Appendix to National Survey of Employers 2015/16 Summary Findings – Methodology and Tables¹

ISSN 2382-1493

1. Survey method

The target population for the NSE is New Zealand businesses (at the geographic establishment level) employing one or more staff (in addition to the owner). The sample for the 2015/16 survey was drawn from Statistics New Zealand's 2015 Business Frame of New Zealand businesses. The sampling scheme involved selecting a probability sample, based on the available number of businesses in each of six target industry groups, broken down by the number of employees (at the establishment level) across four size band groupings. In total, 7,354 'unique' records were selected from Statistics New Zealand's Business Frame (using a random stratified sampling approach) and provided to Research New Zealand as the survey sample.

Research New Zealand cleaned the sample records provided by Statistics New Zealand and checked it for duplicate records in preparation for tele-matching. As a result, 370 duplicate records (based upon the entity legal name and address fields) were removed prior to tele-matching, along with three geographic units that were listed as offices of MBIE, leaving a total of 6,981 geographic units.

As the Business Frame does not include business telephone numbers, Research New Zealand undertook a multi-stage tele-matching process to find telephone numbers for all sample records, with a successful match rate of 78 per cent. Attempts were made to contact all businesses with a matched telephone number (5,417) to identify the name of the owner or manager who would be most appropriate to be interviewed for the survey.

Research New Zealand then sent letters to the 3,749 named respondents as identified from the pre-calling stage, inviting them to participate in the survey. To improve the survey's contact/response rate, survey invitation letters were also sent to 837 businesses, where the telematching exercise had been successful but a named respondent was not identified during pre-calling (eg the gatekeeper either refused to provide the name of a senior manager/owner of the business or said that the person in question was not available to do the survey).

The survey invitation letters outlined the purpose of the survey and advised respondents that they could opt to be interviewed by telephone, complete a paper version of the questionnaire (included with all survey invitation letters), or complete the survey online. To facilitate online completion, the letter contained the survey's URL (hosted on Research New Zealand's secure website), and each potential respondent was issued with a unique login and password to ensure that a business could only complete the survey once.

The letters were addressed to the business owner or manager, and explained Research New Zealand's involvement as an independent market research company. The letter also advised respondents that participation was voluntary and on a confidential basis, and that results would be reported as aggregated statistics, with verbatim comments anonymised.

The letter advised potential respondents that an interviewer from Research New Zealand would call them to ask if they would like to participate in the survey and to make an appointment for the interview at a time convenient for the respondent. These follow-up calls were only to those

¹ Document revised in May 2018.

respondents who had not subsequently mailed a completed paper questionnaire back to Research New Zealand or completed the survey online.

Surveying was undertaken between the dates of 15 September 2015 and 10 March 2016 – with surveying suspended between 9 December and 14 January, due to the known trend of increased refusal rates during the Christmas and New Year holiday period. Contact was attempted a minimum of eight times with each sampled business that had not completed the online or paper version of the survey by a predetermined date, after which the business was deemed as unavailable to participate in the survey. Contact attempts were made on different days of the week and during different hours of the day to try and maximise survey response rates.

In total, n=1,528 businesses completed the survey by the close off date (n=381 by telephone, n=766 on paper and n=381 via the online version of the survey). The average telephone interview length was just over 19 minutes.

In some cases, respondents who completed the paper questionnaire did not answer all of the survey questions. It is not known whether this was because they did not know the answer to a particular question, chose not to answer it, or overlooked the question when completing the survey. Where a respondent did not answer a particular question, the respondent is not included in the total base number of respondents in the tables in section 4. This means that base numbers vary in the tables due to non-response. Where this occurs it is noted in the table footnote.

2. Weighting and response rate

The achieved sample of 1,528 businesses was weighted to adjust for the sampling scheme and any non-response biases among businesses within any of the 24 different sampling strata. This involved calculating a sampling weight that reflected the probability of selection in each stratum based on the six different industry and four employee band groupings, where the weight was equal to the number of establishments in each stratum on the Business Frame divided by the number of respondents in each stratum.

Tables A below and Table B overleaf provide the unweighted and final weighted frequency counts of the achieved sample by industry grouping and the reported number of employees working at the business site. The contact/response rate for all tele-matched business where there was both a successful pre-call outcome and a letter was sent to the business (ie for the 4,586 businesses sent a survey invitation letter) was 36 per cent.

					Grand
ANZSIC Description by Reported Number of Employees	1 to 5	6 to 19	20 to 49	50 +	Total
A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing	61	48	36	29	174
C - Manufacturing	48	64	57	93	262
E - Construction	33	48	63	76	220
G + H - Retail Trade and Accommodation & Food Services	41	52	45	74	212
Q - Health Care & Social Assistance	35	83	61	74	253
D, B, G,I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, R, S - All Other Industries	89	82	91	145	407
Grand Total	307	377	353	491	1528

Table A: Achieved sample – Unweighted frequency counts: Industry group by reported number of employees at the business site

* Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification.

Table B: Achieved sample – Weighted frequency counts: Industry group by reported number of employees at the business site

					Grand
ANZSIC Description by Reported Number of Employees	1 to 5	6 to 19	20 to 49	50 +	Total
A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing	17,007	3,948	669	213	21,837
C - Manufacturing	6,144	4,026	1,437	789	12,396
E - Construction	14,613	4,323	996	360	19,998
G + H - Retail Trade, and Accommodation & Food Services	18,261	12,333	2,352	999	33,945
Q - Health Care & Social Assistance	5,469	3,195	1,047	759	10,470
D, B, G,I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, R, S - All Other Industries	49,221	21,180	6,135	3,312	79,848
Grand Total	110,421	49,005	12,636	6,432	178,494

* Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification.

3. Sampling errors

Table C overleaf provides details of the survey respondents' unweighted business demographic characteristics (industry group and size of business, ie reported number of employees) and the associated maximum sampling error estimate, at the 95 per cent confidence level, for each sub-group. Tables D, E and F provide estimated margins of error (MoEs) for various proportions of 10 to 50 per cent for sub-samples of the population based on industry group and size groups.

The estimated maximum MoEs associated with the total sample and each sub-sample take into account a design effect coefficient (deft) that has been calculated based on the distribution of the final weights of each sub-sample. These indicative MoEs are provided as a 'guideline' to show the degree of accuracy likely to be associated with different survey findings, for both the total sample and key sub-samples of interest. More accurate finding-specific MoEs for results for the total sample, and by business size and industry, can be found in the result tables that follow.

Table C: Maximum I	margins of	error (95 per	cent confidence	level)
--------------------	------------	---------------	-----------------	--------

	Sample size	Percent of total sample %	SRS Max Margin of Error for a 50% result* %	Design effect coefficient (<i>deft</i>)	Adjusted Max Margin of Error** %
Industry type				· • •	
A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing	174	11.4	7.4	1.363	10.1
C - Manufacturing	262	17.1	6.1	1.360	8.2
E - Construction	220	14.4	6.6	1.911	12.6
G + H - Retail Trade, and Accommodation & Food Services	212	13.9	6.7	1.437	9.7
Q - Health Care & Social Assistance	253	16.6	6.2	1.523	9.4
D, B, G,I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, R, S - All Other Industries	407	26.6	4.9	1.456	7.1
Number of reported employees at site					-
1 to 5	307	20.1	5.6	1.099	6.1
6 to 19	377	24.7	5.0	1.221	6.2
20 to 49	353	23.1	5.2	1.170	6.1
50 +	491	32.1	4.4	1.127	5.0
Total	1528	100	2.5	1.671	4.2

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent due to rounding. *Maximum margins of error (MoE) assuming a Simple Random Sample (SRS). The MoE is calculated using the adjacent formula, where n = the achieved sample size for the sub-group, p = 50 percent, and z = 1.96(which corresponds to a 95 percent confidence level).

```
z \times \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}
```

**The SRS margin of error is multiplied by the design effect coefficient (*deft*) to get the adjusted maximum margin of error.

Table D: Estimated margins of error for proportional responses – industry grouping*

Proportional response Base =	Total sample	Agriculture Forestry & Fishing	Manufacturing	Construction	Retail Trade, and Accommodation & Food Services	Health Care & Social Assistance	All Other Industries
50 %	4.2%	10.1%	8.2%	12.6%	9.7%	9.4%	7.1%
40 %	4.1%	9.9%	8.1%	12.4%	9.5%	9.2%	6.9%
30 %	3.8%	9.3%	7.5%	11.6%	8.9%	8.6%	6.5%
20 %	3.4%	8.1%	6.6%	10.1%	7.7%	7.5%	5.7%
10 %	2.5%	6.1%	4.9%	7.6%	5.8%	5.6%	4.2%

* Estimated margins of error have been calculated based on the relevant survey design effect and sample size.

Table E: Estimated margins of error for proportional responses – establishment size groups*

Proportional response	Total sample	1 to 5	6 to 19	20 to 49	50 +
Base =	1528	307^	377^	353^	491
	%	%	%	%	%
50 %	4.2%	6.1%	6.2%	6.1%	5.0%
40 %	4.1%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	4.9%
30 %	3.8%	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	4.6%
20 %	3.4%	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	4.0%
10 %	2.5%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.0%

* Estimated margins of error have been calculated based on the relevant survey design effect and sample size.

^ Note: The similarities in estimated MoEs for businesses with 1 to 5, 6 to 19 and 20 to 49 staff are a coincidence arising from the respective design effects for each sub-sample when applied to the relevant SRS margin of errors for an unweighted sub-sample of each group's respective size.

Table F: Estimated margins of error for proportional responses – collapsed establishment size groups*

Proportional response	Total sample	1 to 19	20 +
Base =	1528	684^	844^
	%	%	%
50 %	4.2%	4.7%	4.4%
40 %	4.1%	4.6%	4.3%
30 %	3.8%	4.3%	4.0%
20 %	3.4%	3.7%	3.5%
10 %	2.5%	2.8%	2.6%

* Estimated margins of error have been calculated based on the relevant survey design effect and sample size.

^ 1 to 19 staff, *deft=1.244*. 20 plus staff, *deft=1.300*.

4. Tables of results

4.1 General notes to using tables

The following tables present results (proportions based on weighted frequencies) from the 2015/16 NSE. The first set of tables (section 4.2) shows results by establishment size (number of employees), allowing for comparisons of results between smaller (less than 20 employees) and larger businesses (20 plus employees), along with more detailed comparisons by four categories of establishment size: 1 to 5 employees, 6 to 19 employees, 20 to 49 employees and 50 plus employees. The second set of tables below (section 4.3) presents results from the 2015/16 NSE by industry groupings (as aggregated for sampling and reporting purposes).

Margins of error (MoEs) at the 95 per cent confidence level are provided in the tables alongside the relevant survey result. By adding/subtracting the MoE to the survey result, a confidence interval can be constructed for each result. Differences in the results are statistically significant where the confidence intervals for the survey results do not overlap. For example, in Table 1 the result of 29 per cent (for the proportion of smaller employers whose organisation operates from more than one site) has an MoE of +/-4.1 per cent, or a confidence interval of 24.9 per cent to 33.1 per cent, ie, we can be 95 per cent confident that the true value for the proportion of smaller employers in the total population whose organisations operate from more than one site is between 24.9 per cent and 33.1 per cent.

Again in Table 1, the result of 54 per cent (for the proportion of larger employers whose organisation operates from more than one site) has an MoE of +/-4.3 per cent, or a confidence interval of 49.7 per cent to 58.3 per cent, ie, we can be 95 per cent confident that the true value for the proportion of larger employers in the total population whose organisations operate from more than one site is between 49.7 per cent and 58.3 per cent. These two confidence intervals do not overlap so we can say that there is a statistically significant difference between these two survey results, ie, larger employers were significantly more likely to operate from more than one site than smaller employers.

These tables exclude non-responses to questions in the paper version of the questionnaire. Therefore the unweighted and weighted bases vary between tables even where the question was asked of all respondents.

MoEs for results greater than 97 per cent and less than 3 per cent have been suppressed because they cannot be accurately calculated where the proportions are close to 0 and 100 per cent.² Where a proportion is between 3 per cent and 97 per cent, but no MoE is provided, this is due to there being only one business type strata represented by the cell in question, and therefore sampling errors cannot be accurately calculated for the proportion.

Results for unweighted sub-samples of less than 30 respondents should be treated as indicative only. MoEs have been suppressed for all results relating to these small sub-samples.

Due to the data being weighted by industry group and size, it is not possible to derive the base number for any questions based on sub-samples by using the reported proportions in an earlier table.

Please also note that:

- Tables may not add up to 100 per cent due to rounding.
- The notes below the tables indicate whenever data is presented as a subset of a wider set of data (also note the lower unweighted and weighted bases).
- The survey questions are presented here with the exact wording used in the questionnaire.

² Margins of error are calculated based upon the binomial distribution,

4.2 Tables by business size

Table 1:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted														
base=	1522*		680		842		306		374		352		490	
Weighted base=	178007	+/-	158964	+/-	19044	+/-	110142	+/-	48821	+/-	12620	+/-	6424	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	32	3.7	29	4.1	54	4.3	24	5.3	40	6.0	50	6.0	63	4.6
No	68	3.7	71	4.1	46	4.3	76	5.3	60	6.0	50	6.0	37	4.6
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q1. First of all, does your organisation operate from more than one site in New Zealand?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q1 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 2:

Q2. How many employees are employed at the business site you currently work from? Please include full-time and part-time employees but do not include contractors or the business owners.

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted														
base=	1523*		681		842		306		375		352		490	
Weighted base=	178090	+/-	159046	+/-	19044	+/-	110142	+/-	48904	+/-	12620	+/-	6424	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
1-5	62	-	69	-	0	-	100	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
6 – 9	12	1.7	13	1.9	0	-	0	-	44	6.1	0	-	0	-
10 – 19	15	1.7	17	1.9	0	-	0	-	56	6.1	0	-	0	-
20 – 49	7	0.0	0	-	66	0.2	0	-	0	-	100	-	0	-
50 – 99	2	-	0	-	18	1.6	0	-	0	-	0	-	53	4.8
100 or more	2	-	0	-	16	1.6	0	-	0	-	0	-	47	4.8
No employees	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q2 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 3:

X2. Business size (collapsed - 4 categories)

		1-19	20+
	Total	employees	employees
Unweighted base=	1528	684	844
Weighted base=	178494	159426	19068
	%	%	%
1 - 5	62	69	0
6 - 19	27	31	0
20 - 49	7	0	66
50+	4	0	34
Total	100	100	100

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

X2 – Business Size is a constructed variable based on Q2: values for non-respondents to Q2 were derived from sample data.

Table 4:

Region (from sample)

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1528		684		844		307		377		353		491	
Weighted base=	178494	+/-	159426	+/-	19068	+/-	110421	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Northland	4	1.6	4	1.8	3	1.5	4	2.3	5	2.6	4	2.2	1	-
Auckland	26	3.6	25	4.1	30	3.8	25	5.3	26	5.4	28	5.3	35	4.6
Waikato	8	2.2	8	2.5	10	2.5	8	3.3	8	3.4	10	3.5	9	2.8
Bay of Plenty	5	1.8	5	2.0	5	1.8	5	2.6	6	2.8	5	2.5	6	2.2
Gisborne	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Hawke's Bay	4	1.4	4	1.6	4	1.8	3	1.8	6	2.9	4	2.5	3	1.6
Taranaki	4	1.5	4	1.7	3	1.7	4	2.3	3	2.1	4	2.4	2	-
Manawatu-														
Whanganui	8	2.3	8	2.6	6	2.2	10	3.7	4	2.0	6	3.1	5	2.1
Wellington	9	2.5	10	2.8	9	2.2	10	3.7	10	3.7	6	2.8	14	3.5
Tasman	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-
Nelson	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	2	-
Marlborough	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	3	2.1	1	-
West Coast	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-
Canterbury	15	2.9	15	3.2	16	3.2	14	4.2	17	4.7	17	4.6	12	3.0
Otago	6	2.0	6	2.3	6	2.2	6	3.0	6	2.8	6	3.2	4	1.9
Southland	5	1.8	5	2.1	2	-	5	2.8	4	2.4	2	-	2	-
Other	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 5:

Q3. And for how long has your business been operating in New Zealand? READ IF NECESSARY

Unweighted base=	Total 1523*		1-19 employees 681		20+ employees 842		1 - 5 306		6 - 19 375		20 - 49 352		50+ 490	
Weighted base=	178090	+/-	159046	+/-	19044	+/-	110142	+/-	48904	+/-	12620	+/-	6424	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Less than 2 years	3	1.5	3	1.7	1	-	4	2.3	3	2.1	0	-	2	-
2 to less than 6 years	15	3.2	17	3.6	6	2.0	20	4.9	10	3.5	6	2.9	5	2.3
6 to less than 10														
years	15	3.1	16	3.5	6	2.0	17	4.6	14	4.4	7	2.9	4	1.6
10 years or more	66	4.0	64	4.5	87	2.9	59	6.0	73	5.4	86	4.1	88	3.0
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q3 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 6:

Q4. The next few questions are about health and safety systems and practices in your business. Who provides health and safety expertise and leadership in your business? CODE MANY. PROBE TO NO

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1527		683		844		307		376		353		491	
Weighted base=	178236	+/-	159168	+/-	19068	+/-	110421	+/-	48747	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Management or the														
business owner	79	3.3	80	3.7	69	3.8	81	4.9	79	4.9	74	5.2	60	4.7
Your Human														
Resources														
Department	7	1.9	5	2.1	20	3.3	4	2.6	8	3.2	14	4.3	32	4.6
A health and safety														
specialist within														
the business	10	2.1	7	2.3	34	3.9	6	2.9	12	3.8	27	5.3	46	4.8
Head office	6	1.8	6	2.0	14	2.9	3	2.3	10	3.9	11	3.9	20	3.7
Employee health and														
safety reps or a														
Health and Safety														
Committee	16	2.5	12	2.8	48	4.2	8	3.4	20	4.8	44	5.9	56	4.8
A contracted health														
and safety														
specialist from														
outside the														
business	10	2.1	9	2.4	19	3.5	7	2.8	16	4.3	21	4.9	16	3.6
An industry group or														
association	5	1.5	5	1.7	11	2.7	3	1.9	9	3.3	11	3.7	10	2.9
Any other provider														
(Specify)	4	1.6	4	1.8	4	1.7	4	2.3	5	2.9	4	2.3	5	2.0
No one	3	1.6	3	1.8	0	-	4	2.5	1	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q4 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 7:

Q5. If you wanted more expert advice for your business on health and safety than you currently receive, do you know where you would get this advice from?

Unweighted hase=	Total 1527*		1-19 employees 683		20+ employees 844		1 - 5 306		6 - 19 377		20 - 49 353		50+ 491	
Weighted base=	178049	+/-	158981	+/-	19068	+/-	109976	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
Ŭ	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	83	3.3	82	3.7	94	2.2	79	5.0	88	4.1	94	3.1	94	2.4
No	12	2.9	13	3.2	4	1.8	14	4.3	10	3.7	5	2.6	4	1.7
Don't know	5	1.9	5	2.2	2	-	7	3.0	2	-	2	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q5 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 8:

Q6. To what extent do owners or directors of this business make decisions about the business's health and safety policies and systems? Would you say: READ

	Tatal		1-19		20+		4 5		C 10		20 40		50.	
Unweighted hase=	10tai 1527*		employees		employees		1-5		6 - 19 376		20 - 49		50+ //91	
Weighted base=	178456	+/-	159388	+/-	19068	+/-	110421	+/-	48967	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
A lot	70	3.8	71	4.2	67	4.1	71	5.5	71	5.7	69	5.6	63	4.7
Somewhat	16	3.0	15	3.3	20	3.4	14	4.3	19	4.9	18	4.6	24	4.2
A little	12	2.8	12	3.2	10	2.7	14	4.3	9	3.6	9	3.8	11	3.0
Not at all	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q6 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 9:

Q7. Does your business have a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards?

Unweighted base=	Total 1527*		1-19 employees 683		20+ employees 844		1 - 5 306		6 - 19 377		20 - 49 353		50+ 491	
Weighted base=	177941	+/-	158873	+/-	19068	+/-	109868	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	82	3.5	80	3.9	97	1.7	77	5.3	88	4.2	96	2.5	97	1.7
No	15	3.3	17	3.6	3	1.7	20	5.0	10	4.0	4	2.5	1	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q7 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 10:

Q8. Is this hazard management system documented?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1406*		584		822		238		346		342		480	
Weighted base=	144240*	+/-	125921	+/-	18318	+/-	82576	+/-	43346	+/-	12088	+/-	6230	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	82	3.6	80	4.1	96	1.8	76	5.9	89	4.2	95	2.6	98	-
No	17	3.5	19	4.0	3	1.6	23	5.8	10	4.1	4	2.4	1	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q8 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 11:

Q9. Does this hazard management system involve having regular meetings to discuss hazards?

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1406		283		823		238		345		343		480	
Weighted base=	144399*	+/-	126014	+/-	18386	+/-	82731	+/-	43283	+/-	12155	+/-	6230	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	71	4.1	69	4.6	87	3.3	66	6.4	75	5.7	83	4.8	93	2.7
No	27	4.0	29	4.5	13	3.3	31	6.3	23	5.5	17	4.8	6	2.5
Don't know	2	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q9 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 12:

Q10. And does it involve having a nominated person who deals with hazard management?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1406*		584		822		238		346		342		480	
Weighted base=	144410*	+/-	126076	+/-	18333	+/-	82731	+/-	43346	+/-	12103	+/-	6230	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	80	3.6	79	4.1	89	2.8	78	5.7	80	5.1	89	3.9	88	3.1
No	18	3.5	19	4.0	11	2.8	20	5.4	18	5.0	11	3.9	11	3.0
Don't know	1	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q10 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 13:

Q11. When a new hazard is identified or an existing hazard changes, is this recorded in the system?

