

NEW ZEALAND SITUATION & CAPABILITIES

Emerging and future platforms in New Zealand's bioeconomy

FINAL REPORT June 2023; v1.00a

DISCLAIMER

GENERAL

The terms of this disclaimer (hereinafter referred to as 'Disclaimer') apply to this document, entitled 'New Zealand Situation & Capabilities: Emerging and future platforms in New Zealand's bio-economy' (the Coriolis Report) and any later versions of this document. Please read this Disclaimer carefully. By accessing this document you agree to be bound by this Disclaimer.

USE OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document was prepared by Coriolis Ltd. (Coriolis) for our client and is based on information from a wide range of public sources deemed to be reliable and interviews with industry participants. Analyses and projections represent Coriolis's judgment, based on the data sources cited and are subject to the validity of the assumptions noted in this document. For purposes of the analysis in this document, Coriolis has relied upon and considered accurate and complete, and at the time of initial issuance of this document is not aware of any error in, data obtained from the sources cited but has not independently verified the completeness or accuracy of the data. All estimates and projections contained in this document are based on data obtained from the sources cited and involve elements of subjective judgment and analysis.

EXCLUSION OF LIABILITY

Neither Coriolis nor any of its agents or subcontractors shall be liable for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, consequential, punitive, or exemplary damages, including lost profits arising in any way from, including but not limited to, (i) the information provided in this document, and (ii) claims of third parties in connection with the use of this document. Projected market information, analyses and conclusions contained herein are based (unless sourced otherwise) on the information described above and on Coriolis' judgment, and should not be construed as definitive forecasts or guarantees of future performance or results. Neither Coriolis nor its officers, directors, shareholders, employees or agents accept any responsibility or liability with respect to this document.

Coriolis wishes to draw your attention to the following limitations of the Coriolis Report including any accompanying presentation, appendices and commentary (the Coriolis Commentary):

 a. Coriolis has not been asked to independently verify or audit the information or material provided to it by, or on behalf of the Client, or any of the parties involved in the project;

b. the information contained in the Coriolis Report and any Coriolis Commentary has been compiled from information and material supplied by third party sources and publicly available information which may (in part) be inaccurate or incomplete;

c. Coriolis makes no representation, warranty or guarantee, whether express or implied, as to the quality, accuracy, reliability, currency or completeness of the information provided in the Coriolis Report and any Coriolis Commentary, or that reasonable care has been taken in compiling or preparing them;

d. the analysis contained in the Coriolis Report and any Coriolis Commentary are subject to the key assumptions, further qualifications and limitations included in the Coriolis Report and Coriolis Commentary, and are subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies, some of which, if not all, are outside the control of Coriolis; and

e. any Coriolis Commentary accompanying the Coriolis Report is an integral part of interpreting the Coriolis Report. Consideration of the Coriolis Report will be incomplete if it is reviewed in the absence of the Coriolis Commentary and Coriolis conclusions may be misinterpreted if the Coriolis Report is reviewed in absence of the Coriolis Commentary.

Coriolis is not responsible or liable in any way for any loss or damage incurred by any person or entity relying on the information in, and the Recipient unconditionally and irrevocably releases Coriolis from liability for loss or damage of any kind whatsoever arising from, the Coriolis Report or Coriolis Commentary including without limitation judgments, opinions, hypothesis, views, forecasts or any other outputs therein and any interpretation, opinion or conclusion that the Recipient may form as a result of examining the Coriolis Report or Coriolis Commentary.

The Coriolis Report and any Coriolis Commentary may not be relied upon by the Recipient, and any use of, or reliance on that material is entirely at their own risk. Coriolis shall have no liability for any loss or damage arising out of any such use.

LIMITATIONS

This work is based on secondary market research, analysis of information available (e.g. Statistics NZ), and a range of interviews with industry participants and industry experts. Coriolis have not independently verified this information and make no representation or warranty, express or implied, that such information is accurate or complete. In many cases regional data is incomplete or not available and therefore research includes significant modelling and estimates.

KEY CONTACTS FOR THIS REPORT

Virginia Wilkinson is a Director at Coriolis. Virginia is Coriolis' resident expert on consumer insights and market research. She has over fifteen years of experience in primary sector and food and fast moving consumer goods research. Virginia regularly conducts both primary and secondary research on food, fast moving consumer goods, retailing and foodservice across Australasia. You may contact her by e-mail on: wilkinson@coriolisresearch.com

Tim Morris is a Director at Coriolis and is recognised as a leading expert and advisor to CEOs and stakeholders in strategy in food, fast moving consumer goods and retailing. Tim is a recognised expert globally in retailing, particularly in private label, with his work being quoted in numerous publications and college textbooks. He is head of Coriolis' retail and consumer goods practice. You may contact him by email on: tmorris@coriolisresearch.com

If at any point you are unclear where a number came from or how a conclusion was derived, please contact the authors directly. We are always happy to discuss our work with interested parties.

COPYRIGHT

All photos used in this discussion document were sourced by Coriolis from a range of stock photography providers as documented, are public domain or creative commons licensed as documented, or are low resolution, complete product/brand for illustrative purposes used under fair dealing/fair use for both 'research and study' and 'review and criticism'. Our usage of them complies with New Zealand law or their various license agreements.

Other than where we use or cite the work of others, this work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 New Zealand licence. In essence, you are free to copy, distribute and adapt the work, as long as you attribute the work and abide by the other licence terms.

To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/nz/.







NEW ZEALAND SITUATION & CAPABILITIES

Emerging and future platforms in New Zealand's bioeconomy

FINAL REPORT

June 2023

v1.00a

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?	WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171		PAGES 172-205	PAGES 206-241
1.1. Among the (M/H) Construct Southered	0	2.1. Second and 102	
1.2 Forestry	9 26	2.1 Smart people 102	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital 203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.5 Fruit	77		
1.6 Vegetables	89		
1.7 Cattle	101		
1.8 Sheep	125		
1.9 Chicken	143		
1.10 Pigs	155		
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166		

This project works to a clear client brief

CLIENT BRIEF: SELECT KEY CONCEPTS

"Currently New Zealand's economic activity exceeds environmental limits on several measures, of which high emissions (in absolute terms and per capita) is one. As a signatory to the Paris Agreement, New Zealand's Nationally Determined Contributions (NDC) target is to reduce New Zealand's net emissions by 50 per cent below gross 2005 levels by 2030. This equates to a 41 per cent reduction on 2005 levels using what is known as an 'emissions budget' approach."

CHALLENGE

"The purpose of this bioeconomy research is to establish an evidence base to enable New Zealand's bioeconomy to further develop. To support investment, innovation and the further development of New Zealand's bioeconomy, business decision makers and policy makers need high quality information on emerging and future bioeconomy platforms as well as up to date intelligence on technological developments, market opportunities and trends, both local and global." "This research identifies commercial opportunities that are emerging now, and potential opportunities that might be viable in the future. The research will focus on identifying platforms as distinct from individual products. As an illustration, examples of emerging and future bioeconomy platforms could include nutraceuticals and foods for health, biotechnology (as an enabler), alternative proteins, biomaterials, essential oils, botanical waste streams (transforming the waste streams from existing plant-based food systems into health products), health focused Alt/Dairy (leveraging existing arable crop and dairy capabilities into innovative, health focused milks).

We are seeking a report that provides this comprehensive set of information. The report will provide businesses (particularly start-ups and small and medium enterprises), investors, Māori enterprises, research organisations and policy makers access to a baseline of market information and analysis and a common framework of facts, figures, and analysis. This information is currently either missing, fragmented or too costly to obtain for all but the largest businesses.

The report must be in a format that is familiar and useful to business. It must include data, analysis and commentary on trends and opportunities in a form that will materially assist with business strategy and government policy."

REQUIREMENTS

PURPOSE OF RESEARCH

This report is part of a wider suite of related and associated analysis



This report outlines the <u>current</u> situation and capabilities

BACKGROUND & SUPPORTING MATERIAL



SITUATION & CAPABILITIES

Providing a granular assessment of New Zealand's available biological resources

This section provides data and analysis on New Zealand's <u>current</u> available bioresources only, and does not directly consider issues relating to sustainability or the merits or otherwise of different production systems.

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES		3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?	
PAGES 8-171					
1.1 Aquaculture /Wild Capture Seafood	0	2.1. Smart neonle	82		
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas	90		
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital 2	203		
1.4 Wine Grapes	66				
1.5 Fruit	77				
1.6 Vegetables	89				
1.7 Cattle	101				
1.8 Sheep	125				
1.9 Chicken	143				
1.10 Pigs	155				
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166				

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES		3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?	
PAGES 8-171	P				
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9 2	2.1 Smart people	182		
1.2 Forestry 2	6 2	2.2 Good ideas	190		
1.3 Arable Crops 5	2 2	2.3 Useful capital	203		
1.4 Wine Grapes 6	6				
1.5 Fruit 7	7				
1.6 Vegetables 8	9				
1.7 Cattle 10	1				
1.8 Sheep 12	5				
1.9 Chicken 14	3				
1.10 Pigs 15	5				
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other 16	6				

The New Zealand seafood industry has been going down not up

New Zealand is capable and competitive in seafood

- The New Zealand seafood industry has capabilities in place across the supply chain
- New Zealand has a stable and sustainable position in the global seafood industry, albeit with declining quantities of product
- The seafood production system is internationally competitive, but there are numerous opportunities for improvement across the chain
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand's seafood capabilities lead to high but declining global competitiveness, particularly in fish

On paper, New Zealand is well endowed with fresh and salt water resources

- New Zealand has 4.4m km² of controlled ocean space (15x land area); much of this is relatively unproductive water over a kilometre deep
- 94% of the area of the planet controlled by New Zealand is water and the country has the ninth largest area of claimed/controlled ocean space of any country in the world
- New Zealand has the tenth largest coastline of any country; Southland, Northland, Auckland and Marlborough stand out

In practice, seafood production is small and highly regulated; New Zealand produces more total barley biomass than wild captured seafood

- The amount of seafood produced in New Zealand is almost exclusively a function of government regulations (and the economics they create)
- New Zealand wild capture production peaked in 1997/98 and has been trending down since as quotas are reduced to maintain stocks
- Falling allowable capture and growing economies of scale have led to falling commercial vessel numbers; landed tonnes/vessel has been stable at ~350

Aquaculture has stalled in New Zealand

 New Zealand aquacultural production grew through around ~2004; growth has stalled since; all new species attempted in the past fifty years have failed

The industry has been consolidating and this is expected to continue

- The seafood industry has declining unit numbers
- The seafood industry has growing employment at sea and on-farm, but declining employment on land in primary processing/handling
- The industry is not increasing productivity; tonnes per employee is falling, suggesting further consolidation is likely going forward

The New Zealand seafood industry has capabilities in place across the supply chain



New Zealand has a stable and sustainable position in the global seafood industry, albeit with declining quantities of product

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND SEAFOOD

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES			
 Clean water and generally healthy aquatic environment Unsubsidised industry Early introduction of quota management system prevented collapse of stocks through overfishing Stocks generally at sustainable levels or rebuilding Regularly ranked in top three sustainable regions Efficient, modern industry with large modern boats, in particular the deep sea freezer trawlers Stable, long-term ownership in place across most major firms Only country farming green lipped mussels (Perna canaliculus); others farm a different green shelled species (perna viridis, etc.) or blue mussels (mytilus sp.) Limited presence of disease in aquaculture species Unique access to some bio-secure markets (particularly Australia & Japan) Parts of domestic industry protected from imports by biosecurity measures 	 Most industry wild capture growth metrics negative (Quota system) Wild catch volume has fallen almost continuously for ~25 years (since 1998) leading to reduced throughput Relatively small producer on a global scale Supply fluctuates year-to-year with availability of wild capture fish Large number of species (100+ catch and bycatch) and variable volumes therefore difficult to have a consistent resource (automated processing, bioactives extraction) Mussels and oysters have low value per hectare; salmon development limited by regulatory challenges Most EEZ space low productivity deep water Industry is bulk supply driven, rather than specialised/consumer focused Most firms small/sub-scale with limited access to capital, particularly inshore Competing users of coastal space for aquaculture (e.g. holiday houses) 			
Government support of industry R&D	No competitive advantage around aquaculture feed production due to low scale Lack of market integration, not capturing in-market value; limited in-market knowledge			
 Consumer perceptions of health benefits of seafood Large amounts of fish body currently going to meal and waste (only ~60% of fish is fillet) New regulations mandating landing of bycatch (also a challenge) Use of by-products for nutraceuticals /cosmetics sector; identification of new compounds, bioactives Growing interest by some more wealthy consumers in Western markets for eco-labelling and environmental certification (driven by retailers) Growing middle class in China and SE Asia Gradual removal of global fishing subsidies Ongoing removal of trade barriers and negotiation of new free trade agreements Streamline regulations New/improved supply chain technology 	 New Zealand's wild capture continues to decline Other countries "catching up" on sustainability (e.g. Argentina) Low cost competitors in low wage/low regulation/higher productivity warm waters NIMBY (not in my back yard) attitudes limiting industry activity Single issue special interest groups driving domestic regulatory agenda Rising costs of airfreight reducing feasibility of fresh exports Climate change impacting aquaculture and in-shore species 			

The seafood production system is internationally competitive, but there are numerous opportunities for improvement across the chain

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: SEAFOOD

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
****	$\star \star \star \div \div$	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \bigstar \bigstar$	★★☆☆☆
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	$\star \star \star \div \Leftrightarrow$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
		$\star \star \star \star \star \Rightarrow$	$\bigstar\bigstar \Leftrightarrow \clubsuit \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$	****
Available Genetics ★ ★ ☆ ☆ ☆	Proven/Scalable Systems ★★★☆☆☆			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs ★ ★ ★ ☆ ☆	Skills & Experience $\star \star \star \star \star$	****	★★★★☆	****
	OVE	ERALL		***

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand's seafood capabilities lead to high but declining global competitiveness, particularly in fish

- ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND BY SELECT SITC3

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1995-2021



Source: UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis



* Excluding the Cook Islands (1.96m) and Niue (0.3m); note: a nautical mile is 1,852 metres; Source: Wikipedia (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exclusive_Economic_Zone); Sealord; Coriolis analysis COR10L1S

94% of the area of the planet controlled by New Zealand is water*



* Excluding Ross Dependency, Niue and Cook Islands; Source: CIA World Fact Book; LINZ; Coriolis analysis and estimates



New Zealand has the ninth largest area of claimed/controlled ocean space of any country in the world

• TOP 30 COUNTRIES AND TOTAL GLOBAL CLAIMED EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE (EEZ)

4, km²; m; 2023



New Zealand has the tenth largest coastline of any country; Southland, Northland, Auckland and Marlborough stand out



Note: coastline lengths are fractal and different scale intervals give different answers; data presented is a constant scale interval on each chart (but not across both) and is generally as claimed; some areas are disputed; total NZ coastline length chart right is 19,049km due to different interval length; Source: CIA World Fact Book (https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/); LINZ; Coriolis analysis

¹⁸

The amount of seafood produced in New Zealand is almost exclusively a function of government regulations (and the economics they create) KEY DRIVERS: NEW ZEALAND SEAFOOD BIOMASS WILD CAPTURE AQUACULTURE Tonnes of total allowable commercial catch (by managed Area where species)[TACC] aquaculture is Tonnes of wild catch permitted (hectares) Х seafood of quota = species Tonnes of aquaculture Х = seafood % of TACC which is Tonnes of + = actually captured landed catch Production per hectare of specific species Tonnes of wild catch permitted in this area seafood of non-quota species The amount of wild capture seafood removed from the sea is New Zealand could produce 100x or 1,000x more seafood from aquaculture with different rules. However, likely close to the sustainable limit (based on current science) this is unlikely to occur.

