# Appendix to National Survey of Employers 2015/16 Summary Findings - Methodology and Tables ${ }^{1}$ 

## 1. Survey method

The target population for the NSE is New Zealand businesses (at the geographic establishment level) employing one or more staff (in addition to the owner). The sample for the 2015/16 survey was drawn from Statistics New Zealand’s 2015 Business Frame of New Zealand businesses. The sampling scheme involved selecting a probability sample, based on the available number of businesses in each of six target industry groups, broken down by the number of employees (at the establishment level) across four size band groupings. In total, 7,354 'unique' records were selected from Statistics New Zealand's Business Frame (using a random stratified sampling approach) and provided to Research New Zealand as the survey sample.

Research New Zealand cleaned the sample records provided by Statistics New Zealand and checked it for duplicate records in preparation for tele-matching. As a result, 370 duplicate records (based upon the entity legal name and address fields) were removed prior to telematching, along with three geographic units that were listed as offices of MBIE, leaving a total of 6,981 geographic units.

As the Business Frame does not include business telephone numbers, Research New Zealand undertook a multi-stage tele-matching process to find telephone numbers for all sample records, with a successful match rate of 78 per cent. Attempts were made to contact all businesses with a matched telephone number $(5,417)$ to identify the name of the owner or manager who would be most appropriate to be interviewed for the survey.

Research New Zealand then sent letters to the 3,749 named respondents as identified from the pre-calling stage, inviting them to participate in the survey. To improve the survey's contact/response rate, survey invitation letters were also sent to 837 businesses, where the telematching exercise had been successful but a named respondent was not identified during precalling (eg the gatekeeper either refused to provide the name of a senior manager/owner of the business or said that the person in question was not available to do the survey).

The survey invitation letters outlined the purpose of the survey and advised respondents that they could opt to be interviewed by telephone, complete a paper version of the questionnaire (included with all survey invitation letters), or complete the survey online. To facilitate online completion, the letter contained the survey's URL (hosted on Research New Zealand's secure website), and each potential respondent was issued with a unique login and password to ensure that a business could only complete the survey once.

The letters were addressed to the business owner or manager, and explained Research New Zealand's involvement as an independent market research company. The letter also advised respondents that participation was voluntary and on a confidential basis, and that results would be reported as aggregated statistics, with verbatim comments anonymised.

The letter advised potential respondents that an interviewer from Research New Zealand would call them to ask if they would like to participate in the survey and to make an appointment for the interview at a time convenient for the respondent. These follow-up calls were only to those

[^0]respondents who had not subsequently mailed a completed paper questionnaire back to Research New Zealand or completed the survey online.

Surveying was undertaken between the dates of 15 September 2015 and 10 March 2016 - with surveying suspended between 9 December and 14 January, due to the known trend of increased refusal rates during the Christmas and New Year holiday period. Contact was attempted a minimum of eight times with each sampled business that had not completed the online or paper version of the survey by a predetermined date, after which the business was deemed as unavailable to participate in the survey. Contact attempts were made on different days of the week and during different hours of the day to try and maximise survey response rates.

In total, $n=1,528$ businesses completed the survey by the close off date ( $\mathrm{n}=381$ by telephone, $n=766$ on paper and $n=381$ via the online version of the survey). The average telephone interview length was just over 19 minutes.

In some cases, respondents who completed the paper questionnaire did not answer all of the survey questions. It is not known whether this was because they did not know the answer to a particular question, chose not to answer it, or overlooked the question when completing the survey. Where a respondent did not answer a particular question, the respondent is not included in the total base number of respondents in the tables in section 4 . This means that base numbers vary in the tables due to non-response. Where this occurs it is noted in the table footnote.

## 2. Weighting and response rate

The achieved sample of 1,528 businesses was weighted to adjust for the sampling scheme and any non-response biases among businesses within any of the 24 different sampling strata. This involved calculating a sampling weight that reflected the probability of selection in each stratum based on the six different industry and four employee band groupings, where the weight was equal to the number of establishments in each stratum on the Business Frame divided by the number of respondents in each stratum.

Tables A below and Table B overleaf provide the unweighted and final weighted frequency counts of the achieved sample by industry grouping and the reported number of employees working at the business site. The contact/response rate for all tele-matched business where there was both a successful pre-call outcome and a letter was sent to the business (ie for the 4,586 businesses sent a survey invitation letter) was 36 per cent.

Table A: Achieved sample - Unweighted frequency counts: Industry group by reported number of employees at the business site

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANZSIC Description by Reported Number of Employees | $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}+$ | Grand <br> Total |
| A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 61 | 48 | 36 | 29 | 174 |
| C - Manufacturing | 48 | 64 | 57 | 93 | 262 |
| E - Construction | 33 | 48 | 63 | 76 | 220 |
| G + H - Retail Trade and Accommodation \& Food Services | 41 | 52 | 45 | 74 | 212 |
| Q - Health Care \& Social Assistance | 35 | 83 | 61 | 74 | 253 |
| D, B, G,I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, R, S - All Other Industries | 89 | 82 | 91 | 145 | 407 |
| Grand Total | 307 | 377 | 353 | 491 | 1528 |

[^1]Table B: Achieved sample - Weighted frequency counts: Industry group by reported number of employees at the business site

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANZSIC Description by Reported Number of Employees | $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}+$ | Grand <br> Total |
| A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 17,007 | 3,948 | 669 | 213 | $\mathbf{2 1 , 8 3 7}$ |
| C - Manufacturing | 6,144 | 4,026 | 1,437 | 789 | 12,396 |
| E - Construction | 14,613 | 4,323 | 996 | 360 | 19,998 |
| G + H - Retail Trade, and Accommodation \& Food Services | 18,261 | 12,333 | 2,352 | 999 | 33,945 |
| Q - Health Care \& Social Assistance | 5,469 | 3,195 | 1,047 | 759 | 10,470 |
| D, B, G,I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, R, S - All Other Industries | 49,221 | 21,180 | 6,135 | 3,312 | 79,848 |
| Grand Total | 110,421 | 49,005 | 12,636 | 6,432 | 178,494 |

* Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification.


## 3. Sampling errors

Table C overleaf provides details of the survey respondents' unweighted business demographic characteristics (industry group and size of business, ie reported number of employees) and the associated maximum sampling error estimate, at the 95 per cent confidence level, for each subgroup. Tables D, E and F provide estimated margins of error (MoEs) for various proportions of 10 to 50 per cent for sub-samples of the population based on industry group and size groups.

The estimated maximum MoEs associated with the total sample and each sub-sample take into account a design effect coefficient (deft) that has been calculated based on the distribution of the final weights of each sub-sample. These indicative MoEs are provided as a 'guideline' to show the degree of accuracy likely to be associated with different survey findings, for both the total sample and key sub-samples of interest. More accurate finding-specific MoEs for results for the total sample, and by business size and industry, can be found in the result tables that follow.

Table C: Maximum margins of error (95 per cent confidence level)

|  | Sample size | Percent of total sample \% | SRS Max <br> Margin of Error for a 50\% result* \% | Design effect coefficient (deft) | Adjusted Max Margin of Error** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry type |  |  |  |  |  |
| A - Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 174 | 11.4 | 7.4 | 1.363 | 10.1 |
| C-Manufacturing | 262 | 17.1 | 6.1 | 1.360 | 8.2 |
| E-Construction | 220 | 14.4 | 6.6 | 1.911 | 12.6 |
| G + H - Retail Trade, and Accommodation \& Food Services | 212 | 13.9 | 6.7 | 1.437 | 9.7 |
| Q - Health Care \& Social Assistance | 253 | 16.6 | 6.2 | 1.523 | 9.4 |
| D, B, G,I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, R, S - <br> All Other Industries | 407 | 26.6 | 4.9 | 1.456 | 7.1 |
| Number of reported employees at site |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 5 | 307 | 20.1 | 5.6 | 1.099 | 6.1 |
| 6 to 19 | 377 | 24.7 | 5.0 | 1.221 | 6.2 |
| 20 to 49 | 353 | 23.1 | 5.2 | 1.170 | 6.1 |
| $50+$ | 491 | 32.1 | 4.4 | 1.127 | 5.0 |
| Total | 1528 | 100 | 2.5 | 1.671 | 4.2 |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent due to rounding.
*Maximum margins of error (MoE) assuming a Simple Random Sample (SRS). The MoE is calculated using the adjacent formula, where $\mathrm{n}=$ the achieved sample size for the sub-group, $p=50$ percent, and $\mathrm{z}=1.96$
$z \times \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$
(which corresponds to a 95 percent confidence level).
${ }^{* *}$ The SRS margin of error is multiplied by the design effect coefficient (deft) to get the adjusted maximum margin of error.

Table D: Estimated margins of error for proportional responses - industry grouping*

| Proportional <br> response | Total <br> sample | Agriculture <br>  <br> Fishing | Manufacturing |  | Retail Trade, and <br> Accommodation <br> Base $=$ | 1528 | 174 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* Estimated margins of error have been calculated based on the relevant survey design effect and sample size.

Table E: Estimated margins of error for proportional responses - establishment size groups*

| Proportional <br> response | Total <br> sample | $\mathbf{1}$ to 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 ~ + ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base = | $\mathbf{1 5 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7 \wedge}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 7 \wedge}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3 \wedge}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 1}$ |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $4.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $4.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $3.8 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

* Estimated margins of error have been calculated based on the relevant survey design effect and sample size.
${ }^{\wedge}$ Note: The similarities in estimated MoEs for businesses with 1 to 5,6 to 19 and 20 to 49 staff are a coincidence arising from the respective design effects for each sub-sample when applied to the relevant SRS margin of errors for an unweighted sub-sample of each group's respective size.

Table F: Estimated margins of error for proportional responses - collapsed establishment size groups*

| Proportional <br> response | Total <br> sample | $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 +}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base = | $\mathbf{1 5 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 4 \wedge}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 4}^{\wedge}$ |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0} \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |

[^2]
## 4. Tables of results

### 4.1 General notes to using tables

The following tables present results (proportions based on weighted frequencies) from the 2015/16 NSE. The first set of tables (section 4.2) shows results by establishment size (number of employees), allowing for comparisons of results between smaller (less than 20 employees) and larger businesses ( 20 plus employees), along with more detailed comparisons by four categories of establishment size: 1 to 5 employees, 6 to 19 employees, 20 to 49 employees and 50 plus employees. The second set of tables below (section 4.3) presents results from the 2015/16 NSE by industry groupings (as aggregated for sampling and reporting purposes).

Margins of error (MoEs) at the 95 per cent confidence level are provided in the tables alongside the relevant survey result. By adding/subtracting the MoE to the survey result, a confidence interval can be constructed for each result. Differences in the results are statistically significant where the confidence intervals for the survey results do not overlap. For example, in Table 1 the result of 29 per cent (for the proportion of smaller employers whose organisation operates from more than one site) has an MoE of +/-4.1 per cent, or a confidence interval of 24.9 per cent to 33.1 per cent, ie, we can be 95 per cent confident that the true value for the proportion of smaller employers in the total population whose organisations operate from more than one site is between 24.9 per cent and 33.1 per cent.

Again in Table 1, the result of 54 per cent (for the proportion of larger employers whose organisation operates from more than one site) has an MoE of $+/-4.3$ per cent, or a confidence interval of 49.7 per cent to 58.3 per cent, ie, we can be 95 per cent confident that the true value for the proportion of larger employers in the total population whose organisations operate from more than one site is between 49.7 per cent and 58.3 per cent. These two confidence intervals do not overlap so we can say that there is a statistically significant difference between these two survey results, ie, larger employers were significantly more likely to operate from more than one site than smaller employers.

These tables exclude non-responses to questions in the paper version of the questionnaire. Therefore the unweighted and weighted bases vary between tables even where the question was asked of all respondents.

MoEs for results greater than 97 per cent and less than 3 per cent have been suppressed because they cannot be accurately calculated where the proportions are close to 0 and 100 per cent. ${ }^{2}$ Where a proportion is between 3 per cent and 97 per cent, but no MoE is provided, this is due to there being only one business type strata represented by the cell in question, and therefore sampling errors cannot be accurately calculated for the proportion.

Results for unweighted sub-samples of less than 30 respondents should be treated as indicative only. MoEs have been suppressed for all results relating to these small sub-samples.

Due to the data being weighted by industry group and size, it is not possible to derive the base number for any questions based on sub-samples by using the reported proportions in an earlier table.

Please also note that:

- Tables may not add up to 100 per cent due to rounding.
- The notes below the tables indicate whenever data is presented as a subset of a wider set of data (also note the lower unweighted and weighted bases).
- The survey questions are presented here with the exact wording used in the questionnaire.

[^3]
### 4.2 Tables by business size

Table 1:
Q1. First of all, does your organisation operate from more than one site in New Zealand?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q1 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 2:
Q2. How many employees are employed at the business site you currently work from? Please include full-time and part-time employees but do not include contractors or the business owners.


[^4]*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q 2 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 3:
X2. Business size (collapsed - 4 categories)

|  | Total | $1-19$ <br> employees | $20+$ <br> employees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base $=$ | 1528 | 684 | 844 |
| Weighted base $=$ | 178494 | 159426 | 19068 |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| $1-5$ | 62 | 69 | 0 |
| $6-19$ | 27 | 31 | 0 |
| $20-49$ | 7 | 0 | 66 |
| $50+$ | 4 | 0 | 34 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only. X2 - Business Size is a constructed variable based on Q2: values for non-respondents to Q2 were derived from sample data.

Table 4:
Region (from sample)

|  | Total |  | 1-19 <br> employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1528 |  | 684 |  | 844 |  | 307 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178494 | +/- | 159426 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Northland | 4 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.5 | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.2 | 1 | - |
| Auckland | 26 | 3.6 | 25 | 4.1 | 30 | 3.8 | 25 | 5.3 | 26 | 5.4 | 28 | 5.3 | 35 | 4.6 |
| Waikato | 8 | 2.2 | 8 | 2.5 | 10 | 2.5 | 8 | 3.3 | 8 | 3.4 | 10 | 3.5 | 9 | 2.8 |
| Bay of Plenty | 5 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.0 | 5 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.6 | 6 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.5 | 6 | 2.2 |
| Gisborne | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Hawke's Bay | 4 | 1.4 | 4 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.8 | 6 | 2.9 | 4 | 2.5 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Taranaki | 4 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.1 | 4 | 2.4 | 2 | - |
| ManawatuWhanganui | 8 | 2.3 | 8 | 2.6 | 6 | 2.2 | 10 | 3.7 | 4 | 2.0 | 6 | 3.1 | 5 | 2.1 |
| Wellington | 9 | 2.5 | 10 | 2.8 | 9 | 2.2 | 10 | 3.7 | 10 | 3.7 | 6 | 2.8 | 14 | 3.5 |
| Tasman | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Nelson | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Marlborough | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2.1 | 1 | - |
| West Coast | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Canterbury | 15 | 2.9 | 15 | 3.2 | 16 | 3.2 | 14 | 4.2 | 17 | 4.7 | 17 | 4.6 | 12 | 3.0 |
| Otago | 6 | 2.0 | 6 | 2.3 | 6 | 2.2 | 6 | 3.0 | 6 | 2.8 | 6 | 3.2 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Southland | 5 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.1 | 2 | - | 5 | 2.8 | 4 | 2.4 | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Other | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 5:
Q3. And for how long has your business been operating in New Zealand? READ IF NECESSARY

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1523* |  | 681 |  | 842 |  | 306 |  | 375 |  | 352 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178090 | +/- | 159046 | +/- | 19044 | +/- | 110142 | +/- | 48904 | +/- | 12620 | +/- | 6424 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Less than 2 years | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.7 | 1 | - | 4 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.1 | 0 | - | 2 | - |
| 2 to less than 6 years | 15 | 3.2 | 17 | 3.6 | 6 | 2.0 | 20 | 4.9 | 10 | 3.5 | 6 | 2.9 | 5 | 2.3 |
| 6 to less than 10 years | 15 | 3.1 | 16 | 3.5 | 6 | 2.0 | 17 | 4.6 | 14 | 4.4 | 7 | 2.9 | 4 | 1.6 |
| 10 years or more | 66 | 4.0 | 64 | 4.5 | 87 | 2.9 | 59 | 6.0 | 73 | 5.4 | 86 | 4.1 | 88 | 3.0 |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q3 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 6:

Q4. The next few questions are about health and safety systems and practices in your business. Who provides health and safety expertise and leadership in your business? CODE MANY. PROBE TO NO

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527 |  | 683 |  | 844 |  | 307 |  | 376 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178236 | +/- | 159168 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 48747 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Management or the business owner | 79 | 3.3 | 80 | 3.7 | 69 | 3.8 | 81 | 4.9 | 79 | 4.9 | 74 | 5.2 | 60 | 4.7 |
| Your Human <br> Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department | 7 | 1.9 | 5 | 2.1 | 20 | 3.3 | 4 | 2.6 | 8 | 3.2 | 14 | 4.3 | 32 | 4.6 |
| A health and safety specialist within the business | 10 | 2.1 | 7 | 2.3 | 34 | 3.9 | 6 | 2.9 | 12 | 3.8 | 27 | 5.3 | 46 | 4.8 |
| Head office | 6 | 1.8 | 6 | 2.0 | 14 | 2.9 | 3 | 2.3 | 10 | 3.9 | 11 | 3.9 | 20 | 3.7 |
| Employee health and safety reps or a Health and Safety Committee | 16 | 2.5 | 12 | 2.8 | 48 | 4.2 | 8 | 3.4 | 20 | 4.8 | 44 | 5.9 | 56 | 4.8 |
| A contracted health and safety specialist from outside the business | 10 | 2.1 | 9 | 2.4 | 19 | 3.5 | 7 | 2.8 | 16 | 4.3 | 21 | 4.9 | 16 | 3.6 |
| An industry group or association | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 1.7 | 11 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.9 | 9 | 3.3 | 11 | 3.7 | 10 | 2.9 |
| Any other provider (Specify) | 4 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.9 | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.0 |
| No one | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.8 | 0 | - | 4 | 2.5 | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 4 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 7:

