher fines will encourage travellers to "skip the country" and may also increase aggression towards ticketing officers	Following fair and reasonable consultation with the actual owner of the vehicle.	No
		Strongly support	Strongly support	500	no selfcontain certificate	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	10000	destruction of native bushland & repeat failure to contain waste	Yes
People stay where they want to stay - no laws will change this.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,500	Breaking of the law	Yes



Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Hard out enforcement, no exceptions One rule for everybody	Strongly agree	Yes	To protect our environment Stops there being any 'Grey areas' when it come to enforcement. One rule for all	Separate WOF conditions	Yes
	Strongly agree	Neutral	Nay not worked in all vehicles the plumbing connections	A regulated self contained sticker purchasable from nzta linked to registration	Yes
Rental companies should definitely act as a medium for collecting fines but they should in no way be ultimately held responsible for the actions of someone who is renting that vehicle under contract. The best way to ensure that rental companies can assist with collection is to provide immediate digital infringement notices that will allow the company to discuss with the traveller and charge the card prior to them dropping off the vehicle. The current system via mail often arrives weeks after the traveller has left the country, with obvious implications.	Strongly disagree	No	Firstly there is no such thing as a "permanently plumbed toilet" in a vehicle. This terminology is unfortunately misleading and potentially impacts public perception of what is trying to be achieved. No matter what type of toilet you have in a vehicle it needs to be emptied into a dump station. The process may differ slightly but the basic principles are absolutely the same. I do not believe changing the requirements will have any impact on usage behaviors. The challenge from the current system is that there are no regulated standards for "SC" vehicles meaning there are vehicles in SC campsites that do not have the proper facilities - these need to be regulated out of the industry.	NA	Yes
	Strongly disagree	No	potable is fine	no	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	too easy to flout the law without it ie. currently a bucket with cellophane is sufficient	yes move every 5 days	No
How and who will enforce it?	Strongly agree	No	It is unrealistic - not many do have plumbed toilets.	Very limited stay - they should have to pay every second night at a proper camping ground	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Making grants from MSD available for NZ'ers who live in vehicles full time to cover conversion costs. 2 year timeframe	As above	I am the GM of a company that owns accommodation businesses in - Queenstown, Fox Glacier, Nelson & Takapuna. Consequently I have a lot of first hand experience of the damage that the current regulations have allowed. That is people how have absolutely no respect for our country or the people who live in NZ, doing whatever they want, wherever they want because the current regulations are not enforced because they are far too lax with way too many grey areas. Because of Covid we have a fantastic chance to reset the system and lift the bar way higher for freedom camping that it is now. We must do this to protect our country and people. Yes the company I work for will gain for what I am supporting, but I am also a proud born and breed Kiwi, who loves nothing more than being in our 'one of a kind' forests and national Parks. We must protect these areas for all of us and the future generations of NZ'ers. PLEASE MAKE THIS HAPPEN for all of us.
	I think there needed to be more discussion and investigation / data collection before we got to this point. It seems there are still so many gaps in the ministers understanding of responsible camping and the challenges / opportunities. I am concerned that there will be unintended casualties - homelessness, commercial rental operators etc	
immediate change	build houses	
no transition needed - just make the change	they cant unless they build more houses	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12649687343	402352763	5/12/2021 16:47	5/12/2021 17:27	Rental vehicle business		Prefer not to say	Prefer not to say	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12649496450	402352763	5/12/2021 14:26	5/12/2021 14:50	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Sometimes	stay@whananaholiday.co.nz	Neutral			Overcrowding			
12649443292	402352763	5/12/2021 13:52	5/12/2021 14:06	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12649235857	402352763	5/12/2021 11:58	5/12/2021 13:46	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral			Overcrowding			
12649228459	402352763	5/12/2021 11:58	5/12/2021 12:14	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Privacy of natural persons		Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12649119986	402352763	5/12/2021 11:10	5/12/2021 11:38	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed	This is a biased question	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Visitors to NZ will be confused. You are by default redirecting visitors to state and commercial camp sites which will not be able to cope.
		They need more space	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Commercial Information	The main issue is government funding facilities for people to freedom camp and not pay to stay at campgrounds where we have to meet the Campground regulations and all costs associated with that.
		Lack of respect for the environment. Uneducated in appropriate practices for responsibly living and traveling in nz. Some rangers and compliance officers being rude, disrespectful, and unrealistic/uneducated with regards to what is and isn't legal.	Oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Commercial Information	Focus is on requirements for the vehicle, not on educating the individual. It is perfectly possible to be "self contained" without the need for a vehicle - trampers and hunters have been capable of this for years with good education, so people traveling or living in vehicles should be capable of the same if they are provided with the same education. Leave No Trace/Environmental Care Code - the information is already there, the focus needs to be on making sure people/individuals are being educated, and being held accountable.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	Low value tourists who lack respect for the environment should be discouraged
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Environmental impacts need to be improved.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	If this all about toilets then why is a toilet used in a privacy tent outside the van not acceptable, but the same toilet in a van acceptable. This debate is not about toilets it's pure politics. The National Government makes billions form Tourism, yet expects local councils to build the infrastructure to support those tourists without providing the appropriate level of infrastructure funding.	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Should be able to sleep in your car over night	Oppose	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
		Support	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Different rules depending on use. Eg Full time NZ citizen living in vehicles, NZ citizen holiday vehicle, overseas visitor/non citizen. Places different emphasis on the education required and how accountable each group will be.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
	Driver fatigue or or driver effected by drugs or alcohol.	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Even in the best motorhomes with excellent toilets - the occupants often come to an understand that the toilet is not to be used. This issue has less to do with Rental Company clientele harming the environment and is simply a great way to distract the populace from the National Governments lack of investment in Tourism Infrastructure. The term 'social licence' is just a PC way of saying populism. This proposal unfairly harms the rental campervan industry, and does little to actually address the issue of the lack of infrastructure in NZ. Many, Many Campervanners are NOT in rental campervans, yet the rental campervan companies are being made scapegoats for successive failures of government leadership. We are an easy target.	Commercial Information	Oppose	Oppose	So am fine is a fait accompli is it? that is what this survey question suggests. The fine should be no more than any other PARKING INFRINGEMENT, as that is all it is.	Never. Ridiculous.	No
		Neutral	Neutral			Yes
People will still flout the rules and try to get around regulations. Still putting excess pressure on local ratepayers to allow them to stay locally for free while we all pay for it		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$10,000	Multiple infringements - 3 plus	Yes
Does not address the importance of education for the groups involved.	Commercial Information	Strongly oppose	Oppose	\$1000000 - But it is pointless if it is not collectable, and if rules are unclear or inappropriate.	Never! This is the equivalent of taking the house a person is living in - you would be taking away their ability to be safe. Then they would need to be accommodated elsewhere. This cost inevitably will be passed on to nz taxpayers....	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	Using a non compliant vehicle	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	Max \$3000		Neutral

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
To suggest that rental companies should be vicariously liable for freedom camping fines is as ridiculous as saying the rental company should be liable for any other traffic or speeding fines. Are regular car rental companies liable for traffic infringements? Further, the role of government is to impose and collect fines when due, to sub that out to private companies is basically an admission of failure by government. The system of issuing fines by government and local councils needs to be improved and investment required, if you're not willing to do that, then passing the buck to rental campervan companies on top of the other proposed changes is to suggest that you simply do not want campervan companies to exist in NZ, such is the disdain for our business. Where does this hatred come from? The NZ people? Do NZ'ers just hate tourists? Or are there other commercial entities or competitors driving this persecution. Commercial Camp grounds, large motorhome operators?	Disagree	No	Where's the data behind the assumption that a permanently plumbed toilet will solve the political perception that toilets are the actual issue here. Where's the data????	Where's this question... Do you think the issue of sustainable tourism will be solved by self-contained toilets in Campervans or by greater investment in Tourism Infrastructure by the National Government.	Yes
	Neutral	Neutral			No
Start supporting small businesses - make people stay at Campgrounds around new zealand	Strongly agree	Yes	To stop people doing work arounds and claiming falsely that they are certified	Max stay at freedom camping area	No
How to effectively educate those who wish to freedom camp on their responsibilities and how to appropriately manage themselves	Disagree	No	Alpine hikers or hunters who travel through delicate environments can effectively eliminate everything except their footprints being left behind, and even then by traveling on the most durable ground available, can almost eliminate footprints too! Good education means they can carry and use a feces and rubbish bag, container, and enough water to suit their cooking and drinking requirements specific to their trip. Simple toilet systems can be used in smaller areas, such as a 1 man tent, large toilet systems create more waste, have to be mounted in bigger vehicles, which create more environment and road damage, and require purpose built effluent dump stations to be installed and maintained. Small toilet systems can go into a composting system or into a household toilet negating the expense of purpose built facilities.	Appropriate education, and registration that is actively checked with rego/wof/cof checks.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Toilet should be accessible and usable at all times, within its own space. Example would be a shower/toilet arrangement on a recreational boat	Compliance check should be part of the WOF	Neutral
	Strongly agree	Neutral		Addition to registration cost to cover Tourism Levy	Neutral

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Any campervan built to a previous self containment standard should be exempt from any changes until it is sold.	Seriously, you're asking this question about vulnerable and homeless people alongside changes that primarily affect rental campervan companies. Maybe you should require Rental Campervan Companies to provide shelter to the vulnerable and homeless as well while you on a roll?	Everyone supports sustainable tourism and conservation of the precious environment. No-one wants to shit in your rivers. Build some TOILETS!!!!!! Invest in Tourism. Campervan Rental Companies are not the problem. Campervan rental companies deliver more benefits to more regions than any other form of tourism. Why is this issue peculiar to NZ? Simple... lack of infrastructure and all the self contained vans in the world will not change that.
	More freedom camping and facilities	
MSD to fund homeless if no other option	MSD to fund the gap for homeless	
Suitable facilities at public locations, and maintained appropriately. Subsidized upgrade scheme for those already at the current standard.	Create a simplified system that minimizes/eliminates the cost to the individual, so that everyone is able to meet the rules standard. Focus on effective education rather than a money driven solution.	Simple licensing/registration process for individuals that proves they have the required knowledge to safely and sustainably travel/live in a vehicle/tent etc on public property.
	Make it clear that excetions apply to NZ citizens/residents who can clearly demonstrate homelessnes	
	Designated safe places for these people to park within city boundary's. Not at coastal or significate natural area's	Limiting numbers at each site /night and more community consultation. A Booking app for site's.



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12649022792	402352763	5/12/2021 10:32	5/12/2021 10:54	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree						
12648796779	402352763	5/12/2021 9:00	5/12/2021 9:05	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Taranaki	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12648752297	402352763	5/12/2021 8:43	5/12/2021 8:45	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Taranaki	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree						
12648598525	402352763	5/12/2021 7:48	5/12/2021 7:59	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree						
12648306862	402352763	5/12/2021 6:10	5/12/2021 6:33	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Taranaki	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12646781161	402352763	5/11/2021 21:45	5/11/2021 22:03	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Taranaki	Never	info@beltroad.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12646593240	402352763	5/11/2021 20:01	5/12/2021 13:52	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ri organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12646349674	402352763	5/11/2021 17:00	5/11/2021 18:26	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12646243622	402352763	5/11/2021 15:29	5/11/2021 15:37	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ri organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree		Waste				
12646128404	402352763	5/11/2021 14:07	5/11/2021 14:39	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Rarely	thefolk@gentleannie.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12645855301	402352763	5/11/2021 11:41	5/11/2021 11:54	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12643207021	402352763	5/10/2021 18:08	5/10/2021 18:09	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	stay@mangawhaiheads holidaypark.co.nz							
12643192409	402352763	5/10/2021 17:56	5/10/2021 17:58	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	opotiki.holidays@xtra.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter				Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	





Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
		Support	Support	\$1,000	I think confiscation is too severe. No circumstances.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support			
		Support	Support		NONE	Yes
Taranaki does not have any doc sites and therefore any sites with toilets would be a ratepayer cost and pass experience has shown this can be a very high cost. This proposal will also likely cause confusion for travelers as local bylaws are created. this type of proposal should be for rural doc sites only.		Strongly support	Strongly support	Not sure	Repeat offending	Yes
	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	1000	repeat offenses, abusing the enforcement officers, forgery or the compliance certificates	Yes
If this proposal results in an improvement in freedom camping behaviour, this will lessen the time spent trying to ensure that the local environment is looked after and treated with respect, as kaitiaki of the rohe		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	Where vehicle owners are not meeting the requirements of the Act.	Yes
	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	start at \$200 up to \$1000	fraudulently claiming to be certified self contained	Yes
		Strongly oppose				
impossible to compete with free toilets at the best spots.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	2000	None.	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Agree	No	I think Portaloos if used correctly are sufficient, and the dumping of waste is done at a proper dump		Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes			
	Neutral	No	Because it is nonsense, having a toilet DOES NOT guarantee thjat the participants will use it....	Follow the lead of the NZMCA....not only a Blue indicative Self Contained sticker but a WINDOW DISPLAYED licence card....	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	More likely to be used if plumbed	There needs to be better management of issuing the certification and ways to identify fraud. We know of many instances of the stickers being purchased	Neutral
attach the fine to passport to be paid prior to leaving NZ (do this for both citizens and visitors)	Strongly agree	Yes	More secure, more likely to remain healthy, more likely to be used, less likely to be removed from the vehicle		No
	Strongly agree	Yes	The portable toilets may be carried, but not be used due to the need to empty and clean them regularly. For some campers, this requirement may deter them from using their portable toilet.		No
a instant fine system	Strongly agree	Yes	so that toilets cant be removed	certification of toilet system. ie Part of a WOF process	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	If not plumbed in they don't get used!!!		No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
	more policing of freedom camping areas, more rangers/caretakers patrolling	
	Many people are now "grey Nomads" living on the road and whilst they have to abide by the same regulations as everyone else some help may need to be afforded to them to help them comply...	
	Make it clear it does not apply to GENUINELY homeless people, and educate freedom camping enforcement officers of what genuine homelessness is ('rather than being without a home while on holiday'), then give them guidance and referral pathways so that they can support homeless people appropriately	
Ensure all "self contained" vehicles are physically checked to make sure they comply with the Act, as a condition for receiving a self contained sticker.	Amend the Act to make it clear it does not apply to homeless people, and provide freedom camping enforcement officers with guidance and referral pathways so that they can support homeless people appropriately.	
		Enforcement should be done by police on a regular basis.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12643100409	402352763	5/10/2021 16:20	5/10/2021 17:00	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12642819608	402352763	5/10/2021 11:51	5/10/2021 16:51	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree						
12642271083	402352763	5/10/2021 2:33	5/10/2021 3:28	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12642148669	402352763	5/10/2021 0:37	5/10/2021 1:24	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Taranaki	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12641820303	402352763	5/09/2021 19:40	5/09/2021 20:32	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Would encourage backpackers and seasonal workers to the area to use accommodation facilities that are available rather than sleeper vans and decrease the use of public toilets being used as shower rooms, laundry rooms and kitchens.
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	30% of our fleet is currently not self-contained, we advise all customers to stay at campgrounds or in certified freedom camping areas with toilet facilities. We know that a number of our customers in these vehicles stay in freedom camping areas with toilets and like a large percentage of people staying in those areas in both self contained and non self-contained vehicles they use the on site facilities
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	Less uncertified freedom campers.
	No issues observed	Issue is lost tourism due to freedom camping rules	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Commercial Information	We are already losing campers to other regions who have less stringent freedom camping rules. Although people seem to think limiting freedom camping will have the effect of pushing freedom campers into motor-camps it is pushing people out of regions into areas who have less stringent freedom camping rules. In some cases people who live permanently in or who have bought motorhomes in our region have moved to other regions due to fines by local councils - this means loss of income across multiple sectors.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely		Commercial Information	