New Zealand wild capture production peaked in 1997/98 and has been trending down since as quotas are reduced to maintain stocks



Source: UN FAO Fishstat database; Coriolis classification and analysis

20

Falling allowable capture and growing economies of scale have led to falling commercial vessel numbers; landed tonnes/vessel has been growing

---- REGISTERED FISHING BOATS IN NZ WATERS ----- AVERAGE LANDED TONNES PER VESSEL Vessels; 1960-2022 Tonnes/unit; 1960-2022



20

2012 2010

New Zealand aquacultural production grew through around ~2004; growth has stalled since; all new species attempted in the past fifty years have failed



* Prior to this, river and "ocean ranching" of salmon had been conducted in NZ; Source: UN FAO Fishstat database; Coriolis classification and analysis

The seafood industry has declining unit numbers



Source: StatisticsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

The seafood industry has growing employment at sea and on-farm, but declining employment on land in primary processing/handling



Source: StatisticsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

The industry is not increasing productivity; tonnes per employee is falling, suggesting further consolidation is likely going forward



DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES	2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?	WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?	WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?	
PAGES 8-171	PAGES 172-205		
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood 9	2.1 Smart people 182		
1.2 Forestry 26	2.2 Good ideas 190		
1.3 Arable Crops52	2.3 Useful capital 203		
1.4 Wine Grapes 66			
1.5 Fruit 77			
1.6 Vegetables 89			
1.7 Cattle 101			
1.8 Sheep 125			
1.9 Chicken 143			
1.10 Pigs 155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other 166			

Market forces had stalled afforestation in New Zealand for almost two decades; significant recent government intervention may have changed this...

New Zealand is capable and competitive in forestry

- The New Zealand plantation forestry industry has access to all required capabilities across the supply chain
- New Zealand has a stable and sustainable position in the global forestry industry, albeit with unclear ability to expand value add
- Not every driver of the plantation forestry chain is perfect; New Zealand has capabilities in growing and primary processing, but challenges at value added
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand has growing competitiveness in whole logs and declining competitiveness in wood products

New Zealand is a minor forestry producer, with a similar share of area in forest as peers, and limited overall growth; \sim 20% of forest is bioeconomic

New Zealand accounts for 0.2% of global forestry area and 0.7% of global planted forest area

- About a third of New Zealand is covered in forest or 42.6% including scrublands; 7.8% in exotic forests
- The total amount of forest and scrubland in New Zealand has been incredibly flat for the past two decades plus; however, looking at the long term, the area of New Zealand in forest is back where it was in ~1880
- A larger share of New Zealand is forested than many climatic peer group countries; drilling in on a tight group of similar sized, developed, temperate climate countries suggests New Zealand is not particularly over or under forested
- About 20% of the standing volume of trees in New Zealand are plantation forestry which may be harvested for biomass; 94% of this is radiata pine

... continued

New Zealand plantation forestry area has not moved significantly in the past two decades; recent area gains have started to offset historical area losses

- Total New Zealand forestry area peaked in 2003 and has generally drifted down since then; modest recovery in last two years
- Restocking of existing forestry lands is relatively consistent; it is new area entering forestry that declined then recently recovered
- Net area in plantation forestry was in decline through 2019; recent growth in clear-felling, restocking and new plantings
- After a long period of harvesting greater than replanting, there have been three years of net area gains
- Most forestry area in New Zealand is privately owned; changes in forestry will be driven by business cases
- Around 70% of New Zealand plantation forestry area is in the North Island, with the Central N.I. alone accounting for a third

Historic decisions mean that New Zealand is experiencing growing wood volumes that will last for another ~8 years, followed by a sharp decline

- New Zealand has seen long term growth in harvested volumes of wood
- However, planting decisions made ~30 years ago mean that future harvest volumes will stabilise and then begin to decline
- BioPacific's recent wood availability model forecasts an additional eight years of volume growth followed by a sharp decline
- New Zealand trees are grown and harvested on clear cycles; the "wall of wood" bulge will pass through over the next 10+ years
- Since around 2000, effectively all growth in harvest volumes has been going to export markets as whole logs; the export log market will likely act as the "shock absorber" for harvest volumes, with domestic further processing stable

The New Zealand plantation forestry industry has access to all required capabilities across the supply chain

NEW ZEALAND CAPABILITIES DRIVEN SUPPLY CHAIN: PLANTATION FORESTRY



New Zealand has a modest forestry industry by global standards that is relatively well organised, but lacks scale

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND FORESTRY

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES			
 Total industry focused almost exclusively on a single, fast growing species (Radiata/Monterey Pine) Growing supply from 'Wall of Wood' reaching harvest age Unsubsidised industry Limited presence of disease Government support of industry R&D Consolidated industry with large forestry owners at scale (6% of owners accounted for 68% area) Regional scale, particularly in North Island Professional industry across all stages of the chain International investment across all stages of the chain Breeding programs improving genetics (e.g. PF Olsen) 	 Radiata pine is a fast growing softwood and isn't in any way a 'perfect' timber species Radiata 'perceived' to perform poorly against other competing timbers in other markets 15+ years of no market signals to significantly increase forestry area (only ended with dramatic government stimulus and regulatory change) All volume growth in last ~25 years has gone to exports of whole logs primarily to China 'Wall of Wood' will come to an end in about eight years and volumes will drop off dramatically Processed wood products almost totally domestic focused Not a major plantation operator relative to key competitors Small domestic markets of 5m people inside a small trading block with Australia (23m people) Lack of market integration, not capturing in-market value Limited in-market knowledge 			
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS			
 Government seeking to address emissions with forestry (Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry) Government zero carbon emissions target Government subsidies (e.g. One Billion Trees) Rising carbon prices medium-long term Changes to Overseas Investment Act Latest government-led industry strategy/plan saying 'all the right things' Constant and ongoing changes in government building/construction regulations Scaling up of use of by-products for nutraceuticals /cosmetics sector Optimising forestry value (e.g. bioextracts, biomaterials, biochemicals, biofuels); in particular from slash Speculative research and emerging technologies for producing biofuels, bioplastics and other bio-stuff from forestry/wood byproducts Growing interest by some more wealthy consumers in Western markets for eco-labelling and environmental certification (e.g. FSC) Chinese economic growth being supported by large infrastructure projects 	 Recent growth is driven by government not markets; were government to again change focus, for example to permanent carbon forestry built around native trees, growth will stop Industry is extremely dependent on domestic construction and China Growing domestic wood imports Ongoing consolidation in wood processing Declining industry employment across almost every part of the chain Processed wood products not achieving success in export markets (outside a few narrow exceptions) Disease, particularly as industry is effectively a monoculture Numerous past industry strategies that have failed to achieve or deliver Clear disconnect between high-level narrative and facts-on-the-ground NIMBY (not in my back yard) attitudes limiting industry activity Growing negative environmental impacts of clear-felling (e.g. Cyclone Gabrielle and erosion) and questions over appropriateness of radiata pine on erosion prone land (e.g. in Tairawhiti) Single issue special interest groups driving domestic regulatory agenda Emissions Trading Schemes forcing a shift from plantation to carbon forestry 			

Not every driver of the plantation forestry chain is perfect; New Zealand has capabilities in growing and primary processing, but challenges at value added

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: FORESTRY

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
****	****	★ ★ ★ ★ ☆	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	★★★★☆
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	★★★★☆	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
		$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \clubsuit$	★★★★☆
Available Genetics	Proven/Scalable Systems			
$\star \star \star \star \star \ddagger$	****			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs	Skills & Experience	<u> </u>		<u></u>
$\star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	****			
	OVI	FRAII		

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand has growing competitiveness in whole logs and declining competitiveness in wood products ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND BY SELECT SITC3

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1995-2021



CORIOLIS 32

Source: UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand accounts for 0.2% of global forestry area and 0.7% of global planted forest area

TOTAL GLOBAL FOREST AREA Ha; m; 2020 GLOBAL PLANTED FOREST AREA Ha; m; 2020





About a third of New Zealand is covered in forest or 42.6% including scrublands; 7.8% in exotic forests

NEW ZEALAND LAND AREA BY LAND COVER CLASSIFICATION Ha; 000; 2018 [latest available]



The total amount of forest and scrubland in New Zealand has been incredibly flat for the past two decades plus

NEW ZEALAND LAND AREA IN FOREST AND SCRUBLAND Ha; 000; 1996-2018 [latest available]



Source: MftE/StatsNZ New Zealand Land Cover Data Base (LCDB5); Coriolis analysis

However, looking at the long term, the area of New Zealand in forest is back where it was in ~ 1880



NEW ZEALAND LAND AREA IN FOREST AND SCRUBLAND Ha; 000; 1000-2022

Note: Prior to 1840 "use of fire by the Māori substantially reduced the forest area" by ~11m hectares; Source: A Statistical Account of the Seven Colonies of Australasia (various years); New Zealand Department of Statistics (various years); Statistics New Zealand (various years); New Zealand (various years); Statistics New Zealand (various years); Statistics New Zealand (various years); Statistics New Zealand (various years); New Zealand (various yea
A larger share of New Zealand is forested than many climatic peer group countries

PERCENT OF LAND AREA THAT IS FORESTED: NEW ZEALAND VS. SELECT CLIMATIC PEERS % of ha; 2020



Drilling in on a tight group of similar sized, developed, temperate climate countries suggests New Zealand is not particularly over or under forested

LAND AREA THAT IS FORESTED: NEW ZEALAND VS. SELECT SIZE PEERS Ha; m; 2020



About 20% of the standing volume of trees in New Zealand are plantation forestry which may be harvested for biomass; 94% of this is radiata pine

TOTAL STANDING VOLUME (GROWING STOCK) IN NEW ZEALAND BY TYPE Cubic metres; m; over bark; 2020



Total New Zealand plantation forestry area peaked in 2003 and has generally drifted down since then; modest recovery in last two years



NEW ZEALAND PLANTATION FORESTRY AREA

Restocking of existing forestry lands is relatively consistent; it is new area entering forestry that declined then recently recovered

HOW MUCH AREA IS BEING PLANTED ANNUALLY INTO FORESTRY IN NEW ZEALAND? Ha/year; 2001-2022



Source: MPI; NZFOA; Coriolis analysis

Net area in plantation forestry was in decline through 2019; recent growth in clear-felling, restocking and new plantings



ANNUAL IN-YEAR MOVEMENT IN NEW ZEALAND FOREST AREA

* Uses apparent or implied; NOTE: Source: MPI; NZFOA; Coriolis analysis and estimates

After a long period of harvesting greater than replanting, there have been three years of net area gains

ANNUAL NET CHANGE IN TOTAL NEW ZEALAND PLANTATION FORESTRY AREA Ha; 000; 1921-2022



Most forestry area in New Zealand is privately owned; changes in forestry will be driven by business cases

NEW ZEALAND PLANTATION FORESTRY AREA BY OWNERSHIP Ha; 000; 1921-2022



Source: MPI; Coriolis analysis

Around 70% of New Zealand plantation forestry area is in the North Island, with the Central N.I. alone accounting for a third



TOTAL = 1,757 (000) hectares

New Zealand has seen long term growth in harvested volumes of wood

ESTIMATED ROUNDWOOD REMOVALS FROM NEW ZEALAND FORESTS M³; 000; YE 31 March; 1951-2021



Source: MPI; NZFOA; Coriolis analysis

However, planting decisions made \sim 30 years ago mean that future harvest volumes will stabilise and then begin to decline

FORESTRY AREA VS. ROUNDWOOD REMOVALS Ha; 000; 1921-2022; M³; 000; YE 31 March; 1951-2021



BioPacific's recent wood availability model forecasts an additional eight years of volume growth followed by a sharp decline



New Zealand trees are grown and harvested on clear cycles; the "wall of wood" bulge will pass through over the next 10+ years

Peak age for Radiata pine harvest **Approximate harvest** 100.000 Area as at 1 April age over the past 2022 **Species** five years This implies that in 28.7 years Radiata pine 1,587,466 80.000 about twelve years, there will be -60% less Douglas-fir 40.4 years 100,105 -60% wood available to harvest Cypress species 31.7 years 9,057 60,000 Other softwoods N/A 25,290 Eucalypts 22.3 years 22,035 40,000 Other hardwoods N/A 13,498 20,000 A lot of this may never be harvested 0 2] 26 ω ω 6 G CΓ 6 66 Ľ \sim 4 46 0 0

NEW ZEALAND FOREST AREA BY ANNUAL AGE CLASS Ha; as at 1 April 2022

HECTARES OF PLANTATION FOREST AREA OF THIS AGE

Since around 2000, effectively all growth in harvest volumes has been going to export markets as whole logs

ESTIMATED ROUNDWOOD REMOVALS FROM NEW ZEALAND FORESTS M³; 000; YE 31 March; 1951-2023*



* 2023 used Dec YE 2022 (until latest data is available); Source: MPI; NZFOA; Coriolis analysis

The export log market will likely act as the "shock absorber" for harvest volumes, with domestic further processing stable

ESTIMATED ROUNDWOOD REMOVALS FROM NEW ZEALAND FORESTS M³; 000; YE 31 March; 1951-2023



DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES	2	2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES	S	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171				
			~ ~	
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seatood	9	2.1 Smart people	82	
1.2 Forestry	20 52	2.2 Good Ideas	90	
1.4 Wine Gropes	52 66		05	
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	25			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	55			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	66			

New Zealand arable crop (and pasture) systems are focused on animal feed; human targeted arable crop area declining almost continuously

New Zealand is declining in arable crops

- The New Zealand arable crop industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain
- Despite high yields and years of experience, New Zealand's arable crop industry struggles to compete with dairy and lifestyle blocks for landuse
- New Zealand does not currently have all the capabilities required to change the situation in arable crops; improvements are needed in numerous areas
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is not currently competitive in major arable crops

A small and falling amount of land is used to produce a reasonable amount of output

- Arable crops account for 1.4% of New Zealand land use

Arable (or broadacre) crops encompass a wide range of plants with multiple subcategories

The New Zealand arable land and arable crop industry is currently focused on feeding animals

- Very little of New Zealand's arable and pastureland is currently used to produce grains, oilseeds or non-grass fodder crops; most produces grass
- Most (82%) arable crops grown in New Zealand are fed to animals; only 18% goes into human-focused chains
- The area in human-focused arable crops has been declining
 - New Zealand area in key arable grains is trending down long term
- The area in animal-focused arable crops has been increasing
- New Zealand has seen consolidation in traditional grain growing and an explosion of "other crop"* growing

The New Zealand arable crop industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain

NEW ZEALAND CAPABILITIES DRIVEN SUPPLY CHAIN: ARABLE CROPS



Despite high yields and years of experience, New Zealand's arable crop industry struggles to compete with dairy and lifestyle blocks for landuse

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND ARABLE CROPS

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
 Counter seasonal to Northern Hemisphere in a narrow climatic window only shared by 4-5 competitors (Chile, Argentina, South Africa, Southern Brazil and Australia) Historic strength of grains/crops industry, particularly in Canterbury Strong biosecurity; free from many diseases and pests High yields per hectare relative to peers, particularly Australia Unsubsidised industry competing in world markets and at world prices Industry consolidating into fewer, larger operations at scale Supportive, cohesive industry structure Pockets of strength in select specialty crops and seeds/genetics Proximity to Australia and fast growing Asian markets 	 Difficult to compete with dairy for prime arable land due to lower returns in most regions Higher cost structure than larger competitors due to numerous inefficiencies and a lack of scale across all stages of the supply chain (e.g. versus Western Australia with CBH) Still too many smaller fields and farms; peer group benchmarking strongly suggests NZ needs fewer, larger farms Falling planted area across all three major traditional crops (wheat, oats and barley) Small scale in many niche and emerging sectors limits availability of key agrichemicals The size of Italy with the population of Singapore; lack scale in grains across most regions Labour challenges High internal domestic transport costs; often cheaper to ship to Auckland from Sydney than Christchurch
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
 Series of recent crises, including COVID-19, War in Ukraine and ongoing price inflation changing attitudes and opinions of key buyers of imported grains, oilseeds and other arable crops New Zealand animal protein production systems quietly needing increasing quantities of feed Aging baby boomers focusing on healthy living & eating for illness prevention Growing hype and investment in plant-based meat and dairy analogues Growth of nutraceuticals and functional foods; fruits as "superfoods" (e.g. hemp) Continued work on FTAs to develop tariff free markets (e.g. ASEAN); especially focussing on the high volume, high impact products and markets Growing demand for protein in developed markets Continued technological innovation and management improvement leading to increased yields 	 Ongoing price movements Industry currently shrinking/struggling Lifestyle blocks consuming huge amounts of land around population centres Emissions Trading Scheme and carbon forestry Disease outbreaks Changing climatic conditions impact production rates Larger ships and larger grain specific ports driving down cost of imports

New Zealand does not currently have all the capabilities required to change the situation in arable crops; improvements are needed in numerous areas

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: ARABLE CROPS

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
****	****	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\diamondsuit$	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \bigstar$
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \bigstar$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
		$\star \star \div \div \div$	****	***
Available Genetics	Proven/Scalable Systems			
★★★★☆	★★★★☆			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs	Skills & Experience			
$\star \star \star \div \div$	$\star \star \star \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$			
	OVE	ERALL		***

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is not currently competitive in major arable crops



Source: UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

Arable crops account for 1.4% of New Zealand land use



Source: MftE/StatsNZ New Zealand Land Cover Data Base (LCDB5); DairyNZ ("Effective hectares in dairy); Coriolis analysis

Arable (or broadacre) crops encompass a wide range of plants with multiple subcategories

- WHAT ARE THE MAJOR ARABLE CROPS (INC. GRASSES) THAT ARE GROWN IN NZ?