Q5. If you wanted more expert advice for your business on health and safety than you currently receive, do you know where you would get this advice from?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 683 |  | 844 |  | 306 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178049 | +/- | 158981 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 109976 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 83 | 3.3 | 82 | 3.7 | 94 | 2.2 | 79 | 5.0 | 88 | 4.1 | 94 | 3.1 | 94 | 2.4 |
| No | 12 | 2.9 | 13 | 3.2 | 4 | 1.8 | 14 | 4.3 | 10 | 3.7 | 5 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.7 |
| Don't know | 5 | 1.9 | 5 | 2.2 | 2 | - | 7 | 3.0 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 5 in the paper questionnaire

Table 8:
Q6. To what extent do owners or directors of this business make decisions about the business's health and safety policies and systems? Would you say: READ

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 683 |  | 844 |  | 307 |  | 376 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178456 | +/- | 159388 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 48967 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| A lot | 70 | 3.8 | 71 | 4.2 | 67 | 4.1 | 71 | 5.5 | 71 | 5.7 | 69 | 5.6 | 63 | 4.7 |
| Somewhat | 16 | 3.0 | 15 | 3.3 | 20 | 3.4 | 14 | 4.3 | 19 | 4.9 | 18 | 4.6 | 24 | 4.2 |
| A little | 12 | 2.8 | 12 | 3.2 | 10 | 2.7 | 14 | 4.3 | 9 | 3.6 | 9 | 3.8 | 11 | 3.0 |
| Not at all | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 6 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 9:
Q7. Does your business have a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 683 |  | 844 |  | 306 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177941 | +/- | 158873 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 109868 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 82 | 3.5 | 80 | 3.9 | 97 | 1.7 | 77 | 5.3 | 88 | 4.2 | 96 | 2.5 | 97 | 1.7 |
| No | 15 | 3.3 | 17 | 3.6 | 3 | 1.7 | 20 | 5.0 | 10 | 4.0 | 4 | 2.5 | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 7 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 10:
Q8. Is this hazard management system documented?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $20+$ employe |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1406* |  | 584 |  | 822 |  | 238 |  | 346 |  | 342 |  | 480 |  |
| Weighted base= | 144240* | +/- | 125921 | +/- | 18318 | +/- | 82576 | +/- | 43346 | +/- | 12088 | +/- | 6230 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 82 | 3.6 | 80 | 4.1 | 96 | 1.8 | 76 | 5.9 | 89 | 4.2 | 95 | 2.6 | 98 | - |
| No | 17 | 3.5 | 19 | 4.0 | 3 | 1.6 | 23 | 5.8 | 10 | 4.1 | 4 | 2.4 | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

[^5]
## Table 11:

Q9. Does this hazard management system involve having regular meetings to discuss hazards?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employé } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1406* |  | 583 |  | 823 |  | 238 |  | 345 |  | 343 |  | 480 |  |
| Weighted base= | 144399* | +/- | 126014 | +/- | 18386 | +/- | 82731 | +/- | 43283 | +/- | 12155 | +/- | 6230 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 71 | 4.1 | 69 | 4.6 | 87 | 3.3 | 66 | 6.4 | 75 | 5.7 | 83 | 4.8 | 93 | 2.7 |
| No | 27 | 4.0 | 29 | 4.5 | 13 | 3.3 | 31 | 6.3 | 23 | 5.5 | 17 | 4.8 | 6 | 2.5 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q9 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 12:
Q10. And does it involve having a nominated person who deals with hazard management?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $20+$ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1406* |  | 584 |  | 822 |  | 238 |  | 346 |  | 342 |  | 480 |  |
| Weighted base= | 144410* | +/- | 126076 | +/- | 18333 | +/- | 82731 | +/- | 43346 | +/- | 12103 | +/- | 6230 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 80 | 3.6 | 79 | 4.1 | 89 | 2.8 | 78 | 5.7 | 80 | 5.1 | 89 | 3.9 | 88 | 3.1 |
| No | 18 | 3.5 | 19 | 4.0 | 11 | 2.8 | 20 | 5.4 | 18 | 5.0 | 11 | 3.9 | 11 | 3.0 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q10 in the paper questionnaire

Table 13:
Q11. When a new hazard is identified or an existing hazard changes, is this recorded in the system?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1408* |  | 585 |  | 823 |  | 239 |  | 346 |  | 343 |  | 480 |  |
| Weighted base= | 144741* | +/- | 126355 | +/- | 18386 | +/- | 83010 | +/- | 43346 | +/- | 12155 | +/- | 6230 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 79 | 3.8 | 77 | 4.4 | 95 | 2.0 | 73 | 6.1 | 84 | 5.0 | 94 | 2.9 | 97 | 1.8 |
| No | 19 | 3.7 | 21 | 4.2 | 3 | 1.6 | 24 | 5.9 | 15 | 4.8 | 4 | 2.3 | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q11 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 14:
Q7_8_11. Proportion of all employers with a documented hazard management system that was actively used ('yes' to Q7, Q8 and 11 out of all employers)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8 and Q11 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

Table 15:
Q12. Does your business have a documented process for investigating workplace accidents?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 681 |  | 843 |  | 305 |  | 376 |  | 353 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177684 | +/- | 158639 | +/- | 19045 | +/- | 109697 | +/- | 48942 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6409 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 66 | 4.0 | 63 | 4.5 | 92 | 2.5 | 56 | 6.1 | 79 | 5.2 | 91 | 3.5 | 95 | 2.4 |
| No | 31 | 4.0 | 34 | 4.4 | 6 | 2.3 | 40 | 6.0 | 19 | 5.0 | 8 | 3.3 | 3 | 1.8 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 12 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 16:
Q13. Does your business have health and safety inductions for all new employees?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 681 |  | 843 |  | 304 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177591 | +/- | 158546 | +/- | 19045 | +/- | 109541 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6409 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 75 | 3.8 | 72 | 4.3 | 93 | 2.4 | 69 | 5.7 | 80 | 5.2 | 92 | 3.3 | 93 | 2.7 |
| No | 24 | 3.7 | 26 | 4.2 | 7 | 2.3 | 29 | 5.6 | 17 | 4.9 | 7 | 3.3 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 13 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 17:
Q14. Is a record kept of every health and safety induction for new employees?

|  | Total |  | $\overline{1-19}$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employeє } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1334* |  | 536 |  | 798 |  | 217 |  | 319 |  | 329 |  | 469 |  |
| Weighted base= | 131846* | +/- | 114287 | +/- | 17559 | +/- | 75489 | +/- | 38798 | +/- | 11578 | +/- | 5981 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 71 | 4.2 | 69 | 4.8 | 86 | 3.2 | 66 | 6.6 | 75 | 5.9 | 86 | 4.5 | 86 | 3.6 |
| No | 24 | 3.9 | 26 | 4.5 | 11 | 2.9 | 29 | 6.2 | 20 | 5.5 | 11 | 4.1 | 11 | 3.3 |
| Don't know | 4 | 2.0 | 5 | 2.3 | 3 | 1.7 | 5 | 3.2 | 4 | 2.7 | 3 | 2.4 | 3 | 1.9 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has health and safety inductions for all new employees ( $\mathrm{n}=1339$ ). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q14 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 18:
Q13_14. Health and safety inductions for all new employees and records kept (yes to Qs 13 and 14 out of all employers)

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1519 |  | 678 |  | 841 |  | 303 |  | 375 |  | 351 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177081 | +/- | 158121 | +/- | 18961 | +/- | 109413 | +/- | 48708 | +/- | 12551 | +/- | 6409 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 53 | 4.1 | 50 | 4.6 | 80 | 3.6 | 46 | 6.1 | 60 | 6.1 | 79 | 5.0 | 80 | 4.0 |
| No | 47 | 4.1 | 50 | 4.6 | 20 | 3.6 | 54 | 6.1 | 40 | 6.1 | 21 | 5.0 | 20 | 4.0 |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Would rather not say | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q13.

## Table 19:

Aggregate H \& S compliance measure 1 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H\&S inductions for all new employees with records kept of every induction.

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1511* |  | 673 |  | 838 |  | 299 |  | 374 |  | 350 |  | 488 |  |
| Weighted base= | 174836* | +/- | 155965 | +/- | 18871 | +/- | 107320 | +/- | 48645 | +/- | 12484 | +/- | 6387 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 44 | 4.0 | 41 | 4.5 | 75 | 3.9 | 36 | 5.9 | 51 | 6.1 | 73 | 5.5 | 78 | 4.2 |
| No | 51 | 4.1 | 54 | 4.6 | 21 | 3.7 | 59 | 6.1 | 44 | 6.1 | 23 | 5.2 | 17 | 3.9 |
| Don't know | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.3 | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

## Table 20:

Q15. Does your business use any contractors or subcontractors to do any work for the business?

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-19 \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1526* |  | 683 |  | 843 |  | 306 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178026 | +/- | 158981 | +/- | 19045 | +/- | 109976 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6409 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 63 | 4.0 | 60 | 4.5 | 89 | 2.7 | 56 | 6.0 | 69 | 5.7 | 89 | 3.8 | 90 | 3.1 |
| No | 36 | 4.0 | 38 | 4.4 | 11 | 2.7 | 42 | 5.9 | 30 | 5.6 | 11 | 3.8 | 10 | 3.1 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q 15 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 21:
Q16. Do all your contractors and subcontractors receive a health and safety induction?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employet } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1206* |  | 444 |  | 762 |  | 170 |  | 274 |  | 315 |  | 447 |  |
| Weighted base= | 112385* | +/- | 95379 | +/- | 17006 | +/- | 61358 | +/- | 34021 | +/- | 11257 | +/- | 5749 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 53 | 4.9 | 50 | 5.7 | 67 | 4.3 | 50 | 8.0 | 51 | 7.0 | 61 | 6.1 | 78 | 4.3 |
| No | 41 | 4.9 | 43 | 5.7 | 28 | 4.2 | 42 | 8.0 | 44 | 7.1 | 34 | 6.0 | 16 | 3.8 |
| Don't know | 6 | 2.6 | 7 | 3.0 | 5 | 1.8 | 8 | 4.4 | 5 | 2.9 | 4 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business uses any contractors or subcontractors ( $\mathrm{n}=1207$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q16 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 22:
Q15_16. Proportion of employers with contactors and where all contractors receive H\&S inductions (yes to Qs 15 and 16 out of all employers)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q15.

## Table 23:

Aggregate H \& S compliance measure 2 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H\&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- H\&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business).

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1511* |  | 673 |  | 838 |  | 299 |  | 374 |  | 350 |  | 488 |  |
| Weighted base= | 174836* | +/- | 155965 | +/- | 18871 | +/- | 107320 | +/- | 48645 | +/- | 12484 | +/- | 6387 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 36 | 3.9 | 33 | 4.3 | 60 | 4.2 | 29 | 5.6 | 40 | 5.9 | 55 | 5.9 | 68 | 4.5 |
| No | 60 | 4.0 | 63 | 4.5 | 36 | 4.2 | 66 | 5.9 | 56 | 6.0 | 40 | 5.9 | 26 | 4.4 |
| Don't know | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.7 | 2 | - | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.1 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

## Table 24:

Q17. Does your business have a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 682 |  | 842 |  | 305 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 489 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177724 | +/- | 158702 | +/- | 19022 | +/- | 109697 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6386 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 51 | 4.1 | 48 | 4.6 | 78 | 3.8 | 45 | 6.1 | 54 | 6.0 | 75 | 5.4 | 83 | 3.7 |
| No | 46 | 4.2 | 49 | 4.6 | 19 | 3.6 | 52 | 6.1 | 41 | 6.0 | 22 | 5.1 | 13 | 3.4 |
| Don't know | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.4 | 2 | - | 4 | 2.6 | 2 | - | 3 | 1.8 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

## Table 25:

Aggregate H \& S compliance measure 3 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H\&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- H\&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business)
- a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety.

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employee |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1510* |  | 673 |  | 837 |  | 299 |  | 374 |  | 350 |  | 487 |  |
| Weighted base= | 174813* | +/- | 155965 | +/- | 18848 | +/- | 107320 | +/- | 48645 | +/- | 12484 | +/- | 6364 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 30 | 3.7 | 27 | 4.1 | 54 | 4.2 | 24 | 5.3 | 34 | 5.6 | 50 | 5.9 | 63 | 4.7 |
| No | 65 | 3.9 | 68 | 4.3 | 41 | 4.2 | 71 | 5.7 | 61 | 5.8 | 46 | 6.0 | 31 | 4.6 |
| Don't know | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.7 | 3 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.1 | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 and Q17 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

Table 26:
Q18. Does your business have any of the following? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1521* |  | 679 |  | 842 |  | 304 |  | 375 |  | 353 |  | 489 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177134 | +/- | 158111 | +/- | 19022 | +/- | 109251 | +/- | 48860 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6386 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Elected health and safety representatives | 31 | 3.7 | 28 | 4.1 | 61 | 4.2 | 24 | 5.3 | 36 | 5.8 | 56 | 6.0 | 72 | 4.4 |
| Informal health and safety representatives | 35 | 3.9 | 35 | 4.3 | 41 | 4.2 | 32 | 5.7 | 41 | 6.0 | 41 | 5.9 | 41 | 4.7 |
| A health and safety committee | 18 | 2.5 | 13 | 2.7 | 67 | 4.1 | 7 | 3.2 | 26 | 5.2 | 58 | 5.9 | 85 | 3.6 |
| A regular system for health and safety communications | 45 | 4.0 | 42 | 4.5 | 74 | 3.9 | 37 | 5.9 | 51 | 6.1 | 71 | 5.5 | 79 | 4.0 |
| Health and safety is included as a regular team meeting item | 55 | 4.2 | 52 | 4.6 | 76 | 3.9 | 48 | 6.1 | 62 | 6.0 | 74 | 5.5 | 81 | 4.0 |
| None of the above | 22 | 3.6 | 24 | 4.1 | 3 | 1.6 | 29 | 5.6 | 13 | 4.3 | 3 | 2.3 | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q18 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 27:
Q19. In the last 12 months, has your business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1522* |  | 679 |  | 843 |  | 305 |  | 374 |  | 353 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177550 | +/- | 158487 | +/- | 19063 | +/- | 109848 | +/- | 48639 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6427 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 34 | 3.6 | 31 | 4.0 | 58 | 4.2 | 27 | 5.2 | 42 | 5.8 | 56 | 6.0 | 60 | 4.7 |
| No | 63 | 3.7 | 66 | 4.1 | 40 | 4.2 | 71 | 5.4 | 55 | 6.0 | 42 | 5.9 | 37 | 4.6 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.4 | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q19 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 28:
Q20. Thinking about the biggest change made to your health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months, why did the business make this change or what prompted this change? CODE MANY. PROBE FOR CLEAR ANSWER


[^6]
## Table 29:

Q21. Do you agree or disagree that your business owners or managers have a good understanding of their obligations under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1522* |  | 680 |  | 842 |  | 306 |  | 374 |  | 351 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177642 | +/- | 158666 | +/- | 18975 | +/- | 109976 | +/- | 48691 | +/- | 12543 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Agree | 70 | 3.9 | 69 | 4.3 | 79 | 3.6 | 67 | 5.7 | 75 | 5.4 | 79 | 5.1 | 79 | 4.0 |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 17 | 3.1 | 18 | 3.5 | 14 | 3.1 | 17 | 4.5 | 18 | 4.8 | 14 | 4.3 | 15 | 3.5 |
| Disagree | 8 | 2.3 | 8 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.0 | 9 | 3.5 | 5 | 2.9 | 5 | 2.9 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Don't know | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.9 | 2 | - | 5 | 2.7 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q21 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 30:

Q22. Do you agree or disagree that government is effective at enforcing obligations for businesses under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 681 |  | 843 |  | 306 |  | 375 |  | 352 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177946 | +/- | 158904 | +/- | 19043 | +/- | 109976 | +/- | 48928 | +/- | 12611 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Agree | 45 | 4.1 | 44 | 4.6 | 50 | 4.3 | 43 | 6.1 | 47 | 6.1 | 49 | 6.0 | 52 | 4.8 |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 26 | 3.6 | 26 | 4.0 | 28 | 3.9 | 26 | 5.3 | 27 | 5.3 | 28 | 5.4 | 28 | 4.4 |
| Disagree | 11 | 2.7 | 12 | 3.0 | 9 | 2.5 | 12 | 4.0 | 11 | 3.9 | 9 | 3.5 | 10 | 2.9 |
| Don't know | 17 | 3.2 | 17 | 3.5 | 12 | 2.9 | 18 | 4.7 | 15 | 4.6 | 13 | 4.1 | 10 | 2.8 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q22 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 31:

Q23. Do you agree or disagree that having good health and safety systems is good for your business?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 682 |  | 842 |  | 306 |  | 376 |  | 351 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177966 | +/- | 158942 | +/- | 19024 | +/- | 109976 | +/- | 48967 | +/- | 12592 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Agree | 84 | 3.1 | 83 | 3.5 | 92 | 2.3 | 81 | 4.7 | 87 | 4.1 | 91 | 3.2 | 95 | 2.3 |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 11 | 2.6 | 11 | 2.9 | 6 | 2.1 | 11 | 3.8 | 11 | 3.8 | 7 | 2.9 | 5 | 2.2 |
| Disagree | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.7 | 1 | - | 4 | 2.3 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q23 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 32:

 knowledge, only work for your business and do not currently work for any other business? They are sometimes referred to as dependent contractors.