If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	no comment	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No	Oppose	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	People who are not 'freedom campers' - this needs clearer definition. There are groups of people such as those in equestrian or racing industries who regularly use their vehicles interchangeably (i.e to carry horses/cars or for accommodation).	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Commercial Information
	Ban it full stop International people are taking the piss,	Strongly support	Neutral			Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
		Strongly support	Strongly support	1000	Multiple counts of rule breaking/warnings or excessive fines	Yes
these are the current rules we are operating under and advise all customers of, I would also note that our customers would be prepared to pay for use of these facilities. They are not looking for 'FREE' camping they are looking for 'FREEDOM'	N/A	Strongly support	Support	\$500	Never, all responsible rental operator should be prepared to cancel the contract for a hirer that continuously flouts the freedom camping rules. This is no different to traffic-related laws ie speeding	Neutral
Freedom camping has no place in a premium destination New Zealand should be. Freedom camping is not something allowed in Europe where we have travelled extensively.	<b>Commercial Information</b>	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	3 times breaking the Law crush the vehicle	Yes
		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	How many people have been fined \$1000? No point making rules if they are not enforced when actually needed. This is just limiting the freedom of responsible people.	Never. We do not need to be confiscating vehicles for not having a toilet in the current climate of homelessness! This is such a big can of worms! Currently very little is actually being done to stop the very small proportion of irresponsible campers (domestic or international) - these blunt object ideas are very ill thought out. Clearly, the great ideas people are not considering larger social issues such as the current housing market where people are forced to live in vehicles - are you are considering confiscating some people's last option?	Neutral
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,500	When rules are broken	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Yes	Permanently plumbed toilets are generally within their own cubicle within the vehicle and promote ease of use. Portable toilets are not and therefore are not private which decreases the likelihood of them being used. In our experience portable toilets have also been stored under beds and the said beds need to be folded away for the toilet to be used - therefore campers have chosen not to use them because of the fuss involved.		No
As a rental operator, we would consider collecting fines however as it currently stands we often don't receive the fine until well after a customer has returned a vehicle. We can also not be held responsible for the fine, this is no different to speeding and parking infringements. We have zero tolerance to customers who break the laws of our country however there needs to be a better way to enforce and collect from those who do, it can not fall back onto the rental operator to both incur the liability or the cost for collection.	Agree	No	I don't believe many if any vehicles in New Zealand have 'permanently plumbed toilets', the issue is not one of what the toilet is it is around however vehicles are inspected and tracked. There is no doubt that there needs to be tighter rules around what is and isn't able to be certified and also a register to manage this. As previously stated around 30% of our fleet is not self-contained, while we could possibly choose to make it self-contained we don't believe the design lends itself to being self-contained so have chosen not to	as above, I believe the issue is largely related to small privately-owned vehicles, we need a better way to manage these vehicles and also communicate with their owners	Yes
In a modern tourist destination like New Zealand there is no place for unlicensed freedom camping. certified caravans and motor homes yes. Why should Ratepayers be burdened with the cost of freedom campers cleanups. To see human waste, toilet paper, soiled nappies and rubbish left in unacceptable. All rental vehicle that are so called self contained are required by law to have a camp site voucher as part of the cost of the hire. Must be used at approved camp sights with toilets, potable running water. Camp kitchens and a on site custodian.	Strongly agree	Yes	The only way to regulate the freedom hire rental companies. As for unlicensed self contained vehicles fine them. We have plenty of wonderful camp grounds and in our 48 year old caravan that's where we stay. If you can not afford \$40 per night we do not want freedom camping in New Zealand. The present system needs Nation wide consistent regulation.	All vehicles must be self contained. Period!	No
Homelessness! Using actual data on how big the problem every was to begin with - and in which areas. Address the problem spots for sure, but there is limited need to apply blanket rulings for a small proportion of people who are irresponsible. Focus on the irresponsible not the whole industry.	Strongly disagree	No	'Anecdotal reports?' PLEASE gather some actual data on this. There are a number of issues with these proposals. Consider this: A large plumbed waste tank will make a bigger mess if people cannot find a dump station and it is full, than will a small portable system that can be safely dumped in a toilet once home or at ones destination. It is an ill thought out idea to make waste-containment spaces larger and permanent. It is asking for trouble.		Neutral
	Strongly agree	Yes	Because if you are going to travel around you should have the correct facilities		No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
		No matter the outcome of these changes or the region - government need to put restrictions on the distance of freedom camping sites/NZMCA sites to those who are registered campgrounds. This should no longer be something local councils decide and should come from a governmental level and be consistent throughout the country.
add that these remain valid until they expire or the time when the vehicle ownership is changed, whatever happens first	I don't really have an opinion here, what I would say is that it is not just the homeless that choose to live in a vehicle, there are plenty of seasonal workers also doing so	I am fully supportive of reviewing the current system as there needs to be improvements, I feel as a rental operator we are unfairly targeted around freedom camping and the reality is that we have contact with all of our customers at pick up and 99.9% of our customers do the right thing. Our average length of rental is 14 days so our customers are not spending extended periods in our vehicles, nor are they looking for 'FREE Camping' they are looking for 'FREEDOM' and are prepared to pay something for it. They are also travelling to multiple councils and spending a considerable amounts of money in the towns they travel through along the way.
Build more houses for the most vulnerable.	Free up land to build more houses for our most vulnerable. A nation that can not house it's vulnerable population has failed. Repeal the RMA would be a cold start. The Government does not have to do the building but set the regulator environment for private enterprise to flourish and respond to our housing crises. Let the power of free enterprise to flourish and we could end homelessness for ever in a beautiful safe and lucky country	Campsites on DOC land for New Zealanders to use. Example is Totoranui in the Abel Tasman Nation park is One example. We have been involved with the Tourism industry for over 30 years. as a farm stay host, Charter boat operator and Bed And Breakfast owner.
	Vulnerable groups should have exemptions to these rules. So should people who are not vulnerable but who live permanently in vehicles, if they can prove they are not polluting or leaving waste. Freedom 'camping' is a completely different kettle of fish to living permanently in a motor home. People who live permanently on the road for a variety of reasons are not 'freedom campers' and need to be seen 'separately' from freedom campers in order not to be penalised by the same rules designed for short term camping holidays.	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?						
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter	Waste
12641566744	402352763	5/09/2021 15:20	5/09/2021 16:18	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Wellington	Never	info@greytowncampground.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12641347107	402352763	5/09/2021 10:45	5/09/2021 10:50	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site			
12641203964	402352763	5/09/2021 7:53	5/09/2021 8:10	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree		Waste				Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12640195843	402352763	5/08/2021 17:43	5/08/2021 17:53	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	office@kaiiwibeach.co.nz	Agree	Litter					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12640145380	402352763	5/08/2021 16:40	5/08/2021 17:01	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	
12636753779	402352763	5/07/2021 10:30	5/07/2021 10:48	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Never	fortrosecafe@outlook.com	Agree	Litter	Waste				Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12634324591	402352763	5/06/2021 19:19	5/06/2021 20:36	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree						Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12633585242	402352763	5/06/2021 11:31	5/06/2021 11:42	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	bookings@sunsetmotel.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	
12633456279	402352763	5/06/2021 10:34	5/06/2021 10:48	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Rarely	Info@justtheducksnuts.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	A number of international seasonal workers sometimes freedom camp in their non-self contained vehicles locally. If they had to stay in a campground with their vehicle instead, we would be one of the campground options they could stay at.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	
		Toilet paper in the bushes	Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Concern that certification could be got around. Like the current blue stickers which are on verchiles totally unacceptable. With the access for Doc camo sites with toilets at under \$10 per night the impact of tightening the regulations should be minimal. My tourism buisness is mid market and i cant see this having any impact. Current frredom campers access it in their cheap non compliant blue sticker vans and having to spend \$10 on an overnight isnt going to make any impact its thier first latte.
Excessive noise		cost to rate payer	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Un- self contained campers might be encouraged to stay at my Holiday park
			Support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	In the area designated near us for freedom camping, there are only long drop toilets and no running water, campers that's are not self contained then want to use our bathrooms in the cafe, to wash and brush teeth etc often dump rubbish in our bins, this all becomes a cost to us, a small business with no financial return
		Why my area, I travel and experience a lot of these.	Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	It would make freedom camping areas cleaner and more hygienic.
Excessive noise		Toileting, faeces and toilet paper left	Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	Sensible idea as long as it is correctly monitored by by-laws and other officers.
Excessive noise		Theft of facilities at accomodation facilities	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Tourists who freedom camp on the cheap in poorly converted and unsuitable vehicles will have to stay in proper accomodation.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	An exception could be people who freedom camp within 100m of a public toilet	Support	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	Na	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely		Unlikely	Commercial Information
N/A	Day stayers are a huge hygiene problem, no toilets, and leaving rubbish.	Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No. 'freedom' camping should not be allowed close to any commercially operated accommodation premises.	Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No, except for genuinely homeless local citizens in difficult circumstances.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
If people want to freedom camp in a non-self contained vehicle, then they are likely to stay at a freedom camping site with toilet facilities, rather than choose to stay at a commercial campground.	N/A	Neutral	Strongly support	\$1,000	Being found freedom camping illegally with an unpaid fine from a previous freedom camping infringement.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	5000	Multiple breaches of the act	Yes
Again needs to be proper self contained facilities and not a porta pottee.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	Maximum. \$1000 if thats the most.	Second offense.	Yes
	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	Start at \$200 and then step up to the \$1000	yes but last resort	Yes
I think this is a positive steps however there are going to have to be more dump stations and water filling stations added to the rural areas, - these I think need to be user pays	Na	Support	Strongly support	\$5,000	3 fines or warning then vehicle confiscated	Yes
Already use a certified vehicle, and respect my environment.	Commercial Information	Support	Oppose	\$200	Never. Support and help to get the vehicle certified is of more use.	No
unsocial behaviour would be reduced, faeces and rubbish being left around would improve one would hope	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,000	Those consistently infringing. Have to keep an eye on those that move from place to place with no fixed abode.	Neutral
Same as previous	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	2000	Fake self-containment stickers, refusal to move on, threatening behaviour	Yes



Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Is it necessary to enforce that a self contained vehicle is plumbed by a suitably licensed person? Defining a self-contained vehicle as one that is certifiably plumbed doesn't change the risk of people who choose to empty the brown water of the self contained vehicles inappropriately.	Disagree	No	Reference has only been made to 'anecdotal' reports that campers are less inclined to use portable toilets than those plumbed in. This does not appear to be sufficient evidence to enforce requiring self contained vehicles to be permanently plumbed. ? Requiring that the portable toilet is freely accessible when beds are made up, should help to ensure it is used. Many self contained station-wagons and small vans currently have the portable toilet stored in a small cubby hole or storage area that is not readily accessible for use, which would be the main reason why it is less inclined to be used. Changing the standard so that a portable toilet is 'readily accessible at all times' and that this is enforced when certifying the vehicle as self contained may be a more appropriate route than requiring all self contained vehicles to be permanently plumbed. Another important consideration is how/where these toilets are emptied regardless of whether they are portable or permanently plumbed in. Is there a infringement fee for inappropriate disposal of brown water?		Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes			Yes
This is important especially the regulation of the certification	Strongly agree	Yes	The porta poties dont work dont get used hard to clean and empty. Proper campervan loos make it easy. This also makes complaince easier defines the verchile type and should be compuslory.	No toilet that can be used when bed is done in a self contained area with a tank. The vans which cant do this can be used for camping but have to use a camp site. Apart from spaceships and a couple of van companies getting upset i cant see this being an issue	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	So portable toilets cannot be removed from the vehicles.		No
When hiring vehicles a government issue of instructions and dos and don'ts are in given read though before keys are handed over.	Strongly agree	Neutral	Some will have used portable toilets for years and be happy any new vehicles from 2021 should have plumbed toilets a phasing out period as such.	None that I can think	Yes
Do NOT let rental company's issue SCC's.	Disagree	No	Portable toilets are fine providing they have a storage capacity of a reasonable size. Some units use a composting system which is fine if used properly.	Rentals must not offer a refund or reward if the toilet is not used.	Yes
Make rental companies remove the 'punishment' of hirees having to pay extra when they fully use the waste facilities in camper vans etc.	Strongly agree	Yes	Because this is one of the main issues, where do people go to the toilet, and this is what we have on our public reserve and is an issue.	those above are fine.	No
Self-containment certification should be same as WOF. Non-complying vehicles or those with forged certification should be impounded or pink stickered on the spot.	Strongly agree	Neutral	Not always practical for design of vehicle.	Must show enforcement officer self-containment capability upon request or vehicle impounded.	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
	Provide freedom camping enforcement officers with guidance and referral pathways so that they can support homeless people appropriately. Homeless people shouldn't be excluded from the act, as what is currently seen as an 'international/domestic tourist' freedom camping problem may in the future turn into a 'homeless person' freedom camping problem if they are exempted from the act. A minority of people could also start defining themselves as 'homeless' when found by an enforcement officer to enable themselves to be exempt from freedom camping requirements.	
Needs to be a fixed date for legislation. Maybe 6 months but no more than 12. Current self certification is a total joke. As stated before doc camp sites are under \$10 a day.	With vulnerable people govt may have to look at paying for campsite access	
Portable toilets vs plumbed	Unsure	
If the transition is done at a reasonable pace there will be no need for other arrangements.	Issue through government or the local council window stickers fit for the purpose of allowing these people to park where they want within reason.	I think a lot would be achieved if motorhome and camping clubs and like groups were consulted and seriously listened to their expert views.
	Ensure they are linked to MSD and other agencies - and not let them move from one place to another, every two days and do nothing about it.	
There are too many fake self-containment stickers out there, all high-risk vehicles like those registered as passenger vehicles should be flagged and reinspected and recertified within a year.	Use common sense.	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12629904589	402352763	5/05/2021 9:16	5/05/2021 9:28	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Often	Privacy of natural persons		Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12629572054	402352763	5/05/2021 7:15	5/05/2021 7:35	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Selfcontainednz@gmail.com	Neutral						
12627445627	402352763	5/04/2021 17:24	5/04/2021 17:59	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12626633346	402352763	5/04/2021 9:54	5/04/2021 11:13	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral						
12620961146	402352763	5/01/2021 14:49	5/01/2021 15:11	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12620137003	402352763	5/01/2021 7:16	5/01/2021 7:32	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral						
12617796026	402352763	04/30/2021 02:43:32 PM	04/30/2021 02:57:54 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Rarely	stay@kaikouragateway.co.nz	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12614482855	402352763	04/29/2021 05:36:42 PM	04/29/2021 05:50:11 PM	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12611309313	402352763	04/28/2021 09:05:27 PM	04/28/2021 09:28:15 PM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Commercial Information
	No issues observed		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	It is our sole business after my previous rental company closed after covid
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	Am not in a business.
	No issues observed		Neutral	Likely	Unlikely	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Likely	Commercial Information	While I am open to freedom camping being done in only self contained vehicles, I am strongly opposed to introducing this if the current self containment rules change.
			Oppose	Likely	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Everyone loves to OP Shop
	No issues observed		Support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Regulations need to be in place for so-called self contained vehicles that may carry a toilet but it's unused due to lack of space. When camping in areas for freedom camping there is a huge impact on the toilet facilities in those sites. Therefore the need for more dumping stations would be required to accommodate more fully self contained vehicles.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	N/A
			Support	Likely	Likely	Likely		Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	
			Support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Vehicle certification does not fix public behavior