	On-Site/In Situ Forage Crops	Feed/Feed Milling Crops	BY-PRODUCT TO ANIMALS	_
Fibre Crops	-	-	Common flax Hemp	
ARABLE Grains GRAINS	Feed Feed Feed Maize Triti	Wheat Barley Oats Silage cale	Milling Wheat Malting Barley Milling Oats Maize Grain	
NON-GRASS Oilseeds FODDER CROPS	Rape/Canola (Brassica napus)	-	Linseed Canola (Brassica napus)	OILCROPS
Root Crops	Turnip/Swede/Rutabaga Mangold/Fodder Beets	-	Potatoes Onions Carrots	ROOT VEGETABLES
Legume/Pulses (Nitrogen fixing)	Alfalfa/Lucerne White/Red Clover	-	Field Peas & Beans (various) Lentils	FIELD PEAS/ BEANS/PULSES
Grasses PASTURE Not considered a 'crop' as such in NZ unless harvested by humans as hay, etc.	Ryegrass (Lolium sp.) Fescue (Festuca sp.) Browntop (Agrostis capillaris) Chicory (Cichorium intybus) Plantain (Plantago lanceolata) Various other grasses Tussock or Danthonia	-	-	

TARGETING ANIMALS

Arable crops are also known as broadacre crops. The definition is clear in the middle but can vary at the edges. We are leaving out squash and pumpkin, though these can be fed to animals as fodder. There are numerous other smaller arable crops grown in New Zealand (e.g. mustard, quinoa).

Very little of New Zealand's arable and pastureland is currently used to produce grains, oilseeds or non-grass fodder crops; most produces grass



TOTAL = 13,564 hectares of arable crops and pasture (000)

Most (82%) arable crops grown in New Zealand are fed to animals; only 18% goes into human-focused chains



Note: Fodder is calculated as remainder of (MftE short-rotation cropland – reported area in vegetables, root crops and arable crops); Source: SNZ/MftE land use data; FAR Arable Industry Marketing Initiative; UN FAOStat (itself from MPI); Coriolis classification and analysis

The area in human-focused arable crops has been declining



Source: SNZ/MftE land use data; FAR Arable Industry Marketing Initiative; UN FAOStat (itself from MPI); Coriolis classification and analysis

New Zealand area in key arable grains is trending down long term



Source: FAR Arable Industry Marketing Initiative (2010-2022); UN FAOStat (itself from MPI) (1961-2009); SNZ Yearbooks (1901-1960); A Statistical Account of the Seven Colonies of Australasia (1861-1900); Coriolis classification and analysis (some missing years extrapolated)

The area in animal-focused arable crops has been increasing



Note: Fodder is calculated as remainder of (MftE short-rotation cropland – reported area in vegetables, root crops and arable crops); Source: SNZ/MftE land use data; FAR Arable Industry Marketing Initiative; UN FAOStat (itself from MPI); Coriolis classification and analysis

New Zealand has seen consolidation in traditional grain growing and an explosion of "other crop"* growing



* No, not that one; this is just arable crop farm types that were not considered large when the ANZSIC codes were last updated; Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIE	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS		
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?	
PAGES 8-171					
	0		1.0.0		
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Sectood	У 26	2.1 Smart people	100		
1.3 Arable Crops	20 52	2.3 Useful capital	203		
1.4 Wine Grapes	66				
1.5 Fruit	77				
1.6 Vegetables	89				
1.7 Cattle 1	01				
1.8 Sheep 1	25				
1.9 Chicken 1	43				
1.10 Pigs 1	55				
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other 1	66				

Wine has been a fantastic success for New Zealand

New Zealand has a globally competitive wine industry built around Marlborough Sauvignon Blanc

- The New Zealand wine grape industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain
- New Zealand's wine industry has built a strong and distinct position in global markets
- New Zealand is still a "one-trick-pony" with a single, dominant regional variety (Marlborough Sauvignon Blanc) driving growth
- New Zealand has all the capabilities needed for further success in wine
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand has experienced growing competitiveness in wine, though this has stabilised in the past decade

New Zealand has managed to build a wine industry despite the obstacles

The history of the New Zealand industry can be summarised as a long line of immigrants trying to build a wine industry despite all the obstacles

Wine production is polarising into two groups: large and small

- The number of wineries has stabilised in the past decade, while the number of grape growers is flat-to-declining
- New Zealand has a large and growing number of small wineries and a small and shrinking number of large wineries

Regions beyond Marlborough need to continue to work on improving and refining all aspects of their global offer

- Wineries are spread out across the country, with Marlborough (160), Central Otago (137), Hawke's Bay (107) and Auckland (98) leading
- In terms of scale economics, Marlborough is the leading region, followed by Hawke's Bay, Gisborne and Central Otago

The New Zealand wine grape industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain

NEW ZEALAND CAPABILITIES DRIVEN SUPPLY CHAIN: WINE GRAPES



New Zealand's wine industry has built a strong and distinct position in global markets, but is still a "one-trick-pony" (Marlborough Sauvignon Blanc)

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND WINE INDUSTRY

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
 Islands in the middle of the South Pacific that have the area of Italy, but with only the population of Singapore; therefore relatively unspoilt Strong and growing success in premium/super-premium wine Achieves a strong price premium for wine in key markets (similar to France) New Zealand Sauvignon Blanc now a "must have" globally in high end foodservice and retail Growth in market share in key markets (e.g. USA) Innovative and quality-focused producers Closer shipping distances to Asia compared to European competitors 	 "Snow White and the Seven Dwarves" Syndrome; Marlborough Sauvignon Blanc and everything else Large number of small producers with limited economies of scale Many smaller producers over-dependant on cellar door and direct sales; COVID-19 impact High debt levels of many small and medium sized producers Highly dependant on four countries (AU, UK, USA and Canada) Increasing exports of bulk wine Lack strong super-premium heritage brands as demanded by brand and status conscious Asian consumers
 Demonstrated capabilities in beverage production Strong sustainability practices and growing consumer mesaging 	 Unlike traditional sectors, no major (\$15+) New Zealand owned champion growing and driving New Zealand brand position in beer, wine or other beverages Limited culture of super-premium branding or positioning (e.g. relative to France)
OPPORTUNITIES	ISSUES/THREATS/RISKS
 Emerging regions finding "their" wine New varieties Emerging markets Selling more to China and other developed Asia Continued income growth in Asian markets Growing network of trade agreements (e.g. recent NZ-UK) improving market access Rapidly growing demand for premium alcoholic beverages in Asia Low share in Europe (two thirds of global wine consumption) outside British Isles Joint in-market activity spreading cost of marketing Strong and growing demand in Asia for premium fortified wines/wine based spirits (e.g. cognac) 	 Climate change Exchange rates Consumer shift away from wine; low/no alcohol wines Ongoing consolidation of the industry Difficult finding good distributors to gain access into key markets Continued exports of bulk wine trade reducing the value of locally bottled wine Continued growth of retail brands/store brands in wine Changing consumer sentiment or government policy Hollowing out of management skills in New Zealand industry due to foreign ownership (relative to Denmark or Holland for example)

New Zealand has all the capabilities needed for further success in wine

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
****	****	★★★★☆	****	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$
Available Labour	Large Operations			
★ ★ ★ ★ ☆	$\star \star \star \div \div$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
Available Genetics	Proven/Scalable Systems	$\star \star \star \div \div$	★★★★☆	$\star\star\star\star\star \div$
$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs $\star \star \star \star \star \bigstar$	Skills & Experience ★★★★★☆☆	$\star \star \star \star \ddagger$	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$

OVERALL

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: WINE GRAPES

The Border

 $\star\star\star\star\star \div$

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand has experienced growing competitiveness in wine, though this has stabilised in the past decade

- ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND WINE (HS2204)

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1996-2022



Source: World Bank WITS; UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

The history of the New Zealand industry can be summarised as a long line of immigrants trying to build a wine industry despite all the obstacles

NEW ZEALAND AREA IN GRAPES

Hectares; 1819-2021 (latest available)

С					wine	e in New Zealand					
	British immigrant	plants			Vine in A	e disease phylloxera s luckland by Bragato	een				
	100 grapevines (later	French	gold miner							
eaten by goats)		plants grapes in Central Otago		Dept. of Ag plants experimental vineyard with							
	Br	itish immiarant plants	Span	ish immiarant		umerous varieties at T	е				
)	vii	neyard at Waitangi	produ	uces award	^K	auwnata, Waikato				Supermarket	s
			winni Wha	ng wine in nganui		Dalmatian immigrants	s plant			sell wine	
)		French Missionaries				grapes in Auckland			<u>,</u>		
		Pakowhai (Hawke's Bay)		French Missionaries		Lebanese immigrat	nt		Seagram acquires	Cloudy Bay	
				sales of New Zealand		plants grapes in Henderson			acquires land in	Marlborough	
		German immigrant		wine		Auckland			now grape free Marlborough	by Australia immigrant	
)		plants grapes in Nelson		German				Only vineya	rd in	Govt. paying	
				grapes in				ripped out		for vines to	
)				Auckland							
				British immiarant		Phylloxera has significant	German plants fir	immigrant st grapes	Industry primarily producing fortified		الالتكا العد
)				plants grapes in		impact	in Gisboi	ne	wine in Auckland	العراب المري	
				Marlborough					Ν.		
					K	Dramatic an	ti-alcohol le	gislation in vo	arious forms		


Note: These different organisations use different definitions; an "activity unit" or "geographic unit" has been described as a "front door" (or farm gate); not all the NZW "wine companies" will actually manufacture wine (i.e. many will use contract bottlers; "Source: Statistics New Zealand (Business Demographics data); New Zealand Winegrowers (Statistical annuals; other reporting); Coriolis modelling and analysis

CORIOLIS 73

New Zealand has a large and growing number of small wineries and a small and shrinking number of large wineries NUMBER OF "WINE BUSINESSES" BY SIZE CLASS IN NEW ZEALAND Units; 1984-2022 (latest available) **COUNT IN 2022** COUNT THROUGH TIME ■Large ■Medium ■Small 700 Medium (0.2-4m) 66 600 Classifications change for large 9% (from 2m to 4m) Large (4m+) 16 500 2% 400 Small 300 200 Small (Under 0.2m) 662 1 0 0 89% Medium Large 1990 2006 2012 2000 2002 2004 2008 2010 2014 2016 2022 2018 2020 2661 1994 9661 3661 TOTAL = 744 "Wine Businesses"

Source: New Zealand Winegrowers (Statistical annuals; other reporting); Coriolis modelling and analysis

CORIOLIS 74



1%

NORTH ISLAND

37 5%

Source: New Zealand Winegrowers (Statistical annuals; other reporting); Coriolis modelling and analysis

TOTAL = 744 "Wine Businesses"

Hawkes Bay

107

14%

Wairarapa

67

9%

CORIOLIS

202 202 202 202

201 201

2010 2009 2008 2007 2005 2005 2005 2004 2003 2002 2001 2000 1999

66L 991

66

201

201 201 201 201

Other

Central Otago

75

In terms of scale economics, Marlborough is the leading region, followed by Hawke's Bay, Gisborne and Central Otago



Source: NZWine; Statistics NZ; Coriolis

CORIOLIS 76

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIE	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171				
1.1. Aquagultura /\A/ild Captura Soctood	0	21 Smart noonlo	190	
1.2 Forestry	7 26	2.2 Good ideas	190	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66			
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

New Zealand has clear capabilities in fruit, but has been struggling to create real growth beyond kiwifruit; avocados are an opportunity and a challenge

New Zealand is has a strong position in three export focused fruit

- The New Zealand fruit industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain
- New Zealand has a successful fruit industry built around two key fruit: kiwifruit (growing) and apples (stable)
- New Zealand is globally competitive in kiwifruit and apples, but mixed elsewhere
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is massively competitive in kiwifruit, solid in apples and declining in avocados

The New Zealand fruit industry currently uses a tiny amount of land

- Non-wine fruit crops account for 0.1% of New Zealand land use

Growth is coming primarily from yield increases rather than land expansion

- After a strong period of growth, total area in fruit in New Zealand stalled in the late 80's
- New Zealand has driven constant yield improvements in apples, kiwifruit and avocados; all other fruit have made no gains in net terms
- Thanks to these yield gains, total volume of fruit produced in New Zealand continues to grow, driven by kiwifruit

The New Zealand fruit industry is shifting to fewer, larger farms

- The fruit industry has declining unit numbers across the long term in every defined sector
- There is growing on-farm employment in kiwifruit, berries and stone fruit, but declining on-farm employment in apples, citrus, olives and 'other'

The New Zealand fruit industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain

NEW ZEALAND CAPABILITIES DRIVEN SUPPLY CHAIN: FRUIT



Source: Coriolis

New Zealand has a successful fruit industry built around two key fruit: kiwifruit (growing) and apples (stable)

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND FRUIT

	STRENGTHS		WEAKNESSES
-	Track record of success in new fruit development (e.g. SunGold kiwifruit)	-	Low/no ability to supply fruit year-round
-	Track record of success in breeding of new cultivars (e.g. Braeburn, Zespri Gold)	-	Higher cost structure than others in seasonal window (e.g. Chile)
-	Counter seasonal to Northern Hemisphere in a narrow climatic window only shared by 4-5 competitors (Chile, Argentina, South Africa, Southern Brazil and Australia)	-	Too much area still in yesterday's varieties (e.g. Braeburn apples, Hayward kiwifruit) which are now widely produced by competitors and not IP-controlled
-	Proximity to fast growing Asian markets	-	Many smaller orchards and farms; peer group benchmarking suggests NZ needs fewer, larger farms;
-	Strong biosecurity; free from many diseases and pests	-	Failing to collectively nurture emerging Horizon 2 products to scale
-	High yields per hectare/high levels of export packout in export fruits relative to peers	-	Poor current funding model for new cultivar development
-	Unsubsidised industry competing successfully in world markets	-	Poor current commercialisation model for new cultivars emerging from breeding programs
-	Industry rapidly consolidating into fewer, larger operations at scale	-	Legal separation of apple and kiwifruit exporting (can also be seen as a strength)
-	Transition to integrated grower/packer/shipper model underway	-	Small scale niche sectors limits availability of key agrichemicals
-	Supportive, collective industry structure, particularly in kiwifruit	-	The size of Italy with the population of Singapore; lack scale outside key export products
		-	Lack of large supply of "guest workers" like some countries; seasonal labour shortages
	OPPORTUNITIES		THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
-	Further develop "Fresh" fruit, especially high value, high return fruits (e.g. berries)	-	Growing production of apples, kiwifruit and other horticulture in China
-	Continued growth of middle-class in Asia	-	Further disease outbreaks (cf. PSA)
-	Aging baby boomers focusing on healthy living & eating for illness prevention	-	The risk of disease outbreaks must be balanced against the biosecurity retarding or preventing
-	Growth of nutraceuticals and functional foods; fruits as "superfoods" (e.g. blackcurrants)		introduction of new genetics and new species
-	Australia, particularly as its biosecurity give high returns to those with access	-	Foreign phyto-sanitary protocols of other countries are the gap limiting extent and speed to market, particularly Australia
-	Continued work on FTAs to develop tariff free markets (e.g. ASEAN); especially focussing on the high volume, high impact products and markets	-	Re-export of foreign produce labelled "Made in New Zealand" endangering NZ reputation
-	Growing demand for fresh, convenient produce especially into Asian markets	-	Changing climatic conditions impact production rates
_			Other global centres of fruit development coming up with better products
	Continued orchard-level technological innovation (e.g. Hi-Cane) and management improvement (e.g. girdling) leading to increased yields, automated pruning	-	

New Zealand is globally competitive in kiwifruit and apples, but mixed elsewhere

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: FRUIT



Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is massively competitive in kiwifruit, solid in apples and declining in avocados



Source: World Bank WITS; UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 82

Non-wine fruit crops account for 0.1% of New Zealand land use



Source: MftE/StatsNZ New Zealand Land Cover Data Base (LCDB5); DairyNZ ("Effective hectares in dairy); Coriolis analysis

After a strong period of growth, total area in fruit in New Zealand stalled in the late 80's



