Name (first and last name)	
Zhijian Chen	
2. Email	
info@erida.co.nz	
3. Is this an individual submission, or is	it on behalf of a group or organisation?
Individual	
On behalf of a group or organisation	
4. Which group do you most identify wit	
☐ Iwi or hapū	Electricity sector
General public	Community organisation
Environmental	Energy intensive and highly integrated industry
Local government	Large energy user
Research institute / academia	Oil and gas sector
Transmission or distribution sector	Biomass or geothermal sector
Industry and Industry Advocates	Consultant, financial services etc
Central government agency	Coal sector
Other (please specify)	
5. Business name or organisation (if ap	plicable)
Erida Hydropower Ltd.	

* 7. Important information about your submission (important to read)
The information provided in submissions will be used to inform the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's (MBIE's) work on <i>Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency</i> .
We will upload the submissions we receive and publish them on our website. If your submission contains any sensitive information that you do not want published, please indicate this in your submission.
The Privacy Act 1993 applies to submissions. Any personal information you supply to MBIE in the course making a submission will only be known by the team working on the <i>Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency</i> .
Submissions may be requested under the Official Information Act 1982. Submissions provided in confidence can usually be withheld. MBIE will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.
We intend to upload submissions to our website at www.mbie.govt.nz . Can we include your submission on the website?
✓ Yes
○ No
* 8. Can we include your name?
✓ Yes
○ No
* 9. Can we include your organisation (if submitting on behalf of an organisation)?
✓ Yes
○ No
10. All other personal information will not be proactively released, although it may need to be released if required under the Official Information Act. Please indicate if there is any other information you would like withheld.

11. Where are you located?	
Northland / Te Tai Tokerau	Tasman / Te Tai-o-Aorere
Auckland / Tamaki-makau-rau	Nelson / Whakatū
Waikato	Marlborough / Te Tauihu-o-te-waka
Bay of Plenty / Te Moana-a-Toi	West Coast / Te Tai Poutini
Gisborne / Te Tai Rāwhiti	Canterbury / Waitaha
Hawke's Bay / Te Matau-a-Māui	Otago / Ōtākou
Taranaki	Southland / Murihuku
Manawatū-Whanganui	Outlying Islands, including Chatham Islands
Wellington / Te Whanga-nui-a-Tara	
12. In what region or regions does your organisa	ation mostly operate?
✓ All of New Zealand	Wellington / Te Whanga-nui-a-Tara
Northland / Te Tai Tokerau	Tasman / Te Tai-o-Aorere
Auckland / Tamaki-makau-rau	Nelson / Whakatū
Waikato	Marlborough / Te Tauihu-o-te-waka
Bay of Plenty / Te Moana-a-Toi	West Coast / Te Tai Poutini
Gisborne / Te Tai Rāwhiti	Canterbury / Waitaha
Hawke's Bay / Te Matau-a-Māui	Otago / Ōtākou
Taranaki	Southland / Murihuku
Manawatū-Whanganui	Outlying Islands, including Chatham Islands

Areas you wish to provide feedback on

The Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency discussion document examines a range of barriers and issues, and seeks feedback on a range of options. The document is divided in two parts:

- Part A: Encouraging greater energy efficiency and the uptake of renewable fuels in industry (process heat)
- Part B: Accelerating renewable electricity generation and infrastructure (renewable electricity generation)

Each part has multiple sections. You are invited to provide feedback and respond to questions in as many, or as few of the sections as you would like, depending on your interests.

13. Part A relates to process heat.				
Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on.				
Section 1: Addressing information failures				
Section 2: Developing markets for bioenergy and direct geothermal use				
Section 3: Innovating and building capability				
Section 4: Phasing out fossil fuels in process heat				
Section 5: Boosting investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies				
Section 6: Cost recovery mechanisms				
14. Part B relates to renewable electricity generation.				
14. Part B relates to renewable electricity generation. Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on.				
Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on.				
Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on. Section 7: Enabling renewables uptake under the Resource Management Act 1991				
Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on. Section 7: Enabling renewables uptake under the Resource Management Act 1991 Section 8: Supporting renewable electricity generation investment				
Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on. Section 7: Enabling renewables uptake under the Resource Management Act 1991 ✓ Section 8: Supporting renewable electricity generation investment ✓ Section 9: Facilitating local and community engagement in renewable energy and energy efficiency				