Unweighted base=	Total 1408*		1-19 employees 585		20+ employees 823		1 - 5 239		6 - 19 346		20 - 49 343		50+ 480	
Weighted base=	144741*	+/-	126355	+/-	18386	+/-	83010	+/-	43346	+/-	12155	+/-	6230	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	79	3.8	77	4.4	95	2.0	73	6.1	84	5.0	94	2.9	97	1.8
No	19	3.7	21	4.2	3	1.6	24	5.9	15	4.8	4	2.3	1	-
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q11 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 14:

Q7_8_11. Proportion of all employers with a documented hazard management system that was actively used ('yes' to Q7, Q8 and 11 out of all employers)

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1520		679		841		302		377		352		489	
Weighted base=	176018	+/-	157054	+/-	18964	+/-	108049	+/-	49005	+/-	12569	+/-	6396	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	62	4.1	58	4.6	91	2.6	51	6.2	73	5.6	90	3.7	94	2.5
No	38	4.1	42	4.6	9	2.6	49	6.2	27	5.6	10	3.7	6	2.5
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8 and Q11 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 15:

Q12. Does your business have a documented process for investigating workplace accidents?

			1_19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1524*		681		843		305		376		353		490	
Weighted base=	177684	+/-	158639	+/-	19045	+/-	109697	+/-	48942	+/-	12636	+/-	6409	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	66	4.0	63	4.5	92	2.5	56	6.1	79	5.2	91	3.5	95	2.4
No	31	4.0	34	4.4	6	2.3	40	6.0	19	5.0	8	3.3	3	1.8
Don't know	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q12 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 16:

Q13. Does your business have health and safety inductions for all new employees?

			1-19		20+				6 40				- 0	
	lotal		employees		employees		1-5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1524*		681		843		304		377		353		490	
Weighted base=	177591	+/-	158546	+/-	19045	+/-	109541	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6409	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	75	3.8	72	4.3	93	2.4	69	5.7	80	5.2	92	3.3	93	2.7
No	24	3.7	26	4.2	7	2.3	29	5.6	17	4.9	7	3.3	5	2.4
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q13 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 17:

1-19 20+ Total employees employees 1 - 5 6 - 19 20 - 49 50+ Unweighted base= 1334* 536 798 217 329 469 319 131846* 17559 38798 +/-Weighted base= +/-114287 +/-+/-75489 +/-+/-11578 +/-5981 % % % % % % % % % % % % % % 71 4.8 6.6 86 Yes 4.2 69 86 3.2 66 75 5.9 86 4.5 3.6 No 24 3.9 26 4.5 11 2.9 29 6.2 20 5.5 11 4.1 11 3.3 Don't know 4 2.0 5 2.3 3 1.7 5 3.2 4 2.7 3 2.4 3 1.9 0 0 Refused 0 0 0 1 0 --_ ----100 100 100 100 100 Total 100 100 -------

Q14. Is a record kept of every health and safety induction for new employees?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has health and safety inductions for all new employees (n=1339). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q14 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 18:

Q13_14. Health and safety inductions for all new employees and records kept (yes to Qs 13 and 14 out of all employers)

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1519		678		841		303		375		351		490	
Weighted base=	177081	+/-	158121	+/-	18961	+/-	109413	+/-	48708	+/-	12551	+/-	6409	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	53	4.1	50	4.6	80	3.6	46	6.1	60	6.1	79	5.0	80	4.0
No	47	4.1	50	4.6	20	3.6	54	6.1	40	6.1	21	5.0	20	4.0
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Would rather not say	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q13.

Table 19:

Aggregate H & S compliance measure 1 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H&S inductions for all new employees with records kept of every induction.

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1511*		673		838		299		374		350		488	
Weighted base=	174836*	+/-	155965	+/-	18871	+/-	107320	+/-	48645	+/-	12484	+/-	6387	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	44	4.0	41	4.5	75	3.9	36	5.9	51	6.1	73	5.5	78	4.2
No	51	4.1	54	4.6	21	3.7	59	6.1	44	6.1	23	5.2	17	3.9
Don't know	4	1.7	4	1.9	4	1.7	4	2.6	4	2.3	4	2.3	5	2.2
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 20:

Q15. Does your business use any contractors or subcontractors to do any work for the business?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1526*		683		843		306		377		353		490	
Weighted base=	178026	+/-	158981	+/-	19045	+/-	109976	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6409	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	63	4.0	60	4.5	89	2.7	56	6.0	69	5.7	89	3.8	90	3.1
No	36	4.0	38	4.4	11	2.7	42	5.9	30	5.6	11	3.8	10	3.1
Don't know	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q15 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 21:

Q16. Do all your contractors and subcontractors receive a health and safety induction?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1206*		444		762		170		274		315		447	
Weighted base=	112385*	+/-	95379	+/-	17006	+/-	61358	+/-	34021	+/-	11257	+/-	5749	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	53	4.9	50	5.7	67	4.3	50	8.0	51	7.0	61	6.1	78	4.3
No	41	4.9	43	5.7	28	4.2	42	8.0	44	7.1	34	6.0	16	3.8
Don't know	6	2.6	7	3.0	5	1.8	8	4.4	5	2.9	4	2.5	5	2.4
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business uses any contractors or subcontractors (n=1207). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q16 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 22:

Q15_16. Proportion of employers with contactors and where all contractors receive H&S inductions (yes to Qs 15 and 16 out of all employers)

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1-5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1525		682		843		305		377		353		490	
Weighted base=	177747	+/-	158702	+/-	19045	+/-	109697	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6409	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	33	3.7	30	4.1	60	4.2	28	5.4	35	5.5	54	5.8	70	4.5
No	67	3.7	70	4.1	40	4.2	72	5.4	65	5.5	46	5.8	30	4.5
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Would rather not say	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q15.

Table 23:

Aggregate H & S compliance measure 2 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- H&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business).

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1511*		673		838		299		374		350		488	
Weighted base=	174836*	+/-	155965	+/-	18871	+/-	107320	+/-	48645	+/-	12484	+/-	6387	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	36	3.9	33	4.3	60	4.2	29	5.6	40	5.9	55	5.9	68	4.5
No	60	4.0	63	4.5	36	4.2	66	5.9	56	6.0	40	5.9	26	4.4
Don't know	4	1.7	4	1.9	4	1.7	4	2.7	2	-	4	2.3	5	2.1
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 24:

Q17. Does your business have a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1524*		682		842		305		377		353		489	
Weighted base=	177724	+/-	158702	+/-	19022	+/-	109697	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6386	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	51	4.1	48	4.6	78	3.8	45	6.1	54	6.0	75	5.4	83	3.7
No	46	4.2	49	4.6	19	3.6	52	6.1	41	6.0	22	5.1	13	3.4
Don't know	3	1.4	3	1.5	3	1.4	2	-	4	2.6	2	-	3	1.8
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q17 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 25:

Aggregate H & S compliance measure 3 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- *H&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business)*
- a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety.

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1510*		673		837		299		374		350		487	
Weighted base=	174813*	+/-	155965	+/-	18848	+/-	107320	+/-	48645	+/-	12484	+/-	6364	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	30	3.7	27	4.1	54	4.2	24	5.3	34	5.6	50	5.9	63	4.7
No	65	3.9	68	4.3	41	4.2	71	5.7	61	5.8	46	6.0	31	4.6
Don't know	3	1.6	3	1.8	4	1.7	3	2.5	3	2.1	4	2.3	5	2.2
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 and Q17 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 26:

018 Does vour husi	iness have anv i	of the following?	READ CODE	MANY ROM
Q10. Docs your busi	ness nave any t	of the johowing.	NEND. CODE	

Unweighted base=	Total 1521*	,	1-19 employees 679	,	20+ employees 842	,	1 - 5 304	,	6 - 19 375	,	20 - 49 353	,	50+ 489	,
Weighted base=	1//134	+/-	158111	+/-	19022	+/-	109251	+/-	48860	+/-	12636	+/-	6386	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
safety														
representatives	31	3.7	28	4.1	61	4.2	24	5.3	36	5.8	56	6.0	72	4.4
Informal health and														
safety														
representatives	35	3.9	35	4.3	41	4.2	32	5.7	41	6.0	41	5.9	41	4.7
A health and safety														
committee	18	2.5	13	2.7	67	4.1	7	3.2	26	5.2	58	5.9	85	3.6
A regular system for health and safety														
communications	45	4.0	42	4.5	74	3.9	37	5.9	51	6.1	71	5.5	79	4.0
Health and safety is							_							
included as a														
regular team														
meeting item	55	4.2	52	4.6	76	3.9	48	6.1	62	6.0	74	5.5	81	4.0
None of the above	22	3.6	24	4.1	3	1.6	29	5.6	13	4.3	3	2.3	1	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q18 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 27:

Q19. In the last 12 months, has your business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices?

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1-5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1522		079		843		305		374		303		490	
Weighted base=	177550	+/-	158487	+/-	19063	+/-	109848	+/-	48639	+/-	12636	+/-	6427	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	34	3.6	31	4.0	58	4.2	27	5.2	42	5.8	56	6.0	60	4.7
No	63	3.7	66	4.1	40	4.2	71	5.4	55	6.0	42	5.9	37	4.6
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	3	2.4	2	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q19 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 28:

Q20. Thinking about the biggest change made to your health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months, why did the business make this change or what prompted this change? CODE MANY. PROBE FOR CLEAR ANSWER

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	747*		256		491		87		169		196		295	
Weighted base=	60694*	+/-	49749	+/-	10946	+/-	29471	+/-	20278	+/-	7075	+/-	3871	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
An accident/event/	8	3.2	7	3.8	13	4.0	9	5.9	4	3.5	14	5.8	11	3.9
A near miss	5	2.6	4	3.1	11	3.6	4	4.9	2	-	10	5.2	12	4.0
A workplace inspection by a														
health and safety inspector	6	2.9	5	3.4	10	3.3	7	5.7	3	1.7	11	4.9	6	2.9
A prosecution or other														
enforcement action	1	-	0	-	3	2.3	0	-	0	-	4	3.5	1	-
Improvements in industry														
practice	29	5.7	28	6.9	36	5.2	27	10.2	29	8.1	33	7.3	42	6.2
On-going improvements to														
your workplace health and														
safety/ to improve staff														
safety in general	60	6.0	58	7.3	68	5.3	52	10.7	67	8.7	66	7.6	72	5.7
To comply with														
current/anticipating future														
changes to health and														
satety legislation	55	6.1	52	7.4	66	5.3	54	10.8	49	9.2	66	7.5	68	5.7
To reduce ACC levies	12	3.7	11	4.5	19	4.2	7	6.0	16	6.6	19	5.9	18	4.7
Required by client or principal	9	3.9	9	4.7	9	3.4	10	7.0	9	5.2	11	5.1	6	2.9
Required by industry														
association	9	4.0	10	4.8	4	2.3	12	7.7	8	4.1	4	3.3	4	2.3
To address customer/public														
concerns	3	1.9	3	2.3	2	-	2	-	4	3.7	2	-	4	2.4
A change in														
management/Health &														
Safety personnel/business									_					
structure or location	3	1.9	3	2.3	3	1.8	3	3.4	2	-	2	-	3	2.0
Any other reason? (Specify)	4	2.1	4	2.5	3	1.8	2	-	7	4.5	2	-	3	2.4
Didn't make any significant														
changes	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months (n=748). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q20 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 29:

Q21. Do you agree or disagree that your business owners or managers have a good understanding of their obligations under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1522*		680		842		306		374		351		491	
Weighted base=	177642	+/-	158666	+/-	18975	+/-	109976	+/-	48691	+/-	12543	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Agree	70	3.9	69	4.3	79	3.6	67	5.7	75	5.4	79	5.1	79	4.0
Neither agree nor														
disagree	17	3.1	18	3.5	14	3.1	17	4.5	18	4.8	14	4.3	15	3.5
Disagree	8	2.3	8	2.6	5	2.0	9	3.5	5	2.9	5	2.9	4	1.9
Don't know	4	1.7	4	1.9	2	-	5	2.7	1	-	2	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q21 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 30:

Q22. Do you agree or disagree that government is effective at enforcing obligations for businesses under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1524*		681		843		306		375		352		491	
Weighted base=	177946	+/-	158904	+/-	19043	+/-	109976	+/-	48928	+/-	12611	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Agree	45	4.1	44	4.6	50	4.3	43	6.1	47	6.1	49	6.0	52	4.8
Neither agree nor														
disagree	26	3.6	26	4.0	28	3.9	26	5.3	27	5.3	28	5.4	28	4.4
Disagree	11	2.7	12	3.0	9	2.5	12	4.0	11	3.9	9	3.5	10	2.9
Don't know	17	3.2	17	3.5	12	2.9	18	4.7	15	4.6	13	4.1	10	2.8
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q22 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 31:

Q23. Do you agree or disagree that having good health and safety systems is good for your business?

	Tatal		1-19		20+		4 5		6 10		20 40		50.	
	Total		employees		employees		1-5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1524*		682		842		306		376		351		491	
Weighted base=	177966	+/-	158942	+/-	19024	+/-	109976	+/-	48967	+/-	12592	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Agree	84	3.1	83	3.5	92	2.3	81	4.7	87	4.1	91	3.2	95	2.3
Neither agree nor														
disagree	11	2.6	11	2.9	6	2.1	11	3.8	11	3.8	7	2.9	5	2.2
Disagree	3	1.5	3	1.7	1	-	4	2.3	2	-	2	-	0	-
Don't know	1	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q23 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 32:

Q24. The following questions are about some of your business's workplace practices and the impacts of employment legislation. Does the business currently use any self-employed workers who, to your knowledge, only work for your business and do not currently work for any other business? They are sometimes referred to as dependent contractors.

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1527*		683		844		307		376		353		491	
Weighted base=	178456	+/-	159388	+/-	19068	+/-	110421	+/-	48967	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	11	2.3	9	2.6	21	3.4	9	3.4	10	3.4	20	4.8	23	4.1
No	86	2.8	87	3.1	76	3.6	87	4.1	88	3.6	77	5.0	72	4.3
Don't know	3	1.4	3	1.6	3	1.4	3	2.2	2	-	2	-	5	2.2
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q24 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 33:

Q25. Which of the following is the main reason for using dependent contractors in this business? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	266*		77		189		30		47		74		115	
Weighted base=	18912*	+/-	14895	+/-	4017	+/-	10050	+/-	4845	+/-	2553	+/-	1464	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Fluctuating														
demand/seasonal														
work	41	9.5	42	11.8	35	8.3	41	15.4	45	17.4	30	11.7	44	10.2
To cover staff														
absence	7	3.8	6	4.4	14	7.0	6	6.2	6	4.5	14	10.2	13	6.9
Needing specific														
skills	48	10.0	46	12.5	52	8.6	41	16.5	58	17.3	44	12.2	68	9.8
It is typical practice														
in this profession	9	6.7	9	8.3	9	6.6	8	11.2	10	10.7	13	10.2	2	-
Preferred or														
requested by														
contractor; suits														
their availability	7	5.8	7	7.3	8	5.2	7	10.4	8	6.1	9	7.5	7	5.7
Contractors work														
harder/are more														
efficient	3	5.3	4	6.7	1	-	6	10.0	0	-	1	-	0	-
Or is there some														
other reason?														
(Specify)	2	-	1	-	7	5.6	0	-	3	3.8	9	8.4	5	4.5
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	5	9.8	3	5.0	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business was using dependent contractors (n=266).

Table 34:

Q26. Do all of your employees have a written employment agreement?

	Total		1-19 omployoos		20+		1 5		6 10		20 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1527*		683		844		306		377		353		491	
Weighted base=	178215	+/-	159147	+/-	19068	+/-	110142	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	91	2.4	90	2.7	99	-	89	3.6	94	3.0	98	-	100	-
No	7	2.2	8	2.5	1	-	9	3.4	5	2.7	1	-	0	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q26 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 35:

Q27. Are any current employees in this business on casual agreements, with no guarantee of regular continuing work?

Unweighted base=	Total 1528		1-19 employees 684		20+ employees 844		1 - 5 307		6 - 19 377		20 - 49 353		50+ 491	
Weighted base=	178494	+/-	159426	+/-	19068	+/-	110421	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6432	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	34	3.7	32	4.1	56	4.2	29	5.3	38	5.9	51	6.0	68	4.4
No	64	3.7	67	4.1	43	4.2	70	5.4	61	5.9	49	6.0	31	4.3
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 36:

Q28. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on casual agreements? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1-5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	751*		248		503		93		155		181		322	
Weighted base=	61224*	+/-	50458	+/-	10765	+/-	31777	+/-	18681	+/-	6401	+/-	4365	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Fluctuating														
demand/seasonal														
work	73	5.8	73	7.0	75	4.8	70	10.1	78	7.4	75	7.2	74	5.2
To cover staff														
absence/parental														
leave	28	5.3	24	6.4	44	5.4	17	8.5	36	9.1	38	8.1	51	5.8
Needing specific														
skills	11	4.1	11	4.9	12	3.9	11	6.9	10	6.0	13	6.0	10	3.7
To check people's														
suitability for the														
job before														
considering them														
for further work	7	3.2	7	3.8	5	2.4	8	5.5	6	4.2	6	3.8	4	2.1
Employee's														
preference; suits														
employee														
availability	7	3.3	7	3.9	8	3.1	7	5.6	7	4.7	8	4.8	7	3.1
Or is there some														
other reason?														
(Specify)	3	2.3	3	2.8	5	2.4	4	4.1	2	-	4	3.6	5	2.9
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had employees on casual agreements (n=751).

Table 37:

Q29. And are any current employees on fixed-term agreements?

Unweighted base=	Total 1524*		1-19 employees 683		20+ employees 841		1 - 5 306		6 - 19 377		20 - 49 351		50+ 490	
Weighted base=	178266	+/-	159298	+/-	18968	+/-	110293	+/-	49005	+/-	12543	+/-	6425	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	20	2.8	17	3.1	51	4.1	11	3.8	29	5.5	43	5.8	66	4.4
No	78	2.9	82	3.3	49	4.1	87	4.0	70	5.6	56	5.8	34	4.4
Don't know	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q29 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 38:

Q30. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on fixed-term agreements? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	577*		132		445		35		97		139		306	
Weighted base=	36233*	+/-	26598	+/-	9634	+/-	12515	+/-	14083	+/-	5403	+/-	4231	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Fluctuating demand/seasonal														
work	57	6.9	59	9.2	52	5.8	55	15.5	62	10.5	52	9.2	54	6.0
To cover staff														
absence	26	6.2	22	8.2	39	5.4	18	13.3	25	10.1	29	8.4	52	6.0
Needing specific														
skills	20	6.1	19	8.1	21	4.9	16	13.2	22	9.7	21	7.9	22	5.1
To check people's														
suitability for the														
job before														
considering them														
for further work	16	5.9	19	8.0	6	3.0	25	14.4	14	7.9	9	5.3	2	-
For a specific														
project/funding														
limited to a														
specific project	5	2.4	4	3.1	8	2.9	2	-	6	5.2	5	4.3	10	3.7
Or is there some														
other reason?														
(Specify)	6	2.6	5	3.4	8	3.3	2	-	7	5.2	9	5.3	8	3.4
Don't know	1	-	2	-	1	-	3	5.5	0	-	1	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees on fixed-term agreements (n=577).

Table 39:

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 1527* 178469 %	+/- %	1-19 employees 684 159426 %	+/- %	20+ employees 843 19043 %	+/- %	1 - 5 307 110421 %	+/- %	6 - 19 377 49005 %	+/- %	20 - 49 352 12611 %	+/- %	50+ 491 6432 %	+/- %
Yes	10	2.4	10	2.6	12	2.8	9	3.3	14	4.4	12	3.9	12	2.9
No	87	2.7	88	3.0	86	2.9	89	3.8	85	4.6	86	4.2	86	3.1
Don't know	2	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	2	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q31. Do any of your current employees have employment agreements requiring them to be available for work with no guarantee of minimum hours of work?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q31 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 40:

Q32. Which of the following types of employment agreements are these employees on? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	169*		67		102		26**		41		40		62	
Weighted base=	17112*	+/-	14852	+/-	2260	+/-	8931 **	+/-	5921	+/-	1509	+/-	750	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Casual agreements	44	11.6	41	13.3	69	10.1	35	-	49	17.6	71	13.9	64	11.6
Fixed term														
agreements	8	6.1	7	6.9	12	7.6	8	-	5	7.7	13	10.9	9	6.8
Permanent part-														
time agreements	25	9.0	24	10.3	34	10.5	17	-	34	14.8	37	14.9	29	10.4
Permanent full-time														
agreements	31	11.4	34	13.1	14	5.8	36	-	30	16.4	11	7.2	19	9.4
Any other types of														
employment														
agreements?														
(Specify)	4	4.1	4	4.7	1	-	6	-	1	-	0	-	2	-
Don't know	1	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	4	7.3	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees on agreements requiring them to be available for work with no guarantee of minimum hours of work (n=174). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q32 in the paper guestionnaire.

**Caution: low base number of respondents – results are indicative only.

Table 41:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1526*		684		842		307		377		352		490	
Weighted base=	178418	+/-	159426	+/-	18992	+/-	110421	+/-	49005	+/-	12569	+/-	6424	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	22	3.0	21	3.3	32	3.9	16	4.2	31	5.1	29	5.4	38	4.5
No	77	3.1	78	3.4	66	3.9	82	4.4	69	5.1	69	5.5	59	4.6
Don't know	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	3	1.7
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q33. The next questions are about minimum wages and payroll systems. Are any of your employees paid the adult minimum wage of \$14.75 per hour?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q33 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 42:

Q34. Thinking about all of your current employees aged 20 years or over, are any of them required by their employment agreement to undertake recognised industry training of at least 60 credits a year?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1525*		684		841		307		377		351		490	
Weighted base=	178349	+/-	159426	+/-	18923	+/-	110421	+/-	49005	+/-	12501	+/-	6422	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	10	2.3	9	2.5	14	2.8	9	3.3	10	3.3	14	3.9	15	3.2
No	87	2.7	87	3.0	83	2.9	88	3.9	86	4.0	85	4.0	79	3.7
Don't know	3	1.4	3	1.6	3	1.1	3	2.0	4	2.5	2	-	6	2.3
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q34 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 43:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	224*		74		150		26**		48		60		90	
Weighted base=	17210*	+/-	14545	+/-	2665	+/-	9565 **	+/-	4980	+/-	1712	+/-	953	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	7	4.0	6	4.5	14	8.0	0	-	18	13.3	17	11.9	9	6.8
No	88	5.5	88	6.3	85	8.0	94	6.6	77	13.3	83	11.9	89	6.9
Don't know	5	4.3	5	5.1	1	-	6	6.6	5	7.6	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q35. Are any of these employees paid the training minimum wage of \$11.80 per hour or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 20 years or over who were required to undertake recognised industry training of at least 60 credits a year (n=224).

