# #51

### COMPLETE

Final submissions link (Web Link)
Thursday, February 27, 2020 7:03:21 AM
Thursday, February 27, 2020 7:35:00 AM
00:31:39

## Page 1: Introduction

# **Q1** Name (first and last name)

Jonathan Pooch

Q2 Email	
jonathan.pooch@deta.co.nz	
<b>Q3</b> Is this an individual submission, or is it on behalf of a group or organisation?	On behalf of a group or organisation
<b>Q4</b> Which group do you most identify with, or are representing?	Consultant, financial services etc
<b>Q5</b> Business name or organisation (if applicable)	
DETA Consulting	
<b>Q6</b> Position title (if applicable)	
Managing Director	

**Q7** Important information about your submission Yes (important to read)The information provided in submissions will be used to inform the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's (MBIE's) work on Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency.We will upload the submissions we receive and publish them on our website. If your submission contains any sensitive information that you do not want published, please indicate this in your submission. The Privacy Act 1993 applies to submissions. Any personal information you supply to MBIE in the course of making a submission will only be known by the team working on the Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency. Submissions may be requested under the Official Information Act 1982. Submissions provided in confidence can usually be withheld. MBIE will consult with submitters when responding to requests under the Official Information Act 1982.We intend to upload submissions to our website at www.mbie.govt.nz. Can we include your submission on the website? **O8** Can we include your name? Yes

<b>Qu</b> can we include your name:	165
<b>Q9</b> Can we include your organisation (if submitting on behalf of an organisation)?	Yes
<b>Q10</b> All other personal information will not be proactively released, although it may need to be released if required under the Official Information Act. Please indicate if there is any other information you would like withheld.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 2	
Q11 Where are you located?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q12</b> In what region or regions does your organisation mostly operate?	All of New Zealand

Page 3: Areas you wish to provide feedback on

<b>Q13</b> Part A relates to process heat.Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on.	Section 1: Addressing information failures, Section 2: Developing markets for bioenergy and direct geothermal use , Section 3: Innovating and building capability, Section 4: Phasing out fossil fuels in process heat, Section 5: Boosting investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency technologies , Section 6: Cost recovery mechanisms
Q14 Part B relates to renewable electricity generation. Please indicate which sections, if any, you would like to provide feedback on.	Section 7: Enabling renewables uptake under the Resource Management Act 1991 , Section 8: Supporting renewable electricity generation investment , Section 9: Facilitating local and community engagement in renewable energy and energy efficiency , Section 10: Connecting to the national grid, Section 11: Local network connections and trading arrangements
Page 4: Section 1: Addressing information failures <b>Q15</b> Option 1.1 would require large energy users to report their emissions and energy use annually, publish Corporate Energy Transitions Plans and conduct energy audits every four years.Do you support this option?	Yes - I fully support this option

### Q16 Please explain your answer

Organisations cannot progress towards Net Zero emissions without the necessary knowledge and information to enact change. Whilst many large businesses are progressing well in this area and delivering the goals of this question without a Government mandate, many many organisations still 'do not know what they don't know'. Mandating the need to develop Transition Plans would ensure that all organisations have a plan in place.

<b>Q17</b> Which parts (set out in Table 3) do you support?	Target group - companies with an annual energy spend of greater than \$2 million per annum
	3
	Public reporting,
	Government reporting,
	Energy auditing,
	Compliance

### Q18 Please explain your answer

As per above. Change cannot happen without better information. This information needs to be shared with the wider public to give it the credence it requires. The value of public competition between companies, and the investor focus this could provide is essential to deliver the rapid transition required.

<b>Q19</b> What public reporting requirements (listed in Table 3) should be disclosed?	Annual corporate-level energy use and emissions, split out by a range of sources including coal, gas, electricity and transport , Energy efficiency actions taken that year, Plans to reduce emissions to 2030
<b>Q20</b> In your view, should businesses be expected to include transport energy and emissions in these reporting requirements?	Yes
<b>Q21</b> For manufacturers: what will be the impact on your business to comply with the requirements?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q22</b> Option 1.1. Suggests that requirements to publish Corporate Energy Transition Plans should apply to large energy users, and propses defining large energy users as those with an annual energy spend (purchased) of greater than \$2 million per annum.Do you agree with this definition?	Yes
<b>Q23</b> If you selected no, please describe what in your view would be an appropriate threshold to define 'large energy users'.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q24</b> Is there any potential for unnecessary duplication under these proposals and the disclosures proposed in the MBIE-Ministry for the Environment discussion document Climate-related Financial Disclosures – Understanding your business risks and opportunities related to climate change, October 2019?	No