Section 1: Addressing information failures

This section explains the issues relating to information failures and asymmetries and seeks your views on options to:

- Require large energy users to publish Corporate Energy Transition Plans (including reporting emissions annually), and conduct energy audits every four years
- Develop an electrification information package for businesses looking to electrify process heat, and offer co-funded low-emissions heating feasibility studies for Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority's (EECA's) business partners, and
- . Provide benchmarking information for food processing industries.
- 15. Option 1.1 would require large energy users to report their emissions and energy use annually, publish Corporate Energy Transitions Plans and conduct energy audits every four years.

Do you support this option?
Yes - I fully support this option
I support this option in part
No - I do not support this option
16. Please explain your answer
17. Which parts (set out in Table 3) do you support?
Target group - companies with an annual energy spend of greater than \$2 million per annum
Public reporting
Government reporting
Energy auditing
Compliance
18. Please explain your answer

	Annual corporate-level energy use and emissions, split out by a range of sources including coal, gas, electricity and transport
	Energy efficiency actions taken that year
	Plans to reduce emissions to 2030
	Other (please specify)
20	In your view, should businesses be expected to include transport energy and emissions in
	se reporting requirements?
0	Yes
$\overline{\bigcirc}$	No
Plea	ise explain your answer
21.	For manufacturers: what will be the impact on your business to comply with the requirement
\bigcirc	No impact
\bigcirc	Some impact
0	Significant impact
D .	
Plea	se provide specific cost estimates if possible
22.	Option 1.1. Suggests that requirements to publish Corporate Energy Transition Plans should
арр	oly to large energy users, and propses defining large energy users as those with an annual
ene	ergy spend (purchased) of greater than \$2 million per annum.
Do	you agree with this definition?
Ó	Yes
_	No
0	
0	
	If you selected no, please describe what in your view would be an appropriate threshold to
	If you selected no, please describe what in your view would be an appropriate threshold to

	he MBIE-Ministry for the Environment discussion document <u>Climate-related Fina</u>	
<u> Disclosures –</u>	Understanding your business risks and opportunities related to climate change, Octob	per
<u>2019</u> ?		
No		
) 110		
Yes (please	explain)	

Section 1 - Option 1.2: Electrification information package and feasibility studies

The questions on this page relate to Option 1.2

Option 1.2: Develop an electrification information package for businesses looking to electrify process heat, and offer EECA's business partners co-funded low-emission heating feasibility studies

Yes	posal to develop all electrificat	ion information package:			
O No					
26. Would an electrification Yes No	information package be of use	e to your business?			
Yes No					
28. In your view, which of the	ne components should be scale				
regularly publishing information on electricity reliability for large sites	Scaled up	Prioritised			
providing information about ways to increase reliability and resilience of electrically- supplied plant and systems					
co-funding low- emission heating feasibility studies for EECA's business partners					
29. Would a customised lov Yes No	v-emission heating feasibility s	study be of use to your business?			

information pack	kage.		

Section 1 - Option 1.3: Provide benchmarking information for food processing industries

31. 🛭	Oo you support benchmarking in the food processing sector?
O,	r/es
\bigcirc I	No
	Vould benchmarking be suited to, and useful for, other industries, such as wood processing?
\bigcirc I	No
0	Yes (please specify)
33. C	Oo you believe government should have a role in facilitating this or should it entirely be led by
	stry?
\bigcirc	Government should have a role
()	Should be entirely led by industry
34. F	Please explain your answer

Section 2: Developing markets for bioenergy and direct geothermal use

This section examines barriers to the use of woody biomass and direct geothermal for process heat and seeks your feedbacks on our options to:

- Develop a users' guide on application of the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ) to wood energy
- Facilitate development of bioenergy markets and industry clusters on a regional basis within Industry Transformation Plans, and
- Support recent initiatives underway to grow the bio-economy and support direct use of geothermal heat.