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 44:

Q34_35. All employers who were paying the training minimum wage or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage (yes to Qs 34 and 35)

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted														
base=	1525		684		841		307		377		351		490	
Weighted base=	178349	+/-	159426	+/-	18923	+/-	110421	+/-	49005	+/-	12501	+/-	6422	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	1	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	1	-
No	99	-	99	-	98	-	100	-	98	-	98	-	99	-
No response	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Would rather not														
say	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q34 and Q35 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q34.

Table 45:

Q36. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the training minimum wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	189*		61		128		24**		37		51		77	
Weighted base=	15059*	+/-	12819	+/-	2240	+/-	9007 **	+/-	3812	+/-	1399	+/-	841	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Unaware of training minimum wage Unclear of criteria for applying the	10	7.7	10	8.9	10	7.5	8	-	16	14.2	12	11.6	6	4.5
training minimum wage	6	6.8	7	8.0	2	-	8	-	5	6.1	1	-	4	3.7
It is unfair to pay less to employees of														
this age who are doing training	52	13.2	51	15.4	61	10.8	45	-	64	17.7	59	15.9	64	11.6
Administration costs outweigh														
potential savings	5	6.6	5	7.7	4	4.4	6	-	2	-	6	7.1	1	-
It would impact on our ability to														
attract high quality applicants	30	11.3	28	13.1	46	10.9	27	-	29	15.7	52	16.3	35	10.3
Employee's														
skill/experience/performance/value														
to the company is worth a higher														
wage	9	7.8	10	9.1	8	5.1	10	-	9	12.4	9	7.8	5	3.5
Company policy to not pay less than														
the adult minimum wage	14	10.0	15	11.7	8	6.5	18	-	10	8.3	7	9.2	10	8.3
Or is there some other reason?														
(Specify)	2	-	2	-	0	-	3	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	3.2
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had employees required to undertake industry training but were not paid the training minimum wage (n=191). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q36 in the paper questionnaire.

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 46:

Q37. In the last 12 months has your business employed any workers aged 16 to 19 years?

Unweighted base=	Total 1524*		1-19 employees 683		20+ employees 841		1 - 5 306		6 - 19 377		20 - 49 352		50+ 489	
Weighted base=	178252	+/-	159270	+/-	18982	+/-	110265	+/-	49005	+/-	12569	+/-	6414	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	35	3.6	32	4.0	59	4.2	27	5.2	44	5.3	57	5.9	63	4.6
No	64	3.7	67	4.1	37	4.1	72	5.4	55	5.4	39	5.8	33	4.5
Don't know	2	-	1	-	4	1.9	1	-	1	-	4	2.7	3	1.8
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q37 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 47:

Q38. Are any of these employees paid the starting out wage of \$11.80 per hour?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	766*		236		530		77		159		197		333	
Weighted base=	61313*	+/-	50055	+/-	11258	+/-	28689	+/-	21367	+/-	7195	+/-	4063	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	9	3.7	9	4.4	8	2.9	8	6.6	10	5.5	7	4.2	9	2.9
No	90	4.0	89	4.9	91	3.0	89	7.5	90	5.5	92	4.4	90	3.0
Don't know	2	-	2	-	1	-	3	4.1	0	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 16 to 19 years (n=769). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q38 in the paper questionnaire.
Table 48:

Proportion of all employers who pay the starting out wage of \$11.40 per hour to any employees ('yes' to Q37 and Q38 out of all employers)

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1521*		681		840		305		376		352		488	
Weighted base=	177653*	+/-	158678	+/-	18975	+/-	109712	+/-	48967	+/-	12569	+/-	6406	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	3	1.3	3	1.5	5	1.7	2	-	4	2.4	4	2.5	6	1.9
No	95	1.8	95	2.0	91	2.6	96	2.6	94	2.8	91	3.6	90	2.6
Don't know	2	-	2	-	4	1.9	2	-	1	-	5	2.8	4	1.9
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q37 and Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 49:

Q39. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the starting out wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	681*		211		470		69		142		181		289	
Weighted base=	54503*	+/-	44391	+/-	10112	+/-	25469	+/-	18922	+/-	6539	+/-	3573	+/-
-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
No employees in this business meet														
the criteria for the starting out wage	14	5.0	15	6.0	10	3.6	17	9.3	12	6.5	11	5.3	6	2.7
Unaware of the starting out wage	14	4.3	14	5.1	12	4.1	10	6.7	19	8.0	14	6.1	6	3.1
Unclear of criteria for applying the														
starting out wage	6	2.5	5	3.0	7	3.2	3	3.3	9	5.4	8	4.6	6	3.3
It is unfair to pay less on the basis of														
age	50	6.7	48	8.2	62	5.7	45	12.2	52	9.7	62	8.1	60	6.1
Administration costs outweigh														
potential savings	3	1.6	2	-	4	1.9	0	-	6	4.5	3	2.5	4	2.6
It would impact on our ability to														
attract high quality applicants	27	5.9	27	7.2	26	5.2	28	10.8	27	8.4	26	7.4	26	5.5
Starting out wage is too low/want to														
pay staff more than the minimum														
wage	3	1.9	3	2.3	4	1.9	3	3.8	2	-	3	2.5	5	2.8
Employee's														
skill/experience/performance/value														
to the company is worth a higher														
wage	5	2.7	6	3.3	4	2.1	5	4.8	7	4.1	4	2.8	5	3.1
Or is there some other reason?														
(Specify)	5	2.7	4	3.3	8	3.1	3	4.6	6	4.6	6	4.3	11	3.7
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	2	-
Refused	2	-	3	2.9	1	-	5	5.0	0	-	1	-	1	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 16 to 19 years, who were not paid the starting out wage (n=692). This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q39 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 50:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1519*		683		836		307		376		350		486	
Weighted base=	178232	+/-	159363	+/-	18869	+/-	110421	+/-	48942	+/-	12526	+/-	6343	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	54	4.1	54	4.6	57	4.3	54	6.1	54	6.1	57	5.9	58	4.8
No	40	4.0	40	4.5	39	4.2	40	5.9	41	5.9	39	5.9	37	4.7
Don't know	5	1.9	5	2.1	3	1.7	5	2.9	3	2.0	3	2.3	4	2.0
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q40. In response to an increase in minimum wage rates, does your business usually increase wages or salaries for employees who are already being paid above minimum wages?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q40 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 51:

Q41. In June 2014, the period over which the pay of salaried employees must be assessed for compliance with the Minimum Wage Act changed from one week to two weeks. Were you aware of this change?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1517*		681		836		306		375		349		487	
Weighted base=	178001	+/-	159168	+/-	18833	+/-	110265	+/-	48904	+/-	12465	+/-	6368	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	26	3.6	25	3.9	36	4.1	23	5.1	31	5.7	34	5.8	41	4.8
No	68	3.8	70	4.2	59	4.3	72	5.4	64	5.9	61	5.9	54	4.8
Don't know	5	1.8	5	2.0	5	1.9	5	2.6	5	2.8	5	2.7	5	2.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q41 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 52:

0.12 Thinking	about the overall impact of	n vour husiness of	this change to lev	aislation has the chan	ae had a positive or	negative impact on	your husiness or	r no impact?
Q42. IIIIIIKIII	ו מסטוג נוופ טיפוטוו ווווףטכנ נ	ni your business of	this chunge to leg	צואנוטוו, וועצ נוופ נוועוו	ye nuu u positive or i	negative impact on	your business or	no impuct:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	484*		169		315		65		104		118		197	
Weighted base=	46624*	+/-	39761	+/-	6863	+/-	24994	+/-	14767	+/-	4256	+/-	2607	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Positive impact	12	5.3	12	6.2	12	4.3	15	9.2	8	6.0	12	6.2	13	5.1
Negative impact	10	4.6	11	5.4	3	2.7	11	7.5	10	7.0	3	3.8	5	3.3
No impact	74	7.0	73	8.1	80	5.2	71	11.6	75	9.6	81	7.5	78	6.2
Don't know	3	1.6	2	-	3	2.2	0	-	6	5.0	3	2.9	4	3.0
Refused	2	-	2	-	0	-	3	4.4	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the change to the Minimum Wage Act (n=487). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q42 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 53:

Q43. Do you have any salaried employees whose effective hourly rate over a two week period is sometimes less than the hourly minimum wage due to them working more than their usual number of hours in that period?

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 1523* 178207 %	+/- %	1-19 employees 681 159172 %	+/- %	20+ employees 842 19035 %	+/- %	1 - 5 306 110293 %	+/- %	6 - 19 375 48879 %	+/- %	20 - 49 353 12636 %	+/- %	50+ 489 6399 %	+/- %
Yes	2	-	2	-	3	1.6	2	-	2	-	3	2.4	2	-
No	95	1.8	95	2.0	94	2.1	95	2.6	96	2.5	94	2.9	92	2.5
Don't know	2	-	2	-	3	1.4	2	-	3	2.0	2	-	6	2.2
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q43 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 54:

Q44. Does your business process pay in-house, or do you outsource your payroll requirements to an external provider?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1525*		683		842		307		376		353		489	
Weighted base=	178398	+/-	159363	+/-	19035	+/-	110421	+/-	48942	+/-	12636	+/-	6399	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
In-house	75	3.7	75	4.1	77	3.8	75	5.4	73	5.5	76	5.3	78	4.2
External provider	22	3.5	22	3.9	22	3.7	21	5.1	24	5.4	22	5.2	21	4.1
Don't know	2	-	2	-	1	-	3	1.9	2	-	2	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q44 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 55:

Q45. In the last 12 months has your payroll system been audited or checked for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1209*		522		687		238		284		287		400	
Weighted base=	133314*	+/-	118840	+/-	14475	+/-	82920	+/-	35919	+/-	9531	+/-	4943	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	39	4.5	38	5.0	51	4.7	32	6.5	50	7.1	48	6.6	57	5.3
No	43	4.6	45	5.1	22	4.0	53	6.8	28	6.2	25	5.7	17	4.0
Don't know	18	3.5	17	3.9	27	4.4	14	5.0	22	5.9	27	6.2	25	4.7
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business processes pay in-house (n=1217). This table excludes 8 non-responses to Q45 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 56:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	279*		140		139		55		85		60		79	
Weighted base=	38494*	+/-	34378	+/-	4117	+/-	22464	+/-	11913	+/-	2788	+/-	1329	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	66	8.3	67	9.2	65	9.2	71	12.4	59	12.5	68	12.5	61	11.3
No	15	6.6	16	7.3	14	7.2	16	10.2	14	8.3	16	10.1	10	6.7
Don't know	18	6.3	18	7.0	21	7.3	13	8.9	26	11.4	16	9.4	30	10.7
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q46. In the last 12 months have you been advised by your payroll provider that your business's payroll system complies with the Holidays Act 2003?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business outsources its payroll requirements to an external provider (n=284). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q46 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 57:

Q47. Are you aware of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1494*		665		829		295		370		346		483	
Weighted base=	172205*	+/-	153583	+/-	18622	+/-	105911	+/-	47672	+/-	12301	+/-	6322	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	27	3.8	27	4.2	28	3.8	26	5.5	30	5.8	26	5.3	32	4.5
No	67	4.0	68	4.4	65	4.1	69	5.8	65	6.0	68	5.6	61	4.7
Don't know	5	1.8	5	2.0	6	2.1	5	2.6	5	2.8	6	2.9	7	2.5
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business processes pay in-house or outsources its payroll requirements to an external provider (n=1501). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q47 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 58:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	426*		171		255		75		96		97		158	
Weighted base=	47228*	+/-	42030	+/-	5198	+/-	27963	+/-	14067	+/-	3213	+/-	1985	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	54	7.8	56	8.7	38	6.9	56	11.8	55	11.4	33	9.9	46	8.4
No	38	7.5	38	8.4	41	7.6	36	11.3	41	11.4	46	11.3	32	7.8
Don't know	8	3.8	6	4.2	21	6.4	8	6.0	4	3.7	21	9.4	22	7.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q48. Has your business used the Ministry's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of MBIE's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003 (n=428). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q48 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 59:

Q49. The next questions are about how your business manages parental leave for employees when a child is born or adopted. Does your business have its own formal policy or system to manage parental leave for employees on the birth or adoption of a child?

	Total		1-19		20+		1 5		6 10		20 40		50.	
Unweighted base=	1521*		681		840		304		377		353		487	
Weighted base=	177014	+/-	158005	+/-	19009	+/-	109000	+/-	49005	+/-	12636	+/-	6373	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	29	3.6	26	4.0	51	4.3	23	5.2	33	5.8	48	6.0	57	4.7
No	64	3.9	67	4.3	45	4.2	71	5.6	58	6.1	48	5.9	39	4.6
Don't know	6	2.1	7	2.4	4	1.8	6	3.0	8	3.6	4	2.5	3	1.6
Refused	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q49 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 60:

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1517*		678		839		304		374		351		488	
Weighted base=	176427	+/-	157481	+/-	18946	+/-	108989	+/-	48492	+/-	12550	+/-	6396	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	24	3.2	20	3.5	57	4.2	15	4.5	32	5.7	46	6.0	77	3.8
No	75	3.3	79	3.7	41	4.2	84	4.6	67	5.7	51	6.0	21	3.7
Don't know	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	3	1.8	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Q50. Have any of your employees returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years? This includes female and male employees.

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q50 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 61:

Q51. Were any of these employees given additional days of paid or unpaid parental leave on top of statutory requirements?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	663*		159		504		38		121		151		353	
Weighted base=	41943*	+/-	31232	+/-	10711	+/-	15900	+/-	15332	+/-	5810	+/-	4900	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	42	7.2	42	9.4	41	5.4	38	15.2	45	11.0	44	8.9	38	5.4
No	52	7.4	52	9.8	52	5.5	55	16.0	48	11.0	51	9.0	53	5.6
Don't know	6	3.8	6	5.1	7	2.5	6	8.4	6	5.6	5	3.8	9	3.2
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years (n=665). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q51 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 62:

Q52. Were any of these employees offered flexible or reduced hours on their return to work?

			1 10		20+		ſ							
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	664*		158		506		37		121		151		355	
Weighted base=	41545*	+/-	30798	+/-	10747	+/-	15466	+/-	15332	+/-	5810	+/-	4937	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	76	6.8	73	9.1	83	3.8	63	16.2	83	8.2	87	5.9	79	4.5
No	22	6.7	24	8.9	14	3.6	34	16.0	14	7.8	12	5.5	17	4.3
Don't know	3	2.8	3	3.8	3	1.6	4	6.7	2	-	2	-	4	1.9
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years (n=665). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q52 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 63:

Q53. How easy or difficult is it for the business to manage the overall impact of employees taking parental leave on staffing arrangements and business continuity? Would you say: READ

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	663*		157		506		38		119		151		355	
Weighted base=	41878*	+/-	31131	+/-	10747	+/-	15900	+/-	15231	+/-	5810	+/-	4937	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very easy	7	4.5	7	6.0	7	2.8	13	11.7	2	-	6	4.6	7	3.0
Easy	16	5.0	14	6.6	19	4.4	16	10.8	13	7.2	19	7.2	20	4.6
Neither easy nor														
difficult	31	6.1	29	7.9	37	5.1	19	11.6	38	10.9	32	8.2	43	5.5
Difficult	35	7.4	38	9.8	28	5.1	38	16.2	37	10.7	33	8.6	22	4.7
Very difficult	10	4.3	10	5.7	8	3.2	10	9.5	10	6.0	10	5.6	6	2.6
Don't know	1	-	2	-	1	-	3	6.6	0	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years (n=665). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q53 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 64:

Q54A. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to rest and meal breaks

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 1515* 177494	+/-	1-19 employees 678 158550	+/-	20+ employees 837 18944	+/-	1 - 5 305 110014	+/-	6 - 19 373 48536	+/-	20 - 49 351 12594	+/-	50+ 486 6350	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	64	4.0	62	4.5	79	3.6	57	6.0	73	5.5	77	5.1	85	3.3
No	28	3.9	30	4.3	13	3.2	35	5.8	20	5.0	17	4.6	7	2.4
Don't know	7	2.1	7	2.4	7	2.0	7	3.1	7	3.1	7	2.8	7	2.5
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q54A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 65:

Q54B. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to requests for flexible working arrangements

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1510*		674		836		305		369		350		486	
Weighted base=	176852	+/-	157902	+/-	18950	+/-	110014	+/-	47887	+/-	12576	+/-	6374	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	50	4.1	48	4.6	71	3.9	42	6.0	61	6.0	67	5.6	79	3.8
No	40	4.1	42	4.6	20	3.6	47	6.1	31	5.7	25	5.2	12	3.0
Don't know	9	2.4	10	2.7	8	2.2	10	3.7	8	3.1	8	3.1	9	2.7
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 18 non-responses to Q54B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 66:

Q54C. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to collective bargaining

Unweighted base=	Total 1501*	,	1-19 employees 669	,	20+ employees 832	,	1 - 5 305	,	6 - 19 364	,	20 - 49 348	,	50+ 484	,
Weighted base=	176650	+/-	15///3	+/-	18876	+/-	110014	+/-	47759	+/-	12535	+/-	6341	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	35	3.9	33	4.4	50	4.2	31	5.7	39	6.1	41	5.9	67	4.6
No	50	4.2	52	4.6	36	4.2	54	6.1	49	6.2	45	6.0	19	3.8
Don't know	14	2.9	14	3.2	14	2.9	14	4.2	12	4.0	13	3.9	15	3.5
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 27 non-responses to Q54C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 67:

Q54D. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1504*		672		832		305		367		348		484	
Weighted base=	176653	+/-	157781	+/-	18872	+/-	110014	+/-	47767	+/-	12534	+/-	6338	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	33	3.8	31	4.2	53	4.3	28	5.5	38	6.0	47	6.1	64	4.6
No	52	4.1	54	4.6	34	4.2	56	6.0	50	6.2	39	6.0	23	4.0
Don't know	14	2.9	14	3.3	13	2.8	15	4.4	12	3.9	14	3.9	12	3.2
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q54D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 68:

Q55. Have the changes to rest and meal breaks had any impact on your business or workplace?

			1 10		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1124*		446		678		173		273		269		409	
Weighted base=	112525*	+/-	97476	+/-	15049	+/-	62415	+/-	35061	+/-	9639	+/-	5410	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	4	1.8	3	2.0	6	2.3	3	2.9	3	2.4	5	3.3	7	2.6
No	95	2.1	96	2.4	93	2.5	96	3.3	95	3.0	94	3.6	92	2.8
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to rest and meal breaks (n=1128). This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q55 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 69:

Q56. Which of the following impacts have the changes to rest and meal breaks had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	54*		16**		38		6**		10**		10**		28**	
Weighted base=	4137*	+/-	3275 **	+/-	862	+/-	2158 **	+/-	1117 **	+/-	496 **	+/-	366 **	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increased flexibility														
for the business	74	13.8	-	16.8	64	18.2	87	-	55	-	69	-	57	-
Reduced compliance														
costs	5	3.8	-	-	21	17.0	0	-	3	-	28	-	11	-
Increased costs	9	9.7	-	12.3	3	1.6	13	-	7	-	3	-	2	-
Any other impacts														
(Specify)	6	4.2	-	3.5	17	15.0	0	-	7	-	14	-	22	-
Don't know	1	-	-	-	5	6.2	0	-	0	-	0	-	12	-
Refused	8	9.4	-	11.9	3	4.7	0	-	27	-	0	-	6	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to rest and meal breaks (n=54).

Table 70:

Q57. Have the changes to requests for flexible working arrangements had any impact on your business or workplace?

			1_10		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	948*		340		608		120		220		232		376	
Weighted base=	88132*	+/-	74680	+/-	13452	+/-	45364	+/-	29316	+/-	8398	+/-	5053	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	7	2.4	7	2.8	10	3.2	5	3.5	10	4.7	11	4.7	9	3.2
No	91	3.1	91	3.6	90	3.2	92	5.1	90	4.7	89	4.7	90	3.3
Don't know	2	-	2	-	1	-	3	3.8	0	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to requests for flexible working arrangements (n=951). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q57 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 71:

Q58. Which of the following impacts have the changes to flexible working arrangements had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	83*		30		53		7**		23**		22**		31	
Weighted base=	6238*	+/-	4914	+/-	1324	+/-	2072 **	+/-	2842 **	+/-	889 **	+/-	435	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increased flexibility for														
employees	63	8.2	60	9.8	73	13.7	36	-	78	-	77	-	67	17.8
Increased certainty for														
employees	21	10.2	19	12.4	27	14.7	13	-	23	-	29	-	24	16.2
More														
difficulties/inconvenience														
for employer/lower														
productivity	13	10.6	14	13.1	9	10.0	21	-	9	-	10	-	8	10.4
Any other impacts (Specify)	9	10.0	9	12.5	10	5.4	21	-	0	-	4	-	22	14.4
Don't know	5	6.3	5	7.7	6	7.4	0	-	8	-	6	-	5	9.6
Refused	7	7.3	8	9.2	2	-	8	-	8	-	2	-	3	4.1

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to flexible working arrangements (n=84). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q58 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 72:

Q59. Have the changes to collective bargaining had any impact on your business or workplace?

			1_19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	696*		228		468		89		139		150		318	
Weighted base=	61756*	+/-	52455	+/-	9301	+/-	33794	+/-	18661	+/-	5131	+/-	4170	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	3	1.9	3	2.1	4	2.6	2	-	4	3.6	4	4.2	5	2.5
No	95	2.6	96	3.0	92	3.1	97	4.1	95	4.2	94	4.9	91	3.3
Don't know	2	-	2	-	3	1.8	2	-	1	-	2	-	4	2.2
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to collective bargaining (n=702). This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q59 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 73:

Q60. Which of the following impacts have the changes to collective bargaining had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base=	Total 29***		1-19 employees 8**		20+ employees 21**		1 - 5 2**		6 - 19 6**		20 - 49 3**		50+ 18**	
Weighted base=	1763* **	+/-	1350 **	+/-	413 **	+/-	602 **	+/-	748 **	+/-	202 **	+/-	211 **	+/-
Ŭ	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increased flexibility for														
the business	9	-	0	-	39	-	0	-	0	-	33	-	44	-
More effective														
bargaining	46	-	33	-	88	-	74	-	0	-	100	-	77	-
Change had negative														
impact on														
employment														
relationships/wages	31	-	40	-	1	-	26	-	51	-	0	-	2	-
Any other impacts														
(Specify)	2	-	3	-	0	-	0	-	5	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	4	-
Refused	19	-	24	-	0	-	0	-	44	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to collective bargaining (n=29).