Page 5: Section 1 - Option 1.2: Electrification information package and feasibility studies

<b>Q25</b> Do you support the proposal to develop an electrification information package?	Yes
<b>Q26</b> Would an electrification information package be of use to your business?	Yes
<b>Q27</b> Do you support customised low-emission heating feasibility studies?	Yes
Q28 In your view, which of the components should be sca	aled up and/or prioritised?
co-funding low-emission heating feasibility studies for EECA's business partners	Scaled up
<b>Q29</b> Would a customised low-emission heating feasibility study be of use to your business?	No
<b>Q30</b> Please describe any components other than those identified that could be included in an information package.	Respondent skipped this question
Page 6: Section 1 - Option 1.3: Provide benchmarking	g information for food processing industries
<b>Q31</b> Do you support benchmarking in the food processing sector?	Yes
<b>Q32</b> Would benchmarking be suited to, and useful for, other industries, such as wood processing?	Yes (please specify): Many sectors would benefit from the sort of benchmarking discussed. Wood processing, DHB, schools, etc.
<b>Q33</b> Do you believe government should have a role in	Should be entirely led by industry

### Q34 Please explain your answer

facilitating this or should it entirely be led by industry?

Ideally, the benchmarking process would be led by industry, for industry. The Government is unlikely to add value to the process (beyond mandating its requirement) beyond being actively involved in those benchmarking sectors from the Government portfolio (i.e. schools. hopsitals, prisons, etc). It is worth noting too that the industry will be much better equipped to assess and manage the data, correcting and adjusting information for the specific nuances of the data set (such as production type, raw material impacts, weather impacts, etc)

Page 7: Section 2: Developing markets for bioenergy and direct geothermal use

**Q35** Do you agree that some councils have regional air **Disagree** quality rules that are barriers to wood energy?

Q36 Please provide examples of regional air<br/>quality rules that you see as barriers to wood energy.Respondent skipped this questionPlease also note which council's plan you are referring<br/>to.Energy of the second secon

<b>Q37</b> Do you agree that a National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (NESAQ) users' guide on the development and operation of the wood energy facilities will help to reduce regulatory barriers to the use of wood energy for process heat?	Agree
<b>Q38</b> What do you consider a NESAQ users' guide should cover? Please provide an explanation if possible.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q39</b> Please describe any other options that you consider would be more effective at reducing regulatory barriers to the use of wood energy for process heat.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q40</b> In your opinion, what technical rules relating to wood energy would be better addressed through the NESAQ than through the proposed users' guide (option 2.1)?	Respondent skipped this question

Page 8: Section 2 - continued: Developing markets for bioenergy and direct geothermal use

**Q41** In your view, could the Industry Transformation **No** Plans stimulate sufficient supply and demand for bioenergy to achieve desired outcomes?

Q42 What other options are worth considering?

Consideration needs to be given to more actively supporting the development of the biomass (all different types of biomass) supply market Simply requiring Industry Transition Plans will not, in itself, address a key issue around supply, reliability and confidence in the biomass supply.

**Q43** Is Government best placed to provide market facilitation in bioenergy markets?

Q44 How could Government best facilitate bioenergy markets?Please be as specific as possible, giving examples.

Yes

Actively engaging with and facilitating the development of the biomass supply. Developing and refining industry specifications for the various biomass grades