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	No. The exemptions as outlined above make sense.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
N/A	The type of self contained toilet needs to be broad enough, but limiting.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	If implemented I am strongly opposed to changing the current self containment rules.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Neutral	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
N/A	No	Support	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
N/A	N/A								
Commercial Information	No exceptions	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	Go to section 2. If not certified they need to be within 50 meters of toilets open all day as in regulations at present.	Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
More people staying in commercial camping grounds.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500	Only for people who are repeat offenders.	Yes
Self containment is now our sole business	Commercial Information	Support	Support	That is high enough, we have found that currently many of the council officers checking for the s/c vehicles are very poorly trained and at times have gone way beyond their remit	None, this would be a disaster.	Yes
Am not in a business.	N/A	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500	After offending 2x	Yes
		Support	Oppose			Neutral
They all still love to OP Shop	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	The penalty is a sufficient deterrent	If the vehicle is a rental, then the rental company should receive a large penalty. If it is private a \$1000 fine should be a big enough deterrent.	Yes
N?A	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	Upto \$5000 dependant on the offence	Yes	Yes
		Support	Support	Stay the same		Neutral
		Oppose	Oppose	Don't fine just have them removed	no	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Yes	A station wagon or car with a bucket is not self-contained.		Yes
Yes curvy testing of vehicles	Strongly disagree	No	You cannot tell people what type of toilet they are willing to use or not use. That's like telling people they wouldn't live in tiny flats, but people do	The quality of the testing the issue. I see on a daily basis vehicles that have been issued s/c certs that are no where near to compliance. This needs training and oversight	Yes
	Strongly agree	Neutral	Some good campers have non plumbed toilets.		Neutral
I think self containment should be done while getting your COF. That way it gets checked every 6 months, but you don't have to add a process as it gets done at the same time as your COF.	Strongly disagree	No	This will have severe implications to our business. It is effectively impossible to have permanent toilets in Sleepervans and even in Hi-Top Campervans. That would mean our core business is no longer able to be self contained.	They should just be checked more regularly and have a national standard that gets checked as part of your COF process.	Yes
There may be a need for a run-in period so people can improve their "self contained" status. Porta potties should not be acceptable to obtain a Self contained status	Strongly agree	Yes	Using a portable toilet when travelling in a small van is not possible and there are anecdotal evidence that some rental companies give incentives to not make use of them. Permanently plumbed toilets and handbasins ensure that the waste is going where it should be	Strict rules around the emptying of camper vans/caravans	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Porta loos can be carried and emptied anywhere		Neutral
The estimated fee to register is far too expensive. I do it now for \$15 so keep it low	Disagree	No	The certification standards was updated two years ago and keep to that.	No	Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
This needs to be done as a staged process so people who are genuinely homeless are not negatively impacted.	Make this a staged process over a few years so people have plenty of opportunity to see what is coming. Help people financially to get their vehicles up to the new standard if genuinely required.	
Giving people clear information. You should not have to pay to purchase the standard as you do at the moment. I was threatened with legal action for posting a link on my website to the standards, that seems bizarre to me that you have an requirement to be self containment and restrict access to information on the expectations of that requirement	That's difficult	I would love to meet to discuss this more, I think it would be useful for you as I've been doing this for a number of years now and have dealt with tourists and locals. My number is [redacted] Privacy of natural persons
?	?	
Commercial Information [redacted]		I believe you need to make the following changes: 1 - Move to a national database of Self Contained vehicles, checked at the same place as you get your COF. 2 - Have a 2 tiered self containment system: - SELF CONTAINED - The same as the current system, and should be allowed to freedom camp anywhere there is a toilet block. - FULLY SELF CONTAINED - The same as the current system but with a permanent toilet and privacy room. These should be able to freedom camp anywhere. Stopping people freedom camping where there is a toilet block is ridiculous. 99.9% of people will use a toilet block if there is one there. Its crazy to even think about stopping this. Freedom camping is an important part of life in NZ, and an important part of the campervan experience in NZ. I have talked to hundreds of our customers over the years, so I have a pretty good handle on things. Most customers do a mixture of freedom camping, DOC sites and full paid camping grounds. Very few do only freedom camping alone. But freedom camping is a vital part of the overall experience. People don't go home and talk about the time they spent at a top 10 camping ground, they go home and talk about the magical time they spent next to a beautiful river or lake. That's what sells NZ to their family and friends and gets them to travel here. Don't make that out of reach for the ordinary Kiwi or Tourist. More than happy to discuss further. Best regards, [redacted] Privacy of natural persons
An exception to the above is that campervans with only a portapotti should be taken off the road immediately.	They should be in campgrounds anyway. WINZ would be better supporting them in a formal setting.	There should never been an allowance for certifying vehicles with porta pottis to be called self contained, but I believe that those campers with a fixed toilet and handbasin should be free to freedom camp.
This is going overboard with thoughts of even making people in campgrounds needing self containment. Check on the Freedom Camping Act and it says if not certified you have to be within 50 meters of a toilet block open all day.		



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?						
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter	Waste
12604683437	402352763	04/26/2021 07:48:36 PM	04/26/2021 08:33:54 PM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree							
12604433845	402352763	04/26/2021 04:15:37 PM	04/26/2021 04:44:09 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12603873672	402352763	04/26/2021 08:02:17 AM	04/26/2021 08:24:18 AM	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ri organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12601288646	402352763	04/24/2021 12:36:32 PM	04/24/2021 12:42:24 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	info@thorntonbeach.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12600726719	402352763	04/24/2021 07:32:17 AM	04/24/2021 07:40:42 AM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Taranaki	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter						
12599223633	402352763	04/23/2021 10:26:29 PM	04/23/2021 10:28:40 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree				Staying too long at a freedom camping site			
12595863723	402352763	04/22/2021 08:51:58 PM	04/22/2021 09:06:40 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12595541061	402352763	04/22/2021 05:16:36 PM	04/22/2021 05:30:10 PM	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree			Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed	Day trippers that use the same recreational areas as campers are more of a problem	Strongly oppose	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	My soul source of forecasted future income and very damaging to everyone we support and represent... including poorer members is the communities in NZ everyone region should have camping available and accessible to everyone in that region as well as visitors
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Our rental vehicle(s) meets the current self contained certification standard. We believe that being certified self contained is positive for our customers and is a positive selling point.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	As a Kaitiaki Ranger on behalf of my Hape/Marae we monitor freedom camp sites in our area. I have seen over the years the good and bad behaviour of different campers. International campers are the worst as they bring their own mind set and culture into NZ.
			Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information	
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	
			Support	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information	Its more to do with destination management.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	Business don't use freedom camping

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	To not exclude tenting from the rules and not to restrict kiwis from camping based on wealth	Support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
N/A	No	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
	If the primary purpose for the vehicle is freedom camping then it should be certified. Exceptions could be a) resting or sleeping at the roadside in a caravan or motor vehicle due to mechanical failure which would include running out of fuel and b) resting or sleeping at the roadside in a caravan or motor vehicle to prevent drink driving.	Support	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly support	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
As long as toilets are being built and campers are not restricted from parking near public toilets it should encourage the same popularity for camping		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	\$100	Never ... what a disgusting thing to say	No
We are concerned about vehicles, not tents. Please see comments in previous proposal.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$10,000 for wanton ecological vandalism or significant repeat offences	Nonpayment of significant fines. Repeat offences.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000.00		Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	If not certified	Yes
More about destination management.						
Not involved in camping	<b>Commercial Information</b>	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	When the Act is violated	No

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Serious breach of Bill of rights and human rights and poorly thought out ... it would be like confiscation of cars of dog owners who don't pick up poo	Strongly disagree	No	Porta potties are just fine, they work and there should be enough public toilets at hot spots and camping allowed near them	The government should provide free wifi at all the sites for safety and creating a portal to campers to register information and collect data	Yes
Clear and effective regulation of current standards is vital to achieve the government's aims. Most plumbers and gas fitters are not concerned or experienced with the SCVS and the actual standard and quality of certification appears to vary wildly in the current system. A separate licensing system for certifiers that is audited and checked would improve this situation. Cost of certification per vehicle should be regulated and reasonable. A system similar to the vehicle WoF/CoF would be appropriate. The key reason for rental companies to be required to collect fines is to ensure that overseas travellers are held accountable for their behaviours while in NZ.	Strongly disagree	No	The current standards provide a range of ways for people to be self contained and store and remove all waste from freedom camping sites. Having these options is good. The key to achieving the government's aims is incentivising good behaviour by stronger enforcement of the current standard, which is fit for purpose though not enforced well. Removing current viable options such as portable toilets is unlikely to change behaviour and unnecessarily penalises a large number of responsible campers who prefer smaller vehicles.	Safe use of gas and heating appliances. The regulations for internally plumbed gas appliances within a vehicle are clear and strict. However, the use of non-plumbed LPG/butane appliances has been overlooked. The regulations appear to assume that LPG carried in vehicles is either in the situation of a fully plumbed system (requiring a gas locker) or carriage of an LPG cylinder (e.g. moving one from petrol station to home). There needs to be a "middle" consideration for the safety of gas use within vehicles where the former regulations do not apply. This will allow self builders and installers to be confident that they acting safely. They are currently using their best judgement.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Too many times we (tangata whenua) are witnessing and cleaning up human waste and toilet paper on the land	Proper toilet facilities and water containers	Neutral
	Strongly agree	Yes			No
Ensure the Act is observed by all campers - no waste etc dispose. Stop Local Governments operating a tourism business e.g providing camping sites at no cost to campers.	Strongly agree	Yes	Why should vehicles not be properly plumbed - these a mobile homes so they should be constructed to the same standard as a house.		No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Buy back scheme to refund those unable to meet new standards but felt forced and intimidated to get the the current self containment....at considerable cost even tho	You know it will and you should have already identified these groups ...literally half of New Zealanders will camp... my mind boggles youâ€™re about to restrict most of them AGAIN	Government needs to fund large multi user recreational areas in every region... two or more in each region if needed, that allows all camping modes that has adequate facilities and well maintained with systems in place to monitor and manage growth. The sites should not only encourage tourism but locals as well. The sites should be so accessible that all in NZ can enjoy our kiwi tradition and one of our most popular pastime. The regions that have the least tourism and those regions with high homelessness should get the most funding for these sites.... Those councils that struggle to manage freedom camping in there jurisdiction should have a government appointment group to take control and make it work
	My comments in this consultation are relevant only to recreational vehicle use. Homelessness needs to be addressed with compassion and swift action to appropriately house people who are struggling and living in their vehicles. None of the penalty measures that I have discussed are appropriate to a homelessness situation.	
Two months to comply.	Surely people who go camping are able to look after themselves. To what are they vulnerable?	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12595087195	402352763	04/22/2021 12:15:24 PM	04/22/2021 01:16:07 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12595052687	402352763	04/22/2021 11:59:31 AM	04/22/2021 12:33:19 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12592553358	402352763	04/21/2021 08:10:52 PM	04/21/2021 08:27:33 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree						
12592417198	402352763	04/21/2021 06:47:08 PM	04/21/2021 06:49:37 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12592406727	402352763	04/21/2021 06:39:36 PM	04/21/2021 06:46:22 PM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree			Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12592287344	402352763	04/21/2021 05:10:14 PM	04/21/2021 05:45:26 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding			
12592114709	402352763	04/21/2021 03:02:02 PM	04/21/2021 03:18:50 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12591688465	402352763	04/21/2021 11:12:34 AM	04/21/2021 12:16:20 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral		Waste	Overcrowding			
12591620220	402352763	04/21/2021 10:45:21 AM	04/21/2021 11:14:02 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour







Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
They still need to see us to get information on where the campsites in our region are. Typically these types of campers spend very little in our business anyway, no matter how much we are helping them.	Commercial Information	Support	Support	\$1,000	Im not sure that confiscation is necessary, except in very extreme circumstances.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	Non compliance with Self Certification rules. A vehicle without engineering certificate. Vehicle ownership not changed over. Vehicle with expired registration.	Yes
The existing act with bylaws is sufficient. The issue is the bylaws are not consistent. Making rules harder instead of consistent is not the answer.		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	\$200	Never.	No
Non						
We have camp facilities	Commercial Information	Neutral	Neutral	The CSC is currently based on a currently voluntary standard that is not legislation and cannot be legally enforced. I do not think fines for no csc is appropriate. Fines for no toilet is much different	None at all	No
I think many would choose to ignore the self-contained factor. It is more about NZ's image for me, although some businesses will be unduly impacted by this.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	If someone falsely claims to have a self-contained vehicle.	Yes
First, out tramping or fishing in the back-country it is impossible to bring a vehicle or a toilet. Traditionally, there are many ways to be self-contained while freedom camping. The proposal prohibits common sense, and restricts freedom camping to a vehicle based system. This is wrong. Secondly, I cannot see how it will solve the problems of the international tourism industry. It may improve it, but it will also limit traditional kiwi life. Is this really the aim or a reasonable collateral damage?	Commercial Information	Support	Strongly oppose	1000	Never ever	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
I like the database of registered vehicles idea, and accredited certifiers.	Strongly agree	Yes	It a hygiene issue. In a house a bathroom/toilet must be sufficiently "closed off" from any other communal rooms in the house - particularly kitchens. Why then, does a camping vehicle not require the same standards? I don't get how anyone can be content to live in the same 2x4m space for sleeping, eating, and toileting at the same time - even more so when you are sharing the space with another person as well.	Toilets should not only be plumbed, but sufficiently "sealed off" from the rest of the vehicle. The idea of portable toilets is gross. Many campers choose to stay in freedom camping spots that are not necessarily private. So they are either using their portable toilet in public places, or not using their portable toilet at all. Neither option is acceptable, because if they are not using the toilet then they should not claim to be "self-contained" (as they continue to do).	Neutral
Look more closely at safety and vehicle manufacturing design and construction for attachment and fixing of internal fittings to convert to a campervan.	Strongly agree	Yes	most do not use the portable toilets and this will force converters into using more suitable vehicles of a sufficient size for the conversion.	Hot water, separate plumbed hot water.	No
Making rental companies liable for fines will simply push rental companies to become Sell and Buy Back, where the renter now becomes the registered owner, until the vehicle is purchased back again by the rental company. The rental company simply becomes a motor vehicle dealer.	Strongly disagree	No	What is the definition of a permanently plumbed toilet? A cassette toilet is frequently used in motorhomes, and there are very few motorhomes in NZ with black water holding system tank similar to grey water tanks. It will be a very expensive exercise, with a high environmental cost as plastic cassette toilets end up in landfill.	No	Yes
The 1000s whose porta potties are currently self contained	Neutral	No	Porta Potts and cassette toilets are viable option if they can be used in a seperate room	No	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	To improve current habits and behaviour which is spoiling our reputation		Yes
I think a government run regulatory system is good. However, I think that unless the rules are equal nation wide, there will be no edge to higher infringements. Tourists need a clear easy understood message. Currently, all councils have their own set of rules which makes it impossible for people understand what, when and how. Confiscation of vehicles are a dumb idea. A lot of people live full-time in their vehicle, be it of choice or being homeless. They have a right to their home. The stress of being evicted from their home because of a bad-day-foul-tempered officer can't be an option. Just don't allow confiscation.	Strongly disagree	No	It is impossible in many vehicles to have a permanent plumbing, due to lack of space. This does not mean you can't be self-contained. A lot of kiwis use a toilet tent when camping, with or without a vehicle present. A tent can never be plumbed in. The proposal doesn't cater for the broad variety of self-contained freedom camping styles available.	As with so many other regulations in NZ, one should be able to show how one meets the self-containment standards. The regulations should not dictate how one should be kitted out, only give the structure and guidelines to how it can be achieved. Reference the new Food Act of 2014 that enables a wide variety of ways to be food safe, but does not stipulate how it should be done.	Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Vehicles that fit certain criteria (e.g. size of vehicle, size of waste tank etc) could be allowed to remain valid. Those that do not fit criteria should be required to re-certify under new rules/expectations. I am aware of many vehicles that have the blue sticker, that don't necessarily comply even with previous standards.	Sort the housing crisis and Mental Health issues out!!!!!!!!!!!!!! No NZer should be living in a car or a tent, and doing so just compounds mental health issues - not reduce it. If poverty and health issues were dealt with effectively, there would not have been this rise in homelessness that has become the norm. And unless those issues are dealt with, it is going to become increasingly unsafe for anyone to be staying in a camper in NZ - particularly in unpopulated areas. Are our tourists going to become vulnerable targets too? Definitely a lose-lose.	
compulsory airbags and min star rating for vans	Assistance with compliance if NZ Citizens. No support for Non citizens who choose or are living in substandard vans.	The act should be modified to support sustainable and healthy freedom camping that in the first instance is for Citizens and permanent residents. Visitors should be channelled to commercial accommodation, properly certified and safe vans to take the pressure away from the freedom camping hotspots and slum like places they congregate.
	Do not change existing policies and bylaws.	There is a high impact on the tourism industry with Covid-19. Is the purpose to destroy the tourism industry?
Look into the definition between cassette and porta potty	I dont think they can	
	I am not qualified to comment but they will need support to work through this. It will improve living conditions long term.	
	By understanding that a vast number of people in NZ doesn't fit the scope (full-time vehicle dwellers, gypsies, home less, vagabonds, etc) and hence need to be cautious about future regulations and legislation regarding restrictions on the kiwi way. Most problems in this survey are directly related to the international tourists, eg backpackers, and should not impact everyday kiwis.	It would be interesting to see how something similar to the Swedish Freedom of Movement Act could be implemented in NZ. With a consistent nation wide set of rules, underlined with ongoing information campaigns and why and how infringements will be issued, I think we can tackle most issues. Most people want to do the right thing. Most people want to conform to a system, if the system makes sense and is consistent and easy understandable. The Swedish act holds such criteria and is an integral part of their tourism.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12591265984	402352763	04/21/2021 08:16:39 AM	04/21/2021 08:42:13 AM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree			Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12590880533	402352763	04/21/2021 06:01:20 AM	04/21/2021 06:39:26 AM	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ri organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12590771770	402352763	04/21/2021 05:24:58 AM	04/21/2021 05:31:06 AM	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ri organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Prefer not to say	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12589157545	402352763	04/20/2021 07:25:46 PM	04/20/2021 07:50:19 PM	Accommodation provider		Visa holder	Gisborne	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12589081222	402352763	04/20/2021 06:39:20 PM	04/20/2021 07:12:11 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12588977067	402352763	04/20/2021 05:19:22 PM	04/20/2021 05:36:01 PM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter			Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12588943122	402352763	04/20/2021 04:54:24 PM	04/20/2021 04:59:20 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Often	info@bayofislandscampervans.co.nz	Agree	Litter				Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12588724499	402352763	04/20/2021 02:07:37 PM	04/20/2021 02:10:17 PM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12588697208	402352763	04/20/2021 01:48:58 PM	04/20/2021 02:53:10 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12588682896	402352763	04/20/2021 01:40:47 PM	04/20/2021 02:07:43 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Rarely	stay@cosycorner.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12588656056	402352763	04/20/2021 01:25:10 PM	04/20/2021 01:48:28 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Rarely	Littlegreentours@gmail.com	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Retired
Excessive noise			Support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Not saying
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	As I'm an individual no impact on business. But if not permitted to Freedom Camp.. then loose another citizen right
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Freedom camping is out of control in our region, we are an NZMCA member and feel so strongly about freedom camping we do not display the NZMCA logo on our van o
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We are self contained now and are responsible campers.
		Camping behavior at inappropriate areas	Neutral	Unlikely							
	No issues observed										
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Neutral	Commercial Information	More money on compliance = less cash to spend and less people being able to afford decent holidays.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	All non self contained vehicles would be required to stay at a commercial Holiday Park.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	We are not in the freedom camping industry but want to see our environment respected and kept clean so that our tour guests can see a clean, green pure country.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
	No,nzdrs have a bad habit of either not understanding or twisting things to meet their needs. The more exemptions the more this can happen	Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
	Vulnerable people who unfortunately live in cars or Van's.	Support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	Do not implement or we protest	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	All must be registered, legal and certified	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	We simply dont know, but caper vans are very visible and we see areas all over NZ were freedom camping is unrestricted and totally out of control	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely		Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
	For tents and people sleeping under the stars like trampers etc	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	
?	No	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No, exceptions are hard to police and create ambiguity and interpretation.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
		Strongly support	Strongly support	5000	None,until I can see some proof of properly trained and qualified people,not just any twat in a yellow jacket	Yes
		Support	Support	Same as now	Not at all.	Yes
		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	0	Never	No
Its our right of Freedom of choice	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$200	Not Registered or Certified	No
Our reasons are from over 30 Years in tourism activities attractions and accommodation	would shift freedom campers to any place with a toilet	Strongly support	Strongly support	Urgent review fine, to follow Australia	All camper vans should have self-containment and plumbed toilets	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support			Yes
		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	They should be made to work some hours on a community organic toilet facility	For violent behaviour	No
Non self contained vehicle would still be able to park up near facilities supplied by the council and ratepayers	\$	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$200 progressing up t\$100 then Confiscation	Fraudulent use of self containment certification	No
	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,000	Uncertified vehicles could be immediately clamped. Confiscation if fine not paid within 14 days	No



Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Problems such as the homeless are not a problem to be sorted by this forum, but the problem for other agencies.	Strongly agree	No	Most campervans use cassettes As long as the toilet itself is fixed cassettes should be allowable.	Specifically agree that toilets should be in separate room and if you have a camper, vanq that rented toilets be not allowed	Yes
Set the fee at \$125 with no potential to increase it.	Agree	Yes	With the ability for cassette use, storage if black water, compostable vs portable potty that no one uses especially tourists because it costs if they do. Rentals companies should only rent vehicles with the ability to hold a loo with supporting cassette type plumbing.and the cost of painting is in the rental charge.	That they be fixed or permanent	Yes
Leave freedom camping alone or face protests	Strongly disagree	No	It's council responsibility to provide such service.	No	Yes
Listen to its people	Strongly agree	Yes	Because one has to use the allocated Dump Stations	Fire safety Smoke and Gas Alarms	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	freedom campers are less inclined to use portable toilets than those that are plumbed in.	Self-controlled stickers need to be registered with VIN	No
	Agree	No	I think that self containment should allow toilets with a sealed cassette.		Yes
That so many that I have seen (so called responsible campers) will carry on pouring there chemical toilets down public toilets and much worse down stormwater systems. This will increase that behaviour. More basic pubic toilets way more please worm systems or big composting systems and give a bit more space we have so much public land but in so many areas there are only a few sealed car park spaces. how about more natural places. I let a dozen tourists stay on my land over lockdown. They had no where to go.Most impressed they made a great longdrop loo and planted an orchard,pluss there was less mess and rubbish when they left.All of them will return to nz some lâ€™m sure wealthy.lets cater for everyone. lâ€™m a self contained nzmca member of many years traveled the entire country many times and the world.education is the key not fines and more rules.	Strongly disagree	Neutral	Allmost all camper toilets are disgusting.please try using one for a week if you havenâ€™t.we need more public toilets lots of them.please look at the many alternative options		Yes
Instant fine for non compliance	Strongly agree	Yes	Because porter toilets are either not being used or are being removed after being certified. Would you pull a toilet out from under the bed in your camper and take a shit? No you wouldn't nor will any of these people in these vans.	Self contained Vehicles must have a stand alone toilet/shower cubicle with a fixed in toilet and plumbing. Not a loose free standing portaloos under a bed.	No
Instant fine on campers. If not paid then clamp the wheels. If fine still not paid vehicle confiscated or deregistered.	Strongly agree	Yes	If not plumbed then there is discretion by the camper as to use the toilet or not. Self contained vehicles can only be describedas such if they have plumbing and able to store 3 days waste.	Self contained vehicles should also provide washing facilities for dishes, clthes and people. Not just about toulets. To preserve our environment all waste water should be contained and disposed of in approved facilities.	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Every few	This is not a freedom camping problem. It is a socio economic problem and the fact it is allowed just allows the problem to be hidden..the reason not the issue needs to be solved	Just remember " up top for thinking, down there for dancing" and make solutions based on common sense and not on specific groups agendas
Timeframes for people to convert vehicles to hold fixed or permanent toilets with applicable waste containers like cassettes.	Hard to define but in my experience its is tourist in sliders that cause more problems than those vulnerable people who live in their vehicles.	Keep the costs low if making it mandatory to have fixed or permanent loos on board vehicles. Casette use is appropriate as are other types of black water storage systems, compostible etc. Porta potties in rental vehicles should be included in cost, that would help alleviate their non use because of extra cost.
None	Remove council authority	No
Getting the said homeless into homes as promised	As above. Get them into homes as promised. Otherwise New Zealand will end up having squatter camps as in many 3rd world countries. The crime and all other related problems will only then escalate	Nil
Give a written warning with a 6-month extension for the vehicle to comply		
	A waiver for homelessness.	
	Free up more public land lots of places and get volunteer community involvement to create beautiful organic facilities, come on letâ€™s impress the tourists.the visit nz on a budget the first time but return later as a more wealthy tourist	Iâ€™m Grant McClellan 54 built my first camper at 16 and freedom camped the country. I now do this in a bus and hotels eating out most nights and doing many tourist adventures like jet boats and fishing charters.rubish a toilet waste is not being reduced by the proposals in fact they are being increased a lot of chemical is being used in camper loos then the bottles end in landfill as well. What do the experts say about xtra chemicals in the sewage treatment process say ? Please consult them. To me itâ€™s simple after living an alternative life sewage can be treated on site so many ways now letâ€™s put facility in all camp areas. The community will do it for free just find some great sights that are out of the main public view and let us put some mahi in and build mana. More rules equals more policing. Please look at this carefully itâ€™s not to late to take a different view. Iâ€™m a builder and site supervisor of 30 years as well fully licensed. Iâ€™m happy to brainstorm Grant McClellan <b>Privacy of natural persons</b>
	Build more housing.	Stop talking about it and get it done.
There are currently very few overseas visitors freedom camping at this time. Many vehicles sitting unused and these could be undergoing certification right now.	Any vehicles not able to be fully self contained to the new standard could be purchased by the Govt and repurposed as transitional housing for homeless. These could be in approved areas with amenities provided including toilets and showers and cooking facilities.	All vehicles wanting to be certified must have an initial certificate issued by NZTA eg VTNZ at a one off cost of \$500 for example. Every year as part of the WoF the vehicle will be recertified by VTNZ. If it fails, the recertification has to be applied for again.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12588536030	402352763	04/20/2021 12:18:34 PM	04/20/2021 12:24:02 PM	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ori organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Gisborne	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter		Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12588292240	402352763	04/20/2021 10:29:08 AM	04/20/2021 10:31:13 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12588038941	402352763	04/20/2021 08:38:57 AM	04/20/2021 09:02:08 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12588032972	402352763	04/20/2021 08:34:35 AM	04/20/2021 09:00:34 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12587701081	402352763	04/20/2021 06:35:40 AM	04/20/2021 07:07:04 AM	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ori organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12587674337	402352763	04/20/2021 06:26:34 AM	04/20/2021 06:50:06 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	taumarunui-holiday-park@xtra.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12585976270	402352763	04/19/2021 07:45:24 PM	04/19/2021 08:24:25 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	pinesholidaypark@xtra.co.nz	Neutral	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
		1. Parking with no respect for local residents- blocking our view of our Seascape, our foreshore seabed by parking lengthwise along the beach front rather than perpendicular to the foreshore seabed.									
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	The use of commercial camping grounds, council and DOC dedicated facilities would be promoted as safe preferred destinations.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We have 3 campgrounds in Raglan and they totally miss out with cars and vans parked all around Raglan and not using our facilities.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Commercial Information	There is too much focus given to tourism and financial impact. What about the environment? It seems Opotiki is not on your trail of public wananga. Opotiki is the gateway to the East Coast. Living on State Highway 35 we see a lot of freedom campers living within walking distance from the house. Our traditional pipi beds have been destroyed at Waiotahi because of free camping. If all you are concerned about is providing toilets so campers can come and go. We will in time lose our green environment and traditional waterways.
Excessive noise				Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	freedom campers park out the front of our business, then come and use facilities during the night.
			Support	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	we have a business that is a area where there are 5 motorcamps and five freedom sites within the village. despite signage there is still people who park anywhere in non allocated parking.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
N/A	Nope	Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No exceptions - freedom campers need to be stopped	Strongly support	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	freedom camping should not be promoted at all and instead holiday parks and camping ground businesses should be supported. It can cost \$10 to \$20 a night for a van at some parks.	Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	they should have a flushing toilet either beside the shower or separate which is part of the W.O.F or C.O.F compliance. NOT a porta potty beside or under the bed.	Oppose	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
It would put non compliant vehicles in facilities that are their for them providing facilities and meeting standards.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,000	None.	Neutral
Freedom camping is out of control in Raglan. Wiaakato council warn people but dont fine anyone. We have a 3 day stay rule but its not enforced. The whole thing is a mess.	N/A	Strongly support	Strongly support	1k is good but emfotce the rules properly	Repeat offenses	Yes
Tourism and financial opportunities versus environment and loss of natural resources	The camper is nomadic	Strongly support	Strongly support	Freedom campers travel on tight budgets - environment first	yes	No
Freedom campers park out the font of our business, then use our facilities during the night or sneak in with our paying campers.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	When anyone breaks the rules, however who is an enforcement officer and how effective will they be as Aotearoa is a big country for campers to hide in?	Yes
why would a camper who spend big \$\$ on a flash camper van stay in a motor camp when they can park in a freedom area and leave rubbish on site for the council to remove at the council rate payers expenese.	this is a answer i dont talk about with others on profit or loss.	Support	Support	vechile clamped and towed.	when rubbish/noise/and general disruption is seen or left behind	Neutral

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
All complying vehicles to display authorization. Registered against vehicle.	Strongly agree	Neutral	Dumping facilities if toilet cassettes not readily available.	Storage for general rubbish to exceed 60 litres.	No
If you have a town like Raglan with 3 campgrounds freedom camping should be banned in the township and surrounding areas. We have literally hundreds of vans and cars parked around Raglan and our campgrounds miss out while locals have to deal with the mess these campers leave behind. Australia sets up freedom camping sites back inland from the coast and have banned freedom camping on beaches or towns on the coast to protect campgrounds. So freedom campers have a choice to drive back inland to a free site or pay and stay out on the coast in a campground. We found that great while freedom camping through Australia. And one manager of a campground told us it fixed all the issues around freedom camping.	Strongly agree	Yes	Because people don't use the portaloos on board their vans. We have had freedom campers using anywhere to go toilet. Even had someone shit at the gate to our dog park and leave toilet paper and crap.	The 3 day stay in the Waikato needs to be enforced. We have had vans stay the whole summer.	Yes
Rental companies should not be providing freedom camper vehicles	Strongly agree	Yes	The environment	Visit Hikuwai Beach in Opotiki and see first hand how freedom campers live. We've lost the plot.	No
Who is an enforcement officer and how effective will they be as Aotearoa is a big country for campers to hide in?	Strongly agree	Yes	If not they will continue to break the rules	Parked at a registered camping ground or holiday park only	Neutral
We have a clean environment but it is being left messy by freedom campers who don't care, it's more than a slap on the wrist and stop it, time to harden up on this	Agree	Yes	The toilet should have a minimum removable cassette that is in a separate compartment from dirty water from sinks or shower.	The self-containment should be apart of the W.O.F and or C.O.F to be compliant checked at a LTSA testing station.	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Transition timeframe of two years.	That is the bigger social question that can't be solved in this proposed legislation. Increasing benefits, providing affordable safe warm housing and work opportunities would be a start. So vehicles don't have to be used as permanent accomodation.	
None	Everyone needs to be certified or use campgrounds	Follow Australia's lead and ban freedom camping within 10 km of any campgrounds and ban freedom camping within town limits. Set up freedom camping sites near highways back off the coast with facilities.
Simple stop freedom campers and consider the affects on the environment		
unsure	put them in registered camping grounds or holiday parks	Ban freedom camping, they ruin our environment and are a drain on business. Homelessness is a very different issue to freedom camping and should not be considered in the group.
the self containment blue sticker have a register number that is on a data base, if not vehicle is ordered of the road as a failing to comply W.O.F/C.O.F	it should be one rule for all.	limited numbers on some NZMCA sites ,as these sites are usually a small area and can be in areas that are near fire hazards ,as camping ground owners must comply with weed,pest and rubbish control. the NZMCA camps have no fire fighting tools such as hoses , water supply or fire extinguishers