Guidance on Resource	Management Act consent	ing for wood ene	ergy plants
----------------------	------------------------	------------------	-------------

buildance on Nesource management Act consenting for wood energy plants	
35. Do you agree that some councils have regional air quality rules that ar energy?	re barriers to wood
Strongly disagree	
Disagree	
Neither agree nor disagree	
Agree	
Strongly agree	
36. Please provide examples of regional air quality rules that you see as be Please also note which council's plan you are referring to.	parriers to wood energy.

Strongly disagree						
Disagree						
Neither agree nor disag	gree					
Agree						
Strongly agree						
Please explain your answer						
ricase explain your answer						
38. What do you cons	eidor a NESAO usa	vre' quido shou	d cover2 Di	acco provid	do an ovolan	ation if
possible.	sider a NESAQ use	is guide silou	u cover: Fi	ease provid	ie ali explait	allon II
	-	=		more effec	ctive at redu	cing
	-	=		more effec	ctive at redu	cing
	-	=		more effec	ctive at redu	cing
	-	=		more effec	ctive at redu	cing
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
39. Please describe a regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		
regulatory barriers to	the use of wood e	energy for proc	ess heat.	ould be be		

Section 2 - continued: Developing markets for bioenergy and direct geothermal use

acilitating the development of bioenergy markets and industry clusters on a regional basis
41. In your view, could the <i>Industry Transformation Plans</i> stimulate sufficient supply and demand for bioenergy to achieve desired outcomes?
Yes
○ No
42. What other options are worth considering?
43. Is Government best placed to provide market facilitation in bioenergy markets?
Yes
○ No
44. How could Government best facilitate bioenergy markets? Please be as specific as possible, giving examples.
45. In your view, how can government best support direct use of geothermal heat?
46. What other options are worth considering?

Section 3: Innovating and building capability

This section explains the issues around technology risk for process heat users, and the lack of viable low carbon solutions for emissions-intensive and highly integrated (EIHI) industries. It seeks your views on options to:

- Expand Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority's (EECA's) grants for technology diffusion and capability-building, and
- Collaborate with EIHI industries to foster knowledge sharing, develop sectoral low-carbon roadmaps and build capability for the future using a Just Transitions approach.

chnology diffusion and capability-building
47. Do you agree that <u>de-risking commercially</u> viable low-emission technology should be a focus of government support on process heat?
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neither agree nor disagree
Agree
Strongly agree
Please explain your answer
48. Do you agree that <u>diffusing_commercially</u> viable low-emission technology should be a focus of
government support on process heat?
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neither agree nor disagree
Agree
Strongly agree
Please explain your answer

	s Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (EECA) grant funding to support technology sion the best vehicle for this?
O,	'es
O 1	No
	or manufacturers and energy service experts: would <u>peer learning</u> and lead to reducing eived technology risks?
O ,	'es
0	No.
	or manufacturers and energy service experts: would on-site technology demonstration visits to reducing perceived technology risks?
	es
	No
52. I	s there a role for the Government in facilitating this?
O ,	'es
<u> </u>	No
Pleas	e expand on your answer

Section 3 (continued): Innovating and building capability

On this page, we are seeking your feedback on industrial innovation and transitioning to a low-carbon future.

proposal to collaborate	e to develop low-	carbon roadma	ps?		
54. Would low-carbon decarbonisation?	roadmaps assist	in identifying f	easible technolo	gical pathways fo)r
Yes					
○ No					
Please explain your answer					
55. What are the most approach?	important issues	that would ber	nefit from a partn	ership and co-de	sign
арргоасн :					
56. What, in your view,	is the scale of re	esourcing requi	red to make this	initiative success	sful?

Section 4: Phasing out fossil fuels in process heat

This section explains the issues around long-lived process heat investments and emissions lockin, and seeks your views on options to:

- Deter the development of any new coal-fired process heat, through a ban on new coal-fired process heat equipment for low and medium temperature requirements, and
- Require existing coal-fired process heat equipment supplying end-use temperature requirements below 100°C to be phased out by 2030.