New Zealand has driven constant yield improvements in apples, kiwifruit and avocados; all other fruit have made no gains in net terms



Thanks to these yield gains, total volume of fruit produced in New Zealand continues to grow, driven by kiwifruit



The fruit industry has declining unit numbers across the long term in every defined sector



Source: StatisticsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 87

There is growing on-farm employment in kiwifruit, berries and stone fruit, but declining on-farm employment in apples, citrus, olives and 'other'



Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITI	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171				
	0	0.1.6	100	
1.1 Aquaculture/ Wild Capture Seatood	9 26	2.1 Smart people	102	
1.3 Arable Crops	20 52		203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66		205	
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

Long insulated from global markets, the New Zealand vegetable industry is now undergoing a massive, long term transition to "California-style" farming

The surviving large players from the ongoing shakeout in the New Zealand vegetable industry are happy inside the bubble

- The New Zealand vegetable industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain
- New Zealand's vegetable/root crop industry is consolidating into a smaller and smaller group of larger and larger farmers happy inside the bubble
- New Zealand does not currently have all the capabilities required to increase global competitiveness or productivity
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is globally competitive in onions and potatoes and struggling or uncompetitive elsewhere

New Zealand vegetable production has stalled

Vegetables/root crops account for 0.1% of New Zealand land use

- Total area in vegetables in New Zealand stalled in the mid 90's and has been stable-to-down since
- New Zealand has driven yield improvements across most vegetables
- Thanks to these yield gains, total volume of root crops produced in New Zealand continues to grow, and vegetables are stable

The number of vegetable farm operators has dramatically shrunk over the past two decades, as the industry has shifted to fewer, larger operations at scale

- The New Zealand vegetable and horticulture industry is consolidating rapidly into dramatically fewer, larger farms
- There is declining on-farm employment in vegetable and horticulture, with outdoor, nursery and flowers all trending down

The New Zealand vegetable industry has a complex and well developed ecosystem with all key capabilities in place across the supply chain

NEW ZEALAND CAPABILITIES DRIVEN SUPPLY CHAIN: VEGETABLES



New Zealand's vegetable/root crop industry is consolidating into a smaller and smaller group of larger and larger farmers happy inside the bubble

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND VEGETABLES/OTHER HORTICULTURE

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
 High prices by global standards Strong biosecurity; free from many diseases and pests; basically all of domestic industry protected from fresh (non-processed) imports by biosecurity measures Globally competitive producer of a handful of products, primarily potatoes and onions Presence of large global multinational vegetable processors (e.g. McCain, KraftHeinz Watties) Many products are oligopolies where a small number of producers compete without any pressure from world markets Regular access to global vegetable genetics through biosecurity improving products Unsubsidised industry rapidly consolidating into fewer, larger operations using efficient, modern technology at scale Transition to integrated grower/packer/shipper model underway Proximity to fast growing Asian markets Unique access for some products to some bio-secure markets (particularly Australia & Japan) Counter seasonal to Northern Hemisphere in a narrow climatic window only shared by 4-5 competitors (Chile, Argentina, South Africa, Southern Brazil and Australia) 	 The size of Italy with the population of Singapore; lack scale outside key export products Limited range of climates (e.g. versus the US, Australia or Europe/Africa) leading to extremes in both seasonal product availability and price not seen elsewhere on earth Relatively small producer on a global scale Inefficient, with low productivity relative to global peers in most vegetables (by number of crops, not volume) Still too many small producers; in many cases, further consolidation is waiting on retirement of existing aging farmer base Limited market knowledge beyond 'the biosecurity bubble" (e.g. yields, systems, productivity) Small scale niche sectors limits availability of key agrichemicals Lack of large supply of "guest workers" like some countries; seasonal labour shortages Lifestyle blocks consuming huge amounts of land around population centres
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
 Consumer perceptions of health benefits of vegetables Massive country similar in size to Italy that uses a minuscule amount of total area to produce vegetables Growing interest by some more wealthy consumers in Western markets for eco-labelling and environmental certification (driven by retailers) Growing middle class in China and SE Asia Ongoing removal of trade barriers and negotiation of new free trade agreements Streamlining regulations New/improved cool chain chain technology 	 More subdivision taking further high productivity land out of production; farmers near major cities have been described as "property developers that dabble in farming to pay the rates" NIMBY (not in my back yard) attitudes limiting industry activity (e.g. smell) Shifting consumer perceptions of starchy vegetables, particularly root crops Further disease outbreaks (cf. PSA) Single issue special interest groups driving domestic regulatory agenda Rising costs of airfreight reducing feasibility of some fresh/perishable exports Climate change Industry currently shrinking/struggling in terms of farm numbers Emissions Trading Scheme and carbon forestry Government regulation

New Zealand does not currently have all the capabilities required to increase global competitiveness or productivity

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: VEGETABLES

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
****	$\star \star \star \div \div$	★★★★☆	$\star \star \star \div \div$	****☆
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\star \star \star \div \div$	$\bigstar\bigstar\overleftrightarrow$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
Available Genetics ★ ★ ★ ★ ☆	Proven/Scalable Systems ★★★☆☆☆	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	★★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
Avgilghla Kay Inputs	Skills & Experience	Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
$\star \star \star \star & & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$	$\star \star \star \star \div \bigstar$	★★★★☆	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is competitive in onions and potatoes and struggling or uncompetitive elsewhere

ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND BY SELECT VEGETABLES

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1996-2022



Source: World Bank WITS; UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

Vegetables/root crops account for 0.1% of New Zealand land use



Source: MftE/StatsNZ New Zealand Land Cover Data Base (LCDB5); DairyNZ ("Effective hectares in dairy); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS () 95

Total area in vegetables in New Zealand stalled in the mid 90's and has been stable-to-down since



* Excluding fodder turnips (see arable crops); Source: UN FAOStat database; Coriolis classification and analysis

CORIOLIS %

New Zealand has driven yield improvements across most vegetables



Thanks to these yield gains, total volume of root crops produced in New Zealand continues to grow, and vegetables are stable





* "Under Cover" including glasshouse, greenhouse, and polytunnel; ** Non-fruit, but will include fruit and forestry nursery (inseparable at source); Source: StatisticsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS () 99

There is declining on-farm employment in vegetable and horticulture, with outdoor, nursery and flowers all trending down



Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIE	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171		PAGES 172-205		PAGES 206-241
1.1 Aquaculture /Wild Capture Seafood	0	2.1 Smart neonle	182	
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas	190	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66			
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

New Zealand is globally competitive in cattle meat and dairy

New Zealand has a large, highly capable cattle production system that is globally competitive, but under significant pressure to reduce emissions

- The New Zealand cattle industry has access to all required capabilities across the supply chain
- New Zealand has a strong position in the global dairy industry, and a secondary position in meat, but the environment is becoming more challenging
- While not every driver of the cattle chain is perfect, in aggregate New Zealand's capabilities in the cattle chain lead to high competitiveness

New Zealand's cattle produce a lot more beef or dairy than the relatively small local population can consume; therefore it is a major exporter of these surpluses to deficit regions

 New Zealand has flat domestic dairy consumption (at best) and declining domestic beef consumption, with all excesses going to export markets Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand clear capabilities in the cattle chain lead to global competitiveness in meat and especially dairy

The New Zealand cattle system is a major land user; within this, market forces shift production between meat and dairy

- The New Zealand pastoral based cattle system uses about ~40% of all land; dairy uses 6.5% (plus feed) while cattle/sheep use 33%
- Cattle numbers were growing in New Zealand for a long time; however, growth appears to have plateaued at around 10m head
- The New Zealand cattle system has shifted between a meat and a dairy focus multiple times in its history

The New Zealand cattle industry is at an inflection point; the path forward is clear but controversial (or controversial but clear)

The amount of dairy produced by the New Zealand system is a function of a handful of key drivers, primarily herds, cows and milk per cow

New Zealand is moving to fewer, larger farms

- Dairy is moving to fewer, larger herds through falling herd numbers (-1.1% CAGR 75-21) and growing average herd sizes (3.0% CAGR 75-21)
- The dairy industry has stopped expanding area (stable-todeclining hectares) and is no longer increasing animal density (flat cows/hectare)

The total number of milk cows plateaued and has been in decline for the last decade

- Dairy cow numbers in New Zealand had a long run of growth which appears to have come to an end and the industry has stopped expanding cow numbers

The New Zealand dairy system appears to have upside on milk per cow based on the performance of peers

- Milk per cow continues to grow: the current New Zealand dairy farming system produces very low milk per cow relative to other

countries

- Total New Zealand milk production growth stalled around 2015
- Between 1990 and 2015, New Zealand went from Japanese levels of total milk production to almost producing as much milk as France today (but at German animal densities)
- Holding New Zealand milk production constant, different yields would lead to different cow numbers
- In terms of milk per cow, New Zealand is today where many peer group countries and regions were in the 1950's or 60's

New Zealand cattle meat production continues to grow

- New Zealand has growing numbers of cattle processed across shifting cattle meat yields leading to growing production
- New Zealand still has a large number of small cattle producing farms; unlike other sectors (e.g. vegetables), structural changes occur slowly
- Relative to a wide climatic peer group, New Zealand produces a large number of small cattle

The New Zealand cattle industry has access to all required capabilities across the supply chain



New Zealand has a strong position in the global dairy industry, and a secondary position in meat, but the environment is becoming more challenging

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND CATTLE DAIRY & MEAT SYSTEM

	STRENGTHS		WEAKNESSES
-	Low cost predominantly pasture-based production system Relatively consolidated meat processing industry with three large processors (SFF, Talley's and ANZCO) and 5-6 mid size processors Highly consolidated dairy industry with national champion Fonterra with resources to address global markets and opportunities; strong second tier of growing firms, many with global investment Huge dairy processing plants at truly global scale; 8/10 largest milk dryers are in NZ Largest dairy exporter in the world by value; major beef exporter	-	Many/most of "our eggs in one basket" in dairy (Fonterra); Fonterra is limited in its ability to add value due to the risks associated with competing with its own customers No rich dairy or cured meats cultural heritage or tradition to draw from for new product development (versus France, Italy or Spain) Only a mid-large sized milk and meat producer, similar in size to many European countries, with many key competitors being larger in an absolute sense (vs. India, Brazil or US) Limited defensibility of current commodity and ingredient position
- -	High standards of food safety and animal welfare Over 100+ years experience in exporting meat and dairy Strong position in global dairy industry outside Europe and North America	-	Significant trade barriers limiting New Zealand entry to many markets Dairy land price increases leading to decreased international competitiveness (return on capital) Despite strong food safety systems & reputation, a few high-profile issues (e.g. whey (2013), nitrates, methane)
	OPPORTUNITIES		THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
- - - - - -	 Ongoing economic development in Africa ("Nigeria is the next China") Growing global population with growing incomes demanding more protein, particularly dairy and meat Growing dairy product consumption in developing world; dry and tropical countries not able to produce all the milk they consume Fast following strong investment going into methane-mitigation technologies, systems and processes across Anglo-European science and innovation systems Increasing currently low (1) milk per cow and (2) meat per cow to Irish or even German levels Additional supplementary feed both (1) smoothing seasonal peak increasing total milk production and (2) animal weight at slaughter and thereby improving return on assets at all stages of chain Chinese dairy and meat consumption per capita continuing to grow Asians more likely to be dairy intolerant, therefore different consumption patterns (e.g. yoghurt drinks; infant formula) On-going consolidation of the global dairy and meat industries Changing global weather patterns (also a threat) Further investment in in-market activities Removal of agricultural subsidies into Europe (may also be a threat) 		Total cattle numbers in New Zealand have stalled for a range of reasons Veganism and environmentalism as "religion for the non-religious" leading to anti-cow attitudes Cows - as they are currently managed - are a major contributor to global methane emissions Ongoing animal rights revolution changing expectations by some consumers in some markets Precision fermentation Intensive feedlot model (e.g. California) both improving productivity faster than pasture system (e.g. NZ/AU) and at the same time more able to address methane and manure issues Southern South America rapidly implementing the AU/NZ pasture system (e.g. Uruguay, Brazil) Push-back due to environmental concerns (e.g. water pollution, groundwater use, methane) The boom/bust economic cycle expresses itself in China Experience of Japan & South Korea suggest Chinese dairy and meat consumption growth has another few years to run; after this point, China will likely be self-sufficient and possibly a major exporter EU regulatory changes leading to increased production by some countries (e.g. Ireland) Despite a vocal minority, most developing country consumers currently perceive meat and dairy as healthy; however, science, attitudes or opinions could turn negative Adoption of genetically modified animals or GM feed by poor countries, changing international comparise
		-	competitive dynamics Alternative dairy and alternative meat

While not every driver of the cattle chain is perfect, in aggregate New Zealand's capabilities in the cattle chain lead to high competitiveness

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: CATTLE

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
★★★★☆	$\star \star \star \div \div$	****	★★★☆☆	★★★☆☆
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	★★★★☆	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
		****	$\star \star \star \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$	****
Available Genetics $\star \star \star \star \star$	Proven/Scalable Systems $\star \star \star \star \star$			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs	Skills & Experience $\star \star \star \star \star$	****	$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$	****

OVERALL

 $\star \star \star \star \star \checkmark$

New Zealand has flat domestic dairy consumption (at best) and declining domestic beef consumption, with all excesses going to export markets



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; NZ clear capabilities in the cattle chain lead to high global competitiveness in meat and especially dairy

----- ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND BY SELECT SITC3

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1996-2022



Source: UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis
The New Zealand pastoral based cattle system uses about ~40% of all land; dairy uses 6.5% (plus feed) while cattle/sheep use 33%



Note: Some "tussock and similar" land will be used for cattle, but most was 'retired"; as this land is low productivity, it is not really material to the answer; Source: MftE/StatsNZ New Zealand Land Cover Data Base (LCDB5); DairyNZ ("Effective hectares in dairy); Coriolis analysis

Cattle numbers were growing in New Zealand for a long time; however, growth appears to have plateaued at around 10m head



The New Zealand cattle system has shifted between a meat and a dairy focus multiple times in its history



Note: Some missing data extrapolated; Source: StatisticsNZ (various publications); UN FAOStat; Coriolis modelling and analysis

The amount of dairy produced by the New Zealand system is a function of a handful of key drivers, primarily herds, cows and milk per cow

KEY DRIVERS: NEW ZEALAND DAIRY BIOMASS





Dairy is moving to fewer, larger herds through falling herd numbers (-1.1% CAGR 75-21) and growing average herd sizes (3.0% CAGR 75-21)



Source: DairyNZ (Dairy Statistics); Coriolis analysis

The dairy industry has stopped expanding area (stable-to-declining hectares) and is no longer increasing animal density (flat cows/hectare)



Source: DairyNZ (Dairy Statistics); Coriolis analysis



Dairy cow numbers in New Zealand had a long run of growth which appears to have come to an end*



* Or not; the periods 1936+ (Great Depression) and 1973+ (Britain joining the EU) both show stalls followed by returns to growth; Note: Significant missing early data extrapolated; early data includes "house cows"; Source: StatisticsNZ (various publications); UN FAOStat; Coriolis modelling and analysis

The dairy industry has stopped expanding cow numbers, however milk per cow continues to grow as a result of farm and feed strategies and genetics



Source: DairyNZ (Dairy Statistics); Coriolis analysis

The current New Zealand dairy farming system produces very low milk per cow relative to other countries

AVERAGE MILK PER DAIRY COW Litres/cow; 2021 or 2022





Total New Zealand milk production growth stalled around 2015



Source: DairyNZ (Dairy Statistics); Coriolis analysis

Between 1990 and 2015, NZ went from Japanese levels of total milk production to almost producing as much milk as France today

TOTAL DAIRY (COW) MILK PRODUCTION Tonnes; m; 1961-2021 (latest available) DAIRY (COW) MILK PROD. PER SQUARE KM Tonnes/km²; 1961-2021 (latest available)



Holding New Zealand milk production constant, different yields would lead to different cow numbers

HOW MANY COWS WOULD NEW ZEALAND NEED TO PRODUCE THE SAME AMOUNT OF MILK AS TODAY IF IT ACHIEVED A DIFFERENT YIELD PER COW?