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12585566016	402352763	04/19/2021 01:19:10 PM	04/19/2021 02:14:45 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Manawatu-Wanganui	Never	info@wrivertop10.co.nz	Strongly agree			Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12584199003	402352763	04/18/2021 01:09:37 PM	04/18/2021 01:15:57 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12583873830	402352763	04/17/2021 08:06:35 AM	04/18/2021 07:02:44 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Rarely	info@opouterebeach.co.nz	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12582779753	402352763	04/17/2021 01:59:01 PM	04/17/2021 02:31:55 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	woodendbeachhp@xtra.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12582746257	402352763	04/17/2021 01:28:30 PM	04/17/2021 02:08:24 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12582721615	402352763	04/17/2021 01:10:11 PM	04/17/2021 01:18:39 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12582706859	402352763	04/17/2021 12:57:54 PM	04/17/2021 01:07:05 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12582594070	402352763	04/17/2021 11:31:57 AM	04/17/2021 11:38:20 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson		Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12582387942	402352763	04/17/2021 09:29:35 AM	04/17/2021 09:56:09 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	office@wbc2014.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12582301904	402352763	04/17/2021 08:47:52 AM	04/17/2021 09:01:52 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	tmcamp@xtra.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12580073082	402352763	04/16/2021 04:35:52 PM	04/16/2021 04:56:43 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12580043141	402352763	04/16/2021 04:12:03 PM	04/16/2021 04:12:54 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Never	info@coronationpark.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour





Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000		Yes
Keeping it green and supporting local businesses.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,500	Removal & fine	Yes
Toilets and facilities would become very dirty and unhygienic. More public toilets are needed but for travellers not freedom overnight camping	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000 is fine but there should be an instant fine as there is at airports for people bringing in food etc.	If the fine isnt paid immediately	Yes
Commercial Information		Support	Support	\$1,000	If the fine is unpaid within a set period and should be attached to a driver's license for NZ residents or a passport for visitors. with checks at the border to catch unpaid fines	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	A sliding scale	After numerous breaches.	No
no one at camps.		Strongly support	Strongly support	take there vans.	any time if there not ment to be there.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500	yes	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000.00	Destroying local Flora or Fauna. Leaving dump waste in Public Beaches and parks etc.	Yes
we are accomadation providers and believe the government should be helping our industry,especially with lack of Tourists	Commercial Information	Support	Strongly support	so long as it is policed	staying self contained but are not	Yes
Those without the facilities would have to stay at holiday Parks	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	compulsory \$1000, no leeway	repeat infringements	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
How would this be monitored and who pays for the staffing costs to monitor?	Strongly agree	No	portable toilets can work as long as they can be set up with the bed down.		No
Local discussions and NZ rights	Strongly agree	Yes	waste into our waterways and environment	n/a	Yes
Having enough resources to enforce the rules. Once you make the rules, if you dont implement them properly who is going to adhere to them	Strongly agree	Yes	Black water waste should be the same as grey water waste. People wont sit on a toilet in a small campervan where there is no room and other people may be present. There should be a separate room with a plumbed in toilet.	Self contained vehicles should be a certain size so that everyone is comfortable inside, also a shower/toilet room.	No
Make it a criminal offence to not pay the fines when applied and have a central registry of fines applied and payment made, using either driver's licenses for NZ residents or Passport detail for visitors.	Strongly agree	Yes	Many vehicles have toilets that are unable to be used when the beds are made up and this makes it less likely that they will be used. Toilets need to be in a separate cubicle and fastened in place, with external access to the waste cassette.	They should have plumbing from a sink & shower to a waste container, this may be a fixed or portable tank that will hold at least 4 days of waste.	No
	Strongly agree	Yes	Toilets in vehicles that have stickers clearly aren't either there or accessible due to the current regs.		No
make all campers go to camping grounds they have every thing that is needed.	Strongly agree	Yes	just makes sence	should only be allowed to stop if needed to rest.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	more hygenic and more desirable for the type of tourist we seek to attract		No
Yes - Make all Freedom camping in NON RESIDENTIAL areas, because the negative impact they have on our locals is very bad.	Strongly agree	Neutral	Not sure how this would work, how would they dump their waste???	Some type of waste disposal and also rubbish disposal.	No
be mindful of the campgrounds trying to make a living especially with tourism from oversea tourists is not happening	Strongly agree	Neutral	unsure as there are great chemical portaloos	overnight only	No
A strict set of guidelines given to all councils, not a matter of interpretation	Strongly agree	Yes	any other option would lead to the ability for the camper to abuse the system	no	No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
	If the government feels it is acceptable for homeless people to live in motorhomes without self-contained facilities, they may consider setting up camps especially for them with communal toilet and shower facilities. Keeping them separate from tourists.	
real timeframes.	consultation	n/a
None. Once the law is changed then everyone must abide by it. Thats what happens with other regulations eg changing speed limits, bylaws etc.	Maybe opening up some land for the homeless and providing toilets and showers.	I think New Zealand is not the clean green place it used to be. The west coast is littered with toilet paper and rubbish, a lot to do with freedom camping gone unchecked for too long.
Allow a grace period of 18 months from the time the regulations change to when compliance is mandatory	Improve the provision of temporary accommodation for this small group of people as the total who may be affected (not all the approx 5000 people listed above would be affected) is a very small % of the New Zealand population.	There are a number of camping facilities in New Zealand that while charging a fee to stay, do not meet the requirement of the Camping ground regulations and are not monitored for compliance, this leaves a non-level playing field for those that do comply and means visitors do not get a consistent experience. i.e camps with no showers or kitchens with hot water that allow non-selfcontained vehicles to stay.
	Provide designated areas for genuinely affected people having to live in vehicles for homelessness - this is an issue separate to Freedom Camping. Clamp down on those who travel NZ and freedom camp	Please be mindful of commercial operations who are hugely effected by freedom campers.
		just remember that camping grounds are only full for 4 weeks of the yaer out side of this time all campers should be going to this sites.
The Government owns land all over the Country, while homes are being built and other means of housing looked into, the Government could have parks open for homeless people in Campervans at a minimal cost. We have many coming in to our camp looking for longterm and we just cannot allow it here but there must be fields etc where homeless can camp (if they are fully self contained)	Give them places to camp	
	one rule for all self contained,dont see how they would be disadvantaged as only allowed 3 nights. If you have a car you need to comply should be no different	
Regular checks at WOF times	there is a huge difference between a homeless shelter and a transient non certified vehicle. Homeless should be actively encouraged to make use of council run facilities and Govt departments, still a no to freedom camping whether you are homeless or not	This has been dragging on too long, I do feel sorry for the young tourists that come into this country believing they can freedom camp anywhere in NZ. They do not have the budget to do anything but. The onus for this falls on the shoulders of the companies or sites that hire or sell the vehicles, they should let the OE tourist that freedom camping is not allowed

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12580042195	402352763	04/16/2021 04:10:26 PM	04/16/2021 04:42:27 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12579484294	402352763	04/16/2021 11:11:59 AM	04/16/2021 11:58:41 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Rarely	greenstoneretreat@outlook.com	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12578981949	402352763	04/16/2021 08:17:08 AM	04/16/2021 08:26:09 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12578963356	402352763	04/16/2021 08:10:13 AM	04/16/2021 08:17:19 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Never	taupo@bellavista.co.nz	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12576468975	402352763	04/15/2021 02:01:44 PM	04/15/2021 02:46:24 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury		Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12576139020	402352763	04/15/2021 11:08:49 AM	04/15/2021 11:27:53 AM	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12573857556	402352763	04/14/2021 09:33:26 PM	04/14/2021 09:43:33 PM	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Rarely	catlinsproperty@yahoo.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12573589851	402352763	04/14/2021 06:51:04 PM	04/14/2021 07:17:50 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree						
12572911418	402352763	04/14/2021 11:29:22 AM	04/14/2021 11:38:03 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Never	homestays@wentworthheights.co.nz	Strongly agree		Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Would probably be hardly noticeable as the group involved would stay at places with minimal services eg, somewhere that provides a toilet serviced and cleaned buy ratepayers
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	I have a registered campground and have not been at full occupancy once since the freedom camp sites have been put in. There are now 3 places within 10km of my campground that provide toilets and overnight parking for freedom campers provided by our local council. There is also a really affordable DOC camp site which is now rarely full and an NZMCA only camping site. I believe if all vehicles that were not self contained to the new standards were prohibited from parking in these areas over night they would choose to stay at the local camp ground and DOC site bringing money into the local economy along with conservation, and helping keep small businesses afloat.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	With less freedom camping, more visitor will choose to stay in commercial accommodation.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	Freedom campers pass by our business, we are not reliant on them for our business to function, rather they are just a percentage of the visitors we see on any given day.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Common sense to have a vehicle that is fir for purpose or be only able to stay in properties that have facilities
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Quality not quantity Catlins doesnt need excessive amounts of people as that spoils the attraction of what the region is about.Flora and Fauna.
	No issues observed		Support	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information	Our business is currently only selling and renting self contained campers
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	We run a B & B , but don't deal with the freedom camping type market



If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	no	Support	Likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	driver fatigue, unexpected accident...there may need to be some compassion for individual circumstances, however warning details need to be kept and repeat offenders penalised.	Strongly oppose	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Yes - I do not think that people should be prosecuted for stopping to rest and use there vehicles to do this. I also think that the certification aspect needs to be tightened as some vehicles showing this criteria are clearly not self contained to the level they should be.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
na	Always exceptions to the rules to be decided on their merit	Support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	
tuff question.	Simply tighten the cert rules.Toilet and shower contained in the seperate room.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Vehicles with exsisting self containment certifications should remain valid until there expiry date	Support	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
	No exceptions . we need to get freedom camping under control , which it is not in parts of Southland	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
As answered before	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	remain		Yes
There are public toilets in most towns and villages. If this was to pass they would all turn into over crowded freedom campsites making it difficult to use the facilities for day trippers and those passing through. Public toilets then become full bathroom facilities with hand basins washing dishes, washing lines strung around the carparks and more pressure on councils to keep them clean and rubbish free. It would decrease the likelihood of campers upgrading to fully self contained and also decrease the need to use camp grounds and DOC sites. It will raise the number of budget travellers wanting to buy or rent unsuitable vehicles. This is moving in the wrong direction.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500	Repeat warnings, danger to enforcement officers or public, false records on containment.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support		Repeat offender	Yes
Same reasons as stated previously	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,000 - needs to be enough to act as a deterrent.	If people are in breach of the rules then their vehicles could be impounded and all recovery costs to be born by the driver (rental companies would seek redress from them). Signage at sites needs to convey this possibility.	Yes
Just makes sense have a vehicle that has facilities or only be able to stay at a property that does	NO	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$2,500	Unsure	Yes
Our business currently only deals in certified self contained campers	Commercial Information	Support	Neutral	1000	No	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Neutral			Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	It is too easy to abuse the rules for porta loos. Most of the sleeper cars and vans do not have the space to use portable toilets when they also have their belongings. It is also too small a space to allow for privacy if you are travelling with others. Food areas and toilet areas need to be kept separate for health and safety and food hygiene reasons.	Ability to drive them and understand all operating instructions.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Hygienic reason		Neutral
I firmly believe that Government should be charging an "Ammenity Fee" for freedom camping. This could be including in the registration costs of the vehicle / caravan so would be applicable for both domestic and international visitors. Said funds could then be continually applied to the improvement of infrastructure and ammenities at recognised Freedom Camping areas throughout the country to lift the visitor experience - a better experience will help promote better behaviour, help promote our 100% Pure NZ image and maintain our goal of looking after our country for future generations.	Strongly agree	Yes	I do not believe that the majority of portable cassette toilets have sufficient holding capacity to meet the mandated 3 day requirement as stated above.	I think there should be a minimum holding capacity that all freedom camping vehicles must have (be it for waste or water) and these levels need to be built into the certification criteria. This would capture those individuals who are not as responsible as they should be. This is unlikely to be onerous on those who Freedom Campers who take their obligations seriously in this regard, as they probably exceed these levels already.	No
Likely	Strongly agree	Yes	Less likely to flaunt rules		Yes
Should allow current self contained vehicles to remain valid until they expire.	Agree	No	Because this would not be practical In current self contained vehicles there are a large number of vehicles which you could not meet this requirement and make a lot of vehicles redundant	Only business/organisations should be able to get vehicles certified self contained.	Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
<p>Huge amounts of advertising at airports, inflight, overseas booking agents, all council run websites, backpacker boards on social media etc....Make it clear so that overseas travellers do not expect to buy a un-selfcontained van and travel for free around nz. This is different from the past and current budget traveller promotions.</p>	<p>Direct financial support to get the vehicles and sleeping arrangements upgraded. Create and support campgrounds that suit the needs for the homeless with payment through the benefit and social housing schemes.</p>	<p>All freedom camping should be kept away from the towns and villages. How about no freedom camping within 50km zone or within a certain distance from a registered camp ground or DOC campground...if you want to get out into nature then you can with your own facilities...if you want to be in town then pay for facilities. There is enough pressure on small businesses right now and having 3 places for freedom camping within 10km of my camp ground is hard work. It will be on a different scale when the boarders open again so we need to find the balance between freedom and regulation. Under option number 1, What is to stop un-self contained vans and cars having a pop up roof or side tents, or an awning. It is the same problem as sleeping in the vehicle. Tents being used for the purpose of hiking tracks should not be on the roadside with a vehicle. cyclists and hikers who are tenting should be encouraged to stay at campgrounds unless they move well away from the road.</p>
<p>Certification should be linked to Vehicle Registration renewals. Just as you need a current Warrant of Fitness to get your vehicle registered now you should also need a certification certificate before your vehicle registration could proceed.</p>	<p>NZ Employment Law identifies vulnerable categories of workers and excludes them from some arrangements (e.g. business buy outs) to offer them protection. I think a similar model could be applied in this situation as well.</p>	
<p>Homelessness for reasons we are all aware of is real and very costly to NZ overall Govt - consider that many camp sites are more then suitable and very cost effective for families needing to accommodation for up to 20 weeks.</p>	<p>Support suitable camp sites with full facilities to support a number of families in need for a duration of time as per transitional housing</p>	
<p>If vehicles are under 15 years old they should be able to renew there self containment certificate under the voluntary standard</p>	<p>Allow all vehicles certified under the voluntary standard to be valid until there expiry date.</p>	<p>More information should be provided in regards to permanently plumbed toilets and what the requirements are regarding them so people can better understand if they can meet the requirements. All currently self contained vehicles under the voluntary standard should remain valid untill There expiry date.</p>

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12572652603	402352763	04/14/2021 09:37:15 AM	04/14/2021 10:08:35 AM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12572103852	402352763	04/14/2021 06:17:43 AM	04/14/2021 06:34:35 AM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12570953371	402352763	04/14/2021 12:18:05 AM	04/18/2021 07:49:08 PM	Rental vehicle business		Visa holder	Waikato	Often	mail@mojocampers.co.nz	Agree						
12570610453	402352763	04/13/2021 09:45:02 PM	04/13/2021 09:54:34 PM	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ori organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12570399755	402352763	04/13/2021 07:38:29 PM	04/13/2021 08:09:33 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12570259347	402352763	04/13/2021 06:00:36 PM	04/13/2021 06:08:08 PM	Accommodation provider		Visa holder	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree						

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Likely	Commercial Information	Freedom campers regularly visit our accommodation for the facilities that we provide, specifically because they are traveling on foot or in vehicles which do not have showers and toilets.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	As a motorhome owner for over 45years I've seen to much defecation on public land. Sick of cleaning up other people's rubbish
	No issues observed		Oppose	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Pressure on the environment won't be decreased, the rules alone don't help decreasing the pressure, it is all about the people and their behaviour. Since the borders are closed we experience much less inappropriate disposal of waste etc. Allowing freedom camping in self-contained vehicles only is a good step, but it still all comes down to the behaviour of the people and if they want to comply with the rules. This rule won't change anything in the behaviour of people who litter and are disrespectful towards the environment. They will litter, even if they camp in a self-contained vehicle on a freedom camp site.
	No issues observed			Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Neutral	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed		Oppose	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly likely	Neutral	Commercial Information	