Table 74:

Q61. Have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had any impact on your business or workplace?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	690*		219		471		80		139		166		305	
Weighted base=	57656*	+/-	47734	+/-	9922	+/-	29778	+/-	17956	+/-	5887	+/-	4035	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	3	1.8	2	-	7	3.3	1	-	3	3.0	8	5.1	6	3.1
No	95	2.8	96	3.3	90	3.6	96	4.7	95	4.1	91	5.4	89	3.9
Don't know	2	-	2	-	3	1.5	2	-	2	-	1	-	5	2.6
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring (n=697). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q61 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 75:

Q62. Which of the following impacts have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

			1-19		20+										
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+		
Unweighted base=	30*		6**		24**		1**		5**		9**		15**		
Weighted base=	1619*	+/-	926 **	+/-	694 **	+/-	445 **	+/-	480 **	+/-	450 **	+/-	243 **	+/-	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Increased certainty for the business	22	9.7	0	-	52	-	0	-	0	-	54	-	47	-	
Increased certainty for employees	20	9.7	0	-	46	-	0	-	0	-	49	-	41	-	
Better balance of fairness for the															
business and its employees	62	8.2	84	-	32	-	100	-	70	-	19	-	56	-	
Reduced compliance costs	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	
Negative impact for business															
(productivity and employment															
relations issues)	12	-	16	-	8	-	0	-	30	-	12	-	0	-	
Any other impacts (Specify)	2	-	0	-	5	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	14	-	
Don't know	1	-	0	-	3	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	9	-	
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring (n=30).

Table 76:

Q63. In the last 12 months, which of the following sources, if any, have you used to find out about minimum employment rights and obligations? READ. CODE MANY

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1519		681		838		305		376		349		489	
Weighted base=	177694	+/-	158706	+/-	18987	+/-	109740	+/-	48967	+/-	12569	+/-	6419	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Ministry of Business, Innovation and														
Employment or MBIE	34	3.8	33	4.3	47	4.3	29	5.6	43	6.0	44	5.9	54	4.8
Other government agencies	20	3.2	19	3.6	24	3.8	17	4.6	25	5.4	24	5.3	24	4.2
Unions	3	1.0	2	-	13	3.0	1	-	3	1.9	12	4.1	16	3.6
Professional bodies or industry and trade														
associations	26	3.4	23	3.7	46	4.3	19	4.8	34	5.7	45	6.0	48	4.8
Lawyers or accountants	29	3.6	27	4.0	38	4.2	23	5.1	36	5.9	35	5.8	44	4.8
Colleagues, friends or family	19	3.3	19	3.7	17	3.3	19	4.9	20	5.0	17	4.7	16	3.6
General media	15	2.8	14	3.1	22	3.6	14	4.1	15	4.2	22	5.0	20	3.9
Human Resources														
consultants	3	1.4	3	1.6	2	-	3	2.2	2	-	2	-	1	-
Any other source? (Specify)	4	1.6	4	1.8	6	2.0	4	2.4	4	2.4	6	2.9	5	2.0
None	22	3.6	23	4.0	11	2.8	28	5.5	12	3.9	12	3.9	9	2.7
Don't know	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	2	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q63 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 77:

Q64. Thinking about MBIE's information on minimum employment rights and obligations, how useful was this information? Would you say:	READ
--	------

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	646*		238		408		85		153		147		261	
Weighted base=	59391*	+/-	50583	+/-	8807	+/-	30204	+/-	20379	+/-	5392	+/-	3415	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	36	6.5	36	7.6	35	6.0	35	11.1	38	9.3	36	8.9	33	6.3
Useful	59	6.7	59	7.8	59	6.1	61	11.3	57	9.4	57	9.1	63	6.4
Not useful	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	3	2.3
Not at all useful	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	0	-
Don't know	2	-	2	-	3	2.2	1	-	2	-	4	3.6	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who used MBIE to find out about minimum employment rights and obligations in the last 12 months (n=653). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q64 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 78:

Q65. The last few questions are about employers' recruitment practices around employing migrants. Does your business currently have any recent migrant employees on staff? By recent migrants we mean someone who was not born in New Zealand and who has arrived in New Zealand in the last five years.

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	1525*		682		843		306		376		353		490	
Weighted base=	177842	+/-	158783	+/-	19060	+/-	109868	+/-	48915	+/-	12636	+/-	6424	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	25	3.0	21	3.3	61	4.2	12	4.0	39	6.0	54	6.0	75	4.2
No	73	3.2	78	3.5	37	4.2	86	4.3	59	6.1	44	6.0	22	4.0
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	3	1.8
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q65 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 79:

Q66. And in the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants?

Unweighted hase=	Total		1-19 employees 680		20+ employees 842		1 - 5 306		6 - 19 374		20 - 49 352		50+ 490	
Weighted base=	177520	+/-	158486	+/-	19034	+/-	109868	+/-	48618	+/-	12611	+/-	6424	+/-
5	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	21	2.8	17	3.1	52	4.2	11	3.8	30	5.6	44	5.9	68	4.5
No	78	3.0	82	3.3	45	4.2	88	4.0	68	5.7	55	6.0	27	4.3
Don't know	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	5	2.2
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q66 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 80:

Q67. For what particular reasons did your business choose to employ a recent migrant? CODE MANY. PROBE FULLY

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	647*		146		501		33		113		165		336	
Weighted base=	37090*	+/-	27174	+/-	9916	+/-	12435	+/-	14739	+/-	5534	+/-	4381	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
They were the best candidate	49	5.4	44	7.1	60	5.4	27	10.1	59	10.1	57	8.7	64	5.5
Their skills/qualifications	47	7.3	43	9.8	56	5.5	35	16.8	50	11.0	51	8.7	63	5.5
Their experience	35	6.7	33	8.9	39	5.0	23	14.5	42	11.0	32	7.8	48	5.8
Fresh perspective	6	3.0	6	3.9	6	2.8	4	5.4	7	5.7	6	4.4	6	2.9
Strong work ethic/good worker	29	6.3	30	8.4	25	4.5	34	14.3	27	9.7	24	7.1	26	4.9
Overseas contacts/networks	2	-	3	2.7	2	-	2	-	3	3.5	0	-	3	2.0
They speak a language other														
than English	9	4.9	10	6.6	5	2.4	12	11.9	9	6.8	4	3.6	7	3.1
Many of our customers are also														
migrants	4	2.9	4	4.0	3	1.8	4	6.7	5	4.7	3	2.6	4	2.4
To be able to pay lower														
salary/wages	2	-	3	4.0	1	-	4	8.0	2	-	2	-	1	-
Their personality/they seemed														
like they would be a 'good fit'	24		24	6.4	20		22	0.0	20	0.0	24	- 4	20	
for the business	21	4.6	21	6.1	20	4.5	23	8.6	20	8.6	21	7.1	20	4.7
willing to do job	20	E /	21	7 2	17	20	22	11 0	21	07	1.4	ΕQ	21	4 5
Difficulty biring New Zealanders	20	5.4	21	1.2	17	5.0	22	11.0	21	0.7	14	5.0	21	4.5
for job due to skill shortage	35	6.8	22	9.0	40	53	29	15.6	36	10.2	36	84	45	5.8
No/not many New Zealand-born	55	0.0	55	5.0		5.5	23	13.0	50	10.2	50	0.1	13	5.0
candidates applied	16	5.8	18	7.8	12	3.2	17	13.5	18	8.8	13	5.3	11	3.0
Recommendation / worked for														
us before / good experiences														
with this ethnicity	3	3.1	5	4.3	0	-	6	7.8	4	4.3	0	-	0	-
Business had short-														
term/seasonal labour needs /														
migrant was available	3	2.3	4	3.1	2	-	3	4.4	4	4.4	2	-	1	-
Other (Specify)	4	3.6	4	4.8	3	2.3	8	10.4	1	-	4	4.0	2	-
No reason (No particular														
preference for migrant vs.														
New Zealander)	5	4.1	6	5.5	1	-	12	12.1	1	-	2	-	1	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months (n=649). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q67 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 81:

Q68. On the whole, how would you rate your migrant employees on their overall job performance? READ

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	738*		179		559		38		141		191		368	
Weighted base=	44409*	+/-	32850	+/-	11559	+/-	13652	+/-	19197	+/-	6772	+/-	4787	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very good	61	6.7	62	8.9	56	5.2	59	16.7	64	9.6	60	8.0	50	5.6
Good	32	6.5	31	8.6	35	5.0	35	16.5	28	9.0	32	7.6	39	5.4
Neither good nor														
poor	5	2.6	4	3.3	7	2.7	5	6.5	3	3.4	7	4.1	7	2.8
Poor	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Very poor	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months (n=741). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q68 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 82:

Q69. And why do you say that? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER

	Total		1-19		20+		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base-	667*		165		502		25		120		175		227	
Weighted base-	41002*	. /	20564	. /	10519	. /	12022	. /	17641	. /	175	. /	327	. /
weighted base=	41082	+/-	30504	+/-	10218	+/-	12923	+/-	1/041	+/-	0255	+/-	4203	+/-
They are able to share their	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0
ovporionce and skills	24	6 9	22	0.0	20	55	11	17/	25	0 0	40	00	25	5.6
They have skills and experience not	54	0.0	33	9.0	50	5.5	44	17.4	25	0.0	40	0.5	22	5.0
available in New Zealand	22	6 1	25	0 E	15	26	20	16 1	22	0 0	10	E 1	22	4.0
They perform at a level higher than	22	0.4	25	0.5	15	5.0	29	10.1	22	0.0	10	5.1	22	4.9
ovposted	24	ΕQ	25	76	22	11	22	12 5	20	0 0	20	6 1	24	5.0
They perform at a superior level to	24	5.0	25	7.0	22	4.1	22	15.5	20	0.0	20	0.1	24	5.0
the other employees	0	2 /	0	15	0	20	0	01	0	51	0	12	17	2 2
They don't have English language	0	5.4	0	4.5	9	2.0	0	0.1	0	5.1	0	4.2	12	5.2
nrohloms	22	6 1	24	01	17	12	20	155	20	01	10	65	15	12
They have no problems with cultural	22	0.1	24	0.1	17	4.2	25	15.5	20	0.1	10	0.5	15	4.5
differences/fit in with the team	27	65	29	87	20	11	31	16.3	27	Q 1	22	67	18	11
They have transforable skills	27	0.J E 0	25	7.6	20	 	27	12 5	27	0.1	22	70	10	
They have prior work experience in	27	5.0	25	7.0	22	5.2	27	15.5	23	0.0	51	7.0	40	5.7
New Zoaland	0	2 /	0	11	11	2.2	2	5.0	11	6.2	7	16	17	1 1
They have the right attitude/are	9	5.4	0	4.4	11	5.5	5	5.5	11	0.5	,	4.0	17	4.4
willing to loarn/good work														
ethic/perform above & beyond														
their role	58	6.6	57	86	62	55	46	15.8	64	95	62	8 /	62	57
They have the skills and experience	50	0.0	57	0.0	02	5.5	40	15.0	04	5.5	02	0.4	02	5.7
required (in general)	41	70	29	92	48	56	35	16.9	42	10.0	46	85	51	5.8
They have other language (non-	71	7.0	35	5.2	40	5.0	55	10.5	72	10.0	40	0.5	51	5.0
Fnglish) skills	13	5.4	16	7.2	6	2.4	19	13.5	14	7.6	4	3.2	8	3.3
Their work is very good quality	45	7.0	16	9.2	42	5 5	45	16.6	47	10.3	/1	83	11	5.8
They do what is required/fulfil that	45	7.0	40	5.2	72	5.5	45	10.0	-7	10.5	71	0.5		5.0
role	52	63	55	83	46	55	66	13.8	46	10.2	42	84	51	5.8
Their good performance is noted by	52	0.5	55	0.5	40	5.5	00	15.0	40	10.2	72	0.4	51	5.0
others/appraisals/referred by	28	65	29	86	24	48	32	16 3	27	8.8	25	73	23	5.0
Other (Specify)	7	2 2		<u>л</u> л	5	2 5	9	7 9	6	5.0	5	3.8	5	27
No reason	,	5.5	, 0	7.7	0	2.5	0	1.5	0	5.0	0	5.0	1	2.7
	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Other (Specify) No reason Don't know Refused	7 0 0 0	3.3 - -	7 0 0 0	4.4 - -	5 0 0 0	2.5	9 0 0 0	7.9 - -	6 0 0 0	5.0 - - -	5 0 0 0	3.8 - - -	5 1 0 0	2.7

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who rated their recent migrant employees overall job performance as good or very good (n=667).

Table 83:

Q70. In the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants who have subsequently left the business?

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 647* 37037* %	+/- %	1-19 employees 145 27111 %	+/- %	20+ employees 502 9926 %	+/- %	1 - 5 33 12435 %	+/- %	6 - 19 112 14676 %	+/- %	20 - 49 165 5534 %	+/- %	50+ 337 4392 %	+/- %
Yes	49	7.0	50	9.3	45	5.3	55	16.1	46	10.4	39	8.3	51	5.8
No	50	7.0	50	9.3	52	5.4	45	16.1	54	10.4	58	8.5	45	5.7
Don't know	1	-	0	-	3	2.0	0	-	0	-	3	3.1	4	2.1
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months (n=649). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q70 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 84:

Q71. Thinking now about the migrant who left your business most recently, for what particular reasons did they leave? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER

	Total		1-19 employees		20+ employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	316*		69		247		20**		49		73		174	
Weighted base=	18019*	+/-	13616	+/-	4403	+/-	6855 **	+/-	6761	+/-	2166	+/-	2237	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
They got a job with another														
employer in New Zealand	42	9.4	41	12.2	45	7.4	29	-	53	16.0	39	12.6	51	8.0
Returned to their country of														
origin	29	8.4	29	10.9	30	7.1	21	-	38	14.6	31	12.5	29	7.1
Moved to Australia	10	5.5	10	7.1	11	5.0	4	-	17	12.0	10	8.4	12	5.4
Moved to a different														
country other than														
Australia	1	-	1	-	4	2.4	0	-	1	-	0	-	8	4.6
Moved to a different														
town/city in New Zealand														
for reasons other than														
work	13	6.8	13	8.9	12	4.5	12	-	14	11.7	8	6.7	17	6.0
Took up study	7	3.7	5	4.7	11	4.3	0	-	11	9.5	5	6.2	16	6.0
Not suitable for the job/not														
happy with performance	14	7.7	14	10.1	15	5.9	20	-	8	9.0	18	10.8	12	5.0
Contract ended	12	6.6	12	8.6	14	5.1	16	-	7	7.5	13	8.5	15	5.9
Redundancy	6	4.1	7	5.4	3	2.2	4	-	9	7.9	2	-	5	3.9
Health reasons	4	3.6	4	4.7	4	2.9	0	-	8	9.5	4	4.8	5	3.1
Left to set up their own														
business	3	3.0	3	3.9	2	-	4	-	1	-	3	4.7	1	-
Could not renew work visa	11	3.6	10	4.4	14	5.4	10	-	9	4.7	15	9.2	14	5.7
Low salary/wages	4	3.3	4	4.2	4	3.3	4	-	4	3.9	5	6.1	3	2.6
Didn't fit into New Zealand														
workplace culture	5	4.0	5	5.3	2	-	4	-	7	7.4	1	-	3	2.4
Family reasons	11	5.8	11	7.6	12	4.2	4	-	18	13.3	8	5.9	17	5.9
To continue travelling	15	7.1	15	9.2	13	5.6	27	-	4	3.6	16	10.3	11	4.6
Other (Specify)	3	3.4	3	4.4	3	1.9	0	-	7	8.9	0	-	6	3.8
No reason	0	-	0	-	0		0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	-		•		-		1 ~		5		-		-	
	3	4.4	3	5.8	2	-	6	-	0	-	1	-	2	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants who subsequently left in the last 12 months (n=317). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q71 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 85:

Q72A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	724*		176		548		37		139		186		362	
Weighted base=	43597*	+/-	32284	+/-	11314	+/-	13207	+/-	19076	+/-	6627	+/-	4687	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	17	5.4	18	7.2	15	3.7	28	15.6	11	5.5	14	5.7	17	3.9
Useful	40	6.4	40	8.5	41	5.3	35	14.9	43	9.9	40	8.1	41	5.5
Not useful	28	5.8	28	7.6	28	4.9	21	12.7	33	9.4	29	7.5	26	5.0
Don't know	14	4.9	13	6.4	16	3.9	16	13.2	11	5.8	16	6.1	15	3.9
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Websites or services (e.g. webinars) connecting your business to prospective migrants

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 17 non-responses to Q72A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 86:

Q72B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Direct contact with Immigration NZ providing advice on immigration policy and process

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	724*		173		551		37		136		187		364	
Weighted base=	43389*	+/-	32081	+/-	11309	+/-	13207	+/-	18873	+/-	6603	+/-	4706	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	19	5.3	18	7.0	24	4.1	16	13.0	19	7.6	19	6.1	30	5.1
Useful	39	6.7	40	8.9	35	5.0	37	16.4	43	10.0	33	7.6	39	5.4
Not useful	23	5.6	22	7.3	24	4.8	28	14.2	19	7.5	29	7.6	17	4.3
Don't know	17	5.2	17	6.9	17	4.0	19	13.3	16	7.2	18	6.2	14	3.8
Refused	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	3	3.7	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 17 non-responses to Q72B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 87:

Q72C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Support for employers at overseas job fairs

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	706*		167		539		35		132		184		355	
Weighted base=	41876*	+/-	30759	+/-	11116	+/-	12328	+/-	18432	+/-	6542	+/-	4574	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	7	3.2	7	4.2	8	2.7	6	7.7	8	4.9	6	3.8	12	3.6
Useful	27	6.1	27	8.1	27	4.8	36	16.2	20	8.1	27	7.4	27	5.0
Not useful	42	6.4	44	8.5	37	5.2	35	14.9	50	10.2	36	8.0	39	5.6
Don't know	22	5.5	20	7.3	27	4.8	23	13.9	19	7.9	31	7.6	22	4.5
Refused	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	4	3.8	1	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q72C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 88:

Q72D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Information on migrant behaviour and needs

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	710*		170		540		36		134		183		357	
Weighted base=	42388*	+/-	31306	+/-	11082	+/-	12773	+/-	18533	+/-	6475	+/-	4607	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	7	3.2	7	4.2	8	2.6	8	8.2	7	4.3	6	3.7	11	3.4
Useful	44	6.7	48	8.8	33	5.1	51	16.4	45	9.7	31	7.8	35	5.3
Not useful	29	5.8	28	7.7	34	5.2	21	13.1	32	9.3	36	8.0	32	5.3
Don't know	18	5.0	16	6.6	25	4.6	20	13.3	14	6.4	27	7.2	21	4.5
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q72D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 89:

Q72E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	714*		171		543		37		134		185		358	
Weighted base=	42928*	+/-	31751	+/-	11177	+/-	13219	+/-	18533	+/-	6561	+/-	4616	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	10	3.8	11	5.1	9	2.5	12	9.8	10	5.1	5	3.3	13	3.8
Useful	38	6.6	39	8.8	34	5.1	39	15.9	39	9.8	32	7.8	37	5.4
Not useful	32	6.0	31	7.9	33	5.1	28	13.3	34	9.6	34	7.8	31	5.3
Don't know	19	5.0	17	6.6	24	4.7	20	13.0	15	6.4	28	7.4	17	4.1
Refused	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	3	3.8	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Specialist advice on settlement services for migrant employees

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q72E in the paper questionnaire.

Table 90:

Q73A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

Information for new migrant employees about cultural differences in the workplace

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	718*		171		547		36		135		185		362	
Weighted base=	42656*	+/-	31377	+/-	11279	+/-	12762	+/-	18615	+/-	6595	+/-	4684	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	13	4.6	12	6.1	14	3.3	13	12.0	12	6.1	10	4.7	20	4.5
Useful	45	6.2	44	8.2	46	5.3	43	14.1	45	9.9	44	8.2	49	5.6
Not useful	26	5.9	28	7.9	22	4.6	30	14.2	26	9.0	26	7.3	17	4.2
Don't know	15	4.6	14	6.0	17	4.2	13	11.1	15	6.7	19	6.7	13	3.7
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 23 non-responses to Q73A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 91:

Q73B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	718*		171		547		36		135		185		362	
Weighted base=	42656*	+/-	31377	+/-	11279	+/-	12762	+/-	18615	+/-	6595	+/-	4684	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	16	5.1	16	6.8	14	3.5	24	14.3	11	6.0	11	5.1	18	4.3
Useful	45	6.9	45	9.1	43	5.2	43	17.1	47	10.0	38	7.9	49	5.6
Not useful	22	5.7	22	7.6	23	4.7	20	13.9	23	8.4	27	7.4	17	4.4
Don't know	16	4.7	15	6.2	19	4.5	13	11.3	17	7.1	23	7.2	14	3.8
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Information for migrant employees and businesses about overcoming communication challenges in the workplace

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 23 non-responses to Q73B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 92:

Q73C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

Information for new migrant employees about working in your industry

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	719*		170		549		36		134		187		362	
Weighted base=	42500*	+/-	31138	+/-	11362	+/-	12762	+/-	18376	+/-	6688	+/-	4674	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	14	4.3	13	5.8	17	4.0	11	10.0	14	6.8	17	6.1	18	4.2
Useful	49	6.9	51	9.2	42	5.0	60	17.2	45	10.0	36	7.6	49	5.6
Not useful	18	4.7	16	6.2	24	4.8	9	9.3	22	8.3	28	7.6	18	4.4
Don't know	18	5.5	18	7.3	16	4.1	20	14.3	17	7.3	18	6.4	14	3.8
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 22 non-responses to Q73C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 93:

Q73D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	717*		171		546		36		135		185		361	
Weighted base=	42469*	+/-	31201	+/-	11268	+/-	12762	+/-	18439	+/-	6595	+/-	4674	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	13	4.0	11	5.3	17	3.8	9	9.0	13	6.5	15	5.6	21	4.6
Useful	50	6.6	51	8.8	48	5.2	55	15.9	48	10.1	46	7.9	50	5.6
Not useful	18	5.3	18	7.0	19	4.3	13	12.2	21	8.4	21	6.8	15	4.2
Don't know	18	5.2	18	7.0	16	4.1	23	13.9	15	6.9	18	6.6	12	3.6
Refused	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	3	3.0	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Information for new migrant employees about living in New Zealand

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q73D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 94:

Q73E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

Information about learning English language skills for the workplace

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	710*		170		540		36		134		186		354	
Weighted base=	42287*	+/-	31119	+/-	11168	+/-	12762	+/-	18357	+/-	6620	+/-	4548	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	19	5.5	19	7.4	18	3.7	24	15.2	15	6.7	15	5.4	23	4.6
Useful	36	6.7	36	8.9	37	5.1	38	16.6	34	9.7	33	7.8	44	5.6
Not useful	27	6.1	27	8.1	25	4.9	22	14.2	31	9.5	30	7.6	18	4.6
Don't know	17	5.1	16	6.8	18	4.3	16	12.7	17	7.3	21	6.8	14	3.9
Refused	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	3	3.1	1	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q73E in the paper questionnaire.