Milk/cow vs. required cows needed produce 21,705m litres of milk; model



Note: Converts milk at 0.97kg=11 and 11g = 0.453592kg; Source: DairyNZ; UN FAOStat (database); USDA NASS (database); Coriolis analysis

In terms of milk per cow, New Zealand is today where many peer group countries and regions were in the 1950's or 60's

AVERAGE MILK PER DAIRY COW Litres/cow; 1925/1961- 2022



New Zealand has growing numbers of cattle processed across shifting cattle meat yields leading to growing production



Source: StatsNZ Infoshare; UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand still has a large number of small cattle producing farms; unlike other sectors (e.g. vegetables), structural changes occur slowly



Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; many farms have no "employees" and owner-operators are not measured, leading to a dramatic underestimation of farm jobs (if you are not careful); Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

123

Relative to a wide climatic peer group, New Zealand produces a large number of small cattle



DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTO CAPABILITIES	R	2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIE	S	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171				
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9	2.1. Smart neonle	182	
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas	190	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66			
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

The New Zealand sheep industry has been in long term decline and there is no clear turnaround in sight; a new approach and new thinking is needed...

New Zealand has been poor at adapting to a changing global market for sheep-based products

- The New Zealand sheep sector has a robust industry across the total supply chain, with numerous participants all with well developed capabilities
- New Zealand's sheep industry is a world leader in a disappearing market
- The New Zealand (and Australian) sheep industry faces a wide range of global structural issues with no simple solutions
- The core on-farm sheep production system is struggling and under pressure, while at the same time local value-added processing is in collapse
- New Zealand has declining domestic demand for wool and collapsing sheep meat consumption with available surpluses going to export markets
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand's

declining volumes lead to high but declining global competitiveness

New Zealand farmers are reacting to price signals from falling demand by reducing animal production or exiting sheep

- New Zealand sheep numbers peaked in the mid-80's and have been in decline for forty years; sheep numbers are now back at 1920 levels
- Sheep farming is experiencing falling farm numbers and falling on-farm employment
- The number of sheep per farm appears relatively stable, indicating the driver of falling sheep numbers is farms being sold and the land exiting sheep
- Sheep numbers are declining across all regions

... continued

Gains in average slaughter weight have been unable to offset declining animal numbers, leading to ongoing declines in total New Zealand sheep meat production

- New Zealand has falling sheep head processed across growing yields and falling sheep meat production
- Relative to a wide climatic peer group, New Zealand is a major sheep/lamb producer, though with animals 20% smaller than Australia

New Zealand wool production has fallen by two thirds with no signs of either slowing or a turnaround

- Wool productivity is not growing; realised wool per sheep plateaued in the late 50's and gone nowhere since; sheep breeding has focused on more lambs
- New Zealand wool production is declining as a result of fewer sheep and no wool productivity gains
- Wool primary handling and processing has more shearing units but fewer shearers overall
- Scouring and wholesaling are both experiencing declining unit numbers and declining employment

The New Zealand sheep sector has a robust industry across the total supply chain, with numerous participants all with well developed capabilities



* Includes "sheep", "sheep-beef" and "grain-sheep-beef" farmers but not "beef cattle" farmers; Source: Coriolis

New Zealand's sheep industry is a world leader in a disappearing market

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND SHEEP

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
 World's largest exporter of sheep meat by value and #2 wool exporter Extremely strong position in global markets alongside neighbouring Australia Dual purpose animal with two main income streams (meat and wool) Natural environment favourable to pastoral agriculture Low cost, grass fed sheep production systems Strong biosecurity rules and systems leading to low presence of some diseases High standards of food safety and animal welfare International recognition of high quality of New Zealand meat industry regulations 135+ years experience exporting meat long distances Strong position in global lamb trade in counter-seasonal window to Northern Hemisphere Preferential access into Europe for some products for historical reasons NZ reputation for quality products and trusted supplier Proven capabilities and scale in bovine dairy that can support emergence of sheep dairy 	 Industry has has shrinking animal numbers for over forty years; cascading series of challenges from this, particularly around excess capacity in all stages of the chain Low and falling global consumption of both main products (now effectively niche markets) High tariff barriers into key markets limits access to Europe and parts of Asia 19th Century breeding processes in a 21st Century world (compare with Hyline or Aviagen) Limited experience with branded and high value processed meats or meal solutions Limited in-market knowledge, few firms close to customer/consumer, especially in growth markets of Asia Lack of in-market co-ordination High labour costs; labour shortages both on farm and in processing Still exporting too much as whole and half carcass, rather than further processing in New Zealand Cumulative impact of numerous free trade agreements, particularly China, on local manufacturing; ongoing collapse of almost every value added wool processing sector in New Zealand 40+ year inability of industry to develop and implement a coherent strategy Local animal welfare expectations in conflict with certain interpretations of a specific religion, leading to
OPPORTUNITIES	market share losses in Middle East to Sub-Saharan producers THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
 Recent free trade agreement (FTA) with UK and EU; further negotiation of high quality FTA with key markets Scientific research showing superior health properties of free range, grass-fed animals Genomics research to optimise output quality, animal productivity, growth rates etc. High and growing levels of foodservice penetration across most key markets Religious-based food restrictions (e.g. Sikh, Muslim, Jewish, Hindu) [also a risk] Ability to extend shelf life of chilled product (extend season window) Increased demand for protein globally Move from frozen product to chilled; position/develop brands and case-ready, convenience foods products for retail and hospitality/foodservice Removal of EU/UK farm subsidies in the medium term Extension/expansion of livestock traceability and specific-animal identification Encourage innovative on-farm practices to minimise green house gases 	 Continued conversion of beef and sheep land into dairy, forestry or lifestyle blocks Market access reduced due to import restrictions Continued decline in consumption of lamb and wool products in developed markets Perfect storm of COVID-19, work-from-home, casual business attire, allergies, carpet-free homes, productivity gains in synthetics, and technological disruption (e.g. electric blankets) permanently driving down wool demand Religious-based food restrictions (e.g. Sikh, Muslim, Jewish, Hindu) [also an opportunity] Disease outbreak affecting stock numbers and or trade access ETS, climate change legislation affecting cost of business (or land use) Southern South America (e.g. Argentina, Uruguay, S. Brazil) improving their pasture system and "catching-up" with New Zealand EU quota reallocation in post-Brexit negotiations (particularly lamb and mutton) Animal welfare and extension of "rights revolution" to animals

The New Zealand (and Australian) sheep industry faces a wide range of global structural issues with no simple solutions

FORCES AT WORK IN THE GLOBAL SHEEP PRODUCTS TRADE

PRICE

SUPPLY

DEMAND

NEW ZEALAND AND OTHER DEVELOPED	 Ongoing fall in sheep numbers Relatively slow growing, inefficient animals Failing to increase productivity Involved and time consuming to farm Low net profitability overall and per hectare A distraction rather than a focus for many farmers Pushed into more and more marginal lands Labour intensive to process (time per kg yield) Constant overcapacity in processing Live export channel removed due to pressure from activists on animal welfare grounds Non-ultrafine wool being outcompeted by rapidly improving synthetics with falling prices
AFRICA & MIDDLE EAST	 Northern Africa growing animal numbers long term (+160m animals over past 45 years) Growing production across Middle East Global warming/climate change may be driving increased production in sub-Sahara
ASIA	 North west regions of China rapidly increasing their flock (1970=80m; current 180m) Growing production in India and Pakistan Ex-Soviet "Stans" increasing animal numbers Ethiopia now has more sheep than New Zealand

Falling consumption of meat and wool-based products in New Zealand, Australia and traditional Western markets Highly consolidated retail markets Increasing chain costs and cost-to-serve Lamb is a minor specialty meat in most markets being pushed to case-ready	ANGLO- EUROPEAN MARKETS
Five oil rich gulf states (~50m people) primarily want live animals for religious reasons	MIDDLE EAST
Demand for premium, imported meat dependent on local economy, itself driven by oil price	
Live animals from Northern Africa taking share (shorter shipping/lower cost/no protests)	
Civil war & unrest releasing stocks	
Japan and other rich East Asian demand small and flat China a large and growing market only willing to pay low prices for meat or wool China primarily taking secondary cuts, offal and whole carcass	ASIA

The core on-farm sheep production system is struggling and under pressure, while at the same time local value-added processing is in collapse

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: SHEEP

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
$\star \star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	$\star \star \star \div \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$	****	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \bigstar$	* * ☆ ☆ ☆
Available Labour	Large Operations			
★★★★☆	$\star \star \star \div \Leftrightarrow$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
		★ ★ ★ ★ ☆	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \clubsuit$	****
Available Genetics	Proven/Scalable Systems			
$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \bigstar$	$\star \star \star \div \div$			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs	Skills & Experience			
****	****	****	* ☆ ☆ ☆ ☆	****
	OVI	ERALL		***

New Zealand has declining domestic demand for wool and collapsing sheep meat consumption with available surpluses going to export markets

- ESTIMATED NZ DOMESTIC WOOL UTILISATION -Tonnes; 1990-2021

- NZ DOMESTIC SHEEP MEAT/CAPITA Kg/person; 1961-2021



Source: United Nations FAO; United Nations Comtrade; Customs NZ; B+L New Zealand; Coriolis interviews, modelling, estimates and analysis

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand's declining volumes lead to high but declining global competitiveness

ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND BY SELECT SITC3

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1996-2022



Source: UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand sheep numbers peaked in the mid-80's and have been in decline for forty years; sheep numbers are now back at 1920 levels



Note: Some missing historical data extrapolated; Source: United Nations FAO; New Zealand Department of Agriculture; Ministry for Primary Industries; Statistics New Zealand; Coriolis interviews, modelling, estimates and analysis

Sheep farming is experiencing falling farm numbers and falling on-farm employment



The number of sheep per farm appears relatively stable, indicating the driver of falling sheep numbers is farms being sold and the land exiting sheep



FWSS = Farms with Significant Sheep; Source: Statistics NZ (Business Demographics); UN FAO Stat; Coriolis classification and analysis

Sheep numbers are declining across all regions





New Zealand has falling sheep head processed across growing yields and falling sheep meat production



Source: UN FAOStat; StatsNZ Infoshare; Coriolis analysis

Relative to a wide climatic peer group, New Zealand is a major sheep/lamb producer, though with animals 20% smaller than Australia

Head; 000; 2021

SHEEP HEAD PROCESSED — AVERAGE WEIGHT/HEAD Kg/Head; 2021

SHEEP MEAT PRODUCED T; 000; bone-in; 2021



Wool productivity is not growing; realised wool per sheep plateaued in the late 50's and gone nowhere since; sheep breeding has focused on more lambs



Note: Some missing historical data extrapolated across patchy dataset; Source: United Nations FAO; New Zealand Department of Agriculture; Ministry for Primary Industries; Statistics New Zealand; Coriolis interviews, modelling, estimates and analysis

New Zealand wool production is declining as a result of fewer sheep and no wool productivity gains



Note: Some missing historical data extrapolated across patchy dataset; Source: United Nations FAO; New Zealand Department of Agriculture; Ministry for Primary Industries; Statistics New Zealand; Coriolis interviews, modelling, estimates and analysis

141

Wool primary handling and processing has more shearing units but fewer shearers overall, while scouring and wholesaling are both declining



Source: Statistics NZ (Business Demographics); Coriolis classification and analysis

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTOR CAPABILITIES		2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES		3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS	
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?	
PAGES 8-171					
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9	2.1 Smart people	182		
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas	190		
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203		
1.4 Wine Grapes	66				
1.5 Fruit	77				
1.6 Vegetables	89				
1.7 Cattle	101				
1.8 Sheep	125				
1.9 Chicken	143				
1.10 Pigs	155				
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166				

New Zealand has a growing chicken meat and egg industry, both protected by biosecurity and both currently primarily targeting the domestic market

New Zealand has a modern, growing poultry industry that is – in many ways – going from success-to-success inside a high priced biosecurity bubble; maybe one day it will become an export story

- The New Zealand poultry industry has a relatively streamlined supply chain with most required capabilities controlled by the major processors
- New Zealand's poultry meat and egg industry is successful and growing; in an ideal world, it would mature and become export competitive
- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; NZ is competitive in in-shell eggs, almost competitive in poultry meat and not competitive in processed eggs

Looking across the longer term, poultry meat is growing but eggs are basically flat

- New Zealand has growing broiler chicken numbers and consumption, but flatter layer numbers and consumption

- New Zealand has growing head processed, growing chicken meat yields and growing meat production
- New Zealand has recovering layer numbers, growing egg yields and growing egg production
- New Zealand has growing poultry meat farming and consolidating (and growing) egg farming

New Zealand performs well, but without the threat of imports, there will always be opportunities to improve

- New Zealand is a mid size chicken meat producer relative to a wide climatic peer group, with the animal numbers of Sweden and the yields of Poland
- New Zealand has strict biosecurity around birds; only extremely processed products can enter and volumes are close to immaterial currently
The New Zealand poultry industry has a relatively streamlined supply chain with most required capabilities controlled by the major processors

NEW ZEALAND CAPABILITIES DRIVEN SUPPLY CHAIN: CHICKEN



New Zealand's poultry meat and egg industry is successful and growing; in an ideal world, it would mature and become export competitive

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND POULTRY

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
- Growing yields (average weight/head); further upside available	- Local prices far above world price encouraging firms to remain inside biosecurity bubble
- Growing domestic consumption	- Declining arable crop competitiveness; industry dependent on imported feed
- Highly profitable processors at scale and protected by biosecurity	 50 years of "rear guard action" by industry focused on preventing imports (rather than becoming competitive); in the unlikely case that imports were permitted, the experience of pork could repeat
 Free from many major global poultry diseases leading to faster growth and lower medical costs Natural environment favourable to agriculture 	 Vocal segment of consumers driving imposition of higher cost regulations driving up prices; most consumers appear unable to make the link between regulation and prices
- Strong biosecurity rules and systems leading to low presence of key diseases	- Labour shortages both on farm and in processing
- High standards of food safety and animal welfare	- Animal welfare issues
- Highly consolidated industry with a small number of both farmers and only 3-4 major processors	- Domestic and imported grain prices higher than competitors, which restricts competitiveness of pork,
- International recognition of high quality of New Zealand meat industry regulations	poultry, and other feed-dependent production systems
- 135+ years experience exporting meat long distances	
- Strong position in global beef and lamb trade in counter-seasonal window to Northern Hemisphere	
- NZ reputation as a trusted supplier of quality meat products	
- Access to modern broiler and layer genetics from global breeding pools	
- Low emissions per kilogram of protein relative to cattle or sheep	
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
- Continuous improvement in farm management and production systems	- Constant background threat of disease arrival
- Adopting European (or other) standards (rather than local bureaucrats reinvesting the wheel)	- Attitudes and opinions of local producers; lack of current export vision
- Increased demand for protein globally	- Animal welfare and extension of "rights revolution" to animals
- Both broiler meat and poultry appear to have the potential to grow exports further with focus and a clear plan with support from the total industry	- Further government regulation

New Zealand's capabilities in the poultry chain are solid, but the country is uncompetitive at the biosecure border

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: CHICKEN

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
★★★★☆	****	★★★★ ☆	$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \bigstar \bigstar$	****
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\bigstar \bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \clubsuit$	$\star \star \star \star \Leftrightarrow$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
		$\star \star \star \star \star \star$	$\bigstar\bigstar \clubsuit \clubsuit \clubsuit \clubsuit$	$\star \star \star \star \star \Rightarrow$
Available Genetics	Proven/Scalable Systems			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs ★★★☆☆☆	Skills & Experience $\star \star \star \star \star$	****	$\bigstar\bigstar$	$\bigstar \mathring{\leftrightarrow} \mathring{\leftrightarrow} \mathring{\leftrightarrow} \mathring{\leftrightarrow}$
	OVE	RALL		***

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; NZ is competitive in in-shell eggs, almost competitive in poultry meat and not competitive in processed eggs

- ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND IN POULTRY (HS0207)

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1996-2022



Source: World Bank WITS; UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 148

New Zealand has growing broiler chicken numbers and meat consumption, but flatter layer numbers and egg consumption



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand has growing birds processed, growing chicken meat yields and growing meat production



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand has recovering layer numbers, growing egg yields and growing egg production



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS

151

New Zealand has growing poultry meat farming and consolidating (and growing) egg farming



Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 152

New Zealand is a mid-size chicken meat producer relative to a wide climatic peer group, with the animal numbers of Sweden and the yields of Poland

CHICKENS PROCESSED

Head; m; 2021



New Zealand has strict biosecurity around birds; only extremely processed products can enter and volumes are close to immaterial currently



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 154

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTO CAPABILITIES	DR	2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIE	ΞS	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171				
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9	2.1 Smart people	182	
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas	190	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66			
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

The New Zealand pig industry has been struggling against imports from more efficient producers (e.g. Germany) as biosecurity has been removed