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 683 |  | 844 |  | 307 |  | 376 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178456 | +/- | 159388 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 48967 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 11 | 2.3 | 9 | 2.6 | 21 | 3.4 | 9 | 3.4 | 10 | 3.4 | 20 | 4.8 | 23 | 4.1 |
| No | 86 | 2.8 | 87 | 3.1 | 76 | 3.6 | 87 | 4.1 | 88 | 3.6 | 77 | 5.0 | 72 | 4.3 |
| Don't know | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 5 | 2.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 24 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 33:
Q25. Which of the following is the main reason for using dependent contractors in this business? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\underset{\text { employe }}{20+}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 266* |  | 77 |  | 189 |  | 30 |  | 47 |  | 74 |  | 115 |  |
| Weighted base= | 18912* | +/- | 14895 | +/- | 4017 | +/- | 10050 | +/- | 4845 | +/- | 2553 | +/- | 1464 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Fluctuating demand/seasonal work | 41 | 9.5 | 42 | 11.8 | 35 | 8.3 | 41 | 15.4 | 45 | 17.4 | 30 | 11.7 | 44 | 10.2 |
| To cover staff absence | 7 | 3.8 | 6 | 4.4 | 14 | 7.0 | 6 | 6.2 | 6 | 4.5 | 14 | 10.2 | 13 | 6.9 |
| Needing specific skills | 48 | 10.0 | 46 | 12.5 | 52 | 8.6 | 41 | 16.5 | 58 | 17.3 | 44 | 12.2 | 68 | 9.8 |
| It is typical practice in this profession | 9 | 6.7 | 9 | 8.3 | 9 | 6.6 | 8 | 11.2 | 10 | 10.7 | 13 | 10.2 | 2 | - |
| Preferred or requested by contractor; suits their availability | 7 | 5.8 | 7 | 7.3 | 8 | 5.2 | 7 | 10.4 | 8 | 6.1 | 9 | 7.5 | 7 | 5.7 |
| Contractors work harder/are more efficient | 3 | 5.3 | 4 | 6.7 | 1 | - | 6 | 10.0 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Or is there some other reason? (Specify) | 2 | - | 1 | - - | 7 | 5.6 | 0 | - - | 3 | 3.8 | 9 | 8.4 | 5 | 4.5 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 5 | 9.8 | 3 | 5.0 | 2 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business was using dependent contractors ( $n=266$ ).

Table 34:
Q26. Do all of your employees have a written employment agreement?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 683 |  | 844 |  | 306 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178215 | +/- | 159147 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 110142 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 91 | 2.4 | 90 | 2.7 | 99 | - | 89 | 3.6 | 94 | 3.0 | 98 | - | 100 | - |
| No | 7 | 2.2 | 8 | 2.5 | 1 | - | 9 | 3.4 | 5 | 2.7 | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q26 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 35:
Q27. Are any current employees in this business on casual agreements, with no guarantee of regular continuing work?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employeє } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1528 |  | 684 |  | 844 |  | 307 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178494 | +/- | 159426 | +/- | 19068 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 34 | 3.7 | 32 | 4.1 | 56 | 4.2 | 29 | 5.3 | 38 | 5.9 | 51 | 6.0 | 68 | 4.4 |
| No | 64 | 3.7 | 67 | 4.1 | 43 | 4.2 | 70 | 5.4 | 61 | 5.9 | 49 | 6.0 | 31 | 4.3 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 36:
Q28. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on casual agreements? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employee |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 751* |  | 248 |  | 503 |  | 93 |  | 155 |  | 181 |  | 322 |  |
| Weighted base= | 61224* | +/- | 50458 | +/- | 10765 | +/- | 31777 | +/- | 18681 | +/- | 6401 | +/- | 4365 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Fluctuating demand/seasonal work | 73 | 5.8 | 73 | 7.0 | 75 | 4.8 | 70 | 10.1 | 78 | 7.4 | 75 | 7.2 | 74 | 5.2 |
| To cover staff absence/parental leave | 28 | 5.3 | 24 | 6.4 | 44 | 5.4 | 17 | 8.5 | 36 | 9.1 | 38 | 8.1 | 51 | 5.8 |
| Needing specific skills | 11 | 4.1 | 11 | 4.9 | 12 | 3.9 | 11 | 6.9 | 10 | 6.0 | 13 | 6.0 | 10 | 3.7 |
| To check people's suitability for the job before considering them for further work | 7 | 3.2 | 7 | 3.8 | 5 | 2.4 | 8 | 5.5 | 6 | 4.2 | 6 | 3.8 | 4 | 2.1 |
| Employee's preference; suits employee availability | 7 | 3.3 | 7 | 3.9 | 8 | 3.1 | 7 | 5.6 | 7 | 4.7 | 8 | 4.8 | 7 | 3.1 |
| Or is there some other reason? (Specify) | 3 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.4 | 4 | 4.1 | 2 | - | 4 | 3.6 | 5 | 2.9 |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had employees on casual agreements ( $n=751$ ).

Table 37:
Q29. And are any current employees on fixed-term agreements?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employet } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 683 |  | 841 |  | 306 |  | 377 |  | 351 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178266 | +/- | 159298 | +/- | 18968 | +/- | 110293 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12543 | +/- | 6425 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 20 | 2.8 | 17 | 3.1 | 51 | 4.1 | 11 | 3.8 | 29 | 5.5 | 43 | 5.8 | 66 | 4.4 |
| No | 78 | 2.9 | 82 | 3.3 | 49 | 4.1 | 87 | 4.0 | 70 | 5.6 | 56 | 5.8 | 34 | 4.4 |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q29 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 38:
Q30. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on fixed-term agreements? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 577* |  | 132 |  | 445 |  | 35 |  | 97 |  | 139 |  | 306 |  |
| Weighted base= | 36233* | +/- | 26598 | +/- | 9634 | +/- | 12515 | +/- | 14083 | +/- | 5403 | +/- | 4231 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Fluctuating demand/seasonal work | 57 | 6.9 | 59 | 9.2 | 52 | 5.8 | 55 | 15.5 | 62 | 10.5 | 52 | 9.2 | 54 | 6.0 |
| To cover staff absence | 26 | 6.2 | 22 | 8.2 | 39 | 5.4 | 18 | 13.3 | 25 | 10.1 | 29 | 8.4 | 52 | 6.0 |
| Needing specific skills | 20 | 6.1 | 19 | 8.1 | 21 | 4.9 | 16 | 13.2 | 22 | 9.7 | 21 | 7.9 | 22 | 5.1 |
| To check people's suitability for the job before considering them for further work | 16 | 5.9 | 19 | 8.0 | 6 | 3.0 | 25 | 14.4 | 14 | 7.9 | 9 | 5.3 | 2 | - |
| For a specific project/funding limited to a specific project | 5 | 2.4 | 4 | 3.1 | 8 | 2.9 | 2 | - - | 6 | 5.2 | 5 | 4.3 | 10 | 3.7 |
| Or is there some other reason? (Specify) | 6 | 2.6 | 5 | 3.4 | 8 | 3.3 | 2 | - | 7 | 5.2 | 9 | 5.3 | 8 | 3.4 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 5.5 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees on fixed-term agreements ( $\mathrm{n}=577$ )

## Table 39:

Q31. Do any of your current employees have employment agreements requiring them to be available for work with no guarantee of minimum hours of work?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 684 |  | 843 |  | 307 |  | 377 |  | 352 |  | 491 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178469 | +/- | 159426 | +/- | 19043 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12611 | +/- | 6432 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 10 | 2.4 | 10 | 2.6 | 12 | 2.8 | 9 | 3.3 | 14 | 4.4 | 12 | 3.9 | 12 | 2.9 |
| No | 87 | 2.7 | 88 | 3.0 | 86 | 2.9 | 89 | 3.8 | 85 | 4.6 | 86 | 4.2 | 86 | 3.1 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 31 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 40:

Q32. Which of the following types of employment agreements are these employees on? READ. CODE MANY


[^7]
Q32 in the paper questionnaire
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

## Table 41:

Q33. The next questions are about minimum wages and payroll systems. Are any of your employees paid the adult minimum wage of $\$ 14.75$ per hour?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1526* |  | 684 |  | 842 |  | 307 |  | 377 |  | 352 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178418 | +/- | 159426 | +/- | 18992 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12569 | +/- | 6424 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 22 | 3.0 | 21 | 3.3 | 32 | 3.9 | 16 | 4.2 | 31 | 5.1 | 29 | 5.4 | 38 | 4.5 |
| No | 77 | 3.1 | 78 | 3.4 | 66 | 3.9 | 82 | 4.4 | 69 | 5.1 | 69 | 5.5 | 59 | 4.6 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1.7 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q33 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 42:


|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1525* |  | 684 |  | 841 |  | 307 |  | 377 |  | 351 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178349 | +/- | 159426 | +/- | 18923 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12501 | +/- | 6422 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 10 | 2.3 | 9 | 2.5 | 14 | 2.8 | 9 | 3.3 | 10 | 3.3 | 14 | 3.9 | 15 | 3.2 |
| No | 87 | 2.7 | 87 | 3.0 | 83 | 2.9 | 88 | 3.9 | 86 | 4.0 | 85 | 4.0 | 79 | 3.7 |
| Don't know | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.1 | 3 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.5 | 2 | - | 6 | 2.3 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q 34 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 43:

Q35. Are any of these employees paid the training minimum wage of $\$ 11.80$ per hour or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage?

|  | Total |  | $\overline{1-19}$ <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 224* |  | 74 |  | 150 |  | 26** |  | 48 |  | 60 |  | 90 |  |
| Weighted base= | 17210* | +/- | 14545 | +/- | 2665 | +/- | 9565 ** | +/- | 4980 | +/- | 1712 | +/- | 953 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 7 | 4.0 | 6 | 4.5 | 14 | 8.0 | 0 | - | 18 | 13.3 | 17 | 11.9 | 9 | 6.8 |
| No | 88 | 5.5 | 88 | 6.3 | 85 | 8.0 | 94 | 6.6 | 77 | 13.3 | 83 | 11.9 | 89 | 6.9 |
| Don't know | 5 | 4.3 | 5 | 5.1 | 1 | - | 6 | 6.6 | 5 | 7.6 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 20 years or over who were required to undertake recognised industry training of at least 60 credits a year ( $n=224$ ). ${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 44:
Q34_35. All employers who were paying the training minimum wage or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage (yes to Qs 34 and 35)


## Table 45:

Q36. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the training minimum wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 189* |  | 61 |  | 128 |  | 24** |  | 37 |  | 51 |  | 77 |  |
| Weighted base= | 15059* | +/- | 12819 | +/- | 2240 | +/- | 9007 ** | +/- | 3812 | +/- | 1399 | +/- | 841 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Unaware of training minimum wage | 10 | 7.7 | 10 | 8.9 | 10 | 7.5 | 8 | - | 16 | 14.2 | 12 | 11.6 | 6 | 4.5 |
| Unclear of criteria for applying the training minimum wage | 6 | 6.8 | 7 | 8.0 | 2 | - | 8 | - | 5 | 6.1 | 1 | - | 4 | 3.7 |
| It is unfair to pay less to employees of this age who are doing training | 52 | 13.2 | 51 | 15.4 | 61 | 10.8 | 45 | - | 64 | 17.7 | 59 | 15.9 | 64 | 11.6 |
| Administration costs outweigh potential savings | 5 | 6.6 | 5 | 7.7 | 4 | 4.4 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 6 | 7.1 | 1 | - |
| It would impact on our ability to attract high quality applicants | 30 | 11.3 | 28 | 13.1 | 46 | 10.9 | 27 | - | 29 | 15.7 | 52 | 16.3 | 35 | 10.3 |
| Employee's skill/experience/performance/value to the company is worth a higher wage | 9 | 7.8 | 10 | 9.1 | 8 | 5.1 | 10 | - | 9 | 12.4 | 9 | 7.8 | 5 | 3.5 |
| Company policy to not pay less than the adult minimum wage | 14 | 10.0 | 15 | 11.7 | 8 | 6.5 | 18 | - | 10 | 8.3 | 7 | 9.2 | 10 | 8.3 |
| Or is there some other reason? (Specify) | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.2 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
 questionnaire.
**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

## Table 46:

Q37. In the last 12 months has your business employed any workers aged 16 to 19 years?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1524* |  | 683 |  | 841 |  | 306 |  | 377 |  | 352 |  | 489 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178252 | +/- | 159270 | +/- | 18982 | +/- | 110265 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12569 | +/- | 6414 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 35 | 3.6 | 32 | 4.0 | 59 | 4.2 | 27 | 5.2 | 44 | 5.3 | 57 | 5.9 | 63 | 4.6 |
| No | 64 | 3.7 | 67 | 4.1 | 37 | 4.1 | 72 | 5.4 | 55 | 5.4 | 39 | 5.8 | 33 | 4.5 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1.9 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.8 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q37 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 47:

Q38. Are any of these employees paid the starting out wage of $\$ 11.80$ per hour?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $20+$ employe |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 766* |  | 236 |  | 530 |  | 77 |  | 159 |  | 197 |  | 333 |  |
| Weighted base= | 61313* | +/- | 50055 | +/- | 11258 | +/- | 28689 | +/- | 21367 | +/- | 7195 | +/- | 4063 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 9 | 3.7 | 9 | 4.4 | 8 | 2.9 | 8 | 6.6 | 10 | 5.5 | 7 | 4.2 | 9 | 2.9 |
| No | 90 | 4.0 | 89 | 4.9 | 91 | 3.0 | 89 | 7.5 | 90 | 5.5 | 92 | 4.4 | 90 | 3.0 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 4.1 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

[^8]Table 48:
Proportion of all employers who pay the starting out wage of $\$ 11.40$ per hour to any employees ('yes' to Q37 and Q38 out of all employers)

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-19 } \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1521* |  | 681 |  | 840 |  | 305 |  | 376 |  | 352 |  | 488 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177653* | +/- | 158678 | +/- | 18975 | +/- | 109712 | +/- | 48967 | +/- | 12569 | +/- | 6406 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 3 | 1.3 | 3 | 1.5 | 5 | 1.7 | 2 | - | 4 | 2.4 | 4 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.9 |
| No | 95 | 1.8 | 95 | 2.0 | 91 | 2.6 | 96 | 2.6 | 94 | 2.8 | 91 | 3.6 | 90 | 2.6 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 1.9 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 2.8 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q37 and Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 49:

Q39. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the starting out wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 681* |  | 211 |  | 470 |  | 69 |  | 142 |  | 181 |  | 289 |  |
| Weighted base= | 54503* | +/- | 44391 | +/- | 10112 | +/- | 25469 | +/- | 18922 | +/- | 6539 | +/- | 3573 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| No employees in this business meet the criteria for the starting out wage | 14 | 5.0 | 15 | 6.0 | 10 | 3.6 | 17 | 9.3 | 12 | 6.5 | 11 | 5.3 | 6 | 2.7 |
| Unaware of the starting out wage | 14 | 4.3 | 14 | 5.1 | 12 | 4.1 | 10 | 6.7 | 19 | 8.0 | 14 | 6.1 | 6 | 3.1 |
| Unclear of criteria for applying the starting out wage | 6 | 2.5 | 5 | 3.0 | 7 | 3.2 | 3 | 3.3 | 9 | 5.4 | 8 | 4.6 | 6 | 3.3 |
| It is unfair to pay less on the basis of age | 50 | 6.7 | 48 | 8.2 | 62 | 5.7 | 45 | 12.2 | 52 | 9.7 | 62 | 8.1 | 60 | 6.1 |
| Administration costs outweigh potential savings | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | - | 4 | 1.9 | 0 | - | 6 | 4.5 | 3 | 2.5 | 4 | 2.6 |
| It would impact on our ability to attract high quality applicants | 27 | 5.9 | 27 | 7.2 | 26 | 5.2 | 28 | 10.8 | 27 | 8.4 | 26 | 7.4 | 26 | 5.5 |
| Starting out wage is too low/want to pay staff more than the minimum wage | 3 | 1.9 | 3 | 2.3 | 4 | 1.9 | 3 | 3.8 | 2 | - | 3 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.8 |
| Employee's skill/experience/performance/value to the company is worth a higher wage | 5 | 2.7 | 6 | 3.3 | 4 | 2.1 | 5 | 4.8 | 7 | 4.1 | 4 | 2.8 | 5 | 3.1 |
| Or is there some other reason? (Specify) | 5 | 2.7 | 4 | 3.3 | 8 | 3.1 | 3 | 4.6 | 6 | 4.6 | 6 | 4.3 | 11 | 3.7 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 2 | - | 3 | 2.9 | 1 | - | 5 | 5.0 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.


## Table 50:

Q40. In response to an increase in minimum wage rates, does your business usually increase wages or salaries for employees who are already being paid above minimum wages?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1519* |  | 683 |  | 836 |  | 307 |  | 376 |  | 350 |  | 486 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178232 | +/- | 159363 | +/- | 18869 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 48942 | +/- | 12526 | +/- | 6343 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 54 | 4.1 | 54 | 4.6 | 57 | 4.3 | 54 | 6.1 | 54 | 6.1 | 57 | 5.9 | 58 | 4.8 |
| No | 40 | 4.0 | 40 | 4.5 | 39 | 4.2 | 40 | 5.9 | 41 | 5.9 | 39 | 5.9 | 37 | 4.7 |
| Don't know | 5 | 1.9 | 5 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.7 | 5 | 2.9 | 3 | 2.0 | 3 | 2.3 | 4 | 2.0 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q 40 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 51:

Q41. In June 2014, the period over which the pay of salaried employees must be assessed for compliance with the Minimum Wage Act changed from one week to two weeks. Were you aware of this change?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1517* |  | 681 |  | 836 |  | 306 |  | 375 |  | 349 |  | 487 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178001 | +/- | 159168 | +/- | 18833 | +/- | 110265 | +/- | 48904 | +/- | 12465 | +/- | 6368 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 26 | 3.6 | 25 | 3.9 | 36 | 4.1 | 23 | 5.1 | 31 | 5.7 | 34 | 5.8 | 41 | 4.8 |
| No | 68 | 3.8 | 70 | 4.2 | 59 | 4.3 | 72 | 5.4 | 64 | 5.9 | 61 | 5.9 | 54 | 4.8 |
| Don't know | 5 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.0 | 5 | 1.9 | 5 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.7 | 5 | 2.0 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q 41 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 52:

Q42. Thinking about the overall impact on your business of this change to legislation, has the change had a positive or negative impact on your business or no impact?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 484* |  | 169 |  | 315 |  | 65 |  | 104 |  | 118 |  | 197 |  |
| Weighted base= | 46624* | +/- | 39761 | +/- | 6863 | +/- | 24994 | +/- | 14767 | +/- | 4256 | +/- | 2607 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Positive impact | 12 | 5.3 | 12 | 6.2 | 12 | 4.3 | 15 | 9.2 | 8 | 6.0 | 12 | 6.2 | 13 | 5.1 |
| Negative impact | 10 | 4.6 | 11 | 5.4 | 3 | 2.7 | 11 | 7.5 | 10 | 7.0 | 3 | 3.8 | 5 | 3.3 |
| No impact | 74 | 7.0 | 73 | 8.1 | 80 | 5.2 | 71 | 11.6 | 75 | 9.6 | 81 | 7.5 | 78 | 6.2 |
| Don't know | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | - | 3 | 2.2 | 0 | - | 6 | 5.0 | 3 | 2.9 | 4 | 3.0 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 4.4 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the change to the Minimum Wage Act ( $\mathrm{n}=487$ ). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q42 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 53:

 hours in that period?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1523* |  | 681 |  | 842 |  | 306 |  | 375 |  | 353 |  | 489 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178207 | +/- | 159172 | +/- | 19035 | +/- | 110293 | +/- | 48879 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6399 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.4 | 2 | - |
| No | 95 | 1.8 | 95 | 2.0 | 94 | 2.1 | 95 | 2.6 | 96 | 2.5 | 94 | 2.9 | 92 | 2.5 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1.4 | 2 | - | 3 | 2.0 | 2 | - | 6 | 2.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q 43 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 54:

Q44. Does your business process pay in-house, or do you outsource your payroll requirements to an external provider?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1525* |  | 683 |  | 842 |  | 307 |  | 376 |  | 353 |  | 489 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178398 | +/- | 159363 | +/- | 19035 | +/- | 110421 | +/- | 48942 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6399 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| In-house | 75 | 3.7 | 75 | 4.1 | 77 | 3.8 | 75 | 5.4 | 73 | 5.5 | 76 | 5.3 | 78 | 4.2 |
| External provider | 22 | 3.5 | 22 | 3.9 | 22 | 3.7 | 21 | 5.1 | 24 | 5.4 | 22 | 5.2 | 21 | 4.1 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1.9 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to $Q 44$ in the paper questionnaire.