Table 95:

Q73F. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	706*		170		536		36		134		184		352	
Weighted base=	42228*	+/-	31138	+/-	11090	+/-	12762	+/-	18376	+/-	6576	+/-	4514	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	15	4.3	14	5.7	17	3.8	15	10.7	13	6.2	15	5.6	19	4.4
Useful	40	6.9	40	9.1	40	5.3	39	16.9	41	10.0	37	8.1	45	5.7
Not useful	24	6.0	25	7.9	22	4.6	23	14.2	27	9.1	25	7.3	17	4.2
Don't know	19	5.3	19	7.0	21	4.5	24	13.9	15	6.9	23	7.0	18	4.4
Refused	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	4	3.9	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Support for partners of your migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q73F in the paper questionnaire.

Table 96:

Q74A. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided information about cultural differences in the workplace

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	728*		176		552		37		139		189		363	
Weighted base=	43724*	+/-	32303	+/-	11421	+/-	13207	+/-	19096	+/-	6729	+/-	4692	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	36	6.2	37	8.3	31	4.8	50	15.8	28	8.7	31	7.3	32	5.0
No	32	5.7	30	7.5	39	5.3	18	11.6	38	9.8	40	8.1	38	5.5
Not applicable/did														
not need to	30	6.1	31	8.1	26	4.7	30	14.9	32	9.0	26	7.2	25	4.9
Don't know	2	-	2	-	3	1.9	2	-	2	-	3	2.8	5	2.3
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q74A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 97:

Q74B. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided information about living in New Zealand

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	729*		177		552		37		140		188		364	
Weighted base=	43742*	+/-	32366	+/-	11376	+/-	13207	+/-	19159	+/-	6661	+/-	4715	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	44	6.7	45	8.8	41	5.2	55	16.6	38	9.6	41	8.1	42	5.5
No	29	6.1	27	8.1	32	5.0	23	14.8	30	9.0	32	7.7	32	5.2
Not applicable/did														
not need to	25	5.7	26	7.5	23	4.5	23	13.2	29	8.9	24	7.0	21	4.7
Don't know	2	-	1	-	4	1.9	0	-	2	-	3	2.9	5	2.3
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 12 non-responses to Q74B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 98:

Q74C. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided or allowed time to learn English language skills for the workplace

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	729*		177		552		37		140		188		364	
Weighted base=	43741*	+/-	32366	+/-	11375	+/-	13207	+/-	19159	+/-	6669	+/-	4706	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	26	6.4	29	8.5	20	3.9	37	16.9	23	8.5	19	6.0	22	4.2
No	31	5.2	29	6.8	35	5.1	12	9.0	41	9.7	35	7.8	34	5.3
Not applicable/did														
not need to	41	6.5	41	8.6	41	5.3	51	16.7	34	8.8	43	8.2	38	5.4
Don't know	2	-	1	-	4	2.0	0	-	2	-	3	2.9	5	2.3
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 12 non-responses to Q74C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 99:

Q74D. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	725*		176		549		37		139		187		362	
Weighted base=	43629*	+/-	32303	+/-	11326	+/-	13207	+/-	19096	+/-	6644	+/-	4682	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	23	5.6	24	7.4	21	3.9	27	14.3	21	7.8	18	5.8	26	4.9
No	38	5.5	36	7.2	42	5.3	34	10.4	38	9.7	44	8.2	40	5.5
Not applicable/did														
not need to	36	6.5	37	8.6	32	5.1	39	16.0	36	9.4	35	7.9	29	5.1
Don't know	3	2.0	3	2.6	4	2.2	0	-	4	4.4	4	3.4	5	2.4
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Supported partners of migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 16 non-responses to Q74D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 100:

Q74E. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided closer supervision on the job, e.g. a 'buddy' or mentor

			1-19		20+									
	Total		employees		employees		1 - 5		6 - 19		20 - 49		50+	
Unweighted base=	731*		177		554		37		140		189		365	
Weighted base=	43781*	+/-	32366	+/-	11415	+/-	13207	+/-	19159	+/-	6686	+/-	4729	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	61	6.8	60	8.9	63	5.2	60	16.9	59	9.7	62	8.0	66	5.3
No	14	4.2	14	5.6	13	3.6	9	8.2	17	7.5	13	5.6	14	3.8
Not applicable/did														
not need to	22	5.9	24	7.9	19	4.5	28	15.6	21	7.8	22	7.0	14	4.2
Don't know	3	2.3	3	3.0	4	2.0	3	6.1	2	-	3	2.8	6	2.7
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q74E in the paper questionnaire.

4.3 Tables by business industry

Table 101:

Q1. First of all, does your organisation operate from more than one site in New Zealand?

Lipweighted base-	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Weighted base-	170007	. /	21476	. /	12225	. /	10092	. /	212	. /	10422	. /	70040	. /
weighted base=	1/800/	+/-	21470	+/-	12325	+/-	19982	+/-	33945	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	32	3.7	26	8.7	21	5.7	30	10.9	35	8.8	33	7.8	34	6.3
No	68	3.7	74	8.7	79	5.7	70	10.9	65	8.8	67	7.8	66	6.3
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q1 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 102:

Q2. How many employees are employed at the business site you currently work from? Please include full-time and part-time employees but do not include contractors or the business owners.

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	1523		173		260		219		212		252		407	
Weighted base=	178090	+/-	21558	+/-	12325	+/-	19982	+/-	33945	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
1-5	62	-	78	-	50	-	72	-	54	-	52	-	62	-
6 – 9	12	1.7	7	2.5	15	3.9	7	2.9	17	4.9	11	3.1	12	2.9
10 – 19	15	1.7	11	2.5	17	3.9	14	2.9	20	4.9	20	3.1	14	2.9
20 – 49	7	0.0	3	-	12	-	5	-	7	-	10	0.3	8	-
50 – 99	2	-	1	-	3	0.6	1	-	1	-	5	0.8	2	-
100 or more	2	-	0	-	3	0.6	1	-	2	-	3	0.8	2	-
No employees	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q2 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 103:

X2. Business size (collapsed - 4 categories)

					Retail Trade,		
		Agriculture, Forestry			Accommodation &	Health Care & Social	
	Total	& Fishing	Manufacturing	Construction	Food Services	Assistance	Other
Unweighted base=	1528	174	262	220	212	253	407
Weighted base=	178494	21837	12396	19998	33945	10470	79848
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
1 - 5	62	78	50	72	54	52	62
6 - 19	27	18	32	22	36	31	27
20 - 49	7	3	12	5	7	10	8
50+	4	1	6	2	3	7	4
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

X2 – Business Size is a constructed variable based on Q2: values for non-respondents to Q2 were derived from sample data.

Table 104:

Region (from sample)

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1528		174		262		220		212		253		407	
Weighted base=	178494	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Northland	4	1.6	6	4.9	3	3.0	5	6.0	6	4.6	4	3.3	2	-
Auckland	26	3.6	9	5.9	31	7.4	14	8.3	30	8.8	29	8.4	31	6.6
Waikato	8	2.2	11	6.4	9	4.6	5	4.5	10	5.9	8	5.2	8	3.7
Bay of Plenty	5	1.8	8	5.5	7	4.4	4	4.4	4	4.0	6	3.7	5	3.0
Gisborne	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-
Hawke's Bay	4	1.4	7	4.6	5	3.7	0	-	3	3.2	4	3.3	4	2.4
Taranaki	4	1.5	3	3.6	4	3.7	3	4.3	3	3.6	4	4.0	4	2.6
Manawatu-														
Whanganui	8	2.3	13	7.1	3	2.7	8	7.1	5	4.5	9	5.8	8	4.0
Wellington	9	2.5	1	-	8	4.6	16	9.6	6	3.9	11	6.3	12	4.6
Tasman	1	-	3	2.9	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Nelson	1	-	0	-	3	3.0	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Marlborough	2	-	4	3.6	3	3.0	1	-	2	-	3	4.0	1	-
West Coast	2	-	3	3.5	1	-	3	4.3	2	-	2	-	1	-
Canterbury	15	2.9	18	7.8	14	5.3	25	11.1	11	6.0	12	5.5	14	4.7
Otago	6	2.0	4	3.7	6	4.1	9	7.2	7	5.3	4	3.2	6	3.3
Southland	5	1.8	7	5.4	1	-	6	6.0	7	5.0	2	-	4	2.8
Other	0	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 105:

Q3. And for how long has your business been operating in New Zealand? READ IF NECESSARY

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1523*		173		260		219		212		252		407	
Weighted base=	178090	+/-	21558	+/-	12325	+/-	19982	+/-	33945	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Less than 2														
years	3	1.5	1	-	2	-	2	-	9	5.7	2	-	1	-
2 to less than 6														
years	15	3.2	6	4.5	15	6.4	13	8.3	21	8.2	12	6.4	17	5.6
6 to less than 10														
years	15	3.1	23	8.9	12	5.4	14	9.0	15	6.9	5	3.4	15	5.2
10 years or														
more	66	4.0	69	9.5	71	7.7	71	11.6	54	9.5	79	7.5	67	6.8
Don't know	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q3 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 106:

Q4. The next few questions are about health and safety systems and practices in your business. Who provides health and safety expertise and leadership in your business? CODE MANY. PROBE TO NO

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade, Accom		Health Care &			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Eood		Sory		Other	
Unweighted baca-	1527		174		262		220		212		3510		406	
Onweighted base-	170220	. /	1/4	. /	202	. /	220	. /	212	. /	200	. /	70500	. /
weighted base=	1/8230	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79590	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Management or the business														
owner	79	3.3	90	6.0	81	6.2	78	10.3	83	7.4	67	8.7	76	5.9
Your Human Resources														
Department	7	1.9	3	1.7	4	1.9	5	4.7	3	2.1	7	3.6	10	3.9
A health and safety specialist														
within the business	10	2.1	6	4.4	14	4.3	11	5.0	7	3.9	13	4.8	12	3.9
Head office	6	1.8	0	-	2	-	7	6.1	14	5.5	7	4.4	6	2.8
Employee health and safety reps or a Health and Safety														
Committee	16	2.5	8	4.0	18	3.8	15	6.5	10	4.7	29	7.9	19	4.8
A contracted health and safety specialist from outside the														
business	10	2.1	9	4.8	16	5.3	22	10.7	4	3.0	8	5.2	10	3.4
An industry group or association	5	1.5	7	4.6	7	3.5	9	6.3	5	3.0	2	-	4	2.4
Any other provider (Specify)	4	1.6	6	4.9	1	-	2	-	3	3.2	6	4.4	5	3.1
No one	3	1.6	1	-	5	4.1	0	-	5	4.9	1	-	3	2.8
Don't know	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q4 in the paper questionnaire.
Table 107:

Q5. If you wanted more expert advice for your business on health and safety than you currently receive, do you know where you would get this advice from?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1527*		17/		262		220		211		252		407	
Dase-	1527		1/4		202		220		211		200		407	
Weighted base=	178049	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10470	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	83	3.3	81	8.2	88	5.8	85	9.6	84	7.4	86	6.9	82	5.7
No	12	2.9	11	6.4	6	4.0	15	9.5	12	6.6	8	5.2	12	4.9
Don't know	5	1.9	8	5.9	5	4.1	0	-	4	4.1	6	5.0	6	3.5
Refused	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q5 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 108:

Q6. To what extent do owners or directors of this business make decisions about the business's health and safety policies and systems? Would you say: READ

Linusiahted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1527*		174		262		220		212		252		407	
Weighted base=	178456	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
A lot	70	3.8	80	8.2	77	6.7	87	8.3	60	9.5	67	8.7	67	6.6
Somewhat	16	3.0	12	6.4	15	5.6	10	7.3	17	7.1	16	6.2	18	5.2
A little	12	2.8	7	5.4	7	4.3	3	4.3	18	7.8	14	6.9	14	5.0
Not at all	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	3	3.6	2	-	1	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q6 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 109:

Q7. Does your business have a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	4507*		174		262		220		212		252		100	
base=	1527*		1/4		262		220		212		253		406	
Weighted base=	177941	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79295	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	82	3.5	88	7.0	88	5.8	89	8.7	81	7.9	90	6.6	77	6.2
No	15	3.3	11	6.6	12	5.8	7	7.0	17	7.4	10	6.6	20	5.9
Don't know	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	3	3.5	0	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q7 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 110:

Q8. Is this hazard management system documented?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1406*		159		246		214		189		244		354	
Weighted base=	144240*	+/-	18915	+/-	10869	+/-	17395	+/-	27410	+/-	9438	+/-	60213	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	82	3.6	78	9.3	81	7.3	87	9.3	77	9.3	87	7.5	84	6.2
No	17	3.5	19	8.8	19	7.3	13	9.3	22	9.2	13	7.5	15	6.0
Don't know	1	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q8 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 111:

Q9. Does this hazard management system involve having regular meetings to discuss hazards?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	4.40.6*		450		245		245		400		244		055	
base=	1406*		158		245		215		189		244		355	
Weighted base=	144399*	+/-	18636	+/-	10806	+/-	17828	+/-	27410	+/-	9438	+/-	60281	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	71	4.1	67	10.3	69	8.1	90	8.0	62	10.4	72	9.0	72	7.3
No	27	4.0	28	9.8	31	8.1	10	8.0	36	10.3	27	9.0	26	7.1
Don't know	2	-	3	4.1	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q9 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 112:

Q10. And does it involve having a nominated person who deals with hazard management?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1406*		158		246		215		188		244		355	
Weighted base=	144410*	+/-	18636	+/-	10869	+/-	17828	+/-	27358	+/-	9438	+/-	60281	+/-
-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	80	3.6	75	9.4	80	7.2	87	8.3	72	9.7	86	7.3	82	6.2
No	18	3.5	23	9.2	19	7.1	13	8.3	25	9.4	14	7.3	15	5.8
Don't know	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q10 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 113:

Q11. When a new hazard is identified or an existing hazard changes, is this recorded in the system?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base-	1/08*		150		246		215		120		244		255	
Dase-	1400		133		240		215		105		244		222	
Weighted base=	144741*	+/-	18915	+/-	10869	+/-	17828	+/-	27410	+/-	9438	+/-	60281	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	79	3.8	80	8.9	79	7.5	80	11.1	75	9.5	84	8.0	80	6.6
No	19	3.7	17	8.3	20	7.3	20	11.1	19	8.8	13	7.6	19	6.5
Don't know	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	6	5.0	2	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards (n=1413). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q11 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 114:

Q7_8_11. Proportion of all employers with a documented hazard management system that was actively used ('yes' to Q7, Q8 and 11 out of all employers)

		Agriculture,						Retail Trade,		Health Care &			Agriculture,	
		Forestry &						Accommodation		Social			Forestry &	
	Total	Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	Fishing	
Unweighted														
base=	1520		173		262		219		211		253		402	
Weighted														
base=	176018	+/-	21558	+/-	12396	+/-	19564	+/-	33932	+/-	10470	+/-	78099	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	62	4.1	65	9.8	62	7.8	71	12.1	56	9.5	73	8.8	60	7.0
No	38	4.1	35	9.8	38	7.8	29	12.1	44	9.5	27	8.8	40	7.0
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8 and Q11 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 115:

Q12. Does your business have a documented process for investigating workplace accidents?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1524*		173		261		220		211		253		406	
Weighted base=	177684	+/-	21558	+/-	12333	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10470	+/-	79825	+/-
-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	66	4.0	58	10.0	69	7.8	73	11.8	70	9.0	73	8.8	64	6.9
No	31	4.0	39	9.9	29	7.7	21	11.0	30	9.0	25	8.7	32	6.8
Don't know	2	-	3	3.6	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	2.5
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	7	7.0	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q12 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 116:

Q13. Does your business have health and safety inductions for all new employees?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1524*		173		262		220		211		252		406	
Weighted base=	177591	+/-	21558	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10314	+/-	79825	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	75	3.8	84	7.7	87	5.8	82	10.5	73	8.8	78	8.5	68	6.7
No	24	3.7	14	7.4	13	5.8	14	9.5	25	8.7	20	8.3	30	6.6
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	2	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q13 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 117:

Q14. Is a record kept of every health and safety induction for new employees?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1334*		156		241		210		177		226		324	
Weighted base=	131846*	+/-	18062	+/-	10694	+/-	16347	+/-	24525	+/-	8006	+/-	54213	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	71	4.2	65	10.7	68	8.2	79	11.4	60	10.7	70	9.6	77	7.1
No	24	3.9	31	10.4	30	8.0	21	11.4	29	10.2	28	9.5	19	6.5
Don't know	4	2.0	4	4.3	2	-	0	-	10	6.8	2	-	4	3.5
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has health and safety inductions for all new employees (n=1339). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q14 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 118:

Q13_14. Health and safety inductions for all new employees and records kept (yes to Qs 13 and 14 out of all employers)

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1510		172		261		220		211		250		404	
Weighted base=	177081	+/-	21558	+/-	12268	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10258	+/-	79499	+/-
Weighted Sube	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	53	4.1	54	10.1	59	7.9	65	12.3	44	9.1	55	8.9	53	7.1
No	47	4.1	46	10.1	41	7.9	35	12.3	56	9.1	45	8.9	47	7.1
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Would rather														
not say	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q13.

Table 119:

Aggregate H & S compliance measure 1 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1511*		173		259		219		210		250		400	
Weighted base=	174836*	+/-	21558	+/-	12197	+/-	19564	+/-	33486	+/-	10258	+/-	77773	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	44	4.0	41	9.6	43	7.1	61	12.5	36	8.6	52	8.8	44	7.0
No	51	4.1	55	9.7	55	7.1	34	12.3	57	9.1	46	8.7	51	7.1
Don't know	4	1.7	2	-	2	-	0	-	7	5.0	2	-	5	3.2
Refused	1	-	2	-	0	-	4	5.9	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 120:

Q15. Does your business use any contractors or subcontractors to do any work for the business?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry &		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social		Other	
Unweighted	TOLAI		FISHING		Wanuacturing		construction		& FOOD Services		Assistance		Other	
base=	1526*		174		262		220		211		253		406	
Weighted base=	178026	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10470	+/-	79825	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	63	4.0	74	8.9	60	7.8	77	11.1	51	9.5	68	9.0	62	6.9
No	36	4.0	26	8.9	40	7.8	19	10.2	47	9.5	31	9.0	37	6.9
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q15 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 121:

Q16. Do all your contractors and subcontractors receive a health and safety induction?

Lausichted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1206*		133		207		199		153		201		313	
Weighted base=	112385*	+/-	15880	+/-	7379	+/-	15405	+/-	16935	+/-	7169	+/-	49616	+/-
Ū	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	53	4.9	46	11.6	60	9.5	65	13.7	33	11.7	49	10.5	57	8.5
No	41	4.9	45	11.7	37	9.4	34	13.6	50	12.6	47	10.5	37	8.3
Don't know	6	2.6	8	6.7	3	2.6	1	-	13	9.3	4	2.4	6	4.3
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	3	5.0	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business uses any contractors or subcontractors (n=1207). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q16 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 122:

Q15_16. Proportion of employers with contactors and where all contractors receive H&S inductions (yes to Qs 15 and 16 out of all employers)

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	4505		470		262		220		244		252		100	
base=	1525		1/3		262		220		211		253		406	
Weighted base=	177747	+/-	21558	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10470	+/-	79825	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	33	3.7	34	9.3	35	7.0	50	12.4	17	6.6	33	8.3	35	6.5
No	67	3.7	66	9.3	65	7.0	50	12.4	83	6.6	67	8.3	65	6.5
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Would rather														
not say	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q15.

Table 123:

Aggregate H & S compliance measure 2 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- H&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business).

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1511*		173		259		219		210		250		400	
Weighted base=	174836*	+/-	21558	+/-	12197	+/-	19564	+/-	33486	+/-	10258	+/-	77773	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	36	3.9	30	8.7	38	7.1	52	12.5	28	8.2	38	8.7	36	6.7
No	60	4.0	66	9.0	60	7.1	43	12.6	66	8.7	59	8.7	59	6.9
Don't know	4	1.7	2	-	2	-	1	-	5	4.1	3	1.8	5	3.3
Refused	1	-	2	-	0	-	4	5.9	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 124:

Q17. Does your business have a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	152/1*		172		262		220		211		252		405	
Dase-	1324		175		202		220		211		255		405	
Weighted base=	177724	+/-	21558	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10470	+/-	79802	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	51	4.1	53	10.1	54	7.8	57	12.4	43	9.5	55	8.9	51	7.0
No	46	4.2	43	10.1	44	7.8	41	12.4	52	9.6	44	8.9	45	7.0
Don't know	3	1.4	3	3.6	2	-	0	-	4	4.1	1	-	3	2.4
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q17 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 125:

Aggregate H & S compliance measure 3 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- *H&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business)*
- a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety.