The removal of biosecurity has put strong pressure on the domestic pig industry to improve and move towards global best practice

- The New Zealand pig industry has a relatively streamlined supply chain with most required capabilities
- New Zealand's pig industry is struggling and unable to articulate a plan to dramatically change the situation
- New Zealand has limited capabilities in the pig chain and technology adoption is stymied by government; the industry is struggling against imports

While New Zealand is a globally competitive producer of cattle and sheep meat, this is not the case in pork

- Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is not currently competitive in pork

New Zealand produces a falling number of relatively small pigs; however yields are improving and the country is no longer the worst performer in its peer group

- New Zealand has falling pig animal numbers, but growing pork consumption, with the gap being filled by imports as biosecurity restrictions have come off
- New Zealand has stable-to-growing pig meat yields and falling production
- New Zealand has rapidly falling pig farm numbers and falling on-farm employment, but the surviving units are getting larger
- New Zealand is a small pig producer relative to a wide climatic peer group, with the pig numbers of Moldova and the yields of Bulgaria

Imports are surging from high productivity, high regulation, high wage countries

New Zealand has rapidly growing pig meat imports driven by
 (1) biosecurity rules changes and (2) more countries completed
 "their paperwork"

The New Zealand pig industry has a relatively streamlined supply chain with most required capabilities



New Zealand's pig industry is struggling and unable to articulate a plan to dramatically change the situation

SWOT ANALYSIS: NEW ZEALAND PIGS/PORK INDUSTRY

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
- Growing yields (average weight/head); no longer the worst in our peer group	- Small animals relative to almost every peer (at least NZ beat Bulgaria and Serbia)
- Natural environment favourable to agriculture	- Falling number of animals throughput impacting processing return on capital and retarding incentives for
 Strong biosecurity rules and systems leading to low presence of key diseases High standards of food safety and animal welfare Highly consolidated industry with a small number of both farmers and processors International recognition of high quality of New Zealand meat industry regulations 135+ years experience exporting meat long distances Strong position in global beef and lamb trade in counter-seasonal window to Northern Hemisphere NZ reputation as a trusted supplier of quality meat products Access to modern genetics from global breeding pools (unlike Australian industry) 	 investment Inefficient, low productivity industry relative to developed country leaders (e.g. Netherlands) Low scale across all stages of the chain Declining arable crop competitiveness; industry dependent on imported feed 50 years of "rear guard action" by industry focused on preventing imports (rather than becoming competitive) No obvious industry strategy or plan to address growing imports head on by becoming competitive with European producers Vocal segment of consumers driving imposition of uncompetitive regulations (vs. Denmark or Germany)
- Low emissions per kilogram of meat relative to cattle or sheep	- Limited experience with branded and high value processed meats or meal solutions
- Somewhat unique 'theatrical' free-range pasture system (with supplementary feed obviously)	 Labour shortages both on farm and in processing Animal welfare issues
	 Domestic and imported grain prices higher than competitors, which restricts competitiveness of pork, poultry, and other feed-dependent production systems
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS/ISSUES/CHALLENGES/RISKS
- Better farm management and production systems	- Attitudes and opinions of local producers; lack of vision
- Encouraging immigrants from countries with world-class skills and capabilities (e.g. Denmark)	- Surging imports; growing market share of imports; imports spreading beyond processed products
- Adopting European standards (rather than re-inventing the wheel)	- Animal welfare and extension of "rights revolution" to animals
- Increased demand for protein globally	- Further government regulation further decreasing competitiveness
 Convincing someone, somewhere, in some export market, to pay some premium for 'free-range' (but supplementary fed) NZ pork 	- Religious-based food restrictions (e.g. Sikh, Muslim, Jewish, Hindu)

New Zealand has limited capabilities in the pig chain and modern farming systems* are almost impossible; the industry is struggling against imports

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY: PIGS

AVAILABLE RESOURCES	WORLD-CLASS BIOMASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIENT PRIMARY BIOMASS PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED BIOMASS PROCESSING	ROUTE-TO-MARKET
Available Land & Water	High Yields	Efficient & Productive	Efficient & Productive	Nurturing Local Market
$\star \star \star \star \star \star$	* ☆ ☆ ☆ ☆	$\star \star \star \div \div$	$\star \star \star \div \div$	$\star \star \star \star \star \ddagger$
Available Labour	Large Operations			
$\bigstar\bigstar \bigstar \clubsuit \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$	$\bigstar\bigstar \Leftrightarrow \clubsuit \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$	At Scale	At Scale	Efficient Logistics
	_	$\bigstar\bigstar \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$	$\star \star \div \div \div$	****
Available Genetics	Proven/Scalable Systems			
$\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar \diamondsuit$	$\bigstar\bigstar \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow$			
		Close To Production Areas	Linked Into Markets	Accessible Export Markets
Available Key Inputs	Skills & Experience			
★★★★☆	$\star \star \star \div \Leftrightarrow$			
	OVI	FRALL		****

Competitiveness is calculated at the border; New Zealand is not currently competitive in pork

ANNUAL REVEALED COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE INDEX: NEW ZEALAND BY SELECT SITC3

1=Average of New Zealand across all exports; 1996-2022



Source: World Bank WITS; UNCTAD STAT; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand has falling pig animal numbers but growing pork consumption with the gap being filled by imports as biosecurity restrictions have come off



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

New Zealand has stable-to-growing pig meat yields and falling production



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 162

New Zealand has rapidly falling pig farm numbers and falling on-farm employment, but the surviving units are getting larger



Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 163

New Zealand is a small pig producer relative to a wide climatic peer group, with the pig numbers of Moldova and the yields of Bulgaria

Head; 000; 2021

PIG HEAD PROCESSED — AVERAGE WEIGHT/HEAD Kg/Head; 2021

PIG MEAT PRODUCED

T; 000; bone-in; 2021



New Zealand has rapidly growing pig meat imports driven by (1) biosecurity rules changes and (2) more countries completed "their paperwork"



Source: UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTO CAPABILITIES	DR	2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITI	ES	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?		WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171		PAGES 172-205		PAGES 206-241
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9	2.1 Smart people	182	
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas	190	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66			
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

New Zealand seems to have a habit of inflating farming bubbles around new species

- The New Zealand **deer industry** inflated a farming bubble; after a period of sharp decline, the situation has stabilised more recently
- The New Zealand **goat industry** inflated a farming bubble; after a period of sharp decline, the situation has stabilised more recently
- The New Zealand **Ilama and alpaca industry** inflated a farming bubble; after a period of sharp decline, the situation has stabilised more recently
- While there isn't any data, the ostrich and emu industries did the same thing
- New Zealand has seen collapsing farm numbers in deer and other livestock
- A wide range of other species are being, have been or have been proposed for farming

The New Zealand deer industry inflated a farming bubble; after a period of sharp decline, the situation has stabilised more recently



Source: StatisticsNZ; UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

The New Zealand goat industry inflated a farming bubble; after a period of sharp decline, the situation has stabilised more recently



Source: StatisticsNZ; UN FAOStat; Coriolis analysis

The New Zealand llama and alpaca industry inflated a farming bubble; after a period of sharp decline, the situation has stabilised more recently





* We wish we were making that up ("yuppie puppies, the hot new designer pet of the '90s; exports to US, particularly Florida"); Source: StatisticsNZ; UN FAOStat; Coriolis modelling and analysis

CORIOLIS 170

New Zealand has seen collapsing farm numbers in deer and other livestock



Note: Data is PAYE employees; does not include "owner operator" farmers; Source: StatsNZ (business demographics); Coriolis analysis

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTO CAPABILITIES	OR	2. WIDER PAN-SECTOR SUPPORTING CAPABILITIES	3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?		WHAT ARE WE GOOD AT?	WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171		PAGES 172-205	PAGES 206-241
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9	2.1 Smart people 182	
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas 190	
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital 203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66		
1.5 Fruit	77		
1.6 Vegetables	89		
1.7 Cattle	101		
1.0 Sneep	123		
1.10 Pigs	155		
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166		

We need to move beyond descriptive and narrative driven discussions of capabilities; New Zealand needs improvement in multiple areas to deliver on the bioeconomy of the future

New Zealanders need to ensure they do not engage in groupthink regarding the country's capabilities

- New Zealand believes it has world leading capabilities in all agriculture and wider bioeconomy disciplines
- In particular, New Zealand regularly highlights that it is 'worldclass'; it is difficult to find a capability where New Zealand does not claim to be 'world-class'

A capability is not a descriptive or narrative story or marketing pitch, rather it is the ability to execute

- The military has a clear definition of 'capabilities'; it is the ability to execute
- Like the military, business also defines capabilities as the ability to execute
- 'Capabilities' do not exist in isolation; the concept is a relative one; a peer group of similar countries to New Zealand was developed for comparisons

Beyond the in-sector capabilities discussed earlier in this report, three broad capabilities are required to support the functioning market bioeconomy of the future

1. SMART PEOPLE

New Zealand has increased the number of university graduates

- A large and growing percent of New Zealanders now have a degree
- Relative to peers, New Zealand both produces a lot of graduates and has a large percent of students that are from abroad

New Zealand has a large number of universities, none of which are top tier

- New Zealand has eight universities, a comprehensive polytechnic and training system, and a range of other tertiary education providers
- However, identified peers have more, better Universities;
- A similar message comes from all global rankings; New Zealand universities are not top tier (except maybe Auckland)

The current tertiary education system is not optimally configured for competition with peers in the bioeconomy of the future

- New Zealand universities have pockets of capability in some bio-economy-related areas
- About a quarter (25%) of New Zealand university graduates are likely to be useful or highly useful for constructing the bioeconomy of the future

2. GOOD IDEAS

New Zealand underperforms in R&D relative to peers

- New Zealand has a growing number of researchers and other R&D personnel, however personnel numbers are low relative to peers
- Relative to peers, New Zealand has a lot of university researchers, but relatively few business researchers
- New Zealand does not spend a lot on R&D relative to peers
- This creates a situation where New Zealand has a large number of underfunded researchers primarily working in Universities

New Zealand underperforms in scientific papers relative to peers

- New Zealand's scientists (1) produce fewer scientific papers, (2) which produce less 'impact', and (3) are less often in the best journals
- New Zealand is strong in medicine, agriculture and a range of

fields related to the bioeconomy

New Zealand has low but growing business R&D, with relatively little of this workforce pointed at the bioeconomy

- About 45% of New Zealand's total R&D workforce works in business and the number of business R&D workers is growing
- About 11% of New Zealand's business R&D personnel are pointed at the bioeconomy or sectors that may be able to support it in the future
- Relative to peers, New Zealand has a lot of R&D personnel in ag/forestry/fishing and food & beverage; lack of large pharma stands out

New Zealand underperforms in patents relative to peers

- New Zealand patent applications appear to be trending down; climate change and biotechnology both among the major domains for NZ
- New Zealand does not produce a lot of patents relative to peers; New Zealand trending down while many peers trending up
- Relative to peers, New Zealand is not a leader in patent production in any major field

3. USEFUL CAPITAL

Relative to peers, New Zealand is strong in venture capital but has a small stock market

- New Zealand is doing well in terms of amount of venture capital (VC) funding attracted
- Relative to peers, New Zealand has a small stock market and relatively few listed companies

New Zealanders need to ensure they do not engage in groupthink regarding the country's capabilities

What is groupthink?

Groupthink is a phenomenon that occurs when a group of individuals prioritises consensus and harmony over critical thinking and rational decisionmaking. In groupthink, members of the group tend to conform to the group's views and ideas, often disregarding their own opinions and ignoring conflicting information.

Groupthink can occur in any setting where a group of individuals is making a decision or working towards a common goal. It is often seen in workplaces, government, and social settings. Symptoms of groupthink may include a lack of diversity of opinions, self-censorship, an illusion of unanimity, and pressure to conform to the group's views.

Groupthink can have negative consequences, including flawed decision-making and a lack of creativity and innovation. It can also lead to a false sense of confidence in the group's decisions and can result in decisions that are not in the best interest of the group or society as a whole.

To avoid groupthink, it is important to encourage diverse perspectives and opinions, promote open and honest communication, and foster an environment where dissenting views are welcomed and considered. It is also important to have a process for evaluating decisions and ideas objectively, with a focus on evidence-based reasoning and critical thinking.

Are countries with small populations more susceptible to groupthink?

Countries with small populations may be more susceptible to groupthink, but this is not necessarily always the case.

On the one hand, smaller populations may result in more homogeneity in terms of culture, values, and experiences, which can lead to a greater likelihood of groupthink. In smaller communities, individuals may be more likely to conform to the views and opinions of the group, and there may be less diversity of opinions and perspectives.

However, on the other hand, smaller populations can also foster a stronger sense of community and shared values, which can promote open communication and the exchange of diverse perspectives. In smaller communities, individuals may be more likely to know and trust one another, which can create a more supportive and inclusive environment where individuals feel comfortable expressing their own opinions and ideas.

Ultimately, whether or not a country with a small population is more susceptible to groupthink will depend on a variety of factors, including the cultural norms, the level of diversity of the population, and the degree of openness to new ideas and perspectives.

In the age of AI, you need to make sure you are not just having your own propaganda fed back to you (aka. "believing your own hype" or "getting high on your own supply").

New Zealand believes it has world leading capabilities in all agriculture and wider bioeconomy disciplines

"New Zealand is one of the most efficient agricultural economies in the world, with a reputation for producing cutting edge research and technology, robust and safe agricultural practices and delicious and high quality products."

H.E. Wendy Matthews, New Zealand Ambassador to Viet Nam, April 2018

"A comprehensive international fisheries science assessment has rated New Zealand the world's top performing country for managing its marine and fishery resources... We can all take credit for being regarded internationally as leading the world in many aspects of marine resource management."

Phil Heatley, New Zealand Fisheries Minister, Nov 2009

"New Zealand has a global reputation for farming expertise, innovative agricultural related research and technology and quality food-production... New Zealand's expertise in the horticulture sector is world-leading, including in relation to fruit genetics, horticulture commercialisation, pest management and post-harvest handling. New Zealand [has] world class agriculture expertise."

"New Zealand has strengths and specialisations in research in agriculture and biological sciences, and in products related to agriculture, partly reflecting a climate conducive to agriculture."



Ministry for Primary Industries

"New Zealand's reputation for producing high-quality food and fibre that is safe, free of pests and diseases and produced sustainably... New Zealand has a well-earned reputation for producing highquality food and fibre"

In particular, New Zealand regularly highlights that it is 'world-class'...

"Our **world-class** technology, meticulous production values, the highest levels of ethical production and our adherence to environmental sustainability, all contribute to our reputation for food excellence."



"Broadly our kiwifruit and apple research programmes are **world class.** Certainly, the cultivar development parts of them but also the production systems too – pest and disease system controls, sustainable production and post-harvest and all the consumer work. All of that sweeter stuff for those two industries is **world class.**"



Plant & Food[™] Research Rangahau Ahumāra Kai

"Growing and producing fresh, healthy food is one of the most essential staple industries across the globe. It's also a major cornerstone of New Zealand's economy... At Lincoln, we're constantly expanding our **world-class** academic instruction."



"We are **world class** in animal- based food production systems... ruminant genomics and breeding... fibre science, micro-analysis of keratin materials... protein modification chemistry of bio-based products... food safety... integrity of animal production and processing systems."



"Pāmu: New Zealand's **World Class** Agricultural Leader... Pāmu is a recognised leader not only in New Zealand's agricultural sector, but around the world where our farming practices are studied and emulated."

PĀMU

"We... deliver **world class** New Zealand Argri-products to customers around the world."



...it is difficult to find a capability where New Zealand does not claim to be 'world-class'

"New Zealand has a **world-class** agricultural industry, with a reputation for its leading edge technological and scientific practices."



"NMIT offers world-class aquaculture programmes"



"We will ensure New Zealand's **world class** food safety system remains robust."



"New Zealand has several world-class cheese companies."

100% PURE NEW ZEALAND

https://www.mfat.govt.nz/en/media-and-resources/viet-nam-gets-rambutan-import-license/

"Cawthron Institute's **world-leading** advanced shellfish and finfish breeding programmes and technologies now underpin a significant portion of New Zealand's aquaculture production"

CAWTHRON

"New Zealand offers a **world-class** education. All eight universities are ranked within the top 3% in the world."

Te Põkai Tara Universities New Zealand

"New Zealand's... innovative, world-class [wine] industry."



New Zealand Wine

"Our world-class agritech innovations."