i Cii	ring the development of any new fossil fuel process heat
	Do you agree with the proposal to ban new coal-fired boilers for low and medium temperature quirements?
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
0	Disagree
0	Neither agree nor disagree
0	Agree
0	Strongly agree
	Do you agree with the proposal to require existing coal-fired process heat equipment for end etemperature requirements below 100 degrees Celsius to be phased out by 2030?
0	Strongly disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
0	Neither agree nor disagree
0	Agree
0	Strongly agree
59.	Referring to Question 56 - is this ambitious or is it not doing enough? Ambitious
0	Not doing enough
Plea	ase explain your answer

	fired process hea				
			_	-	on your business
100°C to be phas		ss neat equipment	. supplying ena-u	ise temperatur	e requirements belov
Too o to so phao				7	
				_	
62. Could the Co	rporate Energy	Transition Plans	(Option 1.1) he	lp to design a	a more informed ph
out of fossil fuels	s in process he	at?			
Yes					
○ No					
Please explain your a	nswer			7	
63. Would a time	tabled phase or	ut of fossil fuels	in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
			in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
			in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ			in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ			in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ Yes No	y Transition Pla		in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ Yes No	y Transition Pla		in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ Yes No	y Transition Pla		in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ Yes No	y Transition Pla		in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
	y Transition Pla		in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ Yes No	y Transition Pla		in process heat	be necessary	y alongside the
Corporate Energ Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	ins?			
Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Corporate Energ Yes No No Please explain your and 64. In your view, effective tool to so Yes No	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Yes No Please explain your a	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	ct (RMA) be an
Corporate Energ Yes No No Please explain your and 64. In your view, effective tool to so Yes No	y Transition Pla	direction under t	he Resource M	anagement Ad	

Yes	RMA?			
No				
Please explain your	answer			

Section 5: Boosting investment in energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies

This section explains the issues relating to underinvestment in energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies. It seeks your views on whether the Government should be considering these issues and how these issues could be addressed.

(NZ-ETS) should be considered to accelerate the uptake of cost-effective clean energy projects
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neither agree nor disagree
Agree
Strongly agree
68. Would you favour regulation, financial incentives or both?
regulation
financial incentives
both
neither
69. In your view what is a bigger barrier to investment in clean energy technologies, internal competition for capital or access to capital? internal competition for capital
access to capital
70. If you favour financial support, what sort of incentives could be considered?
71. What are the benefits of these incentives?

73. What are t	he costs of these in	centives?		
74. What mea	sures other than tho	se identified above	could be effective	at accelerating investme
	y technologies?			_

Section 6: Cost recovery mechanisms This section seeks your views on introducing a levy on consumers of coal to partially recover the cost of implementing any new policies in Part A that may be introduced.
75. What is your view on whether cost recovery mechanisms should be adopted to fund policy proposals in Part A of the <i>Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency</i> discussion document?
76. What are the advantages of introducing a levy on consumers of coal to fund process heat activities?
77. What are the disadvantages of introducing a levy on consumers of coal to fund process heat activities?

Section 7: Enabling development of renewable energy under the Resource Management Act 1991

This chapter considers policy options to enable renewable energy development under the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA). We seek your views on the following key options:

- Amending the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation (NPSREG) to provide stronger direction on the national importance of renewables
- Scoping National Environmental Standards or National Planning Standards specific to renewable energy (note: we propose to prioritise amending the NPSREG while proceeding with this scoping work.)
- Other options including spatial planning, pre-approval of new renewable energy developments, and amending other RMA national direction instruments.

This chapter also notes a wider range of options that could enable renewable development, including the comprehensive review of the resource management system.

Amending the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation (NPSREG)

of renewable energy?
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neither agree nor disagree
Agree
Strongly agree
79. What changes to the NPSREG would facilitate future development of renewable energy?
80. What policies could be introduced or amended to provide sufficient direction to councils regarding the matters listed in points <i>a-i</i> mentioned on pages 60-61 of the discussion document?

78. Do you agree that the current NPSREG gives sufficient weight and direction to the importance

81. How should the NPSREG address the balancing of local environmental effects and the national benefits of renewable energy development in RMA decisions?
82. What are your views on the interaction and relative priority of the NPSREG with other existing or pending national direction instruments?
83. Do you have any suggestions for how changes to the NPSREG could help achieve the right
balance between renewable energy development and environmental outcomes? 84. What objectives or policies could be included in the NPSREG regarding councils' role in
locating and planning strategically for renewable energy resources?
85. Can you identify any particular consenting barriers to development of other types of renewable energy than REG, such as green hydrogen, bioenergy and waste-to-energy facilities?
86. Can any specific policies be included in a national policy statement to address these barriers?
87. What specific policies could be included in the NPSREG for small-scale renewable energy projects?
88. The NPSREG currently does not provide any definition or threshold for "small and community-scale renewable electricity generation activities". Do you have any view on the definition or threshold for these activities?