Table 55:
Q45. In the last 12 months has your payroll system been audited or checked for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\stackrel{20+}{20}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1209* |  | 522 |  | 687 |  | 238 |  | 284 |  | 287 |  | 400 |  |
| Weighted base= | 133314* | +/- | 118840 | +/- | 14475 | +/- | 82920 | +/- | 35919 | +/- | 9531 | +/- | 4943 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 39 | 4.5 | 38 | 5.0 | 51 | 4.7 | 32 | 6.5 | 50 | 7.1 | 48 | 6.6 | 57 | 5.3 |
| No | 43 | 4.6 | 45 | 5.1 | 22 | 4.0 | 53 | 6.8 | 28 | 6.2 | 25 | 5.7 | 17 | 4.0 |
| Don't know | 18 | 3.5 | 17 | 3.9 | 27 | 4.4 | 14 | 5.0 | 22 | 5.9 | 27 | 6.2 | 25 | 4.7 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 56:

Q46. In the last 12 months have you been advised by your payroll provider that your business's payroll system complies with the Holidays Act 2003?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 279* |  | 140 |  | 139 |  | 55 |  | 85 |  | 60 |  | 79 |  |
| Weighted base= | 38494* | +/- | 34378 | +/- | 4117 | +/- | 22464 | +/- | 11913 | +/- | 2788 | +/- | 1329 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 66 | 8.3 | 67 | 9.2 | 65 | 9.2 | 71 | 12.4 | 59 | 12.5 | 68 | 12.5 | 61 | 11.3 |
| No | 15 | 6.6 | 16 | 7.3 | 14 | 7.2 | 16 | 10.2 | 14 | 8.3 | 16 | 10.1 | 10 | 6.7 |
| Don't know | 18 | 6.3 | 18 | 7.0 | 21 | 7.3 | 13 | 8.9 | 26 | 11.4 | 16 | 9.4 | 30 | 10.7 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business outsources its payroll requirements to an external provider ( $n=284$ ). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q46 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 57:
Q47. Are you aware of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1494* |  | 665 |  | 829 |  | 295 |  | 370 |  | 346 |  | 483 |  |
| Weighted base= | 172205* | +/- | 153583 | +/- | 18622 | +/- | 105911 | +/- | 47672 | +/- | 12301 | +/- | 6322 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 27 | 3.8 | 27 | 4.2 | 28 | 3.8 | 26 | 5.5 | 30 | 5.8 | 26 | 5.3 | 32 | 4.5 |
| No | 67 | 4.0 | 68 | 4.4 | 65 | 4.1 | 69 | 5.8 | 65 | 6.0 | 68 | 5.6 | 61 | 4.7 |
| Don't know | 5 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.0 | 6 | 2.1 | 5 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.8 | 6 | 2.9 | 7 | 2.5 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.


## Table 58:

Q48. Has your business used the Ministry's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 426* |  | 171 |  | 255 |  | 75 |  | 96 |  | 97 |  | 158 |  |
| Weighted base= | 47228* | +/- | 42030 | +/- | 5198 | +/- | 27963 | +/- | 14067 | +/- | 3213 | +/- | 1985 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 54 | 7.8 | 56 | 8.7 | 38 | 6.9 | 56 | 11.8 | 55 | 11.4 | 33 | 9.9 | 46 | 8.4 |
| No | 38 | 7.5 | 38 | 8.4 | 41 | 7.6 | 36 | 11.3 | 41 | 11.4 | 46 | 11.3 | 32 | 7.8 |
| Don't know | 8 | 3.8 | 6 | 4.2 | 21 | 6.4 | 8 | 6.0 | 4 | 3.7 | 21 | 9.4 | 22 | 7.0 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
 questionnaire.

## Table 59:

 leave for employees on the birth or adoption of a child?

|  | Total |  | $\overline{1-19}$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1521* |  | 681 |  | 840 |  | 304 |  | 377 |  | 353 |  | 487 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177014 | +/- | 158005 | +/- | 19009 | +/- | 109000 | +/- | 49005 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6373 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 29 | 3.6 | 26 | 4.0 | 51 | 4.3 | 23 | 5.2 | 33 | 5.8 | 48 | 6.0 | 57 | 4.7 |
| No | 64 | 3.9 | 67 | 4.3 | 45 | 4.2 | 71 | 5.6 | 58 | 6.1 | 48 | 5.9 | 39 | 4.6 |
| Don't know | 6 | 2.1 | 7 | 2.4 | 4 | 1.8 | 6 | 3.0 | 8 | 3.6 | 4 | 2.5 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

## Table 60:

Q50. Have any of your employees returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years? This includes female and male employees.

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1517* |  | 678 |  | 839 |  | 304 |  | 374 |  | 351 |  | 488 |  |
| Weighted base= | 176427 | +/- | 157481 | +/- | 18946 | +/- | 108989 | +/- | 48492 | +/- | 12550 | +/- | 6396 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 24 | 3.2 | 20 | 3.5 | 57 | 4.2 | 15 | 4.5 | 32 | 5.7 | 46 | 6.0 | 77 | 3.8 |
| No | 75 | 3.3 | 79 | 3.7 | 41 | 4.2 | 84 | 4.6 | 67 | 5.7 | 51 | 6.0 | 21 | 3.7 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1.8 | 2 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q 50 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 61:

Q51. Were any of these employees given additional days of paid or unpaid parental leave on top of statutory requirements?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 663* |  | 159 |  | 504 |  | 38 |  | 121 |  | 151 |  | 353 |  |
| Weighted base= | 41943* | +/- | 31232 | +/- | 10711 | +/- | 15900 | +/- | 15332 | +/- | 5810 | +/- | 4900 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 42 | 7.2 | 42 | 9.4 | 41 | 5.4 | 38 | 15.2 | 45 | 11.0 | 44 | 8.9 | 38 | 5.4 |
| No | 52 | 7.4 | 52 | 9.8 | 52 | 5.5 | 55 | 16.0 | 48 | 11.0 | 51 | 9.0 | 53 | 5.6 |
| Don't know | 6 | 3.8 | 6 | 5.1 | 7 | 2.5 | 6 | 8.4 | 6 | 5.6 | 5 | 3.8 | 9 | 3.2 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q51 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 62:

Q52. Were any of these employees offered flexible or reduced hours on their return to work?

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-19 } \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 664* |  | 158 |  | 506 |  | 37 |  | 121 |  | 151 |  | 355 |  |
| Weighted base= | 41545* | +/- | 30798 | +/- | 10747 | +/- | 15466 | +/- | 15332 | +/- | 5810 | +/- | 4937 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 76 | 6.8 | 73 | 9.1 | 83 | 3.8 | 63 | 16.2 | 83 | 8.2 | 87 | 5.9 | 79 | 4.5 |
| No | 22 | 6.7 | 24 | 8.9 | 14 | 3.6 | 34 | 16.0 | 14 | 7.8 | 12 | 5.5 | 17 | 4.3 |
| Don't know | 3 | 2.8 | 3 | 3.8 | 3 | 1.6 | 4 | 6.7 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 1.9 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q52 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 63:
Q53. How easy or difficult is it for the business to manage the overall impact of employees taking parental leave on staffing arrangements and business continuity? Would you say: READ

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 663* |  | 157 |  | 506 |  | 38 |  | 119 |  | 151 |  | 355 |  |
| Weighted base= | 41878* | +/- | 31131 | +/- | 10747 | +/- | 15900 | +/- | 15231 | +/- | 5810 | +/- | 4937 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very easy | 7 | 4.5 | 7 | 6.0 | 7 | 2.8 | 13 | 11.7 | 2 | - | 6 | 4.6 | 7 | 3.0 |
| Easy | 16 | 5.0 | 14 | 6.6 | 19 | 4.4 | 16 | 10.8 | 13 | 7.2 | 19 | 7.2 | 20 | 4.6 |
| Neither easy nor difficult | 31 | 6.1 | 29 | 7.9 | 37 | 5.1 | 19 | 11.6 | 38 | 10.9 | 32 | 8.2 | 43 | 5.5 |
| Difficult | 35 | 7.4 | 38 | 9.8 | 28 | 5.1 | 38 | 16.2 | 37 | 10.7 | 33 | 8.6 | 22 | 4.7 |
| Very difficult | 10 | 4.3 | 10 | 5.7 | 8 | 3.2 | 10 | 9.5 | 10 | 6.0 | 10 | 5.6 | 6 | 2.6 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 6.6 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 64:

Q54A. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to rest and meal breaks

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employee } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1515* |  | 678 |  | 837 |  | 305 |  | 373 |  | 351 | 486 |  |  |
| Weighted base= | 177494 | +/- | 158550 | +/- | 18944 | +/- | 110014 | +/- | 48536 | +/- | 12594 | +/- | 6350 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 64 | 4.0 | 62 | 4.5 | 79 | 3.6 | 57 | 6.0 | 73 | 5.5 | 77 | 5.1 | 85 | 3.3 |
| No | 28 | 3.9 | 30 | 4.3 | 13 | 3.2 | 35 | 5.8 | 20 | 5.0 | 17 | 4.6 | 7 | 2.4 |
| Don't know | 7 | 2.1 | 7 | 2.4 | 7 | 2.0 | 7 | 3.1 | 7 | 3.1 | 7 | 2.8 | 7 | 2.5 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q54A in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 65:

Q54B. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to requests for flexible working arrangements

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1510* |  | 674 |  | 836 |  | 305 |  | 369 |  | 350 |  | 486 |  |
| Weighted base= | 176852 | +/- | 157902 | +/- | 18950 | +/- | 110014 | +/- | 47887 | +/- | 12576 | +/- | 6374 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 50 | 4.1 | 48 | 4.6 | 71 | 3.9 | 42 | 6.0 | 61 | 6.0 | 67 | 5.6 | 79 | 3.8 |
| No | 40 | 4.1 | 42 | 4.6 | 20 | 3.6 | 47 | 6.1 | 31 | 5.7 | 25 | 5.2 | 12 | 3.0 |
| Don't know | 9 | 2.4 | 10 | 2.7 | 8 | 2.2 | 10 | 3.7 | 8 | 3.1 | 8 | 3.1 | 9 | 2.7 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 18 non-responses to Q54B in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 66:

Q54C. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to collective bargaining

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1501* |  | 669 |  | 832 |  | 305 |  | 364 |  | 348 |  | 484 |  |
| Weighted base= | 176650 | +/- | 157773 | +/- | 18876 | +/- | 110014 | +/- | 47759 | +/- | 12535 | +/- | 6341 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 35 | 3.9 | 33 | 4.4 | 50 | 4.2 | 31 | 5.7 | 39 | 6.1 | 41 | 5.9 | 67 | 4.6 |
| No | 50 | 4.2 | 52 | 4.6 | 36 | 4.2 | 54 | 6.1 | 49 | 6.2 | 45 | 6.0 | 19 | 3.8 |
| Don't know | 14 | 2.9 | 14 | 3.2 | 14 | 2.9 | 14 | 4.2 | 12 | 4.0 | 13 | 3.9 | 15 | 3.5 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 27 non-responses to Q 54 C in the paper questionnaire.

Table 67:
Q54D. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1504* |  | 672 |  | 832 |  | 305 |  | 367 |  | 348 |  | 484 |  |
| Weighted base= | 176653 | +/- | 157781 | +/- | 18872 | +/- | 110014 | +/- | 47767 | +/- | 12534 | +/- | 6338 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 33 | 3.8 | 31 | 4.2 | 53 | 4.3 | 28 | 5.5 | 38 | 6.0 | 47 | 6.1 | 64 | 4.6 |
| No | 52 | 4.1 | 54 | 4.6 | 34 | 4.2 | 56 | 6.0 | 50 | 6.2 | 39 | 6.0 | 23 | 4.0 |
| Don't know | 14 | 2.9 | 14 | 3.3 | 13 | 2.8 | 15 | 4.4 | 12 | 3.9 | 14 | 3.9 | 12 | 3.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q54D in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 68:

Q55. Have the changes to rest and meal breaks had any impact on your business or workplace?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employet } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1124* |  | 446 |  | 678 |  | 173 |  | 273 |  | 269 |  | 409 |  |
| Weighted base= | 112525* | +/- | 97476 | +/- | 15049 | +/- | 62415 | +/- | 35061 | +/- | 9639 | +/- | 5410 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 4 | 1.8 | 3 | 2.0 | 6 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.9 | 3 | 2.4 | 5 | 3.3 | 7 | 2.6 |
| No | 95 | 2.1 | 96 | 2.4 | 93 | 2.5 | 96 | 3.3 | 95 | 3.0 | 94 | 3.6 | 92 | 2.8 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to rest and meal breaks ( $n=1128$ ). This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q55 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 69:

Q56. Which of the following impacts have the changes to rest and meal breaks had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 | $50+$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 54* |  | 16** |  | 38 |  | 6** |  | 10** |  | 10** |  | $28^{* *}$ |  |
| Weighted base= | 4137* | +/- | 3275 ** | +/- | 862 | +/- | 2158 ** | +/- | 1117 ** | +/- | 496 ** | +/- | 366 ** | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Increased flexibility for the business | 74 | 13.8 | - | 16.8 | 64 | 18.2 | 87 | - | 55 | - | 69 | - | 57 | - |
| Reduced compliance costs | 5 | 3.8 | - | - | 21 | 17.0 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 28 | - | 11 | - |
| Increased costs | 9 | 9.7 | - | 12.3 | 3 | 1.6 | 13 | - | 7 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - |
| Any other impacts (Specify) | 6 | 4.2 | - | 3.5 | 17 | 15.0 | 0 | - | 7 | - | 14 | - | 22 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 6.2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 12 | - |
| Refused | 8 | 9.4 | - | 11.9 | 3 | 4.7 | 0 | - | 27 | - | 0 | - | 6 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to rest and meal breaks ( $n=54$ ).
**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

## Table 70:

Q57. Have the changes to requests for flexible working arrangements had any impact on your business or workplace?

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-19 } \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 948* |  | 340 |  | 608 |  | 120 |  | 220 |  | 232 |  | 376 |  |
| Weighted base= | 88132* | +/- | 74680 | +/- | 13452 | +/- | 45364 | +/- | 29316 | +/- | 8398 | +/- | 5053 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 7 | 2.4 | 7 | 2.8 | 10 | 3.2 | 5 | 3.5 | 10 | 4.7 | 11 | 4.7 | 9 | 3.2 |
| No | 91 | 3.1 | 91 | 3.6 | 90 | 3.2 | 92 | 5.1 | 90 | 4.7 | 89 | 4.7 | 90 | 3.3 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 3.8 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 71:

Q58. Which of the following impacts have the changes to flexible working arrangements had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 83* |  | 30 |  | 53 |  | 7** |  | 23** |  | 22** |  | 31 |  |
| Weighted base= | 6238* | +/- | 4914 | +/- | 1324 | +/- | 2072 ** | +/- | 2842 ** | +/- | 889 ** | +/- | 435 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Increased flexibility for employees | 63 | 8.2 | 60 | 9.8 | 73 | 13.7 | 36 | - | 78 | - | 77 | - | 67 | 17.8 |
| Increased certainty for employees | 21 | 10.2 | 19 | 12.4 | 27 | 14.7 | 13 | - | 23 | - | 29 | - | 24 | 16.2 |
| More difficulties/inconvenience for employer/lower productivity | 13 | 10.6 | 14 | 13.1 | 9 | 10.0 | 21 | - | 9 | - | 10 | - | 8 | 10.4 |
| Any other impacts (Specify) | 9 | 10.0 | 9 | 12.5 | 10 | 5.4 | 21 | - | 0 | - | 4 | - | 22 | 14.4 |
| Don't know | 5 | 6.3 | 5 | 7.7 | 6 | 7.4 | 0 | - | 8 | - | 6 | - | 5 | 9.6 |
| Refused | 7 | 7.3 | 8 | 9.2 | 2 | - | 8 | - | 8 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 4.1 |

[^11]
## Table 72:

Q59. Have the changes to collective bargaining had any impact on your business or workplace?