<b>Q45</b> In your view, how can government best support direct use of geothermal heat?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q46</b> What other options are worth considering?	Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Section 3: Innovating and building capability	
<b>Q47</b> Do you agree that de-risking commercially viable low-emission technology should be a focus of government support on process heat?	Agree
<b>Q48</b> Do you agree that diffusing commercially viable low-emission technology should be a focus of government support on process heat?	Agree
<b>Q49</b> Is Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (EECA) grant funding to support technology diffusion the best vehicle for this?	Yes
<b>Q50</b> For manufacturers and energy service experts: would peer learning and lead to reducing perceived technology risks?	Yes
<b>Q51</b> For manufacturers and energy service experts: would on-site technology demonstration visits lead to reducing perceived technology risks?	Yes
<b>Q52</b> Is there a role for the Government in facilitating this?	Νο
Page 10: Section 3 (continued): Innovating and building	ng capability
<b>Q53</b> For emissions-intensive and highly integrated (EIHI) stakeholders: What are your views on our proposal to collaborate to develop low-carbon roadmaps?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q54</b> Would low-carbon roadmaps assist in identifying feasible technological pathways for decarbonisation?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q55</b> What are the most important issues that would benefit from a partnership and co-design approach?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q56</b> What, in your view, is the scale of resourcing required to make this initiative successful?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 11: Section 4: Phasing out fossil fuels in proces	s heat
<b>Q57</b> Do you agree with the proposal to ban new coal- fired boilers for low and medium temperature requirements?	Strongly agree

<b>Q58</b> Do you agree with the proposal to require existing coal-fired process heat equipment for end-use temperature requirements below 100 degrees Celsius to be phased out by 2030?	Strongly agree
<b>Q59</b> Referring to Question 56 - is this ambitious or is it not doing enough?	<b>Ambitious,</b> Please explain your answer: The capital cost of change is significant for those businesses who already have coal based assets.
<b>Q60</b> For manufacturers: what would be the likely impacts or compliance costs on your business of a ban on new coal-fired process heat equipment?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q61</b> For manufacturers: what would be the likely impacts or compliance costs on your business of requiring existing coal-fired process heat equipment supplying end-use temperature requirements below 100°C to be phased out by 2030.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q62</b> Could the Corporate Energy Transition Plans (Option 1.1) help to design a more informed phase out of fossil fuels in process heat?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q63</b> Would a timetabled phase out of fossil fuels in process heat be necessary alongside the Corporate Energy Transition Plans?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q64</b> In your view, could national direction under the Resource Management Act (RMA) be an effective tool to support clean and low greenhouse gas-emitting methods of industrial production?	Respondent skipped this question
Q65 If yes, how?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q66</b> In your view, could adoption of best available technologies be introduced via a mechanism other than the RMA?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 12: Section 5: Boosting investment in energy ef	ficiency and renewable energy technologies
<b>Q67</b> Do you agree that complementary measures to the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ-ETS) should be considered to accelerate the uptake of cost-effective clean energy projects?	Strongly agree

Q68 Would you favour regulation	n, financial incentives or	both
both?		

<b>Q69</b> In your view what is a bigger barrier to investment in clean energy technologies, internal competition for capital or access to capital?	internal competition for capital
<b>Q70</b> If you favour financial support, what sort of incentives could be considered?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q71</b> What are the benefits of these incentives?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q72</b> What are the risks of these incentives?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q73</b> What are the costs of these incentives?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q74</b> What measures other than those identified above could be effective at accelerating investment in clean energy technologies?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 13: Section 6: Cost recovery mechanisms <b>Q75</b> What is your view on whether cost recovery mechanisms should be adopted to fund policy proposals in Part A of the Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency discussion document?	Respondent skipped this question
Q76 What are the advantages of introducing a levy on co	nsumers of coal to fund process heat activities?
Introducing a levy on coal will ensure that all fuels are operating o and electricity fuels, and yet nothing on coal. This needs to be ad desired direction.	n level playing field. At present, levies are applied to both the gas dressed to ensure the market is incentivised to move in the
<b>Q77</b> What are the disadvantages of introducing a levy on consumers of coal to fund process heat activities?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 14: Section 7: Enabling development of renewa 1991	ble energy under the Resource Management Act
<b>Q78</b> Do you agree that the current NPSREG gives sufficient weight and direction to the importance of renewable energy?	Agree
<b>Q79</b> What changes to the NPSREG would facilitate future development of renewable energy?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q80</b> What policies could be introduced or amended to provide sufficient direction to councils regarding the matters listed in points a-i mentioned on pages 60-61 of the discussion document?	Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q81</b> How should the NPSREG address the balancing of local environmental effects and the national benefits of renewable energy development in RMA decisions?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q82</b> What are your views on the interaction and relative priority of the NPSREG with other existing or pending national direction instruments?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q83</b> Do you have any suggestions for how changes to the NPSREG could help achieve the right balance between renewable energy development and environmental outcomes?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q84</b> What objectives or policies could be included in the NPSREG regarding councils' role in locating and planning strategically for renewable energy resources?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q85</b> Can you identify any particular consenting barriers to development of other types of renewable energy than REG, such as green hydrogen, bioenergy and waste-to-energy facilities?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q86</b> Can any specific policies be included in a national policy statement to address these barriers?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q87</b> What specific policies could be included in the NPSREG for small-scale renewable energy projects?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q88</b> The NPSREG currently does not provide any definition or threshold for "small and community-scale renewable electricity generation activities". Do you have any view on the definition or threshold for these activities?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q89</b> What specific policies could be included to facilitate re-consenting consented but unbuilt wind farms, where consent variations are needed to allow the use of the latest technology?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q90</b> Are there any downsides or risks to amending the NPSREG?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 15: Section 7 - continued	
<b>Q91</b> Do you agree that National Environmental Standards (NES) would be an effective and appropriate tool to accelerate the development of new renewables and streamline re-consenting?	Agree