	89. What specific policies could be included to facilitate re-consenting consented but unbuilt with farms, where consent variations are needed to allow the use of the latest technology?			
90. Are t	here any downsides or risks to amending the NPSREG?			

95. What are the **downsides and risks** to developing NES?

Section 7 - continued

96. What renewables activities (including both REG activities and other types of renewable enerwould best be suited to NES?	·gy)
97. What technical issues could best be dealt with under a standardised national approach?	
98. Would it be practical for NES to set different types of activity status for activities with certain effects, for consenting or re-consenting?	n
It would be practical	
lt would be	
impractical	
Please explain your answer	
would be suitable for having the status of permitted or controlled activities under the RMA? Ple provide details. 100. Do you have any suggestions for what rules or standards could be included in NES or National Planning Standards to help achieve the right balance between renewable energy development and environmental outcomes?	ase
101. Compared to the NPSREG or National Environment Standards, would National Planning Standards or any other RMA tools be more suitable for providing councils with national direction on renewables?	on
NPSREG or NES are sufficient	
National Planning Standards would be more suitable	
A different RMA tool would be more suitable (please specify)	



Section 7 - continued

On this page, we are seeking your feedback on options that we have considered, but at this stage we do not recommend be developed further. Including:

• Spatial planning

Please explain your answer

- Pre-approval of new renewables developments
- Amending the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission and the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities

Pre-approval of new renewables developments could include:

- Planning approaches including relatively permissive consenting rules for renewables in defined areas
- · Crown acquiring consents for transfer to developers
- New statutory allocation process

We need more information on the merits of these options before deciding whether further work is warranted.

103. Are there opportunities for non-statutory spatial planning techniques to help identify suitable areas for renewables development (or no go areas)?					
\circ					
Yes					
No					
Please explain your answ	er				
	ny comments on potential options for pre				
	105. Are the current National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET) and National				
Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities (NESETA) fit-for-purpose to enable accelerated development of renewable energy?					
accelerated develop					
	Fit-for-purpose	NOT fit-for-purpose			
NPSET	8	8			
NESETA	<u> </u>	9			

106. What changes (if any) would you suggest for the NPSET and NESETA to accelerate the development of renewable energy?
107. Can you suggest any other options (statutory or non-statutory) that would help accelerate the future development of renewable energy?

Section 8: Supporting renewable electricity generation investment

This chapter considers policy options to accelerate investment in supply- and demand-side renewable electricity generation and energy efficiency. We seek your views on the following:

- Introduce a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) Platform
- Encourage greater demand-side participation and develop the demand response market
- Deploy energy efficiency resources via retailer/distributor obligations
- Developing offshore wind assets
- Introduce renewable electricity certification and portfolio standards
- Phase down thermal baseload and place in strategic reserve

This chapter also notes other options that could support investment in renewable electricity generation and includes them for your feedback, however we are not recommending further investigation of these options at this stage.

Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) Platform

108. Do you agree there is a role for government to provide information, facilitate match-making and/or assume some financial risk for PPAs?

	Neither disagree				
	Strongly disagree	Disagree	nor agree	Agree	Strongly agree
provide information	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	0
facilitate match-making	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
assume some financial risk	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	0
109. Would support for PPAs effectively encourage electrification? Yes - support for PPAs would effectively encourage electrification No					
110. Would support for PPAs effectively encourage new renewable generation investment? Yes - support for PPAs would effectively renewable generation investment					
No No					

the Platform and/or counterparties?			
112. Please rank the following variations on PPA Platforms in order of preference.			
1 = most preferred, 4 = least preferred.			
Contract matching service			
State-sector led			
Government guaranteed contracts			
Clearing house			
113. What are your views on Contract Matching Services?			
114. What are your views on State sector-led PPAs?			
115. What are your views on Government guaranteed contracts?			
116. What are your views on a Clearing house for PPAs?			
3			
117. For manufacturers: what delivered electricity price do you require to electrify some or all of your process heat requirements?			