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1510*		172		250		210		210		250		200	
Dase-	1310	,	1/5	,	239	,	219	,	210	,	230	,		,
Weighted base=	174813*	+/-	21558	+/-	12197	+/-	19564	+/-	33486	+/-	10258	+/-	77750	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	30	3.7	28	8.5	34	6.9	44	12.1	22	7.6	31	8.1	30	6.3
No	65	3.9	69	8.7	63	7.0	51	12.4	71	8.3	65	8.2	65	6.7
Don't know	3	1.6	1	-	3	2.0	1	-	5	4.3	3	1.8	4	3.1
Refused	1	-	2	-	0	-	4	5.9	1	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 and Q17 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q7.

Table 126:

Q18. Does your business have any of the following? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1521*		172		261		220		210		253		405	
Weighted hase=	177134	+/-	21476	+/-	12333	+/-	19998	+/-	33054	+/-	10470	+/-	79802	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Elected health and safety representativ	21	2.7	15	6.4	22	6.9	42	12.1	24	77	29	8.0	25	6 5
Informal health and safety representativ	51	3.7	13	0.4		0.8	43	12.1	24	7.7	30	8.0	22	0.5
es A health and safety	35	3.9	42	10.0	31	7.3	44	12.5	29	8.7	37	9.0	34	6.5
committee A regular system for health and safety communicatio	18	2.5	9	3.4	28	5.2	14	5.1	13	4.8	22	5.1	23	4.8
ns Health and safety is included as a regular team	45	4.0	42	9.9	41	7.4	65	12.2	40	9.0	44	8.5	44	6.8
meeting item None of the	55	4.2	52	10.1	56	8.1	66	12.3	51	9.6	52	8.6	54	7.0
above	22	3.6	18	8.2	23	7.2	12	8.8	29	9.0	14	7.4	24	6.3
Don't know	1	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	3	3.6	0	-	2	-	0	-	3	4.0	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q18 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 127:

Q19. In the last 12 months, has your business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1500*		174		261		210		210		252		407	
Dase-	1522		1/4		201		210		210		252		407	
Weighted base=	177550	+/-	21837	+/-	12268	+/-	19903	+/-	33262	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	34	3.6	42	9.9	43	7.7	52	12.6	23	7.6	32	8.3	31	5.9
No	63	3.7	56	10.0	55	7.8	46	12.6	75	7.8	67	8.3	65	6.2
Don't know	2	-	3	3.5	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	3	2.6
Refused	0	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q19 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 128:

Q20. Thinking about the biggest change made to your health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months, why did the business make this change or what prompted this change? CODE MANY. PROBE FOR CLEAR ANSWER

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 747* 60694* %	+/- %	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 80 9080 %	+/- %	Manufacturing 145 5301 %	+/- %	Construction 146 10284 %	+/- %	Retail Trade, Accom and Food 81 7624 %	+/- %	Health Care & Social Serv 96 3376 %	+/- %	Other 199 25030 %	+/- %
An accident/event	8	3.2	16	12.0	3	1.8	7	83	4	6.0	7	8.9	7	4 9
A near miss	5	2.6	3	59	3	1.8	7	83	2	-	3	2.6	6	49
A workplace inspection by a health	5	2.0	5	5.5	3	1.0	,	0.5	-		5	2.0	Ũ	
and safety inspector	6	2.9	7	8.2	24	10.0	1	-	2	-	0	-	6	5.9
A prosecution or other														
enforcement action	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Improvements in industry practice	29	5.7	15	10.4	29	10.1	38	15.8	21	12.6	16	6.0	35	10.8
On-going improvements to your														
workplace health and safety/ to														
improve staff safety in general	60	6.0	44	14.4	62	10.4	61	16.5	42	17.3	60	15.1	70	10.2
To comply with current/anticipating														
future changes to health and														
safety legislation	55	6.1	62	14.9	46	11.1	73	13.7	43	17.3	49	15.1	51	11.1
To reduce ACC levies	12	3.7	12	7.2	14	5.9	20	13.2	9	8.0	6	4.0	11	6.1
Required by client or principal	9	3.9	2	-	6	5.3	23	14.6	4	5.9	1	-	10	6.8
Required by industry association	9	4.0	4	3.3	3	4.6	10	8.8	4	6.1	16	12.2	13	8.5
To address customer/public							_							
concerns	3	1.9	0	-	3	4.6	8	8.6	3	5.8	2	-	2	-
A change in management/Health &														
Safety personnel/business	2	1.0	4	C 1	-	F 2	0		7	107	1		1	
structure or location	3	1.9	4	6.1	5	5.2	0	-	/	10.7	1	-	1	-
Any other reason? (Specify)	4	2.1	2	-	5	6.2	3	2.8	/	10.7	4	3.9	4	3.4
Didn't make any significant changes	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	5.8	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	5.8	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months (n=748). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q20 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 129:

Q21. Do you agree or disagree that your business owners or managers have a good understanding of their obligations under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?

Unusidaded	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1522*		174		261		220		210		251		406	
Weighted base=	177642	+/-	21837	+/-	12371	+/-	19998	+/-	33262	+/-	10393	+/-	79781	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Agree Neither agree	70	3.9	71	9.3	67	7.8	71	11.9	72	8.8	67	9.1	71	6.5
nor disagree	17	3.1	22	8.4	21	6.8	9	7.2	17	7.2	22	8.2	17	5.3
Disagree	8	2.3	3	3.5	8	4.9	13	9.5	7	5.4	9	6.2	8	3.8
Don't know	4	1.7	4	4.3	3	3.1	2	-	4	4.1	2	-	4	3.0
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	5	5.9	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q21 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 130:

Q22. Do you agree or disagree that government is effective at enforcing obligations for businesses under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1524*		174		261		220		211		251		407	
Weighted base=	177946	+/-	21837	+/-	12371	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10393	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Agree	45	4.1	43	10.0	46	8.1	47	12.5	46	9.5	37	8.4	45	7.0
Neither agree														
nor disagree	26	3.6	24	8.2	29	7.3	25	10.8	29	8.9	35	9.1	25	6.0
Disagree	11	2.7	9	5.7	12	5.4	13	8.9	12	6.5	9	6.2	12	4.5
Don't know	17	3.2	20	8.4	13	5.9	11	8.2	13	6.3	19	7.5	18	5.6
Refused	1	-	3	3.6	0	-	3	4.3	1	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q22 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 131:

Q23. Do you agree or disagree that having good health and safety systems is good for your business?

Laureichte d	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1524*		173		261		220		211		252		407	
Weighted base=	177966	+/-	21818	+/-	12371	+/-	19998	+/-	33500	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Agree	84	3.1	75	8.9	89	4.8	81	10.6	87	6.5	79	8.4	86	5.2
Neither agree														
nor disagree	11	2.6	15	7.2	9	4.6	12	8.9	8	5.0	16	7.7	9	4.2
Disagree	3	1.5	7	5.5	1	-	0	-	3	3.6	3	4.1	3	2.5
Don't know	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q23 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 132:

Q24. The following questions are about some of your business's workplace practices and the impacts of employment legislation. Does the business currently use any self-employed workers who, to your knowledge, only work for your business and do not currently work for any other business? They are sometimes referred to as dependent contractors.

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	. o tui										7.001010100		• • • • •	
base=	1527*		174		262		220		212		252		407	
Weighted base=	178456	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10432	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	11	2.3	13	6.8	8	4.0	16	8.4	4	3.8	18	7.1	11	4.0
No	86	2.8	86	7.1	91	4.0	79	9.8	93	5.3	77	7.6	85	4.7
Don't know	3	1.4	0	-	1	-	1	-	3	3.8	4	3.4	4	2.7
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q24 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 133:

Q25. Which of the following is the main reason for using dependent contractors in this business? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base=	Total 266*		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 23**		Manufacturing 36		Construction 71		Retail Trade, Accom and Food 13**		Health Care & Social Serv 45		Other 78	
Weighted base=	18912*	+/-	2771 **	+/-	995	+/-	3246	+/-	1340 **	+/-	1922	+/-	8637	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Fluctuating demand/seasonal														
work	41	9.5	39	-	40	24.2	76	9.2	22	-	28	19.7	34	17.7
To cover staff														
absence	7	3.8	10	-	3	2.5	3	5.2	2	-	27	19.8	5	3.2
Needing specific														
skills	48	10.0	41	-	75	21.9	21	8.9	97	-	60	21.1	46	18.9
It is typical practice														
in this profession	9	6.7	10	-	0	-	3	5.2	0	-	7	6.2	14	13.2
Preferred or														
requested by														
contractor; suits			_											
their availability	7	5.8	6	-	3	4.6	7	7.1	0	-	11	15.1	9	11.8
Contractors work														
harder/are more	2		0		2		2		0		0		c	44.6
efficient	3	5.3	0	-	3	4.6	0	-	0	-	0	-	6	11.6
Or is there some														
other reason?	2		0		1		2	БЭ	1		2		2	2 5
	2	-	U	-	1	-	3	5.2	T	-	2	-	3	2.5
Don't know	2	-	U	-	0	-	U	-	U	-	0	-	4	5.7
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business was using dependent contractors (n=266).

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 134:

Q26. Do all of your employees have a written employment agreement?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1507*		172		262		220		212		252		407	
Dase-	1527		1/5		202		220		212		255		407	
Weighted base=	178215	+/-	21558	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	91	2.4	86	7.4	88	5.8	82	10.5	94	4.9	99	-	94	3.5
No	7	2.2	12	6.8	12	5.8	11	8.8	3	3.8	1	-	6	3.5
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	3	4.3	3	3.2	0	-	0	-
Refused	1	-	3	3.5	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q26 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 135:

Q27. Are any current employees in this business on casual agreements, with no guarantee of regular continuing work?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1528		174		262		220		212		253		407	
Weighted base=	178494	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	34	3.7	52	10.1	35	7.7	31	11.3	37	9.2	35	7.0	29	5.8
No	64	3.7	47	10.1	65	7.7	65	11.9	62	9.3	65	7.0	70	5.9
Don't know	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 136:

Q28. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on casual agreements? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base=	Total 751*	./	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 100	. /	Manufacturing 108 4202		Construction 98	. /	Retail Trade, Accom and Food 96		Health Care & Social Serv 149		Other 200	
Weighted base-	%	+/- %	%	+/- %	4352 %	+/- %	%	+/- %	12433 %	+/- %	3028 %	+/- %	%	+/- %
Fluctuating demand/seasonal work	73	5.8	81	11.3	71	12.5	77	17.9	68	14.9	66	7.2	73	10.5
To cover staff absence/parental														
leave Needing specific	28	5.3	9	7.2	26	10.3	18	14.2	30	13.2	61	10.0	33	10.7
skills To check people's suitability for the job before considering them	11	4.1	7	6.9	4	4.0	12	13.7	3	3.8	10	8.4	18	9.3
for further work Employee's preference; suits employee	7	3.2	7	6.8	10	7.6	20	17.8	10	10.0	2	-	2	-
availability Or is there some other reason?	7	3.3	3	4.9	9	8.4	6	4.6	9	8.5	4	3.3	8	6.7
(Specify)	3	2.3	6	6.7	3	5.5	1	-	0	-	2	-	5	5.1
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had employees on casual agreements (n=751).

Table 137:

Q29. And are any current employees on fixed-term agreements?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	1524		173		260		220		212		253		406	
Weighted base=	178266	+/-	21830	+/-	12243	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79781	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	20	2.8	25	8.4	12	3.1	13	7.4	16	6.6	25	7.2	23	4.7
No	78	2.9	75	8.4	87	3.1	82	9.1	83	6.8	75	7.2	76	4.8
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	5	5.9	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q29 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 138:

Q30. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on fixed-term agreements? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 577* 36233*	+/-	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 69 5448	+/-	Manufacturing 85 1508	+/-	Construction 72 2533	+/-	Retail Trade, Accom and Food 62 5436	+/-	Health Care & Social Serv 91 2590	+/-	Other 198 18718	+/-
Fluctuating	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
demand/seasonal														
work	57	6.9	65	17.7	55	14.1	46	29.1	35	19.0	20	12.7	68	10.1
To cover staff														
absence	26	6.2	4	4.0	20	9.9	28	28.7	14	10.7	53	12.9	33	10.7
Needing specific														
skills	20	6.1	9	10.1	25	12.2	14	9.7	15	16.6	20	12.6	24	10.0
To check people's suitability for the job before considering them														
for further work	16	59	17	14 0	4	46	5	6.6	39	21.4	12	11.8	12	85
For a specific project/funding limited to a	10	0.0		2.110	·		-							0.0
specific project Or is there some other reason?	5	2.4	3	3.9	8	4.5	2	-	0	-	19	14.5	5	4.0
(Specify)	6	2.6	7	10.1	19	12.1	6	6.8	5	8.2	5	4.4	4	3.1
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	17	27.4	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees on fixed-term agreements (n=577).

Table 139:

Q31. Do any of your current employees have employment agreements requiring them to be available for work with no guarantee of minimum hours of work?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1527*		17/		261		220		212		252		407	
Dase-	1527		1/4		201		220		212		233		407	
Weighted base=	178469	+/-	21837	+/-	12371	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79848	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	10	2.4	18	7.7	4	3.3	8	7.2	13	6.2	10	4.7	9	3.6
No	87	2.7	82	7.8	95	3.3	87	8.9	82	7.1	90	4.7	90	4.1
Don't know	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	4	4.0	0	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	4	5.8	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q31 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 140:

Q32. Which of the following types of employment agreements are these employees on? READ. CODE MANY

									Retail		Health			
			Agriculture,						Trade,		Care &			
			Forestry &						Accom		Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		and Food		Serv		Other	
Unweighted			U		U									
base=	169*		39		12**		15**		27**		38		38	
Weighted hase=	17112*	+/-	3909	+/-	521 **	+/-	1637 **	+/-	4045 **	+/-	1019	+/-	5980	+/-
Weighted base-	1/112	1/-	3909	·/-	521	·/-	1057	·/-	4045	·/-	1019	17-	5980	1/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Casual													_	
agreements	44	11.6	35	21.3	88	-	39	-	41	-	58	24.4	48	21.1
Fixed term														
agreements	8	6.1	11	13.9	5	-	0	-	18	-	1	-	2	-
Permanent part-														
time														
agreements	25	9.0	24	21.0	24	-	0	-	40	-	38	23.8	21	19.3
Permanent full-														
time														
agreements	31	11.4	28	21.2	5	-	62	-	18	-	4	3.3	41	22.9
Any other types	-		-		-		-		-					_
of														
employment														
agreements?														
(Specify)	4	4.1	14	17.8	0	-	0	-	0	-	8	9.2	0	-
Don't know	1	-	0	_	0	-	0	-	6	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	_	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees on agreements requiring them to be available for work with no guarantee of minimum hours of work (n=174). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q32 in the paper questionnaire.

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 141:

Q33. The next questions are about minimum wages and payroll systems. Are any of your employees paid the adult minimum wage of \$14.75 per hour?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1526*		174		261		220		212		253		406	
	170440	. /	24027	. /	12201	. /	220	. /	22045	. /	200	. /	70704	. /
weighted base=	178418	+/-	21837	+/-	12388	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	/9/81	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	22	3.0	24	8.1	16	5.4	13	7.5	51	9.5	18	5.7	13	4.3
No	77	3.1	74	8.6	83	5.5	85	8.4	47	9.6	82	5.7	86	4.5
Don't know	1	-	3	3.5	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q33 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 142:

Q34. Thinking about all of your current employees aged 20 years or over, are any of them required by their employment agreement to undertake recognised industry training of at least 60 credits a year?

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 1525 178349 %	+/- %	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 174 21837	+/-	Manufacturing 262 12396 %	+/-	Construction 220 19998 ∞	+/- %	Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services 212 33945 %	+/- %	Health Care & Social Assistance 252 10460	+/- %	Other 405 79713	+/- %
Ves	10	23	10	6.0	5	3.0	25	10 /	7	/0	16	61	7	3 /
No	87	2.5	87	6.8	92	J.0	72	10.4	88	4.5 6.4	81	63	90	3.4 4.0
Don't know	3	2.7 1 4	2	-	3	3.0	1	-	5	0.4 4 5	4	19	3	4.0 2.4
Refused	0	-	1	_	0	-	2	_	0	-	4 0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q34 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 143:

Q35. Are any of these employees paid the training minimum wage of \$11.80 per hour or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage?

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 224* 17210*	+/-	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 17** 2173 **	+/-	Manufacturing 20** 611 **	+/-	Construction 73 5011	+/-	Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services 21** 2444 **	+/-	Health Care & Social Assistance 57 1639	+/-	Other 36 5332	+/-
-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	7	4.0	1	-	14	19.0	4	4.7	10	15.5	11	7.9	9	9.4
No	88	5.5	73	29.0	86	19.0	95	4.7	80	15.5	86	8.2	91	9.4
Don't know	5	4.3	26	29.0	0	-	0	-	10	15.5	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 20 years or over who were required to undertake recognised industry training of at least 60 credits a year (n=224).

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 144:

Q34_35. All employers who were paying the training minimum wage or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage (yes to Qs 34 and 35)

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1525		174		262		220		212		252		405	
Maightad base-	170240	. /	1/4	. /	12202	. /	10000	. /	212	. /	10460	. /	405	. /
weighted base=	178349	+/-	21837	+/-	12390	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10460	+/-	/9/13	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-
No	99	-	100	-	99	-	99	-	99	-	98	-	99	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Would rather														
not say	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q34 and Q35 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents (n=1528) were asked Q34.

Table 145:

Q36. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the training minimum wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade, Accom		Health Care &			
			Forestry &						and		Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Food		Serv		Other	
Unweighted base=	189*		14**		18**		61		18** 1956		48		30	
Weighted base=	15059*	+/-	1597 **	+/-	523 **	+/-	4772	+/-	**	+/-	1385	+/-	4826	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Unaware of training minimum wage Unclear of criteria for applying the	10	7.7	0	-	3	-	8	6.3	1	-	15	19.4	20	22.4
training minimum wage It is unfair to pay less to employees of	6	6.8	0	-	2	-	4	4.9	0	-	13	19.2	11	20.1
this age who are doing training Administration costs outweigh	52	13.2	54	-	95	-	50	24.4	47	-	29	9.0	59	27.4
potential savings It would impact on our ability to	5	6.6	0	-	0	-	3	3.7	3	-	0	-	11	20.1
attract high quality applicants Employee's	30	11.3	42	-	34	-	43	24.4	11	-	23	9.0	23	22.5
skill/experience/performance/value to the company is worth a higher														
wage Company policy to not pay less than	9	7.8	6	-	6	-	10	16.5	25	-	2	-	5	9.4
the adult minimum wage Or is there some other reason?	14	10.0	5	-	0	-	15	17.4	23	-	16	19.9	14	20.3
(Specify)	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	23	22.0	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had employees required to undertake industry training but were not paid the training minimum wage (n=191). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q36 in the paper questionnaire.

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 146:

Q37. In the last 12 months has your business employed any workers aged 16 to 19 years?