<b>Q92</b> What are the pros of using National Environmental Standards as a tool to accelerate the development of new renewables and streamline re-consenting?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q93</b> What are the cons of using National Environmental Standards as a tool to accelerate the development of new renewables and streamline re-consenting?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q94</b> What do you see as the relative merits and priorities of changes to the NPSREG compared with work on NES?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q95</b> What are the downsides and risks to developing NES?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q96</b> What renewables activities (including both REG activities and other types of renewable energy) would best be suited to NES?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q97</b> What technical issues could best be dealt with under a standardised national approach?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q98</b> Would it be practical for NES to set different types of activity status for activities with certain effects, for consenting or re-consenting?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q99</b> Are there any aspects of renewable activities that would have low environmental effects and would be suitable for having the status of permitted or controlled activities under the RMA? Please provide details.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q100</b> Do you have any suggestions for what rules or standards could be included in NES or National Planning Standards to help achieve the right balance between renewable energy development and environmental outcomes?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q101</b> Compared to the NPSREG or National Environment Standards, would National Planning Standards or any other RMA tools be more suitable for providing councils with national direction on renewables ?	Respondent skipped this question
Q102 Please explain your answer	Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q103</b> Are there opportunities for non-statutory spatial planning techniques to help identify suitable areas for renewables development (or no go areas)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q104</b> Do you have any comments on potential options for pre-approval of renewable developments?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q105</b> Are the current National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET) and National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities (NESETA) fit-for-purpose to enable accelerated development of renewable energy?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q106</b> What changes (if any) would you suggest for the NPSET and NESETA to accelerate the development of renewable energy?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q107</b> Can you suggest any other options (statutory or non-statutory) that would help accelerate the future development of renewable energy?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 17: Section 8: Supporting renewable electricity	generation investment
<b>Q108</b> Do you agree there is a role for government to provide information, facilitate match-making and/or assume some financial risk for PPAs?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q109</b> Would support for PPAs effectively encourage electrification?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q110</b> Would support for PPAs effectively encourage new renewable generation investment?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q111</b> How could any potential mismatch between generation and demand profiles be managed by the Platform and/or counterparties?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q112</b> Please rank the following variations on PPA Platforms in order of preference.1 = most preferred, 4 = least preferred.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q113</b> What are your views on Contract Matching Services?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q114</b> What are your views on State sector-led PPAs?	