111. How could any potential mismatch between generation and demand profiles be managed by

118. For manufacturers: is a long-term electricity contract an attractive proposition if it delivers
more affordable electricity?
Yes
No
Please explain your answer
440. Farrimmentana / danalamana mikat aantarat lamath and misa da manananina ta maka a matum an
119. For investors / developers: what contract length and price do you require to make a return on an investment in new renewable electricity generation capacity?
an invocation in non-ronowable clock long generation capacity.
120. For investors / developers: is a long-term electricity contract an attractive proposition if it
delivers a predictable stream of revenues and a reasonable return on investment?
Yes
No
Please explain your answer

On this page, we are asking for your feedback on demand-side participation and demand response.			
	121. Do you consider the development of the demand response (DR) market to be a priority for the energy sector?		
	Yes O		
	No		
	Please explain your answer		
	122. Do you think that demand response (DR) could help to manage existing or potential electricity sector issues?		
	Yes O		
	No		
	123. What are the key features of demand response markets?		
	124. Which features of a demand response market would enable load reduction or asset use optimisation across the energy system?		
	125. Which features of a demand response market would enable the uptake of distributed energy resources?		
	126. What types of demand response services should be enabled as a priority?		

Section 8 - continued

127. Which services make sense for New Zealand?	

Section 8 - continued

134. What are the likely compliance costs of this policy?
To a street and microstreet participation of the pa

Section 8 - continued	
On this page, we are seeking your feedback on developing offshore w	ind assets.
135. Do you agree that the development of an offshore wind market energy sector?	t should be a priority for the
Strongly disagree	
Disagree	
Neither agree nor disagree	
Agree	
Strongly agree	
136. What do you perceive to be the major benefits to developing o Zealand?	ffshore wind assets in New
137. What do you perceive to be the major costs to developing offs Zealand?	hore wind assets in New
138. What do you perceive to be the major risks to developing offsl Zealand?	nore wind assets in New

Section 8 - continued

On this page, we are seeking your feedback on renewable electricity certificates and portfolio standards.

At this stage we need further information on the merits of this option before determining whether any further work is warranted. Due to the nature of the option – i.e. the scale of investment by government and/or impacts on industry – it needs to be carefully considered alongside other government decisions on Emissions Trading Scheme settings, the role of complementary measures and the pace and pathways of domestic emissions to meet the country's emission reduction targets.

139. This policy option involves a high level of intervention and risk.

Would another policy option better achieve our goals to encourage renewable energy generation investment?
○ No
Yes (please specify)
140. Could the proposed policy option be re-designed to better achieve our goals?
○ No
Yes (please specify)
141. Should the Government introduce Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) requirements?
Yes
No
440 At 1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
142. At what level should a RPS quota be set to incentivise additional renewable electricity generation investment?

143. Should RPS requirements apply to all electricity retailers?
Yes
No
Please explain your answer
144. Should RPS requirements apply to all major electricity users?
Yes
No .
Please explain your answer
Tioase explain your answer
4.45. What would be an appropriate threehold for the inclusion of major electricity years (i.e. appropri
145. What would be an appropriate threshold for the inclusion of major electricity users (i.e. annua consumption above a certain GWh threshold)?
concumption above a contain own unconcia).
146. Would a government backed certification scheme support your corporate strategy and export credentials?
Yes
No
147. What types of renewable projects should be eligible for renewable electricity certificates?
440. If this malian antique is managed about alcotricity rateilars he normitted to invest in anomaly
148. If this policy option is progressed, should electricity retailers be permitted to invest in energy efficient technology investments to meet their renewable portfolio standards? (See option 8.3 on
energy efficiency obligations).
Yes
No .
Please add a comment

149. If this policy option is progressed, should major electricity users be permitted to invest in energy efficient technology investments to meet their renewable portfolio standards? (See optior 8.3 on energy efficiency obligations).	
Yes	
No No	
Please add a comment	
r lease and a comment	
150. What are the likely administrative and compliance costs of this policy for your organisation?	?