Hausiahaad	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	157/*		174		262		210		211		252		106	
Dase-	1524		1/4		202		219		211		252		400	
Weighted base=	178252	+/-	21837	+/-	12396	+/-	19993	+/-	33932	+/-	10314	+/-	79781	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	35	3.6	47	9.9	31	6.3	34	11.3	58	9.2	21	6.4	24	5.6
No	64	3.7	53	9.9	67	6.3	66	11.3	41	9.2	78	6.4	73	5.9
Don't know	2	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	3	2.1
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q37 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 147:

Q38. Are any of these employees paid the starting out wage of \$11.80 per hour?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	766*		111		127		128		154		87		159	
Weighted base=	61313*	+/-	10250	+/-	3820	+/-	6704	+/-	19609	+/-	2159	+/-	18773	+/-
-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	9	3.7	12	9.1	4	3.7	5	4.2	10	6.6	1	-	9	8.2
No	90	4.0	85	10.2	95	3.7	95	4.3	90	6.6	99	-	88	9.5
Don't know	2	-	3	5.2	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	5.6
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 16 to 19 years (n=769). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 148:

Proportion of all employers who pay the starting out wage of \$11.40 per hour to any employees ('yes' to Q37 and Q38 out of all employers)

Linuxiaktad	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1521*		173		262		219		211		251		405	
Dase-	1321		1/5		202		215		211		231		405	
Weighted base=	177653*	+/-	21830	+/-	12396	+/-	19993	+/-	33932	+/-	10275	+/-	79228	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	3	1.3	6	4.5	1	-	2	-	6	3.9	0	-	2	-
No	95	1.8	93	5.0	97	1.9	98	-	93	4.6	100	-	94	3.2
Don't know	2	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	3	2.5
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q37 and Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 149:

Q39. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the starting out wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accom and Food		Health Care & Social Serv		Other	
Unweighted base=	681°	. /	97	. /	114	. /	110	. /	125	. /	82	. /	147	. /
weighted base=	54503** %	+/-	8728	+/-	3551	+/-	6369	+/-	1/32/	+/-	2079	+/-	16450 %	+/-
No employees in this business meet	/0	/0	70	/0	70	/0	70	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0	/0
the criteria for the starting out wage	14	5.0	8	70	11	87	3	28	22	10.9	6	46	15	10.9
Unaware of the starting out wage	14	43	6	6.6	21	10.7	10	13.1	18	10.5	16	14 1	12	6.2
Unclear of criteria for applying the	14	4.5	Ū	0.0	21	10.7	10	13.1	10	10.1	10	14.1	12	0.2
starting out wage	6	2.5	4	3.4	10	8.9	12	13.3	4	3.8	4	4.1	5	4.4
It is unfair to pay less on the basis of														
age	50	6.7	51	15.1	43	12.4	55	20.8	45	12.3	52	15.4	56	13.8
Administration costs outweigh														
potential savings	3	1.6	2	-	6	5.2	0	-	2	-	0	-	4	4.1
It would impact on our ability to														
attract high quality applicants	27	5.9	36	14.7	25	9.1	33	19.7	19	10.0	29	16.3	29	12.1
Starting out wage is too low/want to														
pay staff more than the minimum														
wage	3	1.9	4	6.4	3	3.9	11	13.1	1	-	4	4.8	1	-
Employee's														
skill/experience/performance/value														
to the company is worth a higher														
wage	5	2.7	16	10.8	4	4.9	4	3.8	6	6.1	0	-	1	-
Or is there some other reason?														
(Specify)	5	2.7	5	6.4	8	5.9	2	-	3	3.7	6	4.3	7	7.2
Don't know	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	4	6.3
Refused	2	-	3	6.1	1	-	7	12.6	3	4.9	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 16 to 19 years, who were not paid the starting out wage (n=692). This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q39 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 150:

Q40. In response to an increase in minimum wage rates, does your business usually increase wages or salaries for employees who are already being paid above minimum wages?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1519*		174		260		220		212		250		403	
Weighted base=	178232	+/-	21837	+/-	12308	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10432	+/-	79712	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	54	4.1	51	10.1	51	8.2	47	12.3	61	9.5	52	9.3	55	7.0
No	40	4.0	43	10.0	47	8.2	48	12.5	31	9.0	45	9.2	39	6.8
Don't know	5	1.9	6	4.9	1	-	0	-	5	4.2	3	1.9	6	3.5
Refused	1	-	0	-	1	-	5	5.9	3	3.2	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q40 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 151:

Q41. In June 2014, the period over which the pay of salaried employees must be assessed for compliance with the Minimum Wage Act changed from one week to two weeks. Were you aware of this change?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	4547*		470		200		220		242		240		402	
base=	1517*		1/3		260		220		212		249		403	
Weighted base=	178001	+/-	21818	+/-	12325	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10248	+/-	79667	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	26	3.6	34	9.5	17	5.7	14	7.5	33	9.0	21	6.4	27	6.0
No	68	3.8	62	9.8	78	6.3	78	9.8	58	9.5	75	7.0	70	6.3
Don't know	5	1.8	4	4.3	4	3.2	5	5.9	9	5.5	4	3.3	3	2.5
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only. *All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q41 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 152:

Q42. Thinking about the overall impact on your business of this change to legislation, has the change had a positive or negative impact on your business or no impact?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	40.4*				60		62		70				405	
base=	484*		59		68		63		79		80		135	
Weighted base=	46624*	+/-	7334	+/-	2020	+/-	2853	+/-	10803	+/-	2143	+/-	21471	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Positive impact	12	5.3	11	10.4	16	13.9	20	25.1	12	11.3	8	4.9	12	8.7
Negative impact	10	4.6	20	14.6	3	5.7	3	5.9	16	12.5	4	4.8	6	5.9
No impact	74	7.0	66	16.4	77	14.9	73	26.0	70	15.7	68	15.1	78	10.9
Don't know	3	1.6	2	-	2	-	4	5.9	3	4.3	11	7.1	2	-
Refused	2	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	9	12.8	3	4.9
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the change to the Minimum Wage Act (n=487). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q42 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 153:

Q43. Do you have any salaried employees whose effective hourly rate over a two week period is sometimes less than the hourly minimum wage due to them working more than their usual number of hours in that period?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1523*		174		259		220		212		252		406	
Weighted base=	178207	+/-	21837	+/-	12142	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10460	+/-	79825	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	2	-	5	4.3	3	3.2	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-
No	95	1.8	91	5.9	95	3.4	97	4.3	95	4.1	97	3.2	95	3.0
Don't know	2	-	4	4.3	1	-	1	-	3	3.2	2	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q43 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 154:

Q44. Does your business process pay in-house, or do you outsource your payroll requirements to an external provider?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1525*		174		261		220		212		252		406	
Weighted base=	178398	+/-	21837	+/-	12333	+/-	19998	+/-	33945	+/-	10460	+/-	79825	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
In-house	75	3.7	83	7.9	84	6.0	80	10.3	77	7.7	80	7.2	69	6.6
External														
provider	22	3.5	14	7.1	13	5.3	18	9.7	18	6.9	20	7.2	29	6.4
Don't know	2	-	3	3.5	3	3.0	0	-	5	4.2	0	-	2	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q44 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 155:

Q45. In the last 12 months has your payroll system been audited or checked for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1209*		153		219		194		161		200		282	
Weighted base=	133314*	+/-	18039	+/-	10217	+/-	15973	+/-	25995	+/-	8282	+/-	54807	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	39	4.5	27	8.7	46	9.0	28	11.2	42	10.8	50	10.6	42	8.2
No	43	4.6	59	10.3	41	8.9	61	12.5	33	10.7	34	10.3	38	8.3
Don't know	18	3.5	13	7.2	12	5.4	10	7.7	25	9.6	16	6.1	19	6.3
Refused	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business processes pay in-house (n=1217). This table excludes 8 non-responses to Q45 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 156:

Q46. In the last 12 months have you been advised by your payroll provider that your business's payroll system complies with the Holidays Act 2003?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	279*		17**		34		24**		40		49		115	
Weighted hase=	38494*	+/-	2682 **	+/-	1618	+/-	2586 **	+/-	6150	+/-	2090	+/-	22367	+/-
Weighten base-	0/	•/	2002	·/	0/	•7	0/	•/	0/	·/	2050	•/	22507	·/
	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Yes	66	8.3	48	29.5	61	19.1	54	30.0	74	18.1	61	19.0	70	11.7
No	15	6.6	20	20.1	18	17.1	29	28.0	6	7.6	18	15.0	15	9.7
Don't know	18	6.3	32	27.8	21	12.8	17	22.5	21	17.2	21	15.3	15	8.3
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business outsources its payroll requirements to an external provider (n=284). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q46 in the paper questionnaire.

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 157:

Q47. Are you aware of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1/19/1*		170		255		218		201		251		300	
Maightad basa	172205*	. /	20082	. /	11072	. /	10120	. /	201	. /	10440	. /	77750	. /
weighted base=	172205	+/-	20982	+/-	11972	+/-	19130	+/-	31922	+/-	10449	+/-	///50	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	27	3.8	28	9.3	25	7.2	26	11.2	32	9.1	17	5.8	28	6.4
No	67	4.0	69	9.6	70	7.6	72	11.2	62	9.6	75	7.3	67	6.7
Don't know	5	1.8	3	3.7	5	3.3	2	-	6	5.0	7	5.2	5	3.1
Refused	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business processes pay in-house or outsources its payroll requirements to an external provider (n=1501). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q47 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 158:

Q48. Has your business used the Ministry's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

Linweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	426*		49		66		71		64		65		111	
Weighted base=	47228*	+/-	5847	+/-	2909	+/-	5037	+/-	10067	+/-	1815	+/-	21552	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	54	7.8	40	19.4	42	16.7	48	24.6	54	17.0	31	10.3	62	12.8
No	38	7.5	53	19.7	43	17.1	49	24.6	33	14.9	45	16.9	32	12.5
Don't know	8	3.8	7	9.5	15	11.6	3	3.5	12	12.0	24	16.0	5	5.1
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of MBIE's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003 (n=428). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q48 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 159:

Q49. The next questions are about how your business manages parental leave for employees when a child is born or adopted. Does your business have its own formal policy or system to manage parental leave for employees on the birth or adoption of a child?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1501*		174		261		217		212		252		404	
Dase=	1521.		1/4		201		217		212		253		404	
Weighted base=	177014	+/-	21837	+/-	12388	+/-	19125	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79249	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	29	3.6	22	8.1	21	6.1	18	8.8	31	8.7	36	8.3	32	6.3
No	64	3.9	75	8.4	74	6.8	75	10.3	61	9.3	60	8.6	60	6.8
Don't know	6	2.1	3	2.8	4	3.2	4	4.6	8	5.2	4	3.4	8	3.9
Refused	0	-	0	-	1	-	3	4.6	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q49 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 160:

Q50. Have any of your employees returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years? This includes female and male employees.

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	4547*		470		264		24.0		200		252		40.4	
base=	151/*		1/3		261		218		209		252		404	
Weighted base=	176427	+/-	21818	+/-	12388	+/-	19559	+/-	33025	+/-	10432	+/-	79205	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	24	3.2	13	5.4	17	4.8	17	8.6	27	8.4	30	6.0	27	5.5
No	75	3.3	86	5.5	81	4.8	83	8.6	71	8.6	69	6.0	71	5.8
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q50 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 161:

Q51. Were any of these employees given additional days of paid or unpaid parental leave on top of statutory requirements?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	663*		46		100		75		97		136		209	
Weighted base=	41943*	+/-	2873	+/-	2157	+/-	3317	+/-	8864	+/-	3141	+/-	21591	+/-
Ū	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	42	7.2	41	22.1	43	10.1	61	24.1	38	16.9	50	11.4	39	10.9
No	52	7.4	58	22.1	47	10.8	35	24.0	53	17.7	46	11.5	55	11.3
Don't know	6	3.8	1	-	9	6.5	4	5.2	9	10.7	3	3.4	7	5.9
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years (n=665). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q51 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 162:

Q52. Were any of these employees offered flexible or reduced hours on their return to work?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	664*		46		100		74		98		136		210	
Woighted base=	11515*	+/	2072	±/	2157	+/	2002	+/	0070	±/	21/1	±/	21614	±/
weighten base-	41545	+/-	2075	+/-	2157	+/-	2005	+/-	0070	+/-	5141	+/-	21014	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	76	6.8	90	8.9	75	9.9	74	25.4	81	15.1	85	9.5	70	10.8
No	22	6.7	10	8.9	21	9.7	26	25.4	19	15.1	12	8.9	25	10.6
Don't know	3	2.8	0	-	4	2.8	1	-	0	-	2	-	4	5.4
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years (n=665). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q52 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 163:

Q53. How easy or difficult is it for the business to manage the overall impact of employees taking parental leave on staffing arrangements and business continuity? Would you say: READ

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	663*		46		99		75		98		135		210	
Weighted base=	41878*	+/-	2873	+/-	2094	+/-	3317	+/-	8878	+/-	3102	+/-	21614	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very easy	7	4.5	0	-	4	5.7	4	5.2	7	9.5	5	3.8	10	7.8
Easy	16	5.0	5	5.7	10	8.0	12	8.2	25	15.4	15	9.7	14	7.1
Neither easy nor														
difficult	31	6.1	43	22.3	29	5.8	39	26.9	30	15.3	38	11.2	27	8.3
Difficult	35	7.4	29	19.9	29	14.2	41	27.1	34	16.8	35	11.3	37	11.4
Very difficult	10	4.3	23	19.2	26	13.4	4	5.2	4	5.2	7	4.9	10	7.4
Don't know	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	4.8
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years (n=665). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q53 in the paper questionnaire.
Table 164:

Q54A. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to rest and meal breaks

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	1515*		172		250		210		212		251		102	
Dase-	1313		172		235		210		212		231		405	
Weighted base=	177494	+/-	21476	+/-	12234	+/-	19903	+/-	33945	+/-	10414	+/-	79521	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	64	4.0	60	10.0	61	8.0	49	12.5	72	8.7	76	8.3	63	6.9
No	28	3.9	26	9.2	29	7.7	43	12.5	20	7.9	16	7.3	30	6.7
Don't know	7	2.1	12	6.8	8	4.2	5	4.7	8	5.1	8	5.2	6	3.5
Refused	1	-	3	3.5	1	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q54A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 165:

Q54B. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to requests for flexible working arrangements

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry &		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social		Other	
Unweighted	Total		TISTING		Wanatacturing		construction		a rood Scrvices		Assistance		other	
base=	1510*		172		257		217		210		250		404	
Weighted base=	176852	+/-	21476	+/-	12163	+/-	19813	+/-	33694	+/-	10397	+/-	79309	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	50	4.1	37	9.5	47	7.8	40	12.0	56	9.6	54	9.2	54	7.0
No	40	4.1	48	10.2	42	8.0	50	12.5	36	9.4	30	8.9	38	6.9
Don't know	9	2.4	12	6.8	10	4.7	8	6.3	8	5.5	16	7.3	9	4.1
Refused	1	-	3	3.5	1	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 18 non-responses to Q54B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 166:

Q54C. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

Changes to collective bargaining

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1501*		169		255		216		210		247		404	
Weighted base=	176650	+/-	21229	+/-	12075	+/-	19797	+/-	33694	+/-	10310	+/-	79544	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	35	3.9	21	8.0	33	7.5	32	11.4	38	9.4	40	8.9	38	6.8
No	50	4.2	58	10.1	55	8.0	57	12.2	46	9.6	41	9.4	49	7.1
Don't know	14	2.9	19	8.3	11	4.6	8	6.3	15	7.0	19	7.5	13	4.8
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 27 non-responses to Q54C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 167:

Q54D. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1504*		171		256		217		209		248		403	
Weighted base=	176653	+/-	21394	+/-	12138	+/-	19813	+/-	33681	+/-	10342	+/-	79286	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	33	3.8	23	8.0	33	7.4	21	8.6	37	9.2	40	8.8	36	6.7
No	52	4.1	58	10.0	53	8.1	65	11.0	44	9.5	45	9.3	51	7.1
Don't know	14	2.9	16	7.8	13	5.3	11	7.5	19	7.7	15	6.7	13	4.8
Refused	1	-	3	3.5	1	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q54D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 168:

Q55. Have the changes to rest and meal breaks had any impact on your business or workplace?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	1124*		120		182		157		163		200		302	
Weighted base=	112525*	+/-	12729	+/-	7486	+/-	9717	+/-	24582	+/-	7826	+/-	50185	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	4	1.8	6	6.1	2	-	0	-	7	5.8	5	4.2	2	-
No	95	2.1	94	6.1	98	-	100	-	92	6.0	95	4.3	96	3.2
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to rest and meal breaks (n=1128). This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q55 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 169:

Q56. Which of the following impacts have the changes to rest and meal breaks had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

									Retail		Health			
			Agriculture,						Trade,		Care &			
			Forestry &						Accom		Social			
	Total		Eiching		Manufacturing		Construction		and Food		Sony		Othor	
Line and also also	TOtal		FISHING		Manufacturing		Construction		anu roou		Jeiv		Other	
Unweighted														
base=	54*		8**		7**		3**		10**		12**		14**	
Weighted base=	4137*	+/-	774 **	+/-	168 **	+/-	14 **	+/-	1747 **	+/-	361 **	+/-	1073 **	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increased														
flexibility for														
the business	74	13.8	40	-	53	-	67	-	83	-	76	-	85	-
Reduced														
compliance														
costs	5	3.8	3	-	5	-	0	-	3	-	11	-	8	-
Increased costs	9	9.7	47	-	5	-	0	-	0	-	5	-	0	-
Any other														
impacts														
(Specify)	6	4.2	11	-	5	-	33	-	1	-	9	-	8	-
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	4	-
Refused	8	9.4	0	-	37	-	0	-	14	-	0	-	2	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to rest and meal breaks (n=54).

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 170:

Q57. Have the changes to requests for flexible working arrangements had any impact on your business or workplace?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	948*		85		152		135		134		167		275	
Weighted base=	88132*	+/-	7913	+/-	5702	+/-	7906	+/-	18389	+/-	5591	+/-	42630	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	7	2.4	6	7.3	8	5.0	7	10.5	10	7.7	16	9.2	5	2.7
No	91	3.1	94	7.3	91	5.0	93	10.5	88	8.7	83	9.3	93	4.4
Don't know	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	3	3.5
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to requests for flexible working arrangements (n=951). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q57 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 171:

Q58. Which of the following impacts have the changes to flexible working arrangements had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 83* 6238* %	+/- %	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 8** 514 ** %	+/- %	Manufacturing 11** 399 ** %	+/- %	Construction 8** 563 ** %	+/- %	Retail Trade, Accom and Food 11** 1838 ** %	+/- %	Health Care & Social Serv 21** 886 ** %	+/- %	Other 24** 2037 ** %	+/- %
Increased flexibility for	62	0.7	22		94		00		10		70		02	
Increased certainty for	03	8.2	23	-	84	-	99	-	19	-	78	-	92	-
employees	21	10.2	70	-	17	-	1	-	16	-	11	-	23	-
More difficulties/														
inconvenience for														
employer/lower			_											
productivity	13	10.6	16	-	32	-	0	-	24	-	7	-	4	-
Any other impacts (Specify)	9	10.0	3	-	0	-	2	-	25	-	5	-	2	-
Don't know	5	6.3	0	-	0	-	0	-	16	-	0	-	1	-
Refused	7	7.3	4	-	0	-	0	-	14	-	18	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to flexible working arrangements (n=84). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q58 in the paper questionnaire. **Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 172:

Q59. Have the changes to collective bargaining had any impact on your business or workplace?

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	696*		53		121		101		98		129		194	
Weighted base=	61756*	+/-	4171	+/-	4032	+/-	6346	+/-	12656	+/-	4132	+/-	30418	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	3	1.9	2	-	3	3.1	2	-	7	8.3	6	7.3	1	-
No	95	2.6	98	-	95	3.4	98	-	91	8.8	92	7.6	97	3.6
Don't know	2	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to collective bargaining (n=702). This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q59 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 173:

Q60. Which of the following impacts have the changes to collective bargaining had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base=	Total 29*** 1762*		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 1**		Manufacturing 7**		Construction 4**		Retail Trade, Accom and Food 4**		Health Care & Social Serv 6**		Other 7**	
Weighted base=	**	+/-	82 **	+/-	114 **	+/-	104 **	+/-	933 **	+/-	236 **	+/-	294 **	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increased flexibility for														
the business	9	-	0	-	30	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	39	-
More effective														
bargaining	46	-	0	-	37	-	9	-	48	-	17	-	92	-
Change had negative														
impact on														
employment														
relationships/wages	31	-	100	-	55	-	5	-	25	-	66	-	0	-
Any other impacts														
(Specify)	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	16	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	7	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	19	-	0	-	0	-	86	-	25	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to collective bargaining (n=29).

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 174:

Q61. Have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had any impact on your business or workplace?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted	600*		67		115		00		0E		126		104	
Dase-	090		02		115		96		95		120		194	
Weighted base=	57656*	+/-	4917	+/-	3921	+/-	4156	+/-	12315	+/-	4044	+/-	28302	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	3	1.8	2	-	3	3.3	0	-	4	6.9	3	2.7	3	2.1
No	95	2.8	96	4.4	96	3.4	97	4.1	93	7.8	93	7.7	95	4.3
Don't know	2	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	3	3.8	4	7.2	2	-
Refused	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring (n=697). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q61 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 175:

Q62. Which of the following impacts have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accom and Food		Health Care & Social Serv		Other	
base=	30*		2**		4**		2**		3**		5**		14**	
Weighted base=	1619*	+/-	101 **	+/-	105 **	+/-	9 **	+/-	511 **	+/-	115 **	+/-	778 **	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Increased certainty for	22		2		22		50				24		20	
the business Increased certainty for	22	9.7	U	-	32	-	50	-	0	-	24	-	38	-
employees Better balance of fairness for the	20	9.7	0	-	8	-	0	-	0	-	15	-	38	-
business and its employees Reduced compliance	62	8.2	18	-	16	-	50	-	90	-	76	-	54	-
costs Negative impact for business (productivity and	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
employment relations														
issues) Any other impacts	12	-	82	-	60	-	0	-	10	-	0	-	0	-
(Specify)	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	9	-	3	-
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring (n=30).

**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 176:

Q63. In the last 12 months, which of the following sources, if any, have you used to find out about minimum employment rights and obligations? READ. CODE MANY

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 1519 177694 %	+/- %	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 173 21818 %	+/- %	Manufacturing 260 12260 %	+/- %	Construction 217 19962 %	+/- %	Retail Trade, Accom and Food 212 33945 %	+/- %	Health Care & Social Serv 251 10414 %	+/- %	Other 406 79295 %	+/- %
Ministry of Business, Innovation														
and Employment or MBIE	34	3.8	31	8.8	33	7.6	35	11.7	30	8.7	35	8.8	37	6.6
Other government agencies	20	3.2	17	7.3	16	5.8	8	6.1	21	7.6	21	7.3	23	5.8
Unions	3	1.0	0	-	4	1.8	1	-	2	-	13	5.6	4	1.9
Professional bodies or industry														
and trade associations	26	3.4	29	9.0	27	6.3	21	9.3	28	7.9	32	8.1	24	5.6
Lawyers or accountants	29	3.6	27	8.8	28	7.1	34	11.9	26	8.4	33	8.3	28	5.9
Colleagues, friends or family	19	3.3	22	8.3	11	5.3	9	7.2	16	7.2	18	7.2	23	6.0
General media	15	2.8	21	8.1	20	6.6	10	6.3	17	7.4	13	5.5	14	4.6
Human Resources consultants	3	1.4	0	-	1	-	3	4.3	3	3.8	4	4.1	3	2.5
Any other source? (Specify)	4	1.6	2	-	5	3.4	4	4.4	4	3.8	4	2.0	5	3.0
None	22	3.6	19	8.3	24	7.4	31	12.0	20	7.9	24	8.5	21	6.0
Don't know	1	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	4	3.8	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q63 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 177:

Q64.Thinking about MBIE's information on minimum employment rights and obligations, how useful was this information? Would you say: READ

Unweighted	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
base=	646*		77		105		103		72		100		189	
Weighted base=	59391*	+/-	6724	+/-	4083	+/-	6893	+/-	9844	+/-	3616	+/-	28230	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	36	6.5	28	13.9	37	13.0	34	18.8	33	16.1	39	14.8	39	10.9
Useful	59	6.7	59	16.0	59	13.3	57	20.0	63	16.2	54	15.2	59	10.9
Not useful	2	-	9	10.7	2	-	0	-	0	-	4	8.0	1	-
Not at all useful	1	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	3	4.7	1	-	0	-
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	8	12.0	1	-	2	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who used MBIE to find out about minimum employment rights and obligations in the last 12 months (n=653). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q64 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 178:

Q65. The last few questions are about employers' recruitment practices around employing migrants. Does your business currently have any recent migrant employees on staff? By recent migrants we mean someone who was not born in New Zealand and who has arrived in New Zealand in the last five years.

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade,		Health Care &			
			Forestry &						Accommodation		Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	1525*		174		261		219		212		253		406	
Weighted base=	177842	+/-	21837	+/-	12388	+/-	19908	+/-	33945	+/-	10470	+/-	79295	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	25	3.0	20	7.1	29	5.9	22	9.3	33	8.2	28	6.4	23	4.7
No	73	3.2	80	7.1	71	5.9	78	9.2	67	8.2	72	6.5	73	5.2
Don't know	2	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	3	2.6
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q65 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 179:

Q66. And in the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants?