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	This proposal is counterproductive and disproportionately curtails our freedom to explore and enjoy public lands in New Zealand. No authority should have the power to impose conditions on our right to roam.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	No exceptions								
Commercial Information	On sites with toilet facilities, freedom camping should be allowed for non self-contained vehicles too. As mentioned above, it all comes down to people's behaviour. If there are toilet facilities, people will use them.	Support	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Neutral	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Creating an expectation of providing facilities is self-defeating as it adds burdens on councils instead of encouraging responsible behaviour by campers. A public awareness approach akin to the "keep NZ beautiful" anti-littering campaigns plus mandatory provision of rubbish receptacles, shovels and educational literature in rental campers would be a thousand times more effective.		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	\$200	NEVER	No
This proposal makes a lot of sense since it takes toilet facilities into account. According to the feedback of my customers people generally use toilet facilities if they are available.	Commercial Information	Neutral	Strongly support	\$2,000	under no circumstances they should be allowed to confiscate vehicles	Yes
		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	\$200	None	No
		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	\$0	Under no circumstances	No
		Oppose	Oppose	500	Littering	No



Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Under no circumstances should people be criminalised or penalised for roaming freely on public land. Only when campers are caught blatantly defiling whenua should penalties be imposed. The last thing the industry needs is more red tape providing a chilling effect on entrepreneurship.	Strongly disagree	No	The distinction of 'permanently plumbed' is academic, and disadvantages budget vehicle providers and travellers. The reality is that the vast majority of motorhomes - including the entire fleet of Tourism Holdings Ltd - have toilets that are impractical for any other than emergency use, and will overflow if used as little as three times between emptying.	For vehicles to genuinely be self-contained, blackwater storage must be increased by orders of magnitude over the current standard fitted to rental vehicles.	Neutral
If rental companies are required to collect fines from campers the rental company needs to be notified immediately once a fine has been issued, to be able to collect the fine when the renter drops off the vehicle.	Strongly disagree	No	1. Plumbed in toilets won't change anything about the behaviour of people when littering or inappropriately disposing of waste. 2. The vehicles of my fleet are small and certified self-contained. I get a lot of feedback from my customers about how handy it was to have the toilet on board. People do use the portable toilets in my vehicles, especially at night. 3. Plumbed in toilets will be used just as much or as little as portable toilets. The main issue with toilets in vehicles is the discomfort. When travelling as a couple or as a family, using the toilet in the vehicle where everyone is sleeping very close to the toilet, can be uncomfortable. If it makes people feel uncomfortable, then it doesn't make a difference if the toilet is portable or plumbed in. People will use the plumbed in toilet as much as they will use the portable toilet. 4. Having strict requirements like the one of proposal 4 in place, means freedom camping will become a privilege for people who can afford big motorhomes. It will change the whole camping environment, it will change the dynamics of people moving around New Zealand, visiting remote areas and support small businesses in remote areas.	I provide very personal customer service and enquire very detailed feedback from my renters. According to their feedback, the current requirements for self-contained vehicles are very accurate. Responsible freedom camping is possible under the current legislations. People who do not freedom camp responsibly can only be stopped by increasing the fines. We have to charge people who behave disrespectful, we have to charge them so it really hurts. Using the fees collected to invest in environmental projects would also be another good outcome of increasing the fines.	Yes
	Strongly disagree	No			Yes
	Strongly disagree	No	Permanently plumbed toilets still require a trip to the dump site. This can be a messy job. Those unwilling to visit a dump site will likely use one of the abundant public toilets on offer. Fines for those caught defecating on public land without proper burial or removal would be OK. However, I find it an offensive breach of freedom to limit the ability of people to travel, nap, sleep, relax in a public place at night due to the rather unlikely possibility that they feel an urge to defecate on that land and furthermore the assumption that they would decide against any other of the many attractive alternative vessels scattered around the country in which to defecate.	No	Yes
	Disagree	No	Most campsites have toilets	Stop your economy will crash.	Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
The right to sleep anywhere on public land should not be limited any more than it already has been. That should include in a tent or any sort of vehicle.	Do not enact any of the proposed changes. Take an educational approach to avoid any antisocial behaviour instead. Limit further growth in visitor numbers to a sustainable level by controlling the issuing of visas.	The proposals are based on erroneous assumptions, including the outdated and disproven attitude that punitive and authoritarian policies are effective at modifying behaviours.
	Proposal 1 would definitely affect vulnerable population groups if there are no exceptions. Proposal 2 would support the needs of vulnerable population groups if more freedom camp sites would be equipped with toilet facilities.	
	How does the enforcement distinguish who is homeless and who is not? Where will the distinction be drawn? Will the enforcement official use their discretion to decide based on accent, appearance, attitude?	
People are stuck here fork COVID homeless		This is not a good idea. Without campers people will go to Australia instead because youâ€™re being too strict

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12570237915	402352763	04/13/2021 05:41:58 PM	05/16/2021 08:35:31 PM	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree						
12570125773	402352763	04/13/2021 04:01:41 PM	04/13/2021 04:44:31 PM	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12570104089	402352763	04/13/2021 03:44:00 PM	04/13/2021 03:59:12 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12569959247	402352763	04/13/2021 02:02:32 PM	04/13/2021 02:18:30 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12569159855	402352763	04/13/2021 08:05:38 AM	04/13/2021 08:15:44 AM	Local Government (or related entity)		NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Agree					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12567393708	402352763	4/12/2021 22:00	4/12/2021 22:09	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree			Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12566886996	402352763	4/12/2021 17:35	4/12/2021 21:45	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12566830897	402352763	4/12/2021 16:38	4/12/2021 16:57	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Northland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12566729049	402352763	4/12/2021 14:58	4/12/2021 18:45	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12566673751	402352763	4/12/2021 12:41	4/12/2021 14:30	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ri organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral	Litter					

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed		Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	My business only provides self-contained vehicles. I feel this options is just a good "tidy up" of vehicles out there to an acceptable standard.
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Visitors to a region should be contributing financially the town they are in towards the disposal of their waste and rubbish, at a minimum. I think freedom camping f zones should be metered, even for self contained vehicles, to contribute to the rates of the communities who host them and who are covering all the other costs such as roads and parks.
			Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	I manage a camp ground. I do not think it is fair to actively promote freedom camping within 50km of any official camp ground. If they cannot afford to pay minimal camp fees then they should not be travelling at all.
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	Commercial Information People found ways around certificates of self contained vehicles that are not really adequate, we need to revert back to pre world cup law and move away from offering free anything.
			Support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	As a District Council, we do not see any real financial benefits. Visitors stay one night and the sites are 10Km out of town
			Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	More tourists without self contained vehicles would stay in commercial accommodation
Excessive noise		People staying in non-freedom camping zones ie everywhere short-term, long term, disturbing the peace, making a mess and leaving behind their toilet waste etc etc etc, it is a nightmare living next to any sort of parking space!	Support	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	We will continue to have people 'freedom camp' and live and park in vehicles that are not self contained as, for example, the Bay of Plenty has exactly 2 (yes TWO) rangers to cover the entire bay of Plenty area... we can't even find one to move people along even if they are complete hazzards to our areas.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	Commercial Information and I prove the environment for all
Excessive noise		Refuse and waste and maitainance service costs are being paid for by the community ratepayers	Support	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Where ever freedom camping occurs within urban areas or close proximity to existing accommodation suppliers / businesses ,statistics show a negative affect on said business's
			Oppose	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Any extra compliance would allow for those not able or have the ability to afford the outfitting of their vehicle to go elsewhere and become a nuisance of policing of the situation burdensome and subject to racial tension

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	No, all vehicles freedom camping should have the ability to dispose of waste. The current regs allow for this very well.	Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information
	Freedom sites should be handed over to DOC, this would create more income, better management of the sites, it would even the playing field with accommodation providers making a fairer system for both kiwi and tourists'	Strongly support	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	No	Oppose	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Neutral	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No								
	None that I can think of	Strongly support	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	No exemptions	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	More homeless unable to comply and become victims of harassment from controlling authorities.	Oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
I think this would have no impact, as the regulations wouldn't change how people use their vans from current use case.	Commercial Information	Neutral	Neutral	500	Following 3 written warnings and based on a significant overstay at a single site. Never for a single night offence.	Yes
A toilet in a self contained vehicle needs to be in a Permian to seperate designated place in the vehicle. It is well understood that no one uses their "certified" toilets. The present laws are pretty hopeless and totally ineffective.		Strongly support	Strongly support			Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000 for campers so long as that is actually enforced. \$100,000 for companies that supply non compliant campers.		Yes
		Neutral	Support	2000	repeat offending and unpaid fines.	Yes
As previously stated, campers do not stay in town and we see very little financial impact		Strongly support	Support	\$500	Repeated infringements	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000 per infringement committed ie two nights \$2000	Three strikes and the vehicle needs to be taken	Yes
In favour of proposal 1, but this would be better than current laws	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	If caught dumping waste inappropriately	Neutral
Historical business data shows any increase in freedom camping facilities increases the user numbers and decreases the customer base at established accommodation suppliers	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	Vehicle impoundment	Deliberate non compliance of the act	No
There will no involvement with this form of traffic and recreation or need to be on the roadside. Implementation of such may cause freedom campers to view Maori Land as an option to trespass.	Commercial Information	Neutral	Neutral	This will greatly impact on homeless people in NZ	Never, these people are not loaded with money or their vehicle could be the only shelter they have. Increase the prison population.	No

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Education of tourists in rental vehicles. Having personally rented a van when first arriving in NZ, i had absolutely no idea what was and was not allowed. It was confusing and too hard to learn for a holiday maker.	Strongly disagree	No	It would out-rule the vast majority for conscientious kiwis is vehicles was adequately facilities travelling their own country. I believe its important to have a storage for grey and black water; that's binary. Yes/no. And it should be yes. However, the type of toilet is completely irrelevant and insignificant over the education of teaching people how to use their existing facilities correctly.	Black water, Grey water and a bin. I believe the current regulations are fair. The improvement would be to ensure people are using the facilities they have. The issues of if the toilet is fixed or not, is irrelevant if people dont want to use it or know how to empty it, or where to empty it.	Yes
I agree with strengthening the I for cement. We also need to change the messaging about NZ as a destination and educate.	Strongly agree	Yes	Because no one uses their not plumbed in toilet. They avoid using the plumbed in ones too as they are a hassle to empty and clean.	The toilet needs to be a proper toilet, ie; a seperate room.	No
I manage a camp ground. I do not think it is fair to actively promote freedom camping within 50km of any official camp ground. If they cannot afford to pay minimal camp fees then they should not be travelling at all.	Strongly agree	Yes	A lot of people will not use portable toilets	I manage a camp ground. I do not think it is fair to actively promote freedom camping within 50km of any official camp ground. If they cannot afford to pay minimal camp fees then they should not be travelling at all.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes			No
	Strongly agree	Yes	So they are more accessible and likely to actually be used. Enforcement staff have found a number of CSC campers whose toilets have not been used, or the campers do not know how to use them		Yes
Ensuring councils around NZ have enough bylaw officers to find and fine. Curnetly the Bay of Plenty have 2 officers, which is a joke, they can't even get around to one-onehundreth of what needs to be addressed in the wider region.	Strongly agree	No	The use of a mini-port-a-loo being used and disposed of in a proper manner should suffice.		No
	Strongly agree	Yes	Improve sanitation standards, and make it far more likely that waste is dealt with appropriately and the toilet is used at all times	Fresh potable water capacity should be same as grey water holding capacity. You should be able to stand up inside vehicle and move around	No
The method of enforcement should not fall on the ratepayers of the community where the non compliance occurs There needs to be a division of Central Govt tasked with enforcing the regulation	Strongly agree	No	Non plumbed cassette style toilets should be sufficient to meet the standards	Staying at a location where public supplied facilities are located and not using the on board facility is a cop out and should not be promoted It is actually another current method of non compliance Ensure use of on board toilets occurs	No
The effect on homeless persons. This and other legislation aimed at the disadvantaged ones in society and putting an extra discredit on their record that will be a continual burden throughout their life.	Strongly disagree	No	Those who want these facilities will do so anyway. Those being forced to do so can be from the society that it is not possible to have this compliance. Increase prison cell occupation instead. More burdens. Make Councils have toilet facilities around the areas that they promote as an attraction to visit. Come on those that reap the money provide the services.	No don't agree for those services to be implemented.	Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
I dont think there should be a transition as the current regulations are quite okay.		I think the survey was a little loaded in the direction that the government seem to be aiming for. the first question of " How much do you agree certain types of vehicle based freedom camping is a problem?" Asking someone to agree to a problem is a loaded question.
		The financial impact of visitors with zero accomodation budget on New Zealand rate payers needs to be addressed. Many tourism operates have made lots of money without contributing back into their communities. Bed tax would be a very distorted tax. Visitors need to pay for their impact on our country.
Set a date when vehicles have to be compliant and enforce it. No extensions.	Common sense when enforcing the rules!!!	
	Tourist and campers should not be located at the same sites as homeless, its not a good look for the country and could be problematic, ie higher crime. A deeper look at sites specifically for displaced people needs to be looked at	
Set a date and stick to it.	If you are saying it's ok for people to live in cars and not be self contained ie it's ok for people to defecate all over our reserves and leave rubbish behind, make noise and disturb neighbourhood, while still not paying any rates for this luxury, then sorry I don't agree. If you are living in a vehicle it needs to be up to standards to live in!	
Maybe give them 6 months to make change	As suggested above in this document	We think these proposals are very good and are what we as tourism operators would have suggested.
Checks in line with WOF or COF	Homelessness and living in a vehicle is not freedom camping for leisure Persons living in their vehicles are a different category and should be registered as such Staying permanently at various freedom sites and moving regularly to avoid contravening the act needs to be addressed possibly with designated areas specifically for this category	A division of Govt funded by paid toilet facilities which are cleaned by personnel who also monitor compliance with the act ie overstaying maximum night stays in any district Supermarkets having refuse and recycling facilities as the seller of said refuse they need to take some accountability for disposal Taxing visitors with a levy is not a solution
Be reasonable and not use English type framework to institutionalize people in New Zealand, overseas visitors should be catered for by Local and Territorial authorities	Don't implement this arrangement as the question states there will be vulnerable members of the NZ population, we are not talking about visitors.	Please do not cause more social impacts on our vulnerable people, target the visitors that only rich businesses get rewards from their stays here whether freedom camping or hospitality accommodation



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?						
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter	Waste
12566271999	402352763	4/12/2021 8:30	4/12/2021 8:48	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral		Waste					
12566266353	402352763	4/12/2021 8:24	4/12/2021 9:50	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12564743819	402352763	4/11/2021 10:40	4/11/2021 10:44	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12564703097	402352763	4/11/2021 9:55	4/11/2021 9:58	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Often	Privacy of natural persons								
12564675255	402352763	4/11/2021 9:28	4/11/2021 9:50	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree							
12563718981	402352763	4/10/2021 20:41	4/10/2021 21:02	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		
12563515477	402352763	4/10/2021 17:11	4/10/2021 17:35	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree							
12563479241	402352763	4/10/2021 16:26	4/10/2021 22:41	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	stay@cosycottage.co.nz		Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	I do believe that making it mandatory for people to freedom camp in self contained vehicles will help, however I STRONGLY believe that alongside this, New Zealands infrastructure needs to improve greatly in order for this to be a success. Clean, well kept toilets to be installed at freedom camping locations where other vehicles are allowed. rubbish bins need to be located in these areas as well, i've seen solutions in Europe which work incredibly well. We just don't have good enough internal infrastructure to impose this on businesses and individuals at the moment and we're effectively saying "this is happening, you deal with it" to business owners. Govt are there to LEGISLATE, REGULATE and help businesses EFFICIENTLY MANAGE CHANGE. That is not what is happening here.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information	As an accommodation provider we have to comply with resource Consents, various regulations, health and safety, employment regulations etc. these costs cannot be avoided and need to be recovered to be a viable business. Letting people camp for free is a cost to the rate payers, does not create employment and not environmentally friendly.
		Human faeces & toilet paper left on the side of the river and camp sites by freedom campers	Strongly support	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	As a country, we should be mature enough to ban freedom camping for all non New Zealand residents & citizens
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	If people have to comply with a "certificate" thats not even legal and have a toilet on board, you disadvantge all NZers who camp or have to live in their cars, just great when there is no housing available. Already we see many places not allowing freedom camping, but still they have issues around waste and bad behaviour from locals. As we have seen dumping is just from individuals even those with the SCC and filmed dumping on the side of the road. Blaming small vehicles who cannot qualify for SCC is lazy and against the responsible camping idea. Facilities are rarely looked after by councils ( exceptions like the fabulous Carterton Council) other councils remove rubbish bins and fine people for putting wase into the few bins that are left, how stupid is that. Where are people supposed to dispose of waste if traveling.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed	Because Freedom camping is banned in our local area	Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	Because freedom Camping is currently banned in our local area
			Strongly support	Likely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	should stop freedom camping