Section 8 - continued

On this page, we are seeking your feedback on an option to phase down thermal baseload and place it in strategic reserve.

At this stage we need further information on the merits of this option before determining whether any further work is warranted. Due to the nature of the option – i.e. the scale of investment by government and/or impacts on industry – it needs to be carefully considered alongside other government decisions on Emissions Trading Scheme settings, the role of complementary measures and the pace and pathways of domestic emissions to meet the country's emission reduction targets.

151. This policy option involves a high level of intervention and risk.

Would another policy option better achieve our goals to encourage renewable energy generation investment?
○ No
Yes (please specify)
152. Could this policy option be re-designed to better achieve our goals?
○ No
Yes (please expand)
153. Do you support the managed phase down of baseload thermal electricity generation?
Strongly
against Against
Neither
Support
Strongly support
154. Would a strategic reserve mechanism adequately address supply security, and reduce emissions affordably, during a transition to higher levels of renewable electricity generation?
Definitely
would Probably
would
Probably would not
Definitely would not

156. Would you support requiring t dry winters?	thermal baseload assets to operate as peaking plants or during
0	
√ Ye	
s	
No	
	resource adequacy needs as we transition away from fossilowards a system dominated by renewables?
	researching hydrogen energy, developing wind power, solar energy and the gap left by thermoelectricity, meet growing demand and achieve the

155. Under what market conditions should thermal baseload held in a strategic reserve be used?

Section 8 - continued

We also considered a number of additional options.

They have been included to demonstrate our wide-ranging assessment of possible policy options and to respond to early feedback we have heard from stakeholders.

We are not recommending them for further investigation but we welcome any views you may have on them.

158. Do you have any views regarding the options to encourage renewable electricity generation investment that we considered, but are not proposing to investigate further? (See pages 90 - 92 of the *Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency* discussion document).

Section 9: Facilitating local and community engagement in renewable energy and energy efficiency
This section considers the barriers to greater uptake of small-scale community energy projects and potential options to facilitate community energy, including:
 a clear government position on community energy support for community energy pilot projects.
159. Should New Zealand be encouraging greater development of community energy projects?
✓ Yes
○ No
160. What types of community energy project are most relevant in the New Zealand context?
Small hydro plant, reservoir and dam.
161. What are the key benefits of a focus on community energy?
The key benefit is mobilizing social forces, discovering potential resources to achieve the goal.
162. What are the key downsides or risks of a focus on community energy?
163. Have we accurately identified the barriers to community energy proposals?
Yes
No
Please explain your answer

164. Which barriers do you consider most significant?
You may select more than one answer.
Electricity market arrangements
Coordination of policy across government
Small scale of community energy advocates, and lack of networking effects
Resource Management Act barriers
Other (please specify)
165. Are the barriers noted above in relation to electricity market arrangements adequately covered by the scope of existing work across the Electricity Authority and electricity distributors?
Yes - they're adequately covered by existing work
No - they're not adequately covered by existing
work
Please add a comment
166. What do you see as the pros of a clear government position on community energy?
167. What do you see as the cons of a clear government position on community energy?
107. What do you see as the cons of a clear government position on community energy:
168. What do you see as the pros of government support for pilot community energy projects?
169. What do you see as the cons of government support for pilot community energy projects?
170. Are there any other options you can suggest that would support further development of community energy initiatives?
Government, communities, and independent developers work together to develop regional energy.

Section 10: Connecting to the national grid

This section sets out our understanding of issues relating to transmission connections to support growth in renewable electricity and the transition to a low emissions economy.

It seeks your views on options to address:

- · the first mover disadvantage
- · gaps in publicly available and independent information, and
- a lack of information sharing for coordinated investment.

The first mover disadvantage

- 171. Please select the option or combination of options, if any, that would be most likely to address the first mover disadvantage.
 - **Option 10.1** Encourage Transpower to include the economic benefits of climate change mitigation in applications for Commerce Commission approval of projects expected to cost over \$20m.
 - **Option 10.2 -** Put in place additional mechanisms to support or encourage, Transpower, first movers and subsequent customers to agree to alternative forms of cost sharing arrangements by contract.
 - **Option 10.3.1** Optimise asset valuations under the Commerce Commission's regime in circumstances where demand is lower than originally anticipated because expected (subsequent) customers do not eventuate.
 - **Option 10.3.2** Provide for Transpower to build larger capacity connection asset or a configuration that allows for growth, but only recover full costs once asset is fully utilised, with the Crown covering risk of revenue shortfall.