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 1522* 177520	+/-	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 174 21837	+/-	Manufacturing 260 12362	+/-	Construction 219 19908	+/-	Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services 212 33945	+/-	Health Care & Social Assistance 252 10432	+/-	Other 405 79037	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	21	2.8	20	7.1	22	5.1	19	8.5	33	8.6	22	5.1	16	4.2
No	78	3.0	80	7.1	78	5.1	81	8.5	67	8.6	77	5.2	81	4.6
Don't know	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	3	2.2
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*All respondents (n=1528) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q66 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 180:

Q67. For what particular reasons did your business choose to employ a recent migrant? CODE MANY. PROBE FULLY

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accom and Food		Health Care & Social Serv		Other	
Unweighted base=	647*		66		112		98		104		112		155	
Weighted base=	37090*	+/-	4316	+/-	2719	+/-	3804	+/-	11049	+/-	2255	+/-	12946	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
They were the best candidate	49	5.4	23	14.2	63	12.9	70	9.2	40	13.9	59	13.2	54	7.7
Their skills/qualifications	47	7.3	30	14.5	42	10.3	53	24.1	43	15.6	75	8.5	49	13.4
Their experience	35	6.7	23	14.3	39	12.9	43	24.0	35	13.9	40	12.7	35	11.9
Fresh perspective	6	3.0	14	15.5	9	7.5	3	4.5	6	5.8	6	4.2	4	4.1
Strong work ethic/good worker	29	6.3	47	18.8	32	10.4	35	23.5	36	15.3	31	12.7	14	7.5
Overseas contacts/networks	2	-	9	12.4	4	4.8	2	-	2	-	0	-	1	-
They speak a language other than														
English	9	4.9	1	-	2	-	0	-	15	12.0	5	4.8	11	9.4
Many of our customers are also														
migrants	4	2.9	0	-	3	4.4	0	-	8	8.6	10	6.5	3	3.9
To be able to pay lower salary/wages	2	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	5	7.7
Their personality/they seemed like														
they would be a 'good fit' for the														
business	21	4.6	39	18.3	21	9.6	48	21.0	10	6.9	27	12.5	15	7.5
New Zealanders were not willing to														
do job	20	5.4	46	18.8	17	8.3	8	7.2	31	14.6	13	5.1	8	5.6
Difficulty hiring New Zealanders for														
job due to skill shortage	35	6.8	48	19.7	44	10.5	39	21.4	34	14.7	38	12.9	27	11.2
No/not many New Zealand-born														
candidates applied	16	5.8	16	13.6	9	5.7	8	6.3	24	13.8	16	6.2	14	10.5
Recommendation / worked for us														
before / good experiences with this					_									
ethnicity	3	3.1	7	11.8	2	-	0	-	8	9.4	0	-	0	-
Business had short-term/seasonal														
labour needs / migrant was					_				_					
available	3	2.3	10	12.4	5	7.5	0	-	4	5.6	0	-	1	-
Other (Specify)	4	3.6	2	-	0	-	0	-	4	7.5	0	-	6	7.8
No reason (No particular preference														
for migrant vs. New Zealander)	5	4.1	2	-	2	-	0	-	5	7.5	0	-	9	9.7
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months (n=649). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q67 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 181:

Q68. On the whole, how would you rate your migrant employees on their overall job performance? READ

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 738* 44409* %	+/- %	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 67 4312 %	+/- %	Manufacturing 133 3546 %	+/- %	Construction 110 4334 %	+/- %	Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services 111 11067 %	+/- %	Health Care & Social Assistance ices 125 2892 %	+/- %	Other 192 18257 %	+/- %
Very good	61	6.7	73	17.2	60	11.2	59	22.9	58	14.9	61	13.0	60	11.5
Good	32	6.5	26	17.2	30	10.8	37	22.8	32	14.1	25	11.3	33	11.2
Neither good nor														
poor	5	2.6	1	-	9	7.6	2	-	10	9.4	9	9.9	2	-
Poor	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
Very poor	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	1	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	3	2.9	0	-
Refused	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months (n=741). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q68 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 182:

Q69. And why do you say that? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade,		Health Care			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Food		Serv		Other	
Unweighted base=	667*		62		121		97		102		112		173	
Weighted base-	/1082*	±/-	4264	±/-	3220	±/-	J15/	±/-	0080	±/-	2472	±/-	16083	±/-
Weighted base-	41002	%	4204	%	%	%	4154	%	%	%	2472	%	10985 %	%
They are able to share their experience	70	70	,,,	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
and skills	34	6.8	23	17.1	31	11.5	34	23.4	35	14.4	40	13.2	37	11.8
They have skills and experience not							•							
available in New Zealand	22	6.4	15	13.7	15	7.6	21	19.5	25	14.2	7	4.7	27	11.5
They perform at a level higher than														
expected	24	5.8	17	8.1	32	9.8	40	23.1	34	15.0	22	11.9	16	8.7
They perform at a superior level to the														
other employees	8	3.4	21	16.8	13	6.4	3	4.1	9	9.4	9	5.6	4	4.2
They don't have English language														
problems	22	6.1	15	13.7	15	8.4	37	22.8	18	12.4	23	12.3	24	10.8
They have no problems with cultural														
differences/fit in with the team	27	6.5	23	17.1	31	11.7	29	22.9	27	14.3	18	7.4	27	11.1
They have transferable skills	27	5.8	11	7.3	29	9.5	51	18.9	17	11.8	38	13.1	29	10.8
They have prior work experience in New														
Zealand	9	3.4	13	7.7	8	5.8	12	18.3	7	6.4	13	6.2	8	5.2
They have the right attitude/are willing														
to learn/good work ethic/perform														
above & beyond their role	58	6.6	73	17.5	57	9.3	77	19.5	65	14.4	58	13.1	45	11.5
They have the skills and experience														
required (in general)	41	7.0	37	17.7	38	10.0	39	23.6	31	14.4	40	8.7	50	12.4
They have other language (non-English)														
skills	13	5.4	1	-	1	-	1	-	22	13.7	9	6.0	17	10.2
Their work is very good quality	45	7.0	42	17.6	49	12.6	58	19.9	37	15.0	48	13.3	46	12.4
They do what is required/fulfil that role	52	6.3	68	17.5	43	10.0	67	20.0	37	14.9	54	13.3	55	10.3
Their good performance is noted by														
others/appraisals/referred by	28	6.5	35	17.7	25	9.4	17	18.8	25	14.0	28	8.4	30	11.6
Other (Specify)	7	3.3	9	12.5	11	8.7	3	4.1	7	8.3	13	11.3	6	5.0
No reason	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	0	-
Don't know	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who rated their recent migrant employees overall job performance as good or very good (n=667).

Table 183:

Q70. In the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants who have subsequently left the business?

Unweighted base= Weighted base=	Total 647* 37037* %	+/- %	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 66 4316 %	+/- %	Manufacturing 111 2656 %	+/- %	Construction 98 3804 %	+/- %	Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services 104 11049 %	+/- %	Health Care & Social Assistance 113 2266 %	+/- %	Other 155 12946 %	+/- %
Yes	49	7.0	86	7.7	42	12.8	32	21.2	60	15.3	42	12.8	34	12.9
No	50	7.0	14	7.7	56	12.9	68	21.2	39	15.3	58	12.8	65	13.0
Don't know	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months (n=649). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q70 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 184:

Q71. Thinking now about the migrant who left your business most recently, for what particular reasons did they leave? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade,					
	-		Forestry &				A A A		Accom and		Health Care		0.1	
	lotal		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Food		& Social Serv		Other	
Unweighted base=	316*		52		47		42		60		51		64	
Weighted base=	18019*	+/-	3718	+/-	1115	+/-	1199	+/-	6619	+/-	942	+/-	4425	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
They got a job with another														
employer in New Zealand	42	9.4	36	21.4	50	22.1	27	16.7	45	19.9	69	11.2	39	14.3
Returned to their country of origin	29	8.4	37	21.5	37	21.5	22	16.5	21	16.2	26	12.1	35	14.3
Moved to Australia	10	5.5	12	14.8	2	-	15	16.1	10	9.3	12	9.3	10	11.0
Moved to a different country														
other than Australia	1	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	3	2.1
Moved to a different town/city in														
New Zealand for reasons other														
than work	13	6.8	13	14.9	4	2.9	3	3.3	9	9.2	13	9.5	23	20.5
Took up study	7	3.7	5	5.6	3	2.6	0	-	8	9.2	20	11.5	6	3.8
Not suitable for the job/not happy														
with performance	14	7.7	9	13.8	18	16.9	4	3.4	16	15.2	10	8.8	19	17.9
Contract ended	12	6.6	22	18.9	27	19.9	1	-	12	13.9	2	-	6	3.9
Redundancy	6	4.1	13	14.9	1	-	17	16.2	4	6.7	4	7.2	2	-
Health reasons	4	3.6	0	-	0	-	2	-	5	6.9	6	7.7	6	10.5
Left to set up their own business	3	3.0	8	13.8	0	-	8	13.1	1	-	1	-	1	-
Could not renew work visa	11	3.6	23	15.8	9	11.0	41	3.9	3	2.0	14	10.4	5	3.7
Low salary/wages	4	3.3	12	14.8	2	-	9	13.3	0	-	3	3.9	2	-
Didn't fit into New Zealand														
workplace culture	5	4.0	10	14.3	4	4.5	8	13.1	4	6.7	5	7.5	1	-
Family reasons	11	5.8	8	13.8	8	6.1	2	-	16	11.8	14	7.3	9	10.7
To continue travelling	15	7.1	15	14.9	22	19.3	38	1.4	10	12.2	6	7.7	17	17.8
Other (Specify)	3	3.4	0	-	1	-	0	-	8	9.1	2	-	1	-
No reason	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Don't know	3	4.4	0	-	3	4.3	0	-	7	12.0	0	-	1	-
Refused	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-

Total may exceed 100% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants who subsequently left in the last 12 months (n=317). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q71 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 185:

Q72A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Unweighted base=	Total 724*		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing 64		Manufacturing 129		Construction 110		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services 110		Health Care & Social Assistance 123		Other 188	
Weighted base=	43597*	+/-	4192	+/-	3496	+/-	4323	+/-	10622	+/-	2843	+/-	18121	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	17	5.4	16	14.0	24	10.5	28	22.2	19	12.4	14	5.9	13	8.5
Useful	40	6.4	49	19.5	39	12.0	39	22.9	45	15.2	31	11.9	37	10.0
Not useful	28	5.8	23	17.1	23	10.8	19	8.0	21	9.9	34	13.1	35	11.5
Don't know	14	4.9	12	7.4	12	6.4	15	18.1	15	10.6	20	11.3	13	8.5
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Websites or services (e.g. webinars) connecting your business to prospective migrants

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 17 non-responses to Q72A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 186:

Q72B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	724*		63		131		110		110		123		187	
Weighted base=	43389*	+/-	4046	+/-	3529	+/-	4323	+/-	10622	+/-	2815	+/-	18053	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	19	5.3	17	14.2	28	9.0	22	18.8	19	12.3	19	6.3	18	8.6
Useful	39	6.7	42	20.5	33	11.5	36	22.7	49	15.2	24	11.5	37	11.1
Not useful	23	5.6	22	17.7	14	8.6	25	19.2	12	8.2	33	13.1	29	10.6
Don't know	17	5.2	19	14.7	23	10.6	15	18.1	18	12.2	24	11.7	15	8.3
Refused	2	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Direct contact with Immigration NZ providing advice on immigration policy and process

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 17 non-responses to Q72B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 187:

Q72C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Support for employers at overseas job fairs

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade,		Health Care &			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	706*		61		128		109		108		117		183	
Weighted base=	41876*	+/-	3946	+/-	3433	+/-	3889	+/-	10163	+/-	2719	+/-	17727	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	7	3.2	10	13.5	13	7.4	9	7.1	11	10.1	7	3.8	4	3.1
Useful	27	6.1	27	18.4	21	9.8	29	22.0	30	12.6	20	7.4	26	10.5
Not useful	42	6.4	30	18.7	37	12.1	37	20.9	37	11.1	41	13.7	50	11.8
Don't know	22	5.5	33	20.2	28	11.1	22	19.9	20	11.7	31	13.1	18	8.8
Refused	2	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	3	4.5	1	-	1	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q72C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 188:

Q72D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Information on migrant behaviour and needs

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing	5	Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	710*		61		129		109		109		119		183	
Weighted base=	42388*	+/-	3946	+/-	3496	+/-	3889	+/-	10608	+/-	2767	+/-	17682	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	7	3.2	19	17.8	7	5.9	9	7.1	7	8.7	10	5.3	4	3.2
Useful	44	6.7	22	15.0	45	12.1	45	20.0	46	14.2	24	11.9	50	11.9
Not useful	29	5.8	24	18.2	22	9.5	24	9.0	28	10.6	36	13.5	33	11.2
Don't know	18	5.0	34	20.4	24	10.2	19	19.5	19	11.3	28	12.0	12	7.3
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q72D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 189:

Q72E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?

Specialist advice on settlement services for migrant employees

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade,		Health Care &			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	714*		62		130		109		110		119		184	
Weighted base=	42928*	+/-	3964	+/-	3504	+/-	3889	+/-	11054	+/-	2767	+/-	17749	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	10	3.8	14	14.3	14	7.7	10	7.1	14	10.9	11	5.3	6	4.8
Useful	38	6.6	10	6.5	41	12.1	47	20.0	40	14.9	28	12.1	41	11.8
Not useful	32	6.0	38	20.3	22	10.0	21	9.0	26	10.2	30	12.2	39	11.7
Don't know	19	5.0	38	20.5	21	9.8	20	19.9	19	10.9	30	13.0	12	7.3
Refused	2	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q72E in the paper questionnaire.

Table 190:

Q73A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

	Total		Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		Retail Trade, Accommodation & Food Services		Health Care & Social Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	718*		63		127		110		108		123		187	
Weighted base=	42656*	+/-	4046	+/-	3445	+/-	4323	+/-	10163	+/-	2815	+/-	17862	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	13	4.6	5	5.3	13	9.0	20	18.7	11	10.1	26	11.7	12	7.5
Useful	45	6.2	56	20.2	49	12.4	31	22.3	53	13.5	35	12.2	41	10.1
Not useful	26	5.9	20	17.4	20	9.5	30	19.3	18	9.8	13	5.8	35	11.2
Don't know	15	4.6	19	14.7	16	9.5	17	18.4	15	9.3	26	12.7	11	7.2
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Information for new migrant employees about cultural differences in the workplace

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 23 non-responses to Q73A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 191:

Q73B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

Information for migrant employees and businesses about overcoming communication challenges in the workplace

			Agriculture,						Retail Trade,		Health Care &			
			Forestry &						Accommodation		Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	718*		63		127		110		108		123		187	
Weighted base=	42656*	+/-	4046	+/-	3445	+/-	4323	+/-	10163	+/-	2815	+/-	17862	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	16	5.1	13	14.0	16	9.3	30	22.5	15	11.8	25	11.7	12	7.6
Useful	45	6.9	53	20.1	41	11.5	42	22.3	46	15.0	35	12.1	45	11.7
Not useful	22	5.7	15	13.8	25	10.6	19	8.1	19	12.1	11	5.7	27	10.9
Don't know	16	4.7	19	14.7	16	9.5	7	5.6	20	12.2	28	12.8	14	7.7
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 22 non-responses to Q73B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 192:

Q73C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	719*		64		127		110		109		123		186	
Weighted base=	42500*	+/-	4129	+/-	3408	+/-	4323	+/-	10176	+/-	2815	+/-	17649	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	14	4.3	6	5.4	15	9.3	17	18.4	21	13.0	25	7.5	10	5.2
Useful	49	6.9	62	18.1	46	12.4	43	22.3	48	15.3	37	13.2	50	11.8
Not useful	18	4.7	13	13.5	20	10.0	20	8.0	14	8.6	17	10.8	22	9.2
Don't know	18	5.5	19	14.4	17	9.7	17	18.4	16	11.5	21	11.4	18	9.5
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Information for new migrant employees about working in your industry

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 22 non-responses to Q73C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 193:

Q73D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

Information for new migrant employees about living in New Zealand

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	717*		64		127		110		108		122		186	
Weighted base=	42469*	+/-	4129	+/-	3445	+/-	4323	+/-	10163	+/-	2805	+/-	17604	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	13	4.0	6	5.4	16	9.2	20	18.7	13	10.8	28	11.9	10	5.2
Useful	50	6.6	66	14.8	51	12.4	49	22.9	46	13.7	39	13.2	50	11.8
Not useful	18	5.3	7	5.5	18	9.4	10	6.5	16	11.5	10	5.6	25	10.5
Don't know	18	5.2	21	14.6	14	9.1	17	18.4	25	13.5	21	11.6	13	7.8
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	4	5.3	0	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q73D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 194:

Q73E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	710*		63		128		110		107		120		182	
Weighted base=	42287*	+/-	4046	+/-	3470	+/-	4323	+/-	10149	+/-	2784	+/-	17513	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	19	5.5	13	13.7	27	11.4	27	19.3	20	12.6	25	11.7	15	9.1
Useful	36	6.7	43	20.5	33	10.9	31	22.3	46	15.4	32	13.0	32	10.9
Not useful	27	6.1	23	17.7	25	10.8	21	18.8	18	9.8	18	7.0	36	11.8
Don't know	17	5.1	22	14.9	14	8.6	17	18.4	17	11.6	24	11.8	15	8.2
Refused	1	-	0	-	2	-	4	5.3	0	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Information about learning English language skills for the workplace

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q73E in the paper questionnaire.

Table 195:

Q73F. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?

Support for partners of your migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	706*		63		125		110		106		121		181	
Weighted base=	42228*	+/-	4110	+/-	3374	+/-	4323	+/-	10136	+/-	2795	+/-	17490	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very useful	15	4.3	18	14.5	21	10.4	28	22.2	11	10.1	27	11.8	9	5.1
Useful	40	6.9	38	19.8	38	11.5	26	19.0	41	15.0	27	11.8	46	12.1
Not useful	24	6.0	23	17.5	24	11.1	24	19.2	19	9.9	14	6.2	29	11.4
Don't know	19	5.3	22	14.7	14	8.8	17	18.4	27	13.6	32	13.1	14	7.9
Refused	2	-	0	-	2	-	4	5.3	2	-	1	-	2	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q73F in the paper questionnaire.

Table 196:

Q74A. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided in	formation	about cultural	differences in	the workplace
1 IOVIACU III	jonnation	ubbut culturul	ungerences in	the workplace

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	728*		66		129		111		109		124		189	
Weighted base=	43724*	+/-	4293	+/-	3441	+/-	4339	+/-	10608	+/-	2854	+/-	18188	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	36	6.2	33	18.7	36	11.8	22	18.7	36	14.9	54	13.3	36	10.1
No	32	5.7	22	16.7	32	9.2	26	19.0	42	15.2	13	5.4	33	8.3
Not applicable/did														
not need to	30	6.1	37	17.6	29	11.4	49	22.9	21	11.8	31	12.9	28	10.6
Don't know	2	-	9	12.4	1	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	3	3.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q74A in the paper questionnaire.

Table 197:

Q74B. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided information about living in New Zealand

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	729*		66		130		111		109		124		189	
Weighted base=	43742*	+/-	4293	+/-	3504	+/-	4339	+/-	10608	+/-	2854	+/-	18144	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	44	6.7	54	19.3	46	12.2	31	19.2	39	14.7	49	12.1	46	11.6
No	29	6.1	13	13.0	30	10.6	22	18.7	40	14.6	31	11.9	26	10.3
Not applicable/did														
not need to	25	5.7	31	17.6	19	9.7	44	22.7	21	11.7	19	7.2	24	9.5
Don't know	2	-	2	-	4	3.8	0	-	0	-	2	-	3	3.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 12 non-responses to Q74B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 198:

Q74C. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided or allowed time to learn English language skills for the workplace

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	729*		66		131		111		110		123		188	
Weighted base=	43741*	+/-	4293	+/-	3529	+/-	4339	+/-	10622	+/-	2837	+/-	18121	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	26	6.4	26	18.1	24	8.6	26	22.1	34	14.6	27	11.2	23	10.6
No	31	5.2	20	13.7	39	11.7	20	8.0	43	14.5	22	7.3	28	8.1
Not applicable/did														
not need to	41	6.5	52	19.7	33	11.8	51	22.9	23	12.3	49	12.2	47	11.5
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	3	3.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 12 non-responses to Q74C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 199:

Q74D. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Supported partners of migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	725*		66		129		111		109		122		188	
Weighted base=	43629*	+/-	4293	+/-	3441	+/-	4339	+/-	10608	+/-	2826	+/-	18121	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	23	5.6	18	14.0	33	12.0	31	22.2	19	9.9	20	6.8	23	10.1
No	38	5.5	35	18.7	34	11.5	32	19.3	53	13.0	30	7.7	33	8.3
Not applicable/did														
not need to	36	6.5	45	19.3	29	11.0	34	22.7	25	12.2	48	7.7	40	11.7
Don't know	3	2.0	2	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	5	4.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 16 non-responses to Q74D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 200:

Q74E. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?

Provided closer supervision on the job, e.g. a 'buddy' or mentor

			Agriculture, Forestry &						Retail Trade, Accommodation		Health Care & Social			
	Total		Fishing		Manufacturing		Construction		& Food Services		Assistance		Other	
Unweighted base=	731*		66		131		111		110		124		189	
Weighted base=	43781*	+/-	4293	+/-	3529	+/-	4339	+/-	10622	+/-	2854	+/-	18144	+/-
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Yes	61	6.8	66	17.6	55	12.2	62	22.9	53	15.2	77	11.6	62	11.4
No	14	4.2	5	5.1	23	10.8	5	4.3	26	13.8	5	3.4	10	5.6
Not applicable/did														
not need to	22	5.9	27	17.4	17	7.8	30	22.6	16	11.2	18	11.2	25	10.5
Don't know	3	2.3	2	-	3	3.5	0	-	4	7.6	1	-	3	3.0
Refused	0	-	0	-	2	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-	100	-

Total may not sum to 100% due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff (n=741). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q74E in the paper questionnaire.