<b>Q115</b> What are your views on Government guaranteed contracts?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q116</b> What are your views on a Clearing house for PPAs?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q117</b> For manufacturers: what delivered electricity price do you require to electrify some or all of your process heat requirements?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q118</b> For manufacturers: is a long-term electricity contract an attractive proposition if it delivers more affordable electricity?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q119</b> For investors / developers: what contract length and price do you require to make a return on an investment in new renewable electricity generation capacity?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q120</b> For investors / developers: is a long-term electricity contract an attractive proposition if it delivers a predictable stream of revenues and a reasonable return on investment?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 18: Section 8 - continued	
Page 18: Section 8 - continued Q121 Do you consider the development of the demand response (DR) market to be a priority for the energy sector?	Yes
<b>Q121</b> Do you consider the development of the demand response (DR) market to be a priority for the energy	Yes
<ul> <li>Q121 Do you consider the development of the demand response (DR) market to be a priority for the energy sector?</li> <li>Q122 Do you think that demand response (DR) could help to manage existing or potential electricity sector</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q121 Do you consider the development of the demand response (DR) market to be a priority for the energy sector?</li> <li>Q122 Do you think that demand response (DR) could help to manage existing or potential electricity sector issues?</li> <li>Q123 What are the key features of demand response</li> </ul>	Yes
<ul> <li>Q121 Do you consider the development of the demand response (DR) market to be a priority for the energy sector?</li> <li>Q122 Do you think that demand response (DR) could help to manage existing or potential electricity sector issues?</li> <li>Q123 What are the key features of demand response markets?</li> <li>Q124 Which features of a demand response market would enable load reduction or asset use optimisation</li> </ul>	Yes Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q127</b> Which services make sense for New Zealand?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 19: Section 8 - continued <b>Q128</b> Would energy efficiency obligations effectively deliver increased investment in energy efficient technologies across the economy?	Yes
<b>Q129</b> Is there an alternative policy option that could deliver on this aim more effectively?	No
<b>Q130</b> If progressed, what types of energy efficiency measures and technologies should be considered in order to meet retailer/distributor obligations?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q131</b> Should these be targeted at certain consumer groups?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q132</b> Do you support the proposal to require electricity retailers and/or distributors to meet energy efficiency targets?	I support the proposal
<b>Q133</b> Which entities would most effectively achieve energy savings?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q134</b> What are the likely compliance costs of this policy?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 20: Section 8 - continued	
<b>Q135</b> Do you agree that the development of an offshore wind market should be a priority for the energy sector?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q136</b> What do you perceive to be the major benefits to developing offshore wind assets in New Zealand?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q137</b> What do you perceive to be the major costs to developing offshore wind assets in New Zealand?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q138</b> What do you perceive to be the major risks to developing offshore wind assets in New Zealand?	Respondent skipped this question

Page 21: Section 8 - continued

<b>Q139</b> This policy option involves a high level of intervention and risk. Would another policy option better achieve our goals to encourage renewable energy generation investment?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q140</b> Could the proposed policy option be re-designed to better achieve our goals?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q141</b> Should the Government introduce Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) requirements?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q142</b> At what level should a RPS quota be set to incentivise additional renewable electricity generation investment?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q143</b> Should RPS requirements apply to all electricity retailers?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q144</b> Should RPS requirements apply to all major electricity users?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q145</b> What would be an appropriate threshold for the inclusion of major electricity users (i.e. annual consumption above a certain GWh threshold)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q146</b> Would a government backed certification scheme support your corporate strategy and export credentials?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q147</b> What types of renewable projects should be eligible for renewable electricity certificates?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q148</b> If this policy option is progressed, should electricity retailers be permitted to invest in energy efficient technology investments to meet their renewable portfolio standards? (See option 8.3 on energy efficiency obligations).	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q149</b> If this policy option is progressed, should major electricity users be permitted to invest in energy efficient technology investments to meet their renewable portfolio standards? (See option 8.3 on energy efficiency obligations).	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q150</b> What are the likely administrative and compliance costs of this policy for your organisation?	Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q151</b> This policy option involves a high level of intervention and risk. Would another policy option better achieve our goals to encourage renewable energy generation investment?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q152</b> Could this policy option be re-designed to better achieve our goals?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q153</b> Do you support the managed phase down of baseload thermal electricity generation?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q154</b> Would a strategic reserve mechanism adequately address supply security, and reduce emissions affordably, during a transition to higher levels of renewable electricity generation?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q155</b> Under what market conditions should thermal baseload held in a strategic reserve be used?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q156</b> Would you support requiring thermal baseload assets to operate as peaking plants or during dry winters?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q157</b> What is the best way to meet resource adequacy needs as we transition away from fossil-fuelled electricity generation and towards a system dominated by renewables?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 23: Section 8 - continued <b>Q158</b> Do you have any views regarding the options to encourage renewable electricity generation investment that we considered, but are not proposing to investigate further? (See pages 90 - 92 of the Accelerating renewable energy and energy efficiency discussion document).	Respondent skipped this question
Page 24: Section 9: Facilitating local and community engagement in renewable energy and energy efficiency	
<b>Q159</b> Should New Zealand be encouraging greater development of community energy projects?	No
<b>Q160</b> What types of community energy project are most relevant in the New Zealand context?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q161</b> What are the key benefits of a focus on community energy?	Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q162</b> What are the key downsides or risks of a focus on community energy?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q163</b> Have we accurately identified the barriers to community energy proposals?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q164</b> Which barriers do you consider most significant? You may select more than one answer.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q165</b> Are the barriers noted above in relation to electricity market arrangements adequately covered by the scope of existing work across the Electricity Authority and electricity distributors?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q166</b> What do you see as the pros of a clear government position on community energy?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q167</b> What do you see as the cons of a clear government position on community energy?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q168</b> What do you see as the pros of government support for pilot community energy projects?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q169</b> What do you see as the cons of government support for pilot community energy projects?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q170</b> Are there any other options you can suggest that would support further development of community energy initiatives?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 25: Section 10: Connecting to the national grid	
<b>Q171</b> Please select the option or combination of options, if any, that would be most likely to address the first mover disadvantage.	Option 10.2 - Put in place additional mechanisms to support or encourage, Transpower, first movers and subsequent customers to agree to alternative forms of cost sharing arrangements by contract.
<b>Q172</b> What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.1?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q173</b> What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.2?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q174</b> What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.3.1?	Respondent skipped this question