None of the options

Other (please specify)

- 172. What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.1?
- 173. What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.2?
- 174. What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.3.1?

175. What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.3.2?
176. Would introducing a requirement, or new charge, for subsequent customers to contribute to costs already incurred by the first mover create any perverse incentives?
○ No
Yes (please specify)
177. Are there any additional options that should be considered?
○ No
Yes (please specify)

n this page, we are asking for feedback on gaps in publicly available and independent formation.
178. Do you think that there is a role for government to provide more independent public data?
Yes
No
Why or why not?
179. Is there a role for Government to provide independent geospatial data (e.g. wind speeds for sites) to assist with information gaps?
Yes
No
180. Should MBIE's Electricity Demand and Generation Scenarios (EDGS) be updated more frequently?
Yes
No
181. If you said yes, how frequently should they be updated?
Quarterly
Every six
months Annually
Every two years
182. Should MBIE's EDGS provide more detail, for example, information at a regional level?
Yes
No.

Section 10 (continued): Connecting to the national grid

Please provide information on what you would find useful

183. Should the costs to the Crown of preparing EDGS be recovered from Transpower, and therefore all electricity consumers (rather than tax-payers)?
Yes - it should be recovered from Transpower (all electricity consumers)
No - it should be recovered from tax-payers
184. Would you find a users' guide (on current regulation and approval process for getting an upgraded or new connection) helpful?
Yes
No
Please add a comment
185. What information would you like to see in such a guide?
186. Who would be best placed to produce a guide?

On this page, we are asking for feedback on the lack of information sharing for coordinated investment. 187. Do you think that there is a role for government in improving information sharing between parties to enable more coordinated investment? Yes O No Why or why not? Transparent and fair competition. 188. Is there value in the provision of a database (and/or map) of potential renewable generation and new demand, including location and potential size? Yes O No 189. If so, who would be best to develop and maintain this? Government 190. How should it be funded? Government funds 191. Should measures be introduced to enable coordination regarding the placement of new wind farms? Yes 🖳 Please expand on your answer.

192. Are there other information sharing options that could help address investment coordination

issues? What are they?

Yes Online information centre, database, and library.

Section 10 (continued): Connecting to the national grid

Section 11: Local network connections and trading arrangements
This section seeks your views on whether enough is being done to enable connections to, and
trading on, the local network. It summarises regulatory arrangements and work underway to
address:
barriers to connecting to the local network
issues with the arrangements for trading on the local network, and
issues with pricing and cost allocation for network connections and services.
, G
193. Have you experienced, or are you aware of, significant barriers to connecting to the local networks? Please describe them.
194. Are there any barriers that will not be addressed by current work programmes outlined on
pages 118 - 122 of the discussion document?
pages 110 - 122 of the discussion document:
195. Should the option to produce a users' guide (see Option 10.6 on page 110) also include the process for getting an upgraded or new distribution line?
✓ Yes
○ No
Please add a comment
40C. Are there other Costion 40 information outlines that could be outended to include information
196. Are there other Section 10 information options that could be extended to include information about local networks and distributed generation?
about local fletworks and distributed generation:
Yes
No
Please specify which options would be useful, and explain your answer.

197. Do the work programmes outlined on pages 118 - 122 cover all issues to ensure the settings for connecting to and trading on the local network are fit for purpose into the future?
Yes
No No
Please explain your answer.
100 Are there things that should be prioritized or anod up?
198. Are there things that should be prioritised, or sped up?
199. What changes, if any, to the current arrangements would ensure distribution networks are fit
for purpose into the future?

Additional comments

An opportunity for you to provide any additional feedback.

200. Do you have any additional feedback?

Yes, I have.

201. You may upload additional feedback as a file.

File size limit is 16MB. We accept PDF or DOC/DOCX.

Choose File

No file chosen