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	IF infrastructure can be improved why can't we have shower blocks and toilets in new locations. This harvests a nice environment and creates employment for communities	Support	Likely	Neutral	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Self contained vehicles should be checked and actually be self contained not just a sticker	Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	New Zealand residents & citizens								
Commercial Information	Every camper small does not need a toilet on board as long as they are parked in an area with facilities. We often see the big rigs with toilet etc parked using public facilities instead of their own. So you think this will stop ?? They will get to be allowed to use areas with public facilites because they are richer than me!!!!!!!	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	No exceptions	Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
infrastructure is desperately needed to make this work well. Other global countries with high visitor numbers have shower blocks, toilets etc.. that make it easier for campers to have a nice experience, it also creates jobs for the community	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	It's no different to building code or building consents and regulations. If vehicles are not kept to standard, they should be taken off the road. What those standards are, are not for us to impose.	Neutral
Freedom camping should only be on private land with agreement of land owner	Freedom camping does have a negative impact on the community	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$5,000	Disgusting messy site	Yes
govt regulations that dont help as they are designed to allow an illegal requirement the SCC and still dont allow for decent freedom camping areas with good facilities. What do you do if you have a tent?? family camping will be killed	Commercial Information	Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	why? its not a real law. fines do nothing to help. how about making decent area where people can go to camp	NONE, any person would be penalised far beyond the actual crime and i would take this to court , what about those who HAVE to live in their car as they have no house. You going to put them out in the elements, love to see how Jacinda answers that one	No
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	When the law is broken. No exceptions	Yes
Freedom Camping currently banned in our local area		Strongly support	Strongly support	Happy with amount but should just be a standard fine	Would need to establish a database but if people persist in breaking the rules and have outstanding fines for this offence then they should risk losing their vehicle	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1000 is fine, just need closely monitor	2nd time offend in 7 days	Yes

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
It depends on the nature of the fine or vehicle as to whether this is passed onto the owner or renter. If it's a consent issue the fault lies with whoever rents out that vehicle. I wouldn't expect to rent a bus that wasn't roadworthy.	Disagree	No	I feel that those who are responsible enough to carry a portable toilet are not the people we have issues with. As Covid has pointed out, the issue doesn't always lie solely with the international visitor. This problem is well and truly embedded into our society too. The underlying issue is "responsibility" _ how you make people feel it and how you make them conscious of it		Neutral
Business will be negatively impacted Less people will be employed Environment will be impacted	Strongly agree	Yes	A bucket doesn't count as a toilet	Yes cooking and shower ie self contained	No
stop , you have no legal basis to introduce fines like this, and it would be challenged legally	Strongly disagree	No	Again you only think about the rich. Why dont you just have decnet public areas with facilites. Paeroa is another good example where they allow it and it has reduced crime and graffiti in a back street business area, win win for both	no you make up stupid rules for a problem that isnt the vehicle but the person	Neutral
If you a going to implement such a policy, ensure you have the tools to manage it properly I.e. plenty of enforcement officers. Otherwise don't waste your time	Strongly agree	No	Porta potty's are just as effective if easily accessed and used.	Certified Self containment could easily be managed in the COF/WOF process.	Yes
	Strongly agree	Yes	Because currently people in some self contained vehicles carry portable toilets which never even get taken out of their packaging so have no intention of using and in a lot of cases would be very awkward to use in their vehicle so vehicle is not self contained	Existing rules along with requirement for permanently plumbed facilities for both toilets and sinks.	Neutral
	Strongly agree	Yes			No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
Camping ground and holiday parks are businesses not dumping ground for homeless or the helpless	They will always be disadvantaged. They need help up. A support person. Assisted educated supported not just given everything at rate payers expense.	
not going ahead, you are punishing real people not the bad behaviour. I would never vote for this or follow any direction by the govt and would end up parking randomly in front of a persons house with no facilites	not do it, you know it wrong	remove all requirements for the illegal SCC, upgrade public facilities and allow more defined freedom camping areas. After all you dont fine trampers, hunters, tents etc who use the bush to defecate and leave behind rubbish etc, because you dont see it. Fine irresponsible people dont penalise the whole camper range of people.
	Are you suggesting it might be ok for the homeless to poo all over the place but not me? I fail to see why the homeless should be exempt from behaving in a civil manner.	
There should be no transition period as vehicles that do not comply can always stay at commercial or DOC sites that have toilets supplied	Freedom camping was never set up for vulnerable population groups and that is a separate problem all together	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?						
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter	Waste
12563470075	402352763	4/10/2021 16:18	4/10/2021 16:25	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Agree						Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12563432696	402352763	4/10/2021 15:40	4/10/2021 16:03	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree							
12563303306	402352763	4/10/2021 13:51	4/10/2021 15:12	Accommodation provider		Overseas	Southland	Never	bookings@lumsdenholidayhouses.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour	
12563099355	402352763	4/10/2021 11:20	4/10/2021 11:32	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding				
12561093825	402352763	4/09/2021 22:19	4/09/2021 22:57	Not-for-profit organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste			Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained		

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	It is proven that freedom campers spend on average \$78.00 per day. Restrictions will cause a massive drop in this disposable income.
		1. Washing private parts using basins in public toilet blocks with no showers next to playground( ie in Lumsden) 2. Washing clothes in basins in public toilets where no washing facilities exist. 3 using cannabis in cars and tents next to children's playground. 4 allowing freedom camping in areas with no privacy screening so all of tent and camping life is on display to children and public. 5 allowing a public authority to engage in anticompetitive behaviour by undermining the commercial viability of nearby formal ,large camping grounds (freedom camping should not be allowed within 5km of existing facilities). 6 forcing ratepayers to subsidise costs of tourist holidays by paying for rubbish disposal, toilet block cleaning, power, water, WiFi etc. 7 competing with residents for parking areas and bus users if allowed in middle of shopping centres( Lumsden). 8 setting a poor example for compliance when a public authority is exempt from camping regulations act. 8 even only allowing freedom camping with self contained vehicles will not stop human waste being left on bushes as we all know no one like cleaning out a vehicles waste pot but it is certainly an improvement from the current feral situation. !	Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	My business is offering self contained holiday houses. It is not the same price market as freedom camping. However freedom camping in Lumsden has directly impacted my business by allowing a scenic and quaint town to look like a refugee camp. This is unattractive to my guests. The beautiful railway precinct that is being established in the park is now surrounded by vehicles and mostly inaccessible to other people who feel shy about walking past people's camps to see the trains. It has caused fights in the shopping parking areas between locals and campers over parking spaces. When there is a perfectly good camping area about 1km away that is large with rural views and walking distance to shops we have no need for free camping in the middle of an asphalt parking lot in town. The town offers many levels of accommodation from economical camping sites to holiday houses, pubs and motel. We have no need for free camping. It is already providing a diverse range of accommodation options. Also my guests visit NZ for walking rivers and trails to experience pure green nz. Coming across freedom camping sites littered with refuse and human excrement impacts the value of their experience and lessens their likelihood of returning.
			Neutral	Likely	Unlikely	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	Accommodation is very limited in our area. If we limit freedom camping more, then I will have customers leave the area. We need more beds in the area and if that is freedom camping then it will help tourism business like mine.
			Oppose	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	Many campers access certain sites to be near nature which should be encouraged for wellbeing. Many others uses roadside places to access the internet for work or personal. Let them stop there without harassment or stigma so they can be connected.



If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information		Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
	I think self containment is a good thing. Certification of self containment will create a major headache and huge costs to all involved. So yes the exceptions should be no to certification.	Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information	Only allow freedom camping in self contained vehicles when no other commercially operated sites are available within 10km. Only allow camping in spots where rubbish and other matters are regularly cleared. Put a general camping tax on tourists to make them contribute towards the cost of keeping the camping areas clean. Why ask ratepayers to pay for other people's holidays? Allow a more diverse range of camping options in National Parks and Conservation areas where toilet facilities exist but still a small payment is made to the Department of Conservation for clearing up rubbish and keeping toilets tidy. Maybe in the form of a small park entrance fee.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
N/A - we are not-for-profit/free advocacy service		Strongly support	Likely	Likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
		Strongly support	Strongly support			Yes
Certification is a scam perpetrated by the nzmca. It is illegal and against the BORA.		Oppose	Strongly support	\$1,000	Only where the vehicle owner has proven to have disposed of waste illegally.	Yes
Keeping NZ green and clean has a positive impact on the guests who book accommodation in my houses. Staying in a town that doesn't look like a refugee camp helps my business. Keeping National Parks and Conservation areas clean, helps my business.	Commercial Information	Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500 for first infringement then loss of camping rights for any further problems.	Repeated infringement	Yes
This is very similar to what we have now and it works. This is the best of both worlds. If they are certified let them camp, if not send them to a site with facilities so they don't pollute the area.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	I dont think they should be able to confiscate at all for camping. Strong fines will put 99% of people off doing anything wrong. If you confiscate they they will have no accommodation at all and leave to the cities.	Yes
Same as previous		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose			No

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
	Strongly agree	Yes			Yes
Yes	Strongly disagree	No	Because there are already thousands of vehicles out there with portable toilets that are just as good as permanently plumbed toilets	The current standards are fine. Don't try and fix what isn't broken	Neutral
Fines for illegal stickers say the vehicle is self contained when clearly it is not( station wagon). Fines to be applied to manufacturers of stickers and shops who sell them.	Strongly agree	Yes	It's too easy to carry a portable toilet and then not use it. I know as it is impossible to walk a dog across Lumsden Park in the town centre ad it is full of human wee. The dogs have a smorgasbord of human wee to small and sniff. This is right next to a public toilet block. I think its because people prefer to wee in bushes than,walk and use a toilet at night. Anything that makes them use their own toilet is useful.	No camping either self contained or uncontained within 10km of an established camping ground. There is no demonstrated need for an free camping site when a existing site already exists which offers toilets, showers, power, water, screening, WiFi, rubbish bins at a most reasonable cost.	Neutral
Actually enforcing the fines and having people to police the area. In the past people have gotten away with it very easily.	Neutral	Neutral			Yes
The cost of implementing such a regime and negative social & community impacts, such as a vigilante response.	Neutral	No	The costs and social impacts of regulating this. Over and above what we are setup for in NZ. As a side note, we do have a lot of tourists when our borders fully open so we may need to monitor for this in future.		Yes

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
There's no need for any transition. If the government got involved in educating people they would achieve a greater impact. A certified vehicle will not necessarily stop the users of that vehicle from disposing of waste illegally	By leaving things as they are and educating people. Education is the key.	Having spent years travelling the country in all manner of vehicles I find that some of the biggest offenders are NOT freedom campers but locals who abuse the system. Locals would contribute far more to the problem than freedom campers ever would. This whole issue has been blown way out of proportion by vested interests and the media and the backlash has not been good. The ministers current plans and his approach his alienating a large portion of the public and his statements often have no basis in fact.
Provide subsidised camping in existing commercial sites for eligible New Zealand residents.	As above as well as providing more public housing.	
	Consult with community groups/NGOs that support disadvantaged people - they know their communities best.	The current approach by the Marlborough District Council is overkill, costing the rate-payer in policing, exaggerating the freedom camping figures (over-stated, I know as I frequent these areas for internet and keep records) and causing division in communities. Instead it is driving campers further out; down forestry roads, by rivers and remote beaches. Making a bigger mess of our valuable environment.

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12560943409	402352763	4/09/2021 20:56	4/09/2021 21:22	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Hawke's Bay	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12560884887	402352763	4/09/2021 20:19	4/09/2021 20:35	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree			Overcrowding			
12560804456	402352763	4/09/2021 19:30	4/09/2021 19:41	Iwi, hapÅ« or MÅ«ori organisation		NZ Citizen or resident	Waikato	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12560619775	402352763	4/09/2021 17:20	4/09/2021 18:11	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Always	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly disagree						
12560550977	402352763	4/09/2021 16:19	4/09/2021 17:29	Local Government (or related entity)		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12560520572	402352763	4/09/2021 15:53	4/09/2021 16:08	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Tasman/Nelson	Never	Info@goldenbaykayaks.co.nz	Agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12560397104	402352763	4/09/2021 14:24	4/09/2021 14:44	Non-tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Prefer not to say	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12560358537	402352763	4/09/2021 13:59	4/09/2021 14:04	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	West Coast	Never	Info@tripinn.co.nz	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12560279906	402352763	4/09/2021 13:10	4/09/2021 13:19	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Never	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree						

			How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?	To what extent do you think this proposal would:						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
	No issues observed		Oppose	Unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Neutral	Commercial Information	Those traveling on a budget will have less to spend on local businesses and use fewer local services such as cafes.
			Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	more difficult regulations restricts holiday makers and encourages only richer people.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	The new changes would improve the over all health and safety profiles, improve a better ambience and enhance the natural environment Reduce carbon emissions and have a large impact on climate change
	No issues observed		Support	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	
		Staying too long in a non designated area, for example a camper van used for freedom camping for months on a residential street. NCC cannot move them on as they are certified self contained and therefore no breach of the FC Bylaw. This is common and really impacts home owners and residents.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Commercial Information	I work as a Freedom Camping enforcement Officer, so I see first hand the impact of non certified freedom campers have on the environment and community. I have been a FC officer since NCC introduced the Bylaw December 2017. I also come across many "certified self contained" vehicles that have been adjusted (sink, toilet removed for more room to sleep) but officers are powerless to move them on as they are certified self contained. Frustrating the amount of small vehicles (honda odessey) for example that have been certified. They don't use the toilet " If" they have one, they just use the bushes for all toilet needs.
			Neutral	Likely	Likely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	Freedom camping is not just about an old car....itâ€™s about supporting small struggling businesses due to freedom camping. We have plenty of campgrounds and backpackers. The large companyâ€™s such as THL need to pay their social license for their clients that are charged large amounts of money to hire these vehicles. Itâ€™s not just about the camping itâ€™s also the roads, NZTA has just decreased spending by up to 60%, safety is obviously not important in Tasman
			Strongly support	Likely	Neutral	Highly likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Commercial Information	our business does not rely solely on tourism as we have improvised another source of income since covid. thanks to covid we have now moved away from relying on tourism and found another source which has grown our business tenfold.
			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Highly likely	Commercial Information	
	No issues observed		Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Neutral	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information	I am a hotelier. I have no axe to grind with freedom campers but feedback we receive from guests indicates a serious need to control the situation.



Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Same as previous - reduces the accessibility of travel to lower-income or budget travelers. Also means they are less likely to use local services		Oppose	Strongly oppose	\$100	Vehicles unsafe for road use	Yes
		Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	20	severe damage or beaches of environmental codes	Neutral
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$1,000	After infringement notices have been ignored even if camper moves to another region or site the notice should be active for at least 12 months	Yes
		Neutral	Neutral	Nil	Nil	No
NCC already have toilets in some of the designated areas for freedom campers. The toilets and bathroom facilities increases the numbers and they trash the areas. We have more problems in areas that toilets are provided with waste, parties, assaults on campers by the public who get frustrated with the campers, also large increase in intoxicated people and drug use. FC officers now need to wear stab proof vest and body cameras (the same as police). NCC provided what you are proposing in 2016. It was a complete disaster! Freedom campers (tents, non self contained vehicles) trashed areas to the point that the community could not use the areas while it was peak FC season. It was after that, that the Bylaw was introduced to try control FC behavior in the Nelson region.	<b>Commercial Information</b>	Strongly support	Strongly support	I agree with the \$1000	When the freedom campers are multiple offenders. Some don't care about the infringements as they don't intend to pay the infringements. Often these vehicles are also not registered or warrant of fitness. Most of these people are aggressive. The tourists won't part because they leave the country. And unfortunately there are many self entitled kiwis that think the rules do not apply to them. A rule of after 3 infringements the enforcement officers can then confiscate the vehicle being used in the offence.	Yes
		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$10,000	pooping outside the van. parked in a sensitive area or banned area.	Yes



Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Making it more expensive for people to travel will not solve the issue, but move it elsewhere and limit the ability for tourism by groups with lower budgets.	Disagree	No	Will not solve issues of waste as it will not A) guarantee the toilet is used, B) ensure the waste is properly disposed of.	Self-contained vehicles should only need to have facilities to store/contain waste and have appropriate methods to dispose of. There are many who may have a toilet and or water/cooking facilities, but choose instead to utilise local services such as cafes/restaurants and public restrooms.	Yes
not requiring sites with toilets to be self contained.	Strongly disagree	No	toilets are not used and this self contained movement has been non effective. a new approach should be taken such as providing more toilets at sites.	doc membership	Yes
Harsher penalties and greater powers to confiscate equipment	Strongly agree	Yes	It eliminates abuse of people using local properties for toilets Reduces the load on local council resources		Yes
The government should increase toilets and showers in tourist areas as the tourism is a big financial issue plus you should look at locals who are also responsible,you will punish the egg that laid the golden egg	Strongly disagree	No	Neutral neutral		Yes
Infringements for the companies issuing the self containment certifications. I have come across companies that cut corners. Also infringing groups who are making and selling fake certificates, one company advertising on their face book group Responsible freedom campers, the person who runs it is called Bob. He is well known to government and local authorities as he protests against the FC Act.	Strongly agree	Yes	Because I have been enforcing the NCC freedom camping bylaw since 2017. Occupants of small vehicles with portable toilets do not use them. They use the bushes etc. NCC conducted a survey of freedom campers the end of 2019 and beginning of 2020. 9/10 campers admitted either not using the toilet in the vehicle and use near by toilets or bushes or admitted not having a toilet at all even though it is certified self contained.	More capacity for waste so therefore larger vehicles. The small cars are used and sold off cheap and the facilities are not maintained in the vehicle. 90% of the infringements I give are to small vehicles.	No
a cap on how many rental self contained vehicles are allowed in NZ. in my view,there is far too many rental company campervans on the road creating congestion.	Strongly agree	Yes	because I am sick and tired of folk pooing on the side of the road, laybys and areas were these dickheads park up.		No

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
	Freedom camping is currently used by many socioeconomic groups, meaning freedom camping sites represent a broad range of demographics. If this becomes limited a resulting split will occur - those who can afford to comply, and those who cannot, ostracising the vulnerable populations or forcing them to become isolated. There are many who through both choice and financial situation are living in their vehicles - they are not homeless, but through implementing unnecessary rules they may be forced into homelessness.	Regulations should be implemented to keep people safe - infrastructure should be used to mitigate the limited issues associated with freedom camping. Providing more facilities and making it more accessible (not less) will give greater benefit to both tourism and local communities.
providing more public spaces with facilities	nz passport being a bypass for this scheme	doc membership program to support further development of sites to remove the need for self containment.
Neutral	Member of NZMCA	As I've said make more provision for freedom camping
All current certified vehicles need to be rechecked by a certain date, 2 years max. We need regulation and fast to get on top of the growing problem.	As you have stipulated above, for an exemption on homeless people and providing adequate supply and a wrap round services. Many of the homeless people have multiple and complex needs, so enforcement officers need access to really help move the vulnerable people into healthy accommodation. MSD to pay for an individual's car to be upgraded to certified self contained. There are many in vans that want to live in the vehicle because they cannot cope sharing a space with another person. But they then cannot afford to upgrade their vehicles to comply with current regulatory standards.	If you would like any further information on my experience as a freedom camper enforcement officer, please feel free to contact me.
	in NZ there should be no excuse for the disadvantage to live in campervans.	

Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12560240512	402352763	4/09/2021 12:46	05/13/2021 04:37:03 PM	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Agree			Overcrowding		Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12559980419	402352763	4/09/2021 10:39	4/09/2021 15:47	Rental vehicle business		NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree			Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12562681473	402352763	4/10/2021 7:59	4/10/2021 8:25	Other (please specify)	freedom camping club	NZ Citizen or resident	Otago	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree			Overcrowding			
12660846763	402352763	05/16/2021 10:47:27 PM	05/16/2021 11:00:03 PM	Other (please specify)	Campervan repairing company	NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Sometimes	Privacy of natural persons	Neutral					Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12642750218	402352763	5/10/2021 10:55	05/14/2021 04:58:05 PM	Other (please specify)	Hanmer Springs Business Association and Community Board	NZ Citizen or resident	Canterbury	Rarely	Privacy of natural persons	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour
12660505883	402352763	05/16/2021 04:16:58 PM	05/16/2021 04:28:07 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Marlborough	Never	<a href="mailto:info@have">info@have</a>	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste		Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	
12660472931	402352763	05/16/2021 03:27:20 PM	05/16/2021 03:28:32 PM	Accommodation provider		Visa holder	Waikato	Sometimes	<a href="mailto:no@gmail.com">no@gmail.com</a>	Neutral						
12641796082	402352763	5/09/2021 19:15	5/09/2021 19:48	Other tourism business		NZ Citizen or resident	Southland	Often	<a href="#">N/A</a>	Disagree	Litter					
12594170500	402352763	04/22/2021 06:11:58 AM	04/22/2021 06:17:20 AM	Club or club representative		NZ Citizen or resident	Auckland	Often	Privacy of natural persons	Disagree			Overcrowding			Antisocial behaviour



If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
Commercial Information	na	Support	Likely	Unlikely	Neutral	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
	Not at all. The contrary should be considered. From my point of view we need to re-define Self-Containment with immediate effect. Self-Containment only with Build-in Toilet. There a still thousands of vehicle (Toyota Estimias etc) on NZ roads with the blue sticker. Even though rules&regulations in terms of Self-Containment has changed in 2018 ( I believe) there are still Self-Containment Certificates being issued. No one is using the porta potty.	Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Likely	Likely	Commercial Information
n/a	maybe homeless people in a car in a city next to a toilet etc	Oppose	Likely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
	No	Strongly support	Highly likely	Neutral	Likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information
		Strongly support	Likely	Highly likely	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Likely	Commercial Information
		Strongly oppose	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Highly likely	Commercial Information
Commercial Information		Strongly oppose	Unlikely	Highly unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Likely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
we currently educate our guests on how to travel in a non-self-contained vehicle, and I believe that the majority of other Rental operators do too. I believe this will mostly affect the private sector and may just provide councils with more jurisdiction to issue infringements.	Commercial Information	Support	Support	\$800	after a certain amount of repeat offending (by the same traveller)	No
If so, we need to invest in infrastructure (toilets etc). If there is a site with a long-drop toilet for example only a few people in self-contained would use than but rather use the bush. I travel a lot in my Motorhome and witness this all the time.		Strongly support	Strongly support	\$500	I would rather equip every enforcement officer with a mobile EFTPOS machine to collect the fine "straight" away. If the rule breaker can't pay put a Wheel Clamp to the tyre.	No
n/a		Strongly support	Strongly oppose	200	not	Yes
		Strongly support	Neutral	\$1,000	If they break the rules mor than once	Yes
This option requires vehicles that are properly self contained. If not they camp in areas where toilets and waste areas are provided.		Support	Support	\$1000 is fine providing the company renting collects the fine.	When there is gross non compliance of being self contained. When not self contained gross non compliance with toilet use, indiscriminate waste and refusal to comply when apprehended.	Yes
Guests are always looking for cheap accommodation. not always prepared to pay		Strongly support	Strongly support	1000		Neutral
Most people that freedom camp are here enjoy the nature not ruin it. If they stop camping a lot of places are going to suffer like that have already	Commercial Information	Strongly oppose	Strongly oppose	1000	They should have no rite to obtain or confiscate any vehicles. Some overs tourists buy these as there homes for long periods of time	Neutral

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Issue digital fines, which are sent to vehicle owners (rental companies) via email, instantly. This allows companies to pass the charge onto the driver BEFORE they return the vehicle. Current model sees infringements arrive via post up to 6 weeks after the fine was issued.	Disagree	No	Larger motorhomes come with a fixed "cassette" toilet, and smaller campervans come with a portable "cassette" toilet. So both have a suitable black water holding tank.	The current standards cover the correct areas. No need to restrict the makes or models of vehicles that can be self-contained. We see examples of some brilliant ingenuity in fully complied self-contained vehicles, and do not see a reason for this to be stopped.	Yes
I believe we should be more strict with the enforcement. If it is a tourist * collect the fine straight away * or at latest at the airport. It has always been to easy for tourists not to pay any kind of infringement. There has been FB groups proposing "how not to pay the infringement notices". Some people had debts from fines of >1500\$ and they just left the country.	Strongly agree	Yes	The fact is: nobody uses the porta potty !!!!! I have worked for a Campervan rental company which used to have hundreds of sleeper-vans + hightop style vans for many years. I can not recall of any customer (some of them travelled more than 3 months) ever used the porta-toilet. When we change the rules, please let's make it correct. self-containment only for vehicles with build-in toilets and waste water sink. As for the actual rules of Self-containment there are still Sleeper-Vans being certified even though they do not comply with the standard rules. They should be banned straight away.		No
Im in my \$2000 camper and get two 1000 dollar tickets how do I pay them, when I mistakenly stay somewhere, or cant get to my destination.	Strongly agree	No	Your talking boat toilets which are very expensive to plumb in compared to cassette or portaloos	Yes all toilets should be placed in a small separate Bathroom, your not going to roll out a toilet and use it in the middle of the night next to your friends who are sleeping 1 ft away	No
	Strongly agree	Neutral		The people should have a license like gun ownership or be registered not just the van	No
	Agree	Yes	It would ensure the toilet will get used and not be moved around to suit the occupants space.		Neutral
	Strongly agree	Yes			No
There are a lot I can implement	Disagree	No	To hard to fit on most vehicles, if they are going to dump it they will with it or with out it plumed in. Plus the there is the clean up in a crash etc (bio hazard)	N/A	Neutral

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
	Many long term travellers are by definition 'homeless' so I don't think that amending the Act to exclude homeless people will help.	It is my view that the points raised in the discussion document do not accurately address the fundamental issues, which are NOT related to whether a vehicle contains a toilet or not, but more accurately centre around overcrowding; specifically: congestion in popular tourists destinations, and a lack of disbursement of tourists. In our experience, those travelling without a self-contained vehicle are looking for "freedom camping" and not necessarily "free camping". They are prepared to pay for the use of toilet and shower facilities - if it is on offer. The image on page 15 of the discussion document sums up the fundamental issue. This image displays a large number of cheap, privately owned vehicles, which may or may not be self-contained, crammed into a small car parking area in Golden Bay. This is NOT what our customers envisage when they are planning their motorhome experience around New Zealand. I truly believe that the vast majority of travellers (domestic and international) do want to do the right thing, they just need the information on what their options are and where they can or cannot camp.
As there are still way to many sleeper vans and other little vehicles which unlawfully obtained the self-containment certificate NZS 5465:2001 on the road probably for some more years, I would propose: * start re-assessing according to vehicle size. It is more likely that Motorhomes >6m and younger than 10 years (for example) comply with the self-containment standards		
Banning the apps are the main problem for overcrowding	Backpackers need to buy a proper van with toilet room, not people movers or station wagons that are easy to self-contained ( this is a joke), you can still add a small toilet in a van with a small room	no
	Its not just the an thats the problem its people so make people get registered with there van if they don't follow the rules fine them and revoke there rights to camp as for homeless people the local government should be homing them with safe places to live	
All self contained vehicles may need to inspected to comply, but this may be over a 2-3 year period. The new certification should be unique and clearly displayed.	They would need to have some clear evidence from Government that can produced to cover their situation when asked by the authorities.	
N/A	N/A	If self contained is made mandatory is there going to be enough disposal places There should be stated Self contained sites and camping sites so please know where to camp



Respondent ID	Collector ID	Start Date	End Date	Who are you submitting on behalf of?		Are you a NZ citizen or visa holder?	Which region are you from?	How often do you freedom camp?	What is your email address?	How much do you agree that certain types of vehicle-based freedom camping is a problem?	Are there any specific issues related to vehicle-based camping in your area?					
				Response	Other (please specify)						Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Litter
12580037557	402352763	04/16/2021 04:06:54 PM	04/16/2021 04:15:38 PM	Accommodation provider		NZ Citizen or resident	Bay of Plenty	Never	<a href="#">N/A</a>	Strongly agree	Litter	Waste	Overcrowding	Staying too long at a freedom camping site	Staying in vehicles that are not certified self-contained	Antisocial behaviour

How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom camping in a vehicle to be done in a certified self-contained vehicle?			To what extent do you think this proposal would:							Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?	Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.
Excessive noise	No issues observed	Other (please specify)	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response	Open-Ended Response
Excessive noise			Strongly support	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly likely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Highly unlikely	Commercial Information	By having one consistent policy for local authorities, it will be a lot easier for visitors to understand where they can or cannot freedom camp. This proposal should be supported by proposals 3 and 4. An option for implementing this proposal could be to include the wording "freedom camping is only permitted in a certified self-contained vehicle" in the Freedom Camping Act.

If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	If implemented, do you think there should be any exceptions to the rules? If so, please provide details.	How much do you support the proposal to make it mandatory for freedom campers to stay in vehicles which are certified self-contained, unless they are staying at a site with toilet facilities?	To what extent do you think this proposal would						Would this proposal have a positive or negative financial impact on you or your business?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	improve camper behaviour, including inappropriate disposal of waste?	improve tourism outcomes in your region?	decrease pressure on the environment and local government infrastructure?	decrease the likelihood of you camping?	decrease the attractiveness of visiting other regions in New Zealand?	increase congestion at key freedom camping sites?	Response
N/A	I do not believe that there should be any exceptions to this proposal.								

Please explain the reasons or factors which contribute to your answer above.	If applicable, please specify the financial impact this proposal would have on you or your business over a financial year (NZD).	How much do you support the proposal to introduce a regulatory regime for the self-containment of vehicles?	How much do you support the proposal to strengthen the infringement regime?	What should the maximum fine for rule-breakers be? Note the maximum fine currently allowed under the Freedom Camping Act 2011 is \$1000.	Under what circumstances should enforcement officers be allowed to confiscate vehicles under the Freedom Camping Act 2011?	Should rental companies be required to collect fines from campers?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response

Are there any other things Government should consider when implementing this proposal?	Do you agree that the requirements for a vehicle to be certified as self-contained should be stronger than the current voluntary standard?	Should certified self-contained vehicles require permanently plumbed toilets?	Why do you think this?	Should there be other requirements for self-contained vehicles? If so, please specify.	If new certification requirements are introduced for self-contained vehicles, should certificates previously issued under the voluntary standard remain valid until their stated expiry date?
Open-Ended Response	Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Response

What other transition arrangements should Government consider?	How could the Government ensure that vulnerable population groups are not disadvantaged by the proposed changes?	Please let us know if you have any further comments on the survey, consultation process, or proposed changes (including your own solutions), please enter them here.
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response