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-19 } \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 696* |  | 228 |  | 468 |  | 89 |  | 139 |  | 150 |  | 318 |  |
| Weighted base= | 61756* | +/- | 52455 | +/- | 9301 | +/- | 33794 | +/- | 18661 | +/- | 5131 | +/- | 4170 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 3 | 1.9 | 3 | 2.1 | 4 | 2.6 | 2 | - | 4 | 3.6 | 4 | 4.2 | 5 | 2.5 |
| No | 95 | 2.6 | 96 | 3.0 | 92 | 3.1 | 97 | 4.1 | 95 | 4.2 | 94 | 4.9 | 91 | 3.3 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1.8 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 2.2 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to collective bargaining ( $n=702$ ). This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q59 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 73:

Q60. Which of the following impacts have the changes to collective bargaining had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $20+$ employe |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 29*** |  | 8** |  | 21** |  | 2** |  | 6** |  | 3** |  | 18** |  |
| Weighted base= | 1763*** | +/- | 1350 ** | +/- | 413 ** | +/- | 602 ** | +/- | 748 ** | +/- | 202 ** | +/- | 211 ** | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Increased flexibility for the business | 9 | - | 0 | - | 39 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 33 | - | 44 | - |
| More effective bargaining | 46 | - | 33 | - | 88 | - | 74 | - | 0 | - | 100 | - | 77 | - |
| Change had negative impact on employment relationships/wages | 31 | - | 40 | - | 1 | - | 26 | - | 51 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - |
| Any other impacts (Specify) | 2 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 5 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 4 | - |
| Refused | 19 | - | 24 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 44 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

[^12]*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to collective bargaining ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ).
**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

## Table 74:

Q61. Have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had any impact on your business or workplace?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 690* |  | 219 |  | 471 |  | 80 |  | 139 |  | 166 |  | 305 |  |
| Weighted base= | 57656* | +/- | 47734 | +/- | 9922 | +/- | 29778 | +/- | 17956 | +/- | 5887 | +/- | 4035 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 3 | 1.8 | 2 | - | 7 | 3.3 | 1 | - | 3 | 3.0 | 8 | 5.1 | 6 | 3.1 |
| No | 95 | 2.8 | 96 | 3.3 | 90 | 3.6 | 96 | 4.7 | 95 | 4.1 | 91 | 5.4 | 89 | 3.9 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 2.6 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
 non-responses to Q61 in the paper questionnaire

## Table 75:

Q62. Which of the following impacts have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 30* |  | 6** |  | 24** |  | 1** |  | 5** |  | 9** |  | 15** |  |
| Weighted base= | 1619* | +/- | 926 ** | +/- | 694 ** | +/- | 445 ** | +/- | 480 ** | +/- | 450 ** | +/- | 243 ** | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Increased certainty for the business | 22 | 9.7 | 0 | - | 52 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 54 | - | 47 | - |
| Increased certainty for employees | 20 | 9.7 | 0 | - | 46 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 49 | - | 41 | - |
| Better balance of fairness for the business and its employees | 62 | 8.2 | 84 | - | 32 | - | 100 | - | 70 | - | 19 | - | 56 | - |
| Reduced compliance costs | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Negative impact for business (productivity and employment relations issues) | 12 | - | 16 | - | 8 | - | 0 | - | 30 | - | 12 | - | 0 | - |
| Any other impacts (Specify) | 2 | - | 0 | - | 5 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 14 | - |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 9 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

[^13]
## Table 76:

Q63. In the last 12 months, which of the following sources, if any, have you used to find out about minimum employment rights and obligations? READ. CODE MANY

|  | Total |  | 1-19 <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1519 |  | 681 |  | 838 |  | 305 |  | 376 |  | 349 |  | 489 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177694 | +/- | 158706 | +/- | 18987 | +/- | 109740 | +/- | 48967 | +/- | 12569 | +/- | 6419 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Ministry of Business, Innovation and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment or MBIE | 34 | 3.8 | 33 | 4.3 | 47 | 4.3 | 29 | 5.6 | 43 | 6.0 | 44 | 5.9 | 54 | 4.8 |
| Other government agencies | 20 | 3.2 | 19 | 3.6 | 24 | 3.8 | 17 | 4.6 | 25 | 5.4 | 24 | 5.3 | 24 | 4.2 |
| Unions | 3 | 1.0 | 2 | - | 13 | 3.0 | 1 | - | 3 | 1.9 | 12 | 4.1 | 16 | 3.6 |
| Professional bodies or industry and trade associations | 26 | 3.4 | 23 | 3.7 | 46 | 4.3 | 19 | 4.8 | 34 | 5.7 | 45 | 6.0 | 48 | 4.8 |
| Lawyers or accountants | 29 | 3.6 | 27 | 4.0 | 38 | 4.2 | 23 | 5.1 | 36 | 5.9 | 35 | 5.8 | 44 | 4.8 |
| Colleagues, friends or family | 19 | 3.3 | 19 | 3.7 | 17 | 3.3 | 19 | 4.9 | 20 | 5.0 | 17 | 4.7 | 16 | 3.6 |
| General media | 15 | 2.8 | 14 | 3.1 | 22 | 3.6 | 14 | 4.1 | 15 | 4.2 | 22 | 5.0 | 20 | 3.9 |
| Human Resources consultants | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | - | 3 | 2.2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Any other source? (Specify) | 4 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.8 | 6 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.4 | 4 | 2.4 | 6 | 2.9 | 5 | 2.0 |
| None | 22 | 3.6 | 23 | 4.0 | 11 | 2.8 | 28 | 5.5 | 12 | 3.9 | 12 | 3.9 | 9 | 2.7 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q 63 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 77:
Q64.Thinking about MBIE's information on minimum employment rights and obligations, how useful was this information? Would you say: READ

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 646* |  | 238 |  | 408 |  | 85 |  | 153 |  | 147 |  | 261 |  |
| Weighted base= | 59391* | +/- | 50583 | +/- | 8807 | +/- | 30204 | +/- | 20379 | +/- | 5392 | +/- | 3415 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 36 | 6.5 | 36 | 7.6 | 35 | 6.0 | 35 | 11.1 | 38 | 9.3 | 36 | 8.9 | 33 | 6.3 |
| Useful | 59 | 6.7 | 59 | 7.8 | 59 | 6.1 | 61 | 11.3 | 57 | 9.4 | 57 | 9.1 | 63 | 6.4 |
| Not useful | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.3 |
| Not at all useful | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 3.6 | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.


## Table 78:

 mean someone who was not born in New Zealand and who has arrived in New Zealand in the last five years.

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1525* |  | 682 |  | 843 |  | 306 |  | 376 |  | 353 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177842 | +/- | 158783 | +/- | 19060 | +/- | 109868 | +/- | 48915 | +/- | 12636 | +/- | 6424 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 25 | 3.0 | 21 | 3.3 | 61 | 4.2 | 12 | 4.0 | 39 | 6.0 | 54 | 6.0 | 75 | 4.2 |
| No | 73 | 3.2 | 78 | 3.5 | 37 | 4.2 | 86 | 4.3 | 59 | 6.1 | 44 | 6.0 | 22 | 4.0 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1.8 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q 65 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 79:
Q66. And in the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants?

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1522* |  | 680 |  | 842 |  | 306 |  | 374 |  | 352 |  | 490 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177520 | +/- | 158486 | +/- | 19034 | +/- | 109868 | +/- | 48618 | +/- | 12611 | +/- | 6424 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 21 | 2.8 | 17 | 3.1 | 52 | 4.2 | 11 | 3.8 | 30 | 5.6 | 44 | 5.9 | 68 | 4.5 |
| No | 78 | 3.0 | 82 | 3.3 | 45 | 4.2 | 88 | 4.0 | 68 | 5.7 | 55 | 6.0 | 27 | 4.3 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 2.2 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q 66 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 80:

Q67. For what particular reasons did your business choose to employ a recent migrant? CODE MANY. PROBE FULLY

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 647* |  | 146 |  | 501 |  | 33 |  | 113 |  | 165 |  | 336 |  |
| Weighted base= | 37090* | +/- | 27174 | +/- | 9916 | +/- | 12435 | +/- | 14739 | +/- | 5534 | +/- | 4381 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| They were the best candidate | 49 | 5.4 | 44 | 7.1 | 60 | 5.4 | 27 | 10.1 | 59 | 10.1 | 57 | 8.7 | 64 | 5.5 |
| Their skills/qualifications | 47 | 7.3 | 43 | 9.8 | 56 | 5.5 | 35 | 16.8 | 50 | 11.0 | 51 | 8.7 | 63 | 5.5 |
| Their experience | 35 | 6.7 | 33 | 8.9 | 39 | 5.0 | 23 | 14.5 | 42 | 11.0 | 32 | 7.8 | 48 | 5.8 |
| Fresh perspective | 6 | 3.0 | 6 | 3.9 | 6 | 2.8 | 4 | 5.4 | 7 | 5.7 | 6 | 4.4 | 6 | 2.9 |
| Strong work ethic/good worker | 29 | 6.3 | 30 | 8.4 | 25 | 4.5 | 34 | 14.3 | 27 | 9.7 | 24 | 7.1 | 26 | 4.9 |
| Overseas contacts/networks | 2 | - | 3 | 2.7 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 3.5 | 0 | - | 3 | 2.0 |
| They speak a language other than English | 9 | 4.9 | 10 | 6.6 | 5 | 2.4 | 12 | 11.9 | 9 | 6.8 | 4 | 3.6 | 7 | 3.1 |
| Many of our customers are also migrants | 4 | 2.9 | 4 | 4.0 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 6.7 | 5 | 4.7 | 3 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.4 |
| To be able to pay lower salary/wages | 2 | - | 3 | 4.0 | 1 | - | 4 | 8.0 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Their personality/they seemed like they would be a 'good fit' for the business | 21 | 4.6 | 21 | 6.1 | 20 | 4.5 | 23 | 8.6 | 20 | 8.6 | 21 | 7.1 | 20 | 4.7 |
| New Zealanders were not willing to do job | 20 | 5.4 | 21 | 7.2 | 17 | 3.8 | 22 | 11.8 | 21 | 8.7 | 14 | 5.8 | 21 | 4.5 |
| Difficulty hiring New Zealanders for job due to skill shortage | 35 | 6.8 | 33 | 9.0 | 40 | 5.3 | 29 | 15.6 | 36 | 10.2 | 36 | 8.4 | 45 | 5.8 |
| No/not many New Zealand-born candidates applied | 16 | 5.8 | 18 | 7.8 | 12 | 3.2 | 17 | 13.5 | 18 | 8.8 | 13 | 5.3 | 11 | 3.0 |
| Recommendation / worked for us before / good experiences with this ethnicity | 3 | 3.1 | 5 | 4.3 | 0 | - | 6 | 7.8 | 4 | 4.3 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Business had shortterm/seasonal labour needs / migrant was available | 3 | 2.3 | 4 | 3.1 | 2 | - | 3 | 4.4 | 4 | 4.4 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Other (Specify) | 4 | 3.6 | 4 | 4.8 | 3 | 2.3 | 8 | 10.4 | 1 | - | 4 | 4.0 | 2 | - |
| No reason (No particular preference for migrant vs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Zealander) | 5 | 4.1 | 6 | 5.5 | 1 | - | 12 | 12.1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=649$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q67 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 81:
Q68. On the whole, how would you rate your migrant employees on their overall job performance? READ

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-19 } \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 738* |  | 179 |  | 559 |  | 38 |  | 141 |  | 191 |  | 368 |  |
| Weighted base= | 44409* | +/- | 32850 | +/- | 11559 | +/- | 13652 | +/- | 19197 | +/- | 6772 | +/- | 4787 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very good | 61 | 6.7 | 62 | 8.9 | 56 | 5.2 | 59 | 16.7 | 64 | 9.6 | 60 | 8.0 | 50 | 5.6 |
| Good | 32 | 6.5 | 31 | 8.6 | 35 | 5.0 | 35 | 16.5 | 28 | 9.0 | 32 | 7.6 | 39 | 5.4 |
| Neither good nor poor | 5 | 2.6 | 4 | 3.3 | 7 | 2.7 | 5 | 6.5 | 3 | 3.4 | 7 | 4.1 | 7 | 2.8 |
| Poor | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Very poor | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

[^14]*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=741$ ). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q68 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 82:

Q69. And why do you say that? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER


[^15]Table 83:
Q70. In the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants who have subsequently left the business?

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 647* |  | 145 |  | 502 |  | 33 |  | 112 |  | 165 |  | 337 |  |
| Weighted base= | 37037* | +/- | 27111 | +/- | 9926 | +/- | 12435 | +/- | 14676 | +/- | 5534 | +/- | 4392 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 49 | 7.0 | 50 | 9.3 | 45 | 5.3 | 55 | 16.1 | 46 | 10.4 | 39 | 8.3 | 51 | 5.8 |
| No | 50 | 7.0 | 50 | 9.3 | 52 | 5.4 | 45 | 16.1 | 54 | 10.4 | 58 | 8.5 | 45 | 5.7 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 2.0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.1 | 4 | 2.1 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months ( $n=649$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to $Q 70$ in the paper questionnaire.

Table 84:
Q71. Thinking now about the migrant who left your business most recently, for what particular reasons did they leave? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 316* |  | 69 |  | 247 |  | 20** |  | 49 |  | 73 |  | 174 |  |
| Weighted base= | 18019* | +/- | 13616 | +/- | 4403 | +/- | 6855 ** | +/- | 6761 | +/- | 2166 | +/- | 2237 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| They got a job with another employer in New Zealand | 42 | 9.4 | 41 | 12.2 | 45 | 7.4 | 29 | - | 53 | 16.0 | 39 | 12.6 | 51 | 8.0 |
| Returned to their country of origin | 29 | 8.4 | 29 | 10.9 | 30 | 7.1 | 21 | - | 38 | 14.6 | 31 | 12.5 | 29 | 7.1 |
| Moved to Australia | 10 | 5.5 | 10 | 7.1 | 11 | 5.0 | 4 | - | 17 | 12.0 | 10 | 8.4 | 12 | 5.4 |
| Moved to a different country other than Australia | 1 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 2.4 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 8 | 4.6 |
| Moved to a different town/city in New Zealand for reasons other than work | 13 | 6.8 | 13 | 8.9 | 12 | 4.5 | 12 | - | 14 | 11.7 | 8 | 6.7 | 17 | 6.0 |
| Took up study | 7 | 3.7 | 5 | 4.7 | 11 | 4.3 | 0 | - | 11 | 9.5 | 5 | 6.2 | 16 | 6.0 |
| Not suitable for the job/not happy with performance | 14 | 7.7 | 14 | 10.1 | 15 | 5.9 | 20 | - | 8 | 9.0 | 18 | 10.8 | 12 | 5.0 |
| Contract ended | 12 | 6.6 | 12 | 8.6 | 14 | 5.1 | 16 | - | 7 | 7.5 | 13 | 8.5 | 15 | 5.9 |
| Redundancy | 6 | 4.1 | 7 | 5.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 4 | - | 9 | 7.9 | 2 | - | 5 | 3.9 |
| Health reasons | 4 | 3.6 | 4 | 4.7 | 4 | 2.9 | 0 | - | 8 | 9.5 | 4 | 4.8 | 5 | 3.1 |
| Left to set up their own business | 3 | 3.0 | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 4.7 | 1 | - |
| Could not renew work visa | 11 | 3.6 | 10 | 4.4 | 14 | 5.4 | 10 | - | 9 | 4.7 | 15 | 9.2 | 14 | 5.7 |
| Low salary/wages | 4 | 3.3 | 4 | 4.2 | 4 | 3.3 | 4 | - | 4 | 3.9 | 5 | 6.1 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Didn't fit into New Zealand workplace culture | 5 | 4.0 | 5 | 5.3 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 7 | 7.4 | 1 | - | 3 | 2.4 |
| Family reasons | 11 | 5.8 | 11 | 7.6 | 12 | 4.2 | 4 | - | 18 | 13.3 | 8 | 5.9 | 17 | 5.9 |
| To continue travelling | 15 | 7.1 | 15 | 9.2 | 13 | 5.6 | 27 | - | 4 | 3.6 | 16 | 10.3 | 11 | 4.6 |
| Other (Specify) | 3 | 3.4 | 3 | 4.4 | 3 | 1.9 | 0 | - | 7 | 8.9 | 0 | - | 6 | 3.8 |
| No reason | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 3 | 4.4 | 3 | 5.8 | 2 | - | 6 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
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## Table 85:

Q72A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Websites or services (e.g. webinars) connecting your business to prospective migrants

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 724* |  | 176 |  | 548 |  | 37 |  | 139 |  | 186 |  | 362 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43597* | +/- | 32284 | +/- | 11314 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 19076 | +/- | 6627 | +/- | 4687 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 17 | 5.4 | 18 | 7.2 | 15 | 3.7 | 28 | 15.6 | 11 | 5.5 | 14 | 5.7 | 17 | 3.9 |
| Useful | 40 | 6.4 | 40 | 8.5 | 41 | 5.3 | 35 | 14.9 | 43 | 9.9 | 40 | 8.1 | 41 | 5.5 |
| Not useful | 28 | 5.8 | 28 | 7.6 | 28 | 4.9 | 21 | 12.7 | 33 | 9.4 | 29 | 7.5 | 26 | 5.0 |
| Don't know | 14 | 4.9 | 13 | 6.4 | 16 | 3.9 | 16 | 13.2 | 11 | 5.8 | 16 | 6.1 | 15 | 3.9 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 17 non-responses to Q72A in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 86:

Q72B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Direct contact with Immigration NZ providing advice on immigration policy and process

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employee } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 724* |  | 173 |  | 551 |  | 37 |  | 136 |  | 187 |  | 364 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43389* | +/- | 32081 | +/- | 11309 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 18873 | +/- | 6603 | +/- | 4706 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 19 | 5.3 | 18 | 7.0 | 24 | 4.1 | 16 | 13.0 | 19 | 7.6 | 19 | 6.1 | 30 | 5.1 |
| Useful | 39 | 6.7 | 40 | 8.9 | 35 | 5.0 | 37 | 16.4 | 43 | 10.0 | 33 | 7.6 | 39 | 5.4 |
| Not useful | 23 | 5.6 | 22 | 7.3 | 24 | 4.8 | 28 | 14.2 | 19 | 7.5 | 29 | 7.6 | 17 | 4.3 |
| Don't know | 17 | 5.2 | 17 | 6.9 | 17 | 4.0 | 19 | 13.3 | 16 | 7.2 | 18 | 6.2 | 14 | 3.8 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.7 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 87:

Q72C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Support for employers at overseas job fairs

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 | 6-19 |  |  | 20-49 | 50+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 706* |  | 167 |  | 539 |  | 35 |  | 132 |  | 184 | 355 |  |  |
| Weighted base= | 41876* | +/- | 30759 | +/- | 11116 | +/- | 12328 | +/- | 18432 | +/- | 6542 | +/- | 4574 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 7 | 3.2 | 7 | 4.2 | 8 | 2.7 | 6 | 7.7 | 8 | 4.9 | 6 | 3.8 | 12 | 3.6 |
| Useful | 27 | 6.1 | 27 | 8.1 | 27 | 4.8 | 36 | 16.2 | 20 | 8.1 | 27 | 7.4 | 27 | 5.0 |
| Not useful | 42 | 6.4 | 44 | 8.5 | 37 | 5.2 | 35 | 14.9 | 50 | 10.2 | 36 | 8.0 | 39 | 5.6 |
| Don't know | 22 | 5.5 | 20 | 7.3 | 27 | 4.8 | 23 | 13.9 | 19 | 7.9 | 31 | 7.6 | 22 | 4.5 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $\mathrm{n}=741$ ). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q72C in the paper questionnaire

## Table 88:

Q72D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Information on migrant behaviour and needs

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 710* |  | 170 |  | 540 |  | 36 |  | 134 |  | 183 |  | 357 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42388* | +/- | 31306 | +/- | 11082 | +/- | 12773 | +/- | 18533 | +/- | 6475 | +/- | 4607 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 7 | 3.2 | 7 | 4.2 | 8 | 2.6 | 8 | 8.2 | 7 | 4.3 | 6 | 3.7 | 11 | 3.4 |
| Useful | 44 | 6.7 | 48 | 8.8 | 33 | 5.1 | 51 | 16.4 | 45 | 9.7 | 31 | 7.8 | 35 | 5.3 |
| Not useful | 29 | 5.8 | 28 | 7.7 | 34 | 5.2 | 21 | 13.1 | 32 | 9.3 | 36 | 8.0 | 32 | 5.3 |
| Don't know | 18 | 5.0 | 16 | 6.6 | 25 | 4.6 | 20 | 13.3 | 14 | 6.4 | 27 | 7.2 | 21 | 4.5 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 89:

Q72E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Specialist advice on settlement services for migrant employees

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 | 6-19 |  |  | 20-49 | 50+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 714* |  | 171 |  | 543 |  | 37 |  | 134 |  | 185 | 358 |  |  |
| Weighted base= | 42928* | +/- | 31751 | +/- | 11177 | +/- | 13219 | +/- | 18533 | +/- | 6561 | +/- | 4616 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 10 | 3.8 | 11 | 5.1 | 9 | 2.5 | 12 | 9.8 | 10 | 5.1 | 5 | 3.3 | 13 | 3.8 |
| Useful | 38 | 6.6 | 39 | 8.8 | 34 | 5.1 | 39 | 15.9 | 39 | 9.8 | 32 | 7.8 | 37 | 5.4 |
| Not useful | 32 | 6.0 | 31 | 7.9 | 33 | 5.1 | 28 | 13.3 | 34 | 9.6 | 34 | 7.8 | 31 | 5.3 |
| Don't know | 19 | 5.0 | 17 | 6.6 | 24 | 4.7 | 20 | 13.0 | 15 | 6.4 | 28 | 7.4 | 17 | 4.1 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.8 | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $\mathrm{n}=741$ ). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q72E in the paper questionnaire

## Table 90:

Q73A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for new migrant employees about cultural differences in the workplace
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## Table 91:

Q73B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for migrant employees and businesses about overcoming communication challenges in the workplace

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  |  |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 718* |  | 171 |  | 547 |  | 36 |  | 135 |  | 185 |  | 362 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42656* | +/- | 31377 | +/- | 11279 | +/- | 12762 | +/- | 18615 | +/- | 6595 | +/- | 4684 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 16 | 5.1 | 16 | 6.8 | 14 | 3.5 | 24 | 14.3 | 11 | 6.0 | 11 | 5.1 | 18 | 4.3 |
| Useful | 45 | 6.9 | 45 | 9.1 | 43 | 5.2 | 43 | 17.1 | 47 | 10.0 | 38 | 7.9 | 49 | 5.6 |
| Not useful | 22 | 5.7 | 22 | 7.6 | 23 | 4.7 | 20 | 13.9 | 23 | 8.4 | 27 | 7.4 | 17 | 4.4 |
| Don't know | 16 | 4.7 | 15 | 6.2 | 19 | 4.5 | 13 | 11.3 | 17 | 7.1 | 23 | 7.2 | 14 | 3.8 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $\mathrm{n}=741$ ). This table excludes 23 non-responses to Q73B in the paper questionnaire

## Table 92:

Q73C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for new migrant employees about working in your industry

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 719* |  | 170 |  | 549 |  | 36 |  | 134 |  | 187 |  | 362 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42500* | +/- | 31138 | +/- | 11362 | +/- | 12762 | +/- | 18376 | +/- | 6688 | +/- | 4674 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 14 | 4.3 | 13 | 5.8 | 17 | 4.0 | 11 | 10.0 | 14 | 6.8 | 17 | 6.1 | 18 | 4.2 |
| Useful | 49 | 6.9 | 51 | 9.2 | 42 | 5.0 | 60 | 17.2 | 45 | 10.0 | 36 | 7.6 | 49 | 5.6 |
| Not useful | 18 | 4.7 | 16 | 6.2 | 24 | 4.8 | 9 | 9.3 | 22 | 8.3 | 28 | 7.6 | 18 | 4.4 |
| Don't know | 18 | 5.5 | 18 | 7.3 | 16 | 4.1 | 20 | 14.3 | 17 | 7.3 | 18 | 6.4 | 14 | 3.8 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 93:

Q73D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for new migrant employees about living in New Zealand

|  | Total |  | 1-19 employees |  | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { employe } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1-5 | 6-19 |  |  | 20-49 | 50+ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 717* |  | 171 |  | 546 |  | 36 |  | 135 |  | 185 | 361 |  |  |
| Weighted base= | 42469* | +/- | 31201 | +/- | 11268 | +/- | 12762 | +/- | 18439 | +/- | 6595 | +/- | 4674 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 13 | 4.0 | 11 | 5.3 | 17 | 3.8 | 9 | 9.0 | 13 | 6.5 | 15 | 5.6 | 21 | 4.6 |
| Useful | 50 | 6.6 | 51 | 8.8 | 48 | 5.2 | 55 | 15.9 | 48 | 10.1 | 46 | 7.9 | 50 | 5.6 |
| Not useful | 18 | 5.3 | 18 | 7.0 | 19 | 4.3 | 13 | 12.2 | 21 | 8.4 | 21 | 6.8 | 15 | 4.2 |
| Don't know | 18 | 5.2 | 18 | 7.0 | 16 | 4.1 | 23 | 13.9 | 15 | 6.9 | 18 | 6.6 | 12 | 3.6 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q73D in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 94:

Q73E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information about learning English language skills for the workplace

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 710* |  | 170 |  | 540 |  | 36 |  | 134 |  | 186 |  | 354 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42287* | +/- | 31119 | +/- | 11168 | +/- | 12762 | +/- | 18357 | +/- | 6620 | +/- | 4548 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 19 | 5.5 | 19 | 7.4 | 18 | 3.7 | 24 | 15.2 | 15 | 6.7 | 15 | 5.4 | 23 | 4.6 |
| Useful | 36 | 6.7 | 36 | 8.9 | 37 | 5.1 | 38 | 16.6 | 34 | 9.7 | 33 | 7.8 | 44 | 5.6 |
| Not useful | 27 | 6.1 | 27 | 8.1 | 25 | 4.9 | 22 | 14.2 | 31 | 9.5 | 30 | 7.6 | 18 | 4.6 |
| Don't know | 17 | 5.1 | 16 | 6.8 | 18 | 4.3 | 16 | 12.7 | 17 | 7.3 | 21 | 6.8 | 14 | 3.9 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.1 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 95:

Q73F. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Support for partners of your migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

| Unweighted base= Weighted base= | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 706^{*} \\ 42228^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  $1-19$ <br>  employees <br>  170 <br> $+/-$ 31138 <br> $\%$ $\%$ |  |  | $20+$  <br> employees  <br> 536  <br> 11090 $+/-$ <br> $\%$ $\%$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-5 \\ 36 \\ 12762 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6-19 \\ 134 \\ 18376 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 20-49 \\ 184 \\ 6576 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50+ \\ & 352 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | +/- |  |  | +/- | +/- |  | +/- |  | 4514 | +/- |
|  |  |  |  | \% |  |  | \% | \% |  | \% |  | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 15 | 4.3 | 14 | 5.7 | 17 | 3.8 |  | 15 | 10.7 | 13 | 6.2 | 15 | 5.6 | 19 | 4.4 |
| Useful | 40 | 6.9 | 40 | 9.1 | 40 | 5.3 |  | 39 | 16.9 | 41 | 10.0 | 37 | 8.1 | 45 | 5.7 |
| Not useful | 24 | 6.0 | 25 | 7.9 | 22 | 4.6 |  | 23 | 14.2 | 27 | 9.1 | 25 | 7.3 | 17 | 4.2 |
| Don't know | 19 | 5.3 | 19 | 7.0 | 21 | 4.5 | 24 | 13.9 | 15 | 6.9 | 23 | 7.0 | 18 | 4.4 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 4 | 3.9 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $\mathrm{n}=741$ ). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q73F in the paper questionnaire

## Table 96:

Q74A. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided information about cultural differences in the workplace

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-19 \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 728* |  | 176 |  | 552 |  | 37 |  | 139 |  | 189 |  | 363 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43724* | +/- | 32303 | +/- | 11421 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 19096 | +/- | 6729 | +/- | 4692 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 36 | 6.2 | 37 | 8.3 | 31 | 4.8 | 50 | 15.8 | 28 | 8.7 | 31 | 7.3 | 32 | 5.0 |
| No | 32 | 5.7 | 30 | 7.5 | 39 | 5.3 | 18 | 11.6 | 38 | 9.8 | 40 | 8.1 | 38 | 5.5 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 30 | 6.1 | 31 | 8.1 | 26 | 4.7 | 30 | 14.9 | 32 | 9.0 | 26 | 7.2 | 25 | 4.9 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1.9 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.3 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q74A in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 97:

Q74B. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided information about living in New Zealand

|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} 1-19 \\ \text { employees } \end{gathered}$ |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 729* |  | 177 |  | 552 |  | 37 |  | 140 |  | 188 |  | 364 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43742* | +/- | 32366 | +/- | 11376 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 19159 | +/- | 6661 | +/- | 4715 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 44 | 6.7 | 45 | 8.8 | 41 | 5.2 | 55 | 16.6 | 38 | 9.6 | 41 | 8.1 | 42 | 5.5 |
| No | 29 | 6.1 | 27 | 8.1 | 32 | 5.0 | 23 | 14.8 | 30 | 9.0 | 32 | 7.7 | 32 | 5.2 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 25 | 5.7 | 26 | 7.5 | 23 | 4.5 | 23 | 13.2 | 29 | 8.9 | 24 | 7.0 | 21 | 4.7 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 1.9 | 0 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.9 | 5 | 2.3 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 12 non-responses to Q74B in the paper questionnaire.

Table 98:
Q74C. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided or allowed time to learn English language skills for the workplace

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ <br> employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 729* |  | 177 |  | 552 |  | 37 |  | 140 |  | 188 |  | 364 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43741* | +/- | 32366 | +/- | 11375 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 19159 | +/- | 6669 | +/- | 4706 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 26 | 6.4 | 29 | 8.5 | 20 | 3.9 | 37 | 16.9 | 23 | 8.5 | 19 | 6.0 | 22 | 4.2 |
| No | 31 | 5.2 | 29 | 6.8 | 35 | 5.1 | 12 | 9.0 | 41 | 9.7 | 35 | 7.8 | 34 | 5.3 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 41 | 6.5 | 41 | 8.6 | 41 | 5.3 | 51 | 16.7 | 34 | 8.8 | 43 | 8.2 | 38 | 5.4 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 2.0 | 0 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 2.9 | 5 | 2.3 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

[^22]
## Table 99:

Q74D. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Supported partners of migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ <br> employees |  | $20+$ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 725* |  | 176 |  | 549 |  | 37 |  | 139 |  | 187 |  | 362 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43629* | +/- | 32303 | +/- | 11326 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 19096 | +/- | 6644 | +/- | 4682 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 23 | 5.6 | 24 | 7.4 | 21 | 3.9 | 27 | 14.3 | 21 | 7.8 | 18 | 5.8 | 26 | 4.9 |
| No | 38 | 5.5 | 36 | 7.2 | 42 | 5.3 | 34 | 10.4 | 38 | 9.7 | 44 | 8.2 | 40 | 5.5 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 36 | 6.5 | 37 | 8.6 | 32 | 5.1 | 39 | 16.0 | 36 | 9.4 | 35 | 7.9 | 29 | 5.1 |
| Don't know | 3 | 2.0 | 3 | 2.6 | 4 | 2.2 | 0 | - | 4 | 4.4 | 4 | 3.4 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 16 non-responses to Q74D in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 100:

Q74E. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided closer supervision on the job, e.g. a 'buddy' or mentor

|  | Total |  | $1-19$ employees |  | 20+ employees |  | 1-5 |  | 6-19 |  | 20-49 |  | 50+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 731* |  | 177 |  | 554 |  | 37 |  | 140 |  | 189 |  | 365 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43781* | +/- | 32366 | +/- | 11415 | +/- | 13207 | +/- | 19159 | +/- | 6686 | +/- | 4729 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 61 | 6.8 | 60 | 8.9 | 63 | 5.2 | 60 | 16.9 | 59 | 9.7 | 62 | 8.0 | 66 | 5.3 |
| No | 14 | 4.2 | 14 | 5.6 | 13 | 3.6 | 9 | 8.2 | 17 | 7.5 | 13 | 5.6 | 14 | 3.8 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 22 | 5.9 | 24 | 7.9 | 19 | 4.5 | 28 | 15.6 | 21 | 7.8 | 22 | 7.0 | 14 | 4.2 |
| Don't know | 3 | 2.3 | 3 | 3.0 | 4 | 2.0 | 3 | 6.1 | 2 | - | 3 | 2.8 | 6 | 2.7 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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### 4.3 Tables by business industry

Table 101:
Q1. First of all, does your organisation operate from more than one site in New Zealand?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q1 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 102:

Q2. How many employees are employed at the business site you currently work from? Please include full-time and part-time employees but do not include contractors or the business owners.

|  | Total |  | Agriculture, Forestry \& Fishing |  | Manufact |  | Construct |  | Retail Tra Accommod \& Food Ser |  | Health Ca <br> Social Assistan |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1523 |  | 173 |  | 260 |  | 219 |  | 212 |  | 252 |  | 407 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178090 | +/- | 21558 | +/- | 12325 | +/- | 19982 | +/- | 33945 | +/- | 10432 | +/- | 79848 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| 1-5 | 62 | - | 78 | - | 50 | - | 72 | - | 54 | - | 52 | - | 62 | - |
| 6-9 | 12 | 1.7 | 7 | 2.5 | 15 | 3.9 | 7 | 2.9 | 17 | 4.9 | 11 | 3.1 | 12 | 2.9 |
| 10-19 | 15 | 1.7 | 11 | 2.5 | 17 | 3.9 | 14 | 2.9 | 20 | 4.9 | 20 | 3.1 | 14 | 2.9 |
| 20-49 | 7 | 0.0 | 3 | - | 12 | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | 10 | 0.3 | 8 | - |
| 50-99 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 0.8 | 2 | - |
| 100 or more | 2 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | - |
| No employees | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q 2 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 103:

X2. Business size (collapsed - 4 categories)

| Unweighted base= Weighted base= |  Agriculture, Forestry <br> Total \& Fishing <br> 1528 174 <br> 178494 21837 <br> $\%$ $\%$ |  |  |  | Retail Trade,Accommodation \&Food Services21233945$\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Health Care \& Social } \\ \text { Assistance } \\ 253 \\ 10470 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ 407 \\ 79848 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Manufacturing | Construction |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 262 | 220 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12396 | 19998 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% | \% |  |  |  |
| 1-5 | 62 | 78 | 50 | 72 | 54 | 52 | 62 |
| 6-19 | 27 | 18 | 32 | 22 | 36 | 31 | 27 |
| 20-49 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| 50+ | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^24]Table 104:
Region (from sample)

|  |  Agriculture, <br>   <br> Total Fishing |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construct | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  |  | Health Care \& Social Assistance | Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted base= | 178494 | +/- | 21837 | +/- | 12396 | +/- | 19998 | +/- | 33945 | +/- | 10470 | +/- | 79848 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Northland | 4 | 1.6 | 6 | 4.9 | 3 | 3.0 | 5 | 6.0 | 6 | 4.6 | 4 | 3.3 | 2 | - |
| Auckland | 26 | 3.6 | 9 | 5.9 | 31 | 7.4 | 14 | 8.3 | 30 | 8.8 | 29 | 8.4 | 31 | 6.6 |
| Waikato | 8 | 2.2 | 11 | 6.4 | 9 | 4.6 | 5 | 4.5 | 10 | 5.9 | 8 | 5.2 | 8 | 3.7 |
| Bay of Plenty | 5 | 1.8 | 8 | 5.5 | 7 | 4.4 | 4 | 4.4 | 4 | 4.0 | 6 | 3.7 | 5 | 3.0 |
| Gisborne | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Hawke's Bay | 4 | 1.4 | 7 | 4.6 | 5 | 3.7 | 0 | - | 3 | 3.2 | 4 | 3.3 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Taranaki | 4 | 1.5 | 3 | 3.6 | 4 | 3.7 | 3 | 4.3 | 3 | 3.6 | 4 | 4.0 | 4 | 2.6 |
| ManawatuWhanganui | Manawatu- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |
| Wellington | 9 | 2.5 | 1 | - | 8 | 4.6 | 16 | 9.6 | 6 | 3.9 | 11 | 6.3 | 12 | 4.6 |
| Tasman | 1 | - | 3 | 2.9 | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Nelson | 1 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.0 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Marlborough | 2 | - | 4 | 3.6 | 3 | 3.0 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 4.0 | 1 | - |
| West Coast | 2 | - | 3 | 3.5 | 1 | - | 3 | 4.3 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Canterbury | 15 | 2.9 | 18 | 7.8 | 14 | 5.3 | 25 | 11.1 | 11 | 6.0 | 12 | 5.5 | 14 | 4.7 |
| Otago | 6 | 2.0 | 4 | 3.7 | 6 | 4.1 | 9 | 7.2 | 7 | 5.3 | 4 | 3.2 | 6 | 3.3 |
| Southland | 5 | 1.8 | 7 | 5.4 | 1 | - | 6 | 6.0 | 7 | 5.0 | 2 | - | 4 | 2.8 |
| Other | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 105:
Q3. And for how long has your business been operating in New Zealand? READ IF NECESSARY


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q 3 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 106:
Q4. The next few questions are about health and safety systems and practices in your business. Who provides health and safety expertise and leadership in your business? CODE MANY. PROBE TO NO


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 4 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 107:
Q5. If you wanted more expert advice for your business on health and safety than you currently receive, do you know where you would get this advice from?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 5 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 108:

Q6. To what extent do owners or directors of this business make decisions about the business's health and safety policies and systems? Would you say: READ


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 6 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 109:
Q7. Does your business have a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 7 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 110:

Q8. Is this hazard management system documented?