The military has a clear definition of 'capabilities'; it is the ability to execute

"<u>The ability to execute a specified course of action</u>. (A capability may or may not be accompanied by an intention.)" Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms. US Department of Defense 2005.

"The enduring ability <u>to generate a desired operational outcome</u> or effect, [which] is relative to the threat, physical environment and the contributions of coalition partners." *United Kingdom Ministry of Defence*

"Capability: 'military potential expressed in quantitative and qualitative terms'; '<u>the ability to create an effect</u>." NATOTerm "The capacity or <u>ability to achieve an operational effect</u>. An operational effect may be defined or described in terms of the nature of the effect and or how, when, where and for how long it is produced." *Australian Department of Defence*

"A country's <u>military capability is its ability to fight in a war</u>." Collins Dictionary

Like the military, business also defines capabilities as the ability to execute

"A business capability represents <u>the ability for a business to do</u> <u>something</u>."



"[T]here's no minimizing the importance of understanding <u>how your</u> <u>organization creates value</u>—its capabilities."



HARVARD BUSINESS SCHOOL

"A business capability defines <u>"what" a business does</u> at its core. This differs from "how" things are done or where they are done." William Ulrich, President, TSG Inc. "An organisation's strategic capabilities are what bridges the gap between "great on paper" and great in reality... Organisational capabilities are the abilities of an enterprise to operate its day-today business as well as to grow, adapt, and seek competitive advantage in the marketplace. In other words, capabilities are how the business does what it does – and does what it wants to do."

Monitor **Deloitte.**

"Business capability is the expression or the articulation of the capacity, materials and expertise an organization needs in order to perform core functions."



"Organizational capabilities enable companies to maximize their performance and <u>achieve their goals</u>." Academy to Innovate HR (AIHR) website Three broad capabilities are required to support the functioning market bioeconomy of the future


'Capabilities' do not exist in isolation; the concept is a relative one; a peer group of similar countries to New Zealand was developed for comparisons



Source: CIA World Fact Book; World Bank; Coriolis analysis

1. Smart people



A large and growing percent of New Zealanders now have a degree



Source: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA): World Population and Human Capital in the Twenty-First Century (2015); Our WorldInData.org/tertiary-education • CC BY

CORIOLIS 183

Relative to peers, New Zealand both produces a lot of graduates and has a large percent of students that are from abroad



% UNI STUDENTS FROM ABROAD % of total tertiary enrolment; 2015

Source: OECD Education at a Glance 2020 (https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/education-at-a-glance-2020_69096873-en); CIA World Factbook (https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/); Coriolis analysis

New Zealand has eight universities, a comprehensive polytechnic and training system, and a range of other tertiary education providers



Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_public_sector_organisations_in_New_Zealand#Institutes_of_Technology_and_Polytechnics

However, identified peers have more, better Universities

ACADEMIC RANKING OF WORLD UNIVERSITIES 2022						
	1-100	101-200	201-300	301-400	401-500	
Switzerland	ETH Zurich (20) University of Zurich (59) University of Geneva (62) University of Basil (83)	Swiss Fed. Inst. of Tech. Lausanne (101-150) University of Bern (101-150) University of Lausanne (101-150)			Chalmers University of Technology Stockholm School of Economics	
Sweden	Karolinska Institute (41) Uppsala University (89) Stockholm University (90)	University of Gothenburg (101-150) Lund University (151-200)	KTH Royal Inst. Of Tech. (201-300)	Linkoping University Swedish U. of Agricultural Sci.		
Denmark	University of Copenhagen (39) Arhaus University (69)	Technical University of Denmark (151-200)	University of Southern Denmark (201-300)	Aalborg University		
Israel	Hebrew University of Jerusalem (77) Technion Israel Institute of Tech. (83) Weizmann Institute of Science (83)	Tel Aviv University (151-200)		Bar-Ilan University	Ben-Gurion University of the Negev	
Finland	University of Helsinki (92)			Aalto University	University of Oulu University of Turku	
Belgium	Ghent University (74) University of Leuven (95)	University Libre Bruxelles (101-150)	Catholic University of Louvain University of Antwerp	University of Liege Vrije Universiteit Brussel		
Austria		University of Vienna (151-200)	Medical University of Vienna	University of Innsbruck Vienna Uni. of Technology	Medical University of Innsbruck University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna	
Norway	University of Oslo (67)	Norwegian Uni. of Science and Technology (101-150)		University of Bergen		
Ireland		Trinity College Dublin (151-200)		University College Cork University College Dublin		
New Zealand			University of Auckland	University of Otago	University of Canterbury Victoria Uni. of Wellington	

- - - - -

- - -

A similar message comes from all global rankings; New Zealand universities are not top tier (except maybe Auckland)

NZ GLOBAL UNIVERSITIES ACROSS MAJOR RANKINGS

		SHANGHAI 家語 RANKING		
	University of Auckland	201-300	87	139
	University of Otago	301-400	217	301-350
	University of Canterbury	401-500	284	601-800
1897	Victoria University of Wellington	401-500	275	401-500
	Massey University	601-700	292	601-800
AUT	AUT University	701-800	486	251-300
LINCOLN	Lincoln University	701-800	368	401-500
	The University of Waikato	701-800	331	401-500

New Zealand universities have pockets of capability in some bio-economyrelated areas

NZ GLOBAL UNIVERSITIES ACROSS MAJOR RANKINGS

								いたり	ANGHAI ANKING
		Food Science & Technology	Biomedical Engineering	Biotechnology	Agricultural Science	Biological Science	Veterinary Science	Chemistry	Oceanography
	University of Auckland	31	201-300	201-300	201-300	201-300		201-300	151-200
	University of Otago	76-100	201-300	301-400		301-400	201-300		101-150
	University of Canterbury								
1897	Victoria University of Wellington								
	Massey University	51-75		301-400	151-200		42	401-500	
aut	AUT University								
LINCOLN	Lincoln University	151-200			301-400				
	The University of Waikato								

Source: https://www.shanghairanking.com/rankings/gras/2022

About a quarter (25%) of New Zealand university graduates are likely-orpossibly highly useful for constructing the bioeconomy of the future



TOTAL = 72,398 graduates



2. Good ideas



New Zealand has a growing number of researchers and other R&D personnel, however personnel numbers are low relative to peers



* or as available; NZ is 2019; Note: Some missing years extrapolated; "researchers" are defined as R&D personnel with a degree; Source: OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (<u>https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/main-science-and-technology-indicators_2304277x</u>); CIA World Factbook (<u>https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/</u>); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS () 191

Relative to peers, New Zealand has a lot of university researchers, but relatively few business researchers

R&D RESEARCHERS PER MIL PEOPLE BY SECTOR

FTE R&D personnel w/degree per million people; 2021*



* or as available; NZ is 2019; Note: "researchers" are defined as R&D personnel with a degree; Source: OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (<u>https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/main-science-and-technology-indicators_2304277x</u>); CIA World Factbook (<u>https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/</u>); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS () 192

New Zealand does not spend a lot on R&D relative to peers



Note: Data is household expenditure and so excludes business or government expenditure; Source: OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=MSTI_PUB); CIA World Factbook (<u>https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/);StatsNZ</u> (https://nzdotstat.stats.govt.nz/wbos/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=TABLECODE7552); Oanda (<u>https://www.canda.com/currency-converter/en/?from=NZD&to=USD&amount=1</u>); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS

193

This creates a situation where New Zealand has a large number of underfunded researchers primarily working in Universities

R&D RESEARCHERS PER MIL PEOPLE BY SECTOR

FTE R&D personnel per million people; 2021*



FTE R&D personnel per million people; 2021*

CORIOLIS 194

New Zealand's scientists (1) produce fewer scientific papers, (2) which produce less 'impact', and (3) are less often in the best journals

THE NZ SCIENCE SYSTEM: OUTPUT AND QUALITY OF OUTPUT

Various measures; various time periods (latest OECD; as available)



Source: OECD (Normalised citation impact(<u>http://www.oecd.org/sti/CBSI-Ch1-F14.xls</u>); number of papers (<u>http://www.oecd.org/sti/CBSI-Ch1-F5.xls</u>); shore in most cited (<u>http://www.oecd.org/sti/CBSI-Ch1-F15.xls</u>); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 195

New Zealand is strong in medicine, agriculture and a range of fields related to the bioeconomy

SHARE OF FIELD OVER TOTAL OUTPUT WITHIN COUNTRY: NEW ZEALAND

% of NZ documents indexed in SCOPUS by type for 10 year period 2003-2012 (latest OECD available)



About 45% of New Zealand's total R&D workforce works in business and the number of business R&D workers is growing*



* Alternatively, some of this growth may be StatsNZ improving their sampling; Note: "researchers" are defined as R&D personnel with a degree; Source: OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (<u>https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/main-science-and-technology-indicators_2304277x</u>); (<u>https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=PERS_INDU</u>); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 197

About 11% of New Zealand's business R&D personnel are pointed at the bioeconomy or sectors that may be able to support it in the future



* Alternatively, some of this growth may be StatsNZ improving their sampling; Note: "researchers" are defined as R&D personnel with a degree; Source: OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (<u>https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/main-science-and-technology-indicators_2304277x</u>); (<u>https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=PERS_INDU</u>); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS 198

Relative to peers, New Zealand has a lot of R&D personnel in ag/forestry/fishing and food & beverage; lack of large pharma stands out

TOTAL BUSINESS R&D^ PERSONNEL BY CORE BIOECONOMY SECTORS: NZ VS. PEERS

AG, FOR, FISH **FOOD & BEVERAGE** PHARMACEUTICALS **TEXTILES, APPAREL** WOOD & PRODUCTS Switzerland 9,813 540 New Zealand Belgium 1,178 Belgium 538 728 Sweden Denmark 6,584 Norway Norway 749 lsrael 346 462 Finland 659 6,273 Belgium Belgium 700 83 New Zealand Austria 173 Austria 250 Sweden 2,001 Denmark 53 Denmark 623 New Zealand 90 Norway 198 1,197 Austria Finland 28 Ireland 482 Sweden 61 Israel 1,126 Belgium 187 Finland 472 Ireland 23 Denmark 57 985 Ireland New Zealand 24 Austria 18 Austria 340 Norway 39 Finland 799 Denmark 23 Israel Sweden 315 Finland 28 Norway 284 N/RIreland 17 Sweden lsrael 241 Ireland 15 New Zealand 130 N/R

R&D personnel; FTE; 2020

New Zealand patent applications appear to be trending down; climate change and biotechnology both among the major domains for NZ



New Zealand does not produce a lot of patents relative to peers; New Zealand trending down while many peers trending up



* PTO Patent and Trademark Office; Note: Uses constant population; Source: OECD Science, Technology and Industry Outlook (Patents by technology: Patents - total and specific technology domains (OECD) https://stats.oecd.org); Coriolis analysis

201

Relative to peers, New Zealand is not a leader in patent production in any major field



Note: Uses constant population; Source: OECD Science, Technology and Industry Outlook (Patents by technology: Patents - total and specific technology domains (OECD) https://stats.oecd.org); Coriolis analysis

CORIOLIS

202

3. Useful capital



New Zealand is doing well in terms of amount of venture capital (VC) funding attracted

VENTURE CAPITAL INVESTMENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF GDP

% of GDP; 2017 (latest available)



CORIOLIS 204

Relative to peers, New Zealand has a small stock market and relatively few listed companies



Note: Euronext includes Belgium, Ireland and Norway; NASDAQ Norway includes Denmark, Finland and Sweden; both use regional total (i.e. all country averages)Source: World Federation of Exchanges (https://focus.world-exchanges.org/issue/may-2023/market-statistics and https://www.world-exchanges.org/our-work/statistics); CIA World Factbook (https://www.world-exchanges.org/our-work/statistics); CIA World Factbook (https://www.cia.gov/the-world-exchanges.org/our-work/statistics); CIA World Factbook (https://www.cia.gov/the-world-exch

CORIOLIS 205

DOCUMENT STRUCTURE/TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. AVAILABLE BIO- RESOURCES & IN-SECTO CAPABILITIES	OR			3. DEVELOPING NEW PLATFORMS
WHAT DO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH?				WHY IS IT SO HARD TO DEVELOP NEW PLATFORMS IN THE BIOECONOMY?
PAGES 8-171				PAGES 206-241
1.1 Aquaculture/Wild Capture Seafood	9	2.1 Smart people		
1.2 Forestry	26	2.2 Good ideas		
1.3 Arable Crops	52	2.3 Useful capital	203	
1.4 Wine Grapes	66			
1.5 Fruit	77			
1.6 Vegetables	89			
1.7 Cattle	101			
1.8 Sheep	125			
1.9 Chicken	143			
1.10 Pigs	155			
1.11 Deer, Goats & Other	166			

While the New Zealand bioeconomy has some world class capabilities, more needs to be done to nurture and support new and emerging platforms

SITUATION

New Zealand has a highly competitive bioeconomy that efficiently produces and processes biomass, supported by a capable business ecosystem.

COMPLICATION

In the past fifty years...

New platforms have emerged to process - and add value - to existing New Zealand biomass.

Major new platforms have not emerged to produce different biomass (e.g. soybeans) from farming, forestry, fishing.

RESOLUTION

The New Zealand government will need to take a more proactive approach if it wants new biomass production systems (e.g. hemp, canola) at scale to emerge.

This section looks at the key economic drivers of internationally competitive bioeconomy systems. It does not assess related issues such as sustainability, land-use change, alternative production systems (e.g. regenerative), or market or customer imposed requirements or regulations that might impact competitiveness (e.g. recycled packaging requirements, Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanisms (CBAM))

New Zealand has a highly competitive bioeconomy that efficiently produces and processes biomass that is supported by a world class business ecosystem

SITUATION

New Zealand has a highly competitive bioeconomy that efficiently produces and processes biomass, supported by a capable business ecosystem.

What is agricultural (and forestry and fishing) competitiveness?

WHAT IS A COMPETITIVE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR?

"Competitiveness is essentially about <u>advantage in</u> <u>selling products in markets</u>. This requires... farmers to be relatively more <u>efficient</u> producers than their many competitors, and for them to be backed up by efficient supply chains. Producing efficiently, in turn, involves Australian producers <u>being exposed to international</u> <u>competition</u> to spur <u>innovation</u> and <u>productivity gains</u> both to reduce costs and to develop products that consumers are prepared to pay for. It also depends upon the capacity to be flexible and to adapt swiftly to changing market conditions. An internationally competitive agricultural sector (as for other sectors of the economy) requires policies and institutional frameworks that facilitate innovation, least-cost production, efficient risk management and the allocation (and reallocation) of resources such as land, water and management skills to areas of production and investment with the highest expected net returns. Generally speaking, appropriate incentives will be provided by open, competitive markets and efficient (non-distorted) price signals."