<b>Q175</b> What do you see as the disadvantages or risks of Option 10.3.2?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q176</b> Would introducing a requirement, or new charge, for subsequent customers to contribute to costs already incurred by the first mover create any perverse incentives?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q177</b> Are there any additional options that should be considered?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 26: Section 10 (continued): Connecting to the n	ational grid
<b>Q178</b> Do you think that there is a role for government to provide more independent public data?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q179</b> Is there a role for Government to provide independent geospatial data (e.g. wind speeds for sites) to assist with information gaps?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q180</b> Should MBIE's Electricity Demand and Generation Scenarios (EDGS) be updated more frequently?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q181</b> If you said yes, how frequently should they be updated?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q182</b> Should MBIE's EDGS provide more detail, for example, information at a regional level?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q183</b> Should the costs to the Crown of preparing EDGS be recovered from Transpower, and therefore all electricity consumers (rather than tax-payers)?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q184</b> Would you find a users' guide (on current regulation and approval process for getting an upgraded or new connection) helpful?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q185</b> What information would you like to see in such a guide?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q186</b> Who would be best placed to produce a guide?	Respondent skipped this question

Page 27: Section 10 (continued): Connecting to the national grid

<b>Q187</b> Do you think that there is a role for government in improving information sharing between parties to enable more coordinated investment?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q188</b> Is there value in the provision of a database (and/or map) of potential renewable generation and new demand, including location and potential size?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q189</b> If so, who would be best to develop and maintain this?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q190</b> How should it be funded?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q191</b> Should measures be introduced to enable coordination regarding the placement of new wind farms?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q192</b> Are there other information sharing options that could help address investment coordination issues? What are they?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 28: Section 11: Local network connections and	trading arrangements
<b>Q193</b> Have you experienced, or are you aware of, significant barriers to connecting to the local networks? Please describe them.	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q194</b> Are there any barriers that will not be addressed by current work programmes outlined on pages 118 - 122 of the discussion document?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q195</b> Should the option to produce a users' guide (see Option 10.6 on page 110) also include the process for getting an upgraded or new distribution line?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q196</b> Are there other Section 10 information options that could be extended to include information about local networks and distributed generation?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q197</b> Do the work programmes outlined on pages 118 - 122 cover all issues to ensure the settings for connecting to and trading on the local network are fit for purpose into the future?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q198</b> Are there things that should be prioritised, or sped up?	Respondent skipped this question

**Q199** What changes, if any, to the current arrangements would ensure distribution networks are fit for purpose into the future?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 29: Additional comments	
<b>Q200</b> Do you have any additional feedback?	Respondent skipped this question
<b>Q201</b> You may upload additional feedback as a file.File size limit is 16MB. We accept PDF or DOC/DOCX.	Respondent skipped this question