[^25]Table 111:
Q9. Does this hazard management system involve having regular meetings to discuss hazards?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q 9 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 112:

Q10. And does it involve having a nominated person who deals with hazard management?
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## Table 113:

Q11. When a new hazard is identified or an existing hazard changes, is this recorded in the system?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q11 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 114:

Q7_8_11. Proportion of all employers with a documented hazard management system that was actively used ('yes' to Q7, Q8 and 11 out of all employers)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8 and Q11 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

## Table 115:

Q12. Does your business have a documented process for investigating workplace accidents?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents $(\mathrm{n}=1528)$ were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 12 in the paper questionnaire

Table 116:
Q13. Does your business have health and safety inductions for all new employees?


[^27]*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 13 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 117:

Q14. Is a record kept of every health and safety induction for new employees?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has health and safety inductions for all new employees ( $\mathrm{n}=1339$ ). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q14 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 118:

Q13_14. Health and safety inductions for all new employees and records kept (yes to Qs 13 and 14 out of all employers)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q13.

## Table 119:

Aggregate H \& S compliance measure 1 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H\&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13 and Q14 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

## Table 120:

Q15. Does your business use any contractors or subcontractors to do any work for the business?


[^28]*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q 15 in the paper questionnaire

Table 121:
Q16. Do all your contractors and subcontractors receive a health and safety induction?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business uses any contractors or subcontractors ( $\mathrm{n}=1207$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q16 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 122:
Q15_16. Proportion of employers with contactors and where all contractors receive H\&S inductions (yes to Qs 15 and 16 out of all employers)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q15.

## Table 123

Aggregate H \& S compliance measure 2 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H\&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- H\&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15 and Q16 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q 7 .

Table 124:
Q17. Does your business have a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 17 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 125:

Aggregate H \& S compliance measure 3 - employers with:

- a documented hazard management system that was actively used
- a documented process for investigating workplace accidents
- H\&S inductions for all new employees, with records kept of every induction
- H\&S inductions for contractors (if contractors were used by the business)
- a formal system for employee participation in managing health and safety.


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q7, Q8, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 and Q17 in the paper questionnaire. All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked Q7.

Table 126:
Q18. Does your business have any of the following? READ. CODE MANY. RDM


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q18 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 127:
Q19. In the last 12 months, has your business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices?

|  | Total |  | Agriculture, Forestry \& Fishing |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social Assistance |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1522* |  | 174 |  | 261 |  | 218 |  | 210 |  | 252 |  | 407 |  |
| Weighted base= | 177550 | +/- | 21837 | +/- | 12268 | +/- | 19903 | +/- | 33262 | +/- | 10432 | +/- | 79848 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 34 | 3.6 | 42 | 9.9 | 43 | 7.7 | 52 | 12.6 | 23 | 7.6 | 32 | 8.3 | 31 | 5.9 |
| No | 63 | 3.7 | 56 | 10.0 | 55 | 7.8 | 46 | 12.6 | 75 | 7.8 | 67 | 8.3 | 65 | 6.2 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 3 | 3.5 | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 2.6 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q19 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 128:
Q20. Thinking about the biggest change made to your health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months, why did the business make this change or what prompted this change? CODE MANY. PROBE FOR CLEAR ANSWER


[^29]Table 129:
Q21. Do you agree or disagree that your business owners or managers have a good understanding of their obligations under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q21 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 130:
Q22. Do you agree or disagree that government is effective at enforcing obligations for businesses under the Health and Safety in Employment Act?


[^30]*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 22 in the paper questionnaire

Table 131:
Q23. Do you agree or disagree that having good health and safety systems is good for your business?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q23 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 132:
 knowledge, only work for your business and do not currently work for any other business? They are sometimes referred to as dependent contractors.


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q24 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 133:
Q25. Which of the following is the main reason for using dependent contractors in this business? READ. CODE MANY


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business was using dependent contractors ( $\mathrm{n}=266$ ).
**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 134:
Q26. Do all of your employees have a written employment agreement?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 26 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 135:
Q27. Are any current employees in this business on casual agreements, with no guarantee of regular continuing work?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Table 136:
Q28. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on casual agreements? READ. CODE MANY


[^31]*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had employees on casual agreements ( $\mathrm{n}=751$ ).

Table 137:
Q29. And are any current employees on fixed-term agreements?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 29 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 138:
Q30. Which of the following is the main reason for employing staff on fixed-term agreements? READ. CODE MANY


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees on fixed-term agreements ( $n=577$ ).

Table 139:
Q31. Do any of your current employees have employment agreements requiring them to be available for work with no guarantee of minimum hours of work?

|  | Total |  | Agriculture, Forestry \& Fishing |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social Assistance |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 1527* |  | 174 |  | 261 |  | 220 |  | 212 |  | 253 |  | 407 |  |
| Weighted base= | 178469 | +/- | 21837 | +/- | 12371 | +/- | 19998 | +/- | 33945 | +/- | 10470 | +/- | 79848 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 10 | 2.4 | 18 | 7.7 | 4 | 3.3 | 8 | 7.2 | 13 | 6.2 | 10 | 4.7 | 9 | 3.6 |
| No | 87 | 2.7 | 82 | 7.8 | 95 | 3.3 | 87 | 8.9 | 82 | 7.1 | 90 | 4.7 | 90 | 4.1 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 4 | 5.8 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 31 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 140:
Q32. Which of the following types of employment agreements are these employees on? READ. CODE MANY


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

Q32 in the paper questionnaire.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

## Table 141:

Q33. The next questions are about minimum wages and payroll systems. Are any of your employees paid the adult minimum wage of $\$ 14.75$ per hour?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to $Q 33$ in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 142:




Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
${ }^{*}$ All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q34 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 143:
Q35. Are any of these employees paid the training minimum wage of $\$ 11.80$ per hour or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 20 years or over who were required to undertake recognised industry training of at least 60 credits a year ( $\mathrm{n}=224$ ).
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

## Table 144:

Q34_35. All employers who were paying the training minimum wage or any rate between the training and the adult minimum wage (yes to Qs 34 and 35)


Table 145:
Q36. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the training minimum wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trade, |  | Health |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Agriculture, |  |  |  |  |  | Accom |  | Care \& |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Forestry \& |  |  |  |  |  | and |  | Social |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Fishing |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Food |  | Serv |  | Other |  |
| Unweighted base= | 189* |  | 14** |  | 18** |  | 61 |  | 18** |  | 48 |  | 30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted base= | 15059* | +/- | 1597 ** | +/- | 523 ** | +/- | 4772 | +/- | ** | +/- | 1385 | +/- | 4826 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Unaware of training minimum wage | 10 | 7.7 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 8 | 6.3 | 1 | - | 15 | 19.4 | 20 | 22.4 |
| Unclear of criteria for applying the training minimum wage | 6 | 6.8 | 0 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 4.9 | 0 | - | 13 | 19.2 | 11 | 20.1 |
| It is unfair to pay less to employees of this age who are doing training | 52 | 13.2 | 54 | - | 95 | - | 50 | 24.4 | 47 | - | 29 | 9.0 | 59 | 27.4 |
| Administration costs outweigh potential savings | 5 | 6.6 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 3.7 | 3 | - | 0 | - | 11 | 20.1 |
| It would impact on our ability to attract high quality applicants | 30 | 11.3 | 42 | - | 34 | - | 43 | 24.4 | 11 | - | 23 | 9.0 | 23 | 22.5 |
| Employee's skill/experience/performance/value to the company is worth a higher wage | 9 | 7.8 | 6 | - | 6 | - | 10 | 16.5 | 25 | - | 2 | - | 5 | 9.4 |
| Company policy to not pay less than the adult minimum wage | 14 | 10.0 | 5 | - | 0 | - | 15 | 17.4 | 23 | - | 16 | 19.9 | 14 | 20.3 |
| Or is there some other reason? (Specify) | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 23 | 22.0 | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

 questionnaire.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

## Table 146:

Q37. In the last 12 months has your business employed any workers aged 16 to 19 years?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q 37 in the paper questionnaire

## Table 147:

Q38. Are any of these employees paid the starting out wage of $\$ 11.80$ per hour?


[^32]Table 148:
Proportion of all employers who pay the starting out wage of $\$ 11.40$ per hour to any employees ('yes' to Q37 and Q38 out of all employers)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Excludes non-responses to one or more of Q37 and Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 149:
Q39. Which of the following is the main reason for not using the starting out wage for these employees? READ. CODE MANY. RDM


[^33]

Table 150:
Q40. In response to an increase in minimum wage rates, does your business usually increase wages or salaries for employees who are already being paid above minimum wages?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q40 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 151:

Q41. In June 2014, the period over which the pay of salaried employees must be assessed for compliance with the Minimum Wage Act changed from one week to two weeks. Were you aware of this change?

*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to O 41 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 152:

Q42. Thinking about the overall impact on your business of this change to legislation, has the change had a positive or negative impact on your business or no impact?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the change to the Minimum Wage Act ( $n=487$ ). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q42 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 153:
 hours in that period?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q 43 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 154:
Q44. Does your business process pay in-house, or do you outsource your payroll requirements to an external provider?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q 44 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 155:
Q45. In the last 12 months has your payroll system been audited or checked for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?


[^34]*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business processes pay in-house ( $n=1217$ ). This table excludes 8 non-responses to Q45 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 156:
Q46. In the last 12 months have you been advised by your payroll provider that your business's payroll system complies with the Holidays Act 2003?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business outsources its payroll requirements to an external provider ( $\mathrm{n}=284$ ). This table excludes 5 non-responses to Q 46 in the paper questionnaire.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 157:
Q47. Are you aware of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?


[^35]

Table 158:
Q48. Has your business used the Ministry's online guidance for assessing payroll systems for compliance with the Holidays Act 2003?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
 questionnaire.

## Table 159:

 leave for employees on the birth or adoption of a child?


[^36]Table 160:
Q50. Have any of your employees returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years? This includes female and male employees.


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 11 non-responses to Q 50 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 161:

Q51. Were any of these employees given additional days of paid or unpaid parental leave on top of statutory requirements?


[^37]
## Table 162:

Q52. Were any of these employees offered flexible or reduced hours on their return to work?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q52 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 163:

Q53. How easy or difficult is it for the business to manage the overall impact of employees taking parental leave on staffing arrangements and business continuity? Would you say: READ


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q53 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 164

Q54A. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to rest and meal breaks


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q54A in the paper questionnaire

## Table 165:

Q54B. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to requests for flexible working arrangements


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 18 non-responses to Q54B in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 166:

Q54C. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to collective bargaining


[^38]*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 27 non-responses to Q54C in the paper questionnaire

## Table 167:

Q54D. In March 2015, changes to the Employment Relations Act came into effect. Which of the following changes to the Act are you aware of, if any?
Changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q54D in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 168:

Q55. Have the changes to rest and meal breaks had any impact on your business or workplace?


[^39] questionnaire.

Table 169:
Q56. Which of the following impacts have the changes to rest and meal breaks had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to rest and meal breaks ( $\mathrm{n}=54$ ).
**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

Table 170:
Q57. Have the changes to requests for flexible working arrangements had any impact on your business or workplace?


[^40] in the paper questionnaire

Table 171:
Q58. Which of the following impacts have the changes to flexible working arrangements had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Retail |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Trade, |  | Health |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Agricultur |  |  |  |  |  | Accom |  | Care \& |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Forestry |  |  |  |  |  | and |  | Social |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Fishing |  | Manufactu |  | Construction |  | Food |  | Serv |  | Other |  |
| Unweighted base= | 83* |  | 8** |  | 11** |  | 8** |  | 11** |  | 21** |  | 24** |  |
| Weighted base= | 6238* | +/- | 514 ** | +/- | 399 ** | +/- | 563 ** | +/- | 1838 ** | +/- | 886 ** | +/- | 2037 ** | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Increased flexibility for employees | 63 | 8.2 | 23 | - | 84 | - | 99 | - | 19 | - | 78 | - | 92 | - |
| Increased certainty for employees | 21 | 10.2 | 70 | - | 17 | - | 1 | - | 16 | - | 11 | - | 23 | - |
| More difficulties/ inconvenience for employer/lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| productivity | 13 | 10.6 | 16 | - | 32 | - | 0 | - | 24 | - | 7 | - | 4 | - |
| Any other impacts (Specify) | 9 | 10.0 | 3 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 25 | - | 5 | - | 2 | - |
| Don't know | 5 | 6.3 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 16 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 7 | 7.3 | 4 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 14 | - | 18 | - | 0 | - |

[^41]Table 172:
Q59. Have the changes to collective bargaining had any impact on your business or workplace?


[^42]Table 173:
Q60. Which of the following impacts have the changes to collective bargaining had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to collective bargaining ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ).
**Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only

Table 174:
Q61. Have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had any impact on your business or workplace?


[^43] non-responses to Q 61 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 175:
Q62. Which of the following impacts have the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring had on your business or workplace? READ. CODE MANY


[^44]Table 176:
Q63. In the last 12 months, which of the following sources, if any, have you used to find out about minimum employment rights and obligations? READ. CODE MANY


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 9 non-responses to Q 63 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 177:

Q64.Thinking about MBIE's information on minimum employment rights and obligations, how useful was this information? Would you say: READ


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.


## Table 178:

 mean someone who was not born in New Zealand and who has arrived in New Zealand in the last five years.


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q 65 in the paper questionnaire.

Table 179:
Q66. And in the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*All respondents ( $n=1528$ ) were asked this question. This table excludes 6 non-responses to $Q 66$ in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 180:

Q67. For what particular reasons did your business choose to employ a recent migrant? CODE MANY. PROBE FULLY


[^45]Table 181:
Q68. On the whole, how would you rate your migrant employees on their overall job performance? READ

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ealth Ca |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ricultur |  |  |  |  |  | Retail Trad |  | Social |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | restry |  |  |  |  |  | Accommoda |  | Assista |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Fishing |  | Manufactur |  | Construct |  | \& Food Serv |  | ices |  | Other |  |
| Unweighted base= | 738* |  | 67 |  | 133 |  | 110 |  | 111 |  | 125 |  | 192 |  |
| Weighted base= | 44409* | +/- | 4312 | +/- | 3546 | +/- | 4334 | +/- | 11067 | +/- | 2892 | +/- | 18257 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very good | 61 | 6.7 | 73 | 17.2 | 60 | 11.2 | 59 | 22.9 | 58 | 14.9 | 61 | 13.0 | 60 | 11.5 |
| Good | 32 | 6.5 | 26 | 17.2 | 30 | 10.8 | 37 | 22.8 | 32 | 14.1 | 25 | 11.3 | 33 | 11.2 |
| Neither good nor poor | 5 | 2.6 | 1 | - | 9 | 7.6 | 2 | - | 10 | 9.4 | 9 | 9.9 | 2 | - |
| Poor | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Very poor | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 3 | 2.9 | 0 | - |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=741$ ). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q 68 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 182:

Q69. And why do you say that? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER

| Unweighted base $=$Weighted base $=$ |  Agriculture, <br>   <br> Total Fishing |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accom and Food |  | Health Care \& Social Serv | Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 667 * \end{aligned}$ |  | 62 |  | 121 |  | 97 |  | 102 |  | 112 | 173 |  |  |
|  | 41082* | +/- | 4264 | +/- | 3220 | +/- | 4154 | +/- | 9989 | +/- | 2472 | +/- | 16983 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| They are able to share their experience and skills | 34 | 6.8 | 23 | 17.1 | 31 | 11.5 | 34 | 23.4 | 35 | 14.4 | 40 | 13.2 | 37 | 11.8 |
| They have skills and experience not available in New Zealand | 22 | 6.4 | 15 | 13.7 | 15 | 7.6 | 21 | 19.5 | 25 | 14.2 | 7 | 4.7 | 27 | 11.5 |
| They perform at a level higher than expected | 24 | 5.8 | 17 | 8.1 | 32 | 9.8 | 40 | 23.1 | 34 | 15.0 | 22 | 11.9 | 16 | 8.7 |
| They perform at a superior level to the other employees | 8 | 3.4 | 21 | 16.8 | 13 | 6.4 | 3 | 4.1 | 9 | 9.4 | 9 | 5.6 | 4 | 4.2 |
| They don't have English language problems | 22 | 6.1 | 15 | 13.7 | 15 | 8.4 | 37 | 22.8 | 18 | 12.4 | 23 | 12.3 | 24 | 10.8 |
| They have no problems with cultural differences/fit in with the team | 27 | 6.5 | 23 | 17.1 | 31 | 11.7 | 29 | 22.9 | 27 | 14.3 | 18 | 7.4 | 27 | 11.1 |
| They have transferable skills | 27 | 5.8 | 11 | 7.3 | 29 | 9.5 | 51 | 18.9 | 17 | 11.8 | 38 | 13.1 | 29 | 10.8 |
| They have prior work experience in New Zealand | 9 | 3.4 | 13 | 7.7 | 8 | 5.8 | 12 | 18.3 | 7 | 6.4 | 13 | 6.2 | 8 | 5.2 |
| They have the right attitude/are willing to learn/good work ethic/perform above \& beyond their role | 58 | 6.6 | 73 | 17.5 | 57 | 9.3 | 77 | 19.5 | 65 | 14.4 | 58 | 13.1 | 45 | 11.5 |
| They have the skills and experience required (in general) | 41 | 7.0 | 37 | 17.7 | 38 | 10.0 | 39 | 23.6 | 31 | 14.4 | 40 | 8.7 | 50 | 12.4 |
| They have other language (non-English) skills | 13 | 5.4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 22 | 13.7 | 9 | 6.0 | 17 | 10.2 |
| Their work is very good quality | 45 | 7.0 | 42 | 17.6 | 49 | 12.6 | 58 | 19.9 | 37 | 15.0 | 48 | 13.3 | 46 | 12.4 |
| They do what is required/fulfil that role | 52 | 6.3 | 68 | 17.5 | 43 | 10.0 | 67 | 20.0 | 37 | 14.9 | 54 | 13.3 | 55 | 10.3 |
| Their good performance is noted by others/appraisals/referred by | 28 | 6.5 | 35 | 17.7 | 25 | 9.4 | 17 | 18.8 | 25 | 14.0 | 28 | 8.4 | 30 | 11.6 |
| Other (Specify) | 7 | 3.3 | 9 | 12.5 | 11 | 8.7 | 3 | 4.1 | 7 | 8.3 | 13 | 11.3 | 6 | 5.0 |
| No reason | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Don't know | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |

[^46]Table 183:
Q70. In the last 12 months, has your business hired any recent migrants who have subsequently left the business?

|  | Total |  | Agriculture, Forestry \& Fishing |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social Assistance |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 647* |  | 66 |  | 111 |  | 98 |  | 104 |  | 113 |  | 155 |  |
| Weighted base= | 37037* | +/- | 4316 | +/- | 2656 | +/- | 3804 | +/- | 11049 | +/- | 2266 | +/- | 12946 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 49 | 7.0 | 86 | 7.7 | 42 | 12.8 | 32 | 21.2 | 60 | 15.3 | 42 | 12.8 | 34 | 12.9 |
| No | 50 | 7.0 | 14 | 7.7 | 56 | 12.9 | 68 | 21.2 | 39 | 15.3 | 58 | 12.8 | 65 | 13.0 |
| Don't know | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 184:

Q71. Thinking now about the migrant who left your business most recently, for what particular reasons did they leave? CODE MANY. PROBE CLEAR ANSWER


Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants who subsequently left in the last 12 months ( $n=317$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q71 in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 185:

Q72A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Websites or services (e.g. webinars) connecting your business to prospective migrants


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 17 non-responses to Q72A in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 186:

Q72B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Direct contact with Immigration NZ providing advice on immigration policy and process


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 17 non-responses to $Q 72 B$ in the paper questionnaire

## Table 187

Q72C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Support for employers at overseas job fairs

|  | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  |  | Health Care \& Social |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 706* |  | 61 |  | 128 |  | 109 |  | 108 |  | 117 |  | 183 |  |
| Weighted base= | 41876* | +/- | 3946 | +/- | 3433 | +/- | 3889 | +/- | 10163 | +/- | 2719 | +/- | 17727 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 7 | 3.2 | 10 | 13.5 | 13 | 7.4 | 9 | 7.1 | 11 | 10.1 | 7 | 3.8 | 4 | 3.1 |
| Useful | 27 | 6.1 | 27 | 18.4 | 21 | 9.8 | 29 | 22.0 | 30 | 12.6 | 20 | 7.4 | 26 | 10.5 |
| Not useful | 42 | 6.4 | 30 | 18.7 | 37 | 12.1 | 37 | 20.9 | 37 | 11.1 | 41 | 13.7 | 50 | 11.8 |
| Don't know | 22 | 5.5 | 33 | 20.2 | 28 | 11.1 | 22 | 19.9 | 20 | 11.7 | 31 | 13.1 | 18 | 8.8 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 4.5 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 188:

Q72D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Information on migrant behaviour and needs

|  | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 710* |  | 61 |  | 129 |  | 109 |  | 109 |  | 119 |  | 183 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42388* | +/- | 3946 | +/- | 3496 | +/- | 3889 | +/- | 10608 | +/- | 2767 | +/- | 17682 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 7 | 3.2 | 19 | 17.8 | 7 | 5.9 | 9 | 7.1 | 7 | 8.7 | 10 | 5.3 | 4 | 3.2 |
| Useful | 44 | 6.7 | 22 | 15.0 | 45 | 12.1 | 45 | 20.0 | 46 | 14.2 | 24 | 11.9 | 50 | 11.9 |
| Not useful | 29 | 5.8 | 24 | 18.2 | 22 | 9.5 | 24 | 9.0 | 28 | 10.6 | 36 | 13.5 | 33 | 11.2 |
| Don't know | 18 | 5.0 | 34 | 20.4 | 24 | 10.2 | 19 | 19.5 | 19 | 11.3 | 28 | 12.0 | 12 | 7.3 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q72D in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 189:

Q72E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration New Zealand be in helping your business to attract migrant staff?
Specialist advice on settlement services for migrant employees
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## Table 190:

Q73A. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for new migrant employees about cultural differences in the workplace

|  | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 718* |  | 63 |  | 127 |  | 110 |  | 108 |  | 123 |  | 187 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42656* | +/- | 4046 | +/- | 3445 | +/- | 4323 | +/- | 10163 | +/- | 2815 | +/- | 17862 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 13 | 4.6 | 5 | 5.3 | 13 | 9.0 | 20 | 18.7 | 11 | 10.1 | 26 | 11.7 | 12 | 7.5 |
| Useful | 45 | 6.2 | 56 | 20.2 | 49 | 12.4 | 31 | 22.3 | 53 | 13.5 | 35 | 12.2 | 41 | 10.1 |
| Not useful | 26 | 5.9 | 20 | 17.4 | 20 | 9.5 | 30 | 19.3 | 18 | 9.8 | 13 | 5.8 | 35 | 11.2 |
| Don't know | 15 | 4.6 | 19 | 14.7 | 16 | 9.5 | 17 | 18.4 | 15 | 9.3 | 26 | 12.7 | 11 | 7.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 23 non-responses to Q73A in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 191:

Q73B. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for migrant employees and businesses about overcoming communication challenges in the workplace
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## Table 192:

Q73C. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for new migrant employees about working in your industry

|  | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 719* |  | 64 |  | 127 |  | 110 |  | 109 |  | 123 |  | 186 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42500* | +/- | 4129 | +/- | 3408 | +/- | 4323 | +/- | 10176 | +/- | 2815 | +/- | 17649 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 14 | 4.3 | 6 | 5.4 | 15 | 9.3 | 17 | 18.4 | 21 | 13.0 | 25 | 7.5 | 10 | 5.2 |
| Useful | 49 | 6.9 | 62 | 18.1 | 46 | 12.4 | 43 | 22.3 | 48 | 15.3 | 37 | 13.2 | 50 | 11.8 |
| Not useful | 18 | 4.7 | 13 | 13.5 | 20 | 10.0 | 20 | 8.0 | 14 | 8.6 | 17 | 10.8 | 22 | 9.2 |
| Don't know | 18 | 5.5 | 19 | 14.4 | 17 | 9.7 | 17 | 18.4 | 16 | 11.5 | 21 | 11.4 | 18 | 9.5 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 22 non-responses to Q73C in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 193:

Q73D. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information for new migrant employees about living in New Zealand

| Unweighted base= Weighted base= | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social |  | Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |  | Assistance |  |  |  |
|  | 717* |  | 64 |  | 127 |  |  | 110 |  | 108 |  | 122 |  | 186 |  |
|  | 42469* | +/- | 4129 | +/- | 3445 | +/- | 4323 | +/- | 10163 | +/- | 2805 | +/- | 17604 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 13 | 4.0 | 6 | 5.4 | 16 | 9.2 | 20 | 18.7 | 13 | 10.8 | 28 | 11.9 | 10 | 5.2 |
| Useful | 50 | 6.6 | 66 | 14.8 | 51 | 12.4 | 49 | 22.9 | 46 | 13.7 | 39 | 13.2 | 50 | 11.8 |
| Not useful | 18 | 5.3 | 7 | 5.5 | 18 | 9.4 | 10 | 6.5 | 16 | 11.5 | 10 | 5.6 | 25 | 10.5 |
| Don't know | 18 | 5.2 | 21 | 14.6 | 14 | 9.1 | 17 | 18.4 | 25 | 13.5 | 21 | 11.6 | 13 | 7.8 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 5.3 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |
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## Table 194:

Q73E. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Information about learning English language skills for the workplace

|  | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  | Health Care \& Social |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 710* |  | 63 |  | 128 |  | 110 |  | 107 |  | 120 |  | 182 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42287* | +/- | 4046 | +/- | 3470 | +/- | 4323 | +/- | 10149 | +/- | 2784 | +/- | 17513 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 19 | 5.5 | 13 | 13.7 | 27 | 11.4 | 27 | 19.3 | 20 | 12.6 | 25 | 11.7 | 15 | 9.1 |
| Useful | 36 | 6.7 | 43 | 20.5 | 33 | 10.9 | 31 | 22.3 | 46 | 15.4 | 32 | 13.0 | 32 | 10.9 |
| Not useful | 27 | 6.1 | 23 | 17.7 | 25 | 10.8 | 21 | 18.8 | 18 | 9.8 | 18 | 7.0 | 36 | 11.8 |
| Don't know | 17 | 5.1 | 22 | 14.9 | 14 | 8.6 | 17 | 18.4 | 17 | 11.6 | 24 | 11.8 | 15 | 8.2 |
| Refused | 1 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 5.3 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q73E in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 195:

Q73F. How useful would the following assistance from Immigration NZ be in helping your workplace employ and retain migrants?
Support for partners of your migrant staff to settle in New Zealand

|  | Agriculture, Forestry \& |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction | Retail Trade, |  |  | Health Care \& Social |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Fishing |  |  |  |  | \& Food Servi |  | Assistanc |  | Other |  |
| Unweighted base= | 706* |  | 63 | 125 |  |  |  | 110 |  | 106 |  | 121 |  | 181 |  |
| Weighted base= | 42228* | +/- | 4110 | +/- | 3374 | +/- | 4323 | +/- | 10136 | +/- | 2795 | +/- | 17490 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Very useful | 15 | 4.3 | 18 | 14.5 | 21 | 10.4 | 28 | 22.2 | 11 | 10.1 | 27 | 11.8 | 9 | 5.1 |
| Useful | 40 | 6.9 | 38 | 19.8 | 38 | 11.5 | 26 | 19.0 | 41 | 15.0 | 27 | 11.8 | 46 | 12.1 |
| Not useful | 24 | 6.0 | 23 | 17.5 | 24 | 11.1 | 24 | 19.2 | 19 | 9.9 | 14 | 6.2 | 29 | 11.4 |
| Don't know | 19 | 5.3 | 22 | 14.7 | 14 | 8.8 | 17 | 18.4 | 27 | 13.6 | 32 | 13.1 | 14 | 7.9 |
| Refused | 2 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 4 | 5.3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q73F in the paper questionnaire.

Table 196:
Q74A. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided information about cultural differences in the workplace

|  |  Agriculture, <br> Total  <br> Fishing  |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  |  <br> Social Assistance |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 728* |  | 66 |  | 129 |  | 111 |  | 109 |  | 124 |  | 189 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43724* | +/- | 4293 | +/- | 3441 | +/- | 4339 | +/- | 10608 | +/- | 2854 | +/- | 18188 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 36 | 6.2 | 33 | 18.7 | 36 | 11.8 | 22 | 18.7 | 36 | 14.9 | 54 | 13.3 | 36 | 10.1 |
| No | 32 | 5.7 | 22 | 16.7 | 32 | 9.2 | 26 | 19.0 | 42 | 15.2 | 13 | 5.4 | 33 | 8.3 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 30 | 6.1 | 37 | 17.6 | 29 | 11.4 | 49 | 22.9 | 21 | 11.8 | 31 | 12.9 | 28 | 10.6 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 9 | 12.4 | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 3.0 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 13 non-responses to Q74A in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 197:

Q74B. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided information about living in New Zealand


[^52]Table 198:
Q74C. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided or allowed time to learn English language skills for the workplace

|  |  Agriculture, <br> Total  <br> Fishing  |  |  |  | Manufacturing |  | Construction |  | Retail Trade, Accommodation \& Food Services |  |  <br> Social Assistance |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unweighted base= | 729* |  | 66 |  | 131 |  | 111 |  | 110 |  | 123 |  | 188 |  |
| Weighted base= | 43741* | +/- | 4293 | +/- | 3529 | +/- | 4339 | +/- | 10622 | +/- | 2837 | +/- | 18121 | +/- |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Yes | 26 | 6.4 | 26 | 18.1 | 24 | 8.6 | 26 | 22.1 | 34 | 14.6 | 27 | 11.2 | 23 | 10.6 |
| No | 31 | 5.2 | 20 | 13.7 | 39 | 11.7 | 20 | 8.0 | 43 | 14.5 | 22 | 7.3 | 28 | 8.1 |
| Not applicable/did not need to | 41 | 6.5 | 52 | 19.7 | 33 | 11.8 | 51 | 22.9 | 23 | 12.3 | 49 | 12.2 | 47 | 11.5 |
| Don't know | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 3.0 |
| Refused | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Total | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - | 100 | - |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 12 non-responses to $Q 74 C$ in the paper questionnaire.

## Table 199:

Q74D. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Supported partners of migrant staff to settle in New Zealand


[^53]*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 16 non-responses to Q74D in the paper questionnaire.

Table 200:
Q74E. And finally, have you done any of the following to support migrant staff employed in your business?
Provided closer supervision on the job, e.g. a 'buddy' or mentor


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q74E in the paper questionnaire.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Document revised in May 2018.

[^1]:    * Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification.

[^2]:    * Estimated margins of error have been calculated based on the relevant survey design effect and sample size.
    ^ 1 to 19 staff, deft=1.244. 20 plus staff, deft=1.300.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Margins of error are calculated based upon the binomial distribution,

[^4]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only

[^5]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 7 non-responses to $\mathbf{Q 8}$ in the paper questionnaire.

[^6]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=748$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q20 in the paper questionnaire.

[^7]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^8]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 16 to 19 years ( $n=769$ ). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

[^9]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business processes pay in-house ( $n=1217$ ). This table excludes 8 non-responses to Q45 in the paper questionnaire.

[^10]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to 053 in the paper questionnaire.

[^11]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to flexible working arrangements ( $\mathrm{n}=84$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 58 in the paper questionnaire.
    **Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

[^12]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^13]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ).
    ${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

[^14]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^15]:    Sub-sample based on those respondents who rated their recent migrant employees overall job performance as good or very good ( $\mathrm{n}=667$ ).

[^16]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants who subsequently left in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=317$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 71 in the paper questionnaire
    **Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

[^17]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 17 non-responses to $Q 72 B$ in the paper questionnaire.

[^18]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q72D in the paper questionnaire.

[^19]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 23 non-responses to Q73A in the paper questionnaire.

[^20]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 22 non-responses to Q73C in the paper questionnaire.

[^21]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 31 non-responses to Q73E in the paper questionnaire.

[^22]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 12 non-responses to Q74C in the paper questionnaire.

[^23]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q74E in the paper questionnaire

[^24]:    X2 - Business Size is a constructed variable based on Q2. values for non-respondents to 22 were derived from sample data.

[^25]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q8 in the paper questionnaire.

[^26]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business has a system for identifying and managing workplace hazards ( $\mathrm{n}=1413$ ). This table excludes 7 non-responses to Q10 in the paper questionnaire.

[^27]:    otal may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^28]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^29]:    Total may exceed 100\% because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business made any significant changes to its health and safety systems or practices in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=748$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q20 in the paper questionnaire.

[^30]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative on

[^31]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^32]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had any employees aged 16 to 19 years ( $n=769$ ). This table excludes 3 non-responses to Q38 in the paper questionnaire.

[^33]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^34]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^35]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^36]:    *All ray not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative

[^37]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who had any employees that returned to work after taking parental leave in the last 2 years ( $\mathrm{n}=665$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q 51 in the paper questionnaire.

[^38]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

[^39]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to rest
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to rest and meal breaks ( $\mathrm{n}=1128$ ). This table excludes 4 non-responses to Q55 in the paper

[^40]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    

[^41]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to flexible working arrangements ( $\mathrm{n}=84$ ). This table excludes 1 non-response to Q 58 in the paper questionnaire.
    **Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only

[^42]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    Sub-sample based on those respondents who were aware of the changes to the Employment Relations Act regarding changes to collective bargaining ( $\mathrm{n}=702$ ). This table excludes 6 non-responses to Q59 in the paper questionnaire.

[^43]:    * otal may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    

[^44]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had been impacted by the changes to employment if an employee's work is affected by restructuring ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ).
    **Caution: low base number of respondents-results are indicative only.

[^45]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=649$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q67 in the paper questionnaire.

[^46]:    Total may exceed $100 \%$ because of multiple responses. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who rated their recent migrant employees overall job performance as good or very good ( $n=667$ ).

[^47]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had hired recent migrants in the last 12 months ( $\mathrm{n}=649$ ). This table excludes 2 non-responses to Q70 in the paper questionnaire.

[^48]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 35 non-responses to Q72C in the paper questionnaire.

[^49]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 10 non-responses to Q72E in the paper questionnaire.

[^50]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 22 non-responses to Q73B in the paper questionnaire.

[^51]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 24 non-responses to Q73D in the paper questionnaire.

[^52]:    *Sub-sample based on those respondents whose business had recent migrant employees on staff ( $n=741$ ). This table excludes 12 non-responses to $Q 74 B$ in the paper questionnaire.

[^53]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. MoEs for sample sizes of less than 30 or proportions close to 0 or 1 are indicative only.