Submission to the Agricultural Competitiveness Taskforce, Australian Government Productivity Commission, April 2014



Australian Government Productivity Commission

An internationally competitive bioeconomy is created by a range of key drivers

- DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY



Industry and government can influence the drivers of an internationally competitive bioeconomy

DRIVERS OF AN INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE BIOECONOMY



* Efficiently allocated

Internationally competitive regions globally have readily available resources to produce biomass

DRIVERS 01: AVAILABLE RESOURCES

AVAILA RESOUR	BLE WORLD-CLASS EFFICIE CES PRODUCTION SYSTEMS HANDLING	NT PRIMARY EFFICIENT VALU G/PROCESSING PROCESS	JE-ADDED ACCESSIBLE SING MARKETS
	What?	Why?	Challenges
Available Land	 Climatic and environmental conditions suited to genetics and production system being used Clear, stable, easily complied with environmental regulations Freehold property Property rights; rule-of-law New resources available to bring into production 	 Able to increase production Incentivised to invest Certainty of ownership 	 NIMBY (not in my backyard) attitudes Conflicting land use Climate change impacting production Multiple, conflicting, uncoordinated government agencies with multiple objectives and multiple regulations
Available Water	 Readily available water in sufficient quantities Consistent, stable rainfall or seasonally recharged irrigation Competitively priced water relative to peer group competition Effective and efficient water allocation mechanisms 	 Minimises risk Stability/certainty of supply (e.g. for processor) Able to increase production 	 Climate change impacting water supplies Non-rational water allocation systems Illiquid water markets Multiple, conflicting, uncoordinated government agencies with multiple objectives and multiple regulations
Available Labour	 People willing to work in physically demanding agriculture, forestry, fishing and processing roles Labour pay relative to labour productivity Competitively priced labour relative to peer group competitors 	Cost controlPrice competitiveness	 Low population in rural regions Transient, unskilled labour unaccustomed to hard work (e.g. backpackers) Immigration laws Cost of labour
Available Key Inputs	 Ready supply of key inputs produced or available in region Competitively priced 	- Cost control	 Lack of scale in inputs Key inputs not approved or authorised High prices on key inputs relative to key competitors

Internationally competitive regions globally have world-class production systems

DRIVERS 02: WORLD CLASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS

AVAILA RESOUT	ABLE WORLD-CLASS EFFICIE RCES PRODUCTION SYSTEMS HANDLIN	ENT PRIMARY G/PROCESSING PROCI	ALUE-ADDED ACCESSIBLE ESSING MARKETS
	What?	Why?	Challenges
High Yields	 Best practice operation management around yield Genetics most suited to production system and climate Access to highest performance genetics available from largest/deepest breeding pool 	 Efficient conversion of inputs to outputs Not disadvantaged against competition Time is money 	 Biosecurity (e.g. no access to non-New Zealand genetics) Poor/weak global pool not improving at rate of competing products (e.g. lamb vs. chicken) No access to IP-controlled genetics
Large Operations	 Large, modern operations Large operations at or above key competitors scale Small number of large operations (not vice versa) Specifically designed and focused on single product 	 Lower production costs per unit Higher yields Better processes, systems and management (on average) 	 Barriers to operation consolidation Anti-agribusiness sentiment Rate of farm sales and farm exits Attitudes and opinions
Proven/Scalable Systems	 Proven, reproducible models in place delivering strong real- world results World-class systems available Easy access to latest specialised equipment & technology Systems operating at minimum required scale 	 De-risk operations Higher productivity Global best practice Not disadvantaged 	 Lack of minimum local scale to implement Lack of required skills Lack of required equipment or technology No proven model exists (e.g. native botanicals) Multiple, conflicting, uncoordinated layers of government with multiple objectives
Skills & Experience	 Deep pool of local skilled operators Strong industry training programs and systems Regular uptake of new global best practice 	 Readily available labour Enable rapid growth and expansion 	 Local pool cut-off from global best practice by distance, culture or attitudes Local pool under some critical threshold and therefore not self-sustaining Immigration laws preventing arrival of new skills suited to new products/systems

Internationally competitive regions globally have efficient primary handling and primary processing

DRIVERS 03: EFFICIENT PRIMARY PROCESSING/HANDLING

AVAILA RESOUR	BLE CES	WORLD-CLASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	EFFICIEN HANDLING	NT PRIMARY /PROCESSING	EFFICIENT VALU PROCESSI	NG		
Efficient & Productive	What? - Biomass wh activities a - Using lates - Deep know	holesaling, bulk handling and prima are efficient and productive at modern equipment and efficient sy vledge and capabilities	ry processing vstems	Why? - Lower cost - Higher produ	ctivity	Challenges - Small scale oper - Undercapitalised in improvements - Local operations practice by dista	rations d operations unable to reir s cut off from global best ance, culture or attitudes	nvest
At Scale	 Large scal processing Large, higl Operation 	e wholesaling/bulk handling and/or activities n productivity facilities s at or above key competitors scale	primary	- Lower costs p	er unit	- Low local produce local processing	ction volume restricting sca	ile of
Close to Production Areas	 Wholesalin (rather that Primary prition to first point 	ng/processing centrally located in p n a significant number widely distrik roduction operations located within a nt of handling/processing	roduction area outed) close distance	 Logistics effic Transport cos 	iency ts per unit	 Zoning Land cost and av Location of ports Distorting effect interference in m 	vailability s vs. location of resources of historic government narkets	

Internationally competitive regions globally have efficient value-added processing

DRIVERS 04: EFFICIENT VALUE ADDED PROCESSING

AVAIL RESOL	ABLE WORLD-CLASS EFFIC JRCES PRODUCTION SYSTEMS HANDLIN	CIENT PRIMARY EFFICIENT VA	ALUE-ADDED ACCESSIBLE SSING MARKETS
	What?	Why?	Challenges
Efficient & Productive	 Value-added processing activities are efficient and productive Using latest modern equipment and efficient systems Deep knowledge and capabilities Innovative new product development occurring in region Access to innovation infrastructure (e.g. pilot facilities) 	 Lower cost Higher productivity 	 Small scale operations Undercapitalised operations unable to reinvest in improvements Local operations cut off from global best practice by distance, culture or attitudes
At Scale	 Value-added processing activities occurring in region at minimum scale required to be competitive Operations are large, high productivity facilities Operations are at or above scale of key competitors that are gaining or driving share and market growth 	- Lower costs per unit	 Low local production volume restricting scale of local processing Limited number support services and input suppliers
Linked Into Markets	 Key value-added producers have solid, stable route-to-market and in-market sales force Regular, on-going interface with in-market retailers and consumers Presence of global leaders in the region 	 Sales growth Reduced transaction costs Increased innovation 	 Small scale local processors isolated from world markets Lack of regular flow of global market information back to regional processors (e.g. trends; NPD*; new flavours) Lack of connections into key global input or ingredient suppliers (e.g. flavour houses)

Internationally competitive regions globally have access to markets

DRIVERS 05: ACCESSIBLE MARKE	ΤS
------------------------------	----

AVAILA RESOU	ABLE WORLD-CLASS EFFICIE RCES PRODUCTION SYSTEMS HANDLINE	ENT PRIMARY EFFICIENT VALU G/PROCESSING PROCESS	JE-ADDED ACCESSIBLE ING MARKETS
	What?	Why?	Challenges
Local/ Regional	 Competitive and robust local/regional market Sophisticated and discerning customers Multiple channels and retailers 	 Test bed/nursery for new product development (NPD) Guaranteed minimum volumes and sales 	 Small local markets Very limited local demand for product (e.g. not used in local cuisine)
National/ Trade Bloc	 Large pool of regional consumers Ready access via regional trade agreement 	 Drive volume Available pool of customers Easy, gradual expansion 	 Internal barriers to trade such as transport distances or cost Language or cultural barriers
Export Markets	 Low/reduced tariffs into key markets Large number of high quality trade agreements Regular and available transport and shipping solutions Minimum scale required to export product in efficient quantities 	- Enables export growth	 Restricted access in some key markets Presence of significant non-tariff trade barriers Currency risks


As an example, the <u>Norwegian</u> salmon industry delivers on all key international competitiveness drivers

EXAMPLE: DRIVERS OF COMPETITIVENESS OF NORWEGIAN SALMON INDUSTRY





World-class production systems enable efficient primary processing which attracts efficient value added processing

WORLD-CLASS PRODUCTION SYSTEMS ARE THE ENGINE ·



Major new platforms have emerged in 'post farmgate" biomass processing but not in primary biomass production (farming, forestry, fishing)

SITUATION

New Zealand has a highly competitive bioeconomy that efficiently produces and processes biomass, supported by a capable business ecosystem.

COMPLICATION

In the past fifty years...

New platforms have emerged to process and add value to existing New Zealand biomass.

Major new platforms have not emerged to produce different biomass (e.g. soybeans) from farming, forestry, fishing. THE CHALLENGE: There is a 'chicken-and-the-egg' problem; how do you get experience with high costs?



The New Zealand kiwifruit industry took twenty years of hard work from the first commercial planting by Jim MacLaughlin to 'get much of anywhere'

EXAMPLE: AREA & PRODUCTION IN THE NEW ZEALAND KIWIFRUIT INDUSTRY



Source: NZ Department of Statistics; NZ Ministry of Agriculture; UN FAO; UN Comtrade; Zespri Annual Reports (various years); past Coriolis work; Coriolis analysis and estimates

CORIOLIS 222

The New Zealand avocado industry took 32 years of hard work to move beyond a single champion

EXAMPLE: NEW ZEALAND AVOCADO INDUSTRY HISTORY AND PRODUCTION VOLUME

40000																		
35000																		1
30000																		
25000																		
20000		1932										1987			h			
15000		Lemon gro Charles G to harvest from trees from seed	ower prey starts avocados s grown	For 32 years commercia	New Zeal	and has on avocados	e	<u>```</u>				New Zeala produces 1 tonnes of a (~50 years commercial	ind finally ,000 ivocados s after first l crop)	ы				
50.00	1920 New Zealand Department of Agriculture first		1938 Grey has of comme	first sale rcial crop				197 Secc grov) nd avocac /er emerge	lo es		 						
0	imports avocado seeds	state hist state avocado state of the state											ull					
5	1930 1925 1920	1935	1940	1950	19 55	19 60	1965	1970	1975	19 80	1985	1990	1995	20 00	20 05	20 10	20 15	20 20

Source: https://industry.nzavocado.co.nz/avocado-history/; Sources: Statistics New Zealand; United Nation FAO (itself from MPI); Coriolis analysis

The New Zealand currant industry was around for 20+ years before it shifted across from red to black and started to achieve traction

CASE STUDY: NEW ZEALAND CURRANT AREA (ha)



Why is this happening? The experience curve proposes a constant relationship between the cumulative production quantity and the cost of production

"There is a hypothesis that costs follow a definite pattern which is a function of accumulated production experience... The characteristic decline is consistently 20-30% each time accumulated production is doubled... There is a large amount of empirical evidence that this relationship is so fundamental that any deviation should be explainable." Bruce Henderson, BCG, Jan 1968



"Experience Curve is a concept that states that there is a consistent relationship between the cumulative production quantity... and the cost of production. The concept implies that the more experienced a company is in manufacturing a specific product, the lower its cost of production.."



ORIGINAL EXPERIENCE CURVE DIAGRAM

As an example, say a product starts with a production cost of \$100/kg and costs fall at -25% per each cumulative doubling

EXAMPLE: EXPERIENCE CURVE WITH \$100 STARTING & -25% COST DECLINE PER DOUBLING



So in this worked example, starting with 1t and with production increasing +50% per year, it takes 20 years to get production cost down to \$2.59/kg

EXAMPLE: IMPLEMENTING THE EXPERIENCE CURVE PAGE PRIOR W/+50% GROWTH PER YEAR



NOTE: Excludes inflation

Any new product is at the start of the experience curve, while key competitors in the market are far along and down the curve





New Zealand has no track record of solving the problem of penetrating a highly competitive agricultural market 'late in the game'

POSITION ON THE EXPERIENCE CURVE: NEW ZEALAND VS. WORLD



HAVE MAJOR COMPETITORS MOVED DOWN THE EXPERIENCE CURVE? IS THE WORLD AT SCALE?

New Zealand tried a version of this "field of dreams" strategy in soybeans in the 1970's and 80's and it failed



COR10LIS 230

The New Zealand government will need to take a more proactive approach if it wants new biomass production systems (e.g. hemp) at scale to emerge

SITUATION

New Zealand has a highly competitive bioeconomy that efficiently produces and processes biomass, supported by a capable business ecosystem.

COMPLICATION

In the past fifty years...

New platforms have emerged to process and add value to existing New Zealand biomass.

Major new platforms have not emerged to produce different biomass (e.g. soybeans) from farming forestry, fishing.

RESOLUTION

The New Zealand government will need to take a more proactive approach if it wants new biomass production systems (e.g. hemp, canola) at scale to emerge.

Some initial observations on farming systems (aka. biomass/biomaterials production systems) in New Zealand

New Zealand farming systems can be segmented into three broad categories

- 1. Large, globally competitive systems at scale and at the world price
 - No easy/obvious replacements for existing large, land intensive biomass production systems
 - Pinus radiata, cattle and sheep are \sim 57% of land vs. arable 1.4% & orchards/vineyards 0.4%
- 2. "Bio-securable" products, with low/no competition from imports (can host disease or pathogens) (e.g. kumara, lettuce, chicken meat)
 - NZ operators: inefficient, old technologies, uncompetitive globally, low competition
 - No clear case studies of these transitioning to competitiveness in the last 50 years
- 3. "Un-bio-securable" products (output is cooked, treated, processed) (e.g. canned chicken)
 - Mostly imported; any NZ operator is competitive, at quality-adjusted world price (+freight)
 - No clear case studies of NZ farmers significantly penetrating un-bio-securable systems in the last 50 years

Small number of large ("globally competitive") and a large number of small ("bio-secure") systems

- Systems are effectively binary (yes/no) in that it is either working (competitive) or not (hobby) No obvious climatically suitable biomass production systems that have not been tried
 - No obvious kiwifruit waiting to be commercialised

A comparison of sweet potato (kumara) growers in South Carolina and New Zealand provides a real-world case study of an insulated/inefficient industry

INDUSTRY SIZE AND PERFORMANCE METRICS: NORTH CAROLINA VS. NEW ZEALAND Select variables as given; 2017 or as available



Note: (1) uses average of available sources; (2) NC data is growers 2ha+; Source: UN FAO (itself from MPI); USDA Census of Agriculture; USDA ERS; Plant & Food FreshFacts; Coriolis analysis

North Carolina's production growth is coming almost exclusively from large farms and these farms are achieving export success

SWEET POTATO EXPORT VALUE: USA VS. NEW ZEALAND US\$m; 1961-2018



NORTH CAROLINA SWEET POTATO PRODUCTION BY FARM SIZE Tonnes by size class, 1978-2017



Note: NC data is growers 2ha+; Source: UN FAO; USDA Census of Agriculture; USDA ERS; Plant & Food FreshFacts; Coriolis analysis

In <u>farming systems</u>, New Zealand went from intensive government involvement in driving growth to government as a "hands off rule maker"

PRE-1984

Intensive government involvement in driving industry

- Securing and importing genetics
- Focused, long term R&D funding
- Experimental farms
- Massive plantings on government land
- Marketing boards
- Priorities in trade negotiations
- Limited, relatively flexible biosecurity
- Supportive wider regulatory environment
- Strong and secure demand from one dominant market (pre-1973)

POST 1984+

Intensive government involvement in driving industry

- Hazardous organisms act; very costly or impossible
- Scattershot, unfocused R&D funding
- Hands off rather than hands dirty
- Elimination of marketing boards
- Large, existing only priorities in trade negotiations (cf. feta vs. mānuka)
- Strict biosecurity
- Increasingly challenging regulatory environment
- Loss of industry development capabilities in government agencies relative to peers

<u>All</u> of the large biomaterials production systems and postfarmgate primary processing systems emerged in this era

All have been the beneficiary of massive, long-term support activity when they were in H2 and H3

<u>No</u> new globally competitive farming systems/biomaterials production systems have emerged in the last 50 years

Introducing a new globally competitive biomass production system is almost impossible

It is unclear how change will occur without support?

In <u>farming systems</u>, New Zealand is stuck in McKinsey's unhealthy pattern called "ideas but not building businesses"



"Platforms" typically combine farming systems and post-farmgate processing, though this is not always the case as these examples demonstrate



Wool can be produced and processed to an export ready form on farm



Sugar is processed in New Zealand despite not producing the raw materials

Fresh bananas are an example of a relatively simple platform that delivers NZ grown fruit to domestic consumers (and animal fodder as a byproduct)



Ē													= 1
1	Additiona	l proc	essing	would	be p	ossible	once	the ir	dustr	y wa	s at	scal	e ¦
<u> </u>													_ i



Sports nutrition is a more complex platform that brings together a wide range of New Zealand and imported ingredients into value-added products

VALUE CHAIN AND LINKAGES: SPORTS NUTRITION/WEIGHT MANAGEMENT



CORIOLIS 239

Some high level patterns emerge in post-farmgate processing systems

Multiple stages of post-farmgate processing exist



As a broad generalisation, there have been three eras in New Zealand post-farmgate processing

PIONEER	INDUSTRIAL	INNOVATION	
(1200's-1930'S)	(1930'S-1990'S)	(2000'S+)	
Can we even produce it or do we need to trade with other for it?	Can we get to scale and compete globally?	Can we make a differentiated product and tell a compelling story	

Some observations on New Zealand's post-farmgate processing systems

- 1. Secondary and tertiary post-farmgate processing is <u>highly flexible</u>, <u>adaptable</u>, <u>adjustable</u> and not directly tied to the land (e.g. imported pea-protein in NZ-made sports nutrition)
- 2. New Zealand is moving from simple to more complex value chains
- 3. Identified STAGE II Platforms that are emerging are not "islands"; they overlap into a network or web of capabilities
- 4. Most/all identified STAGE II Platforms have similar challenges/limitations
 - High costs and low volumes
 - Lack of scale in processing
 - Small, heterogeneous markets
 - Where NZ biomaterials are needed, returns/hectare are typically under dairy
- 5. Continued growth and emergence of smaller STAGE II Platforms will be slow and hazardous, with a high rate of failure under